

# Tennessee Department of Correction Recidivism Study Felon Releases 2001-2007

March 15, 2010

## **Dr. Mary Karpos, Director**

## Michael Gasiecki, Statistical Analyst Supervisor Vanessa Langston, Administrative Analyst

**Tennessee Department of Correction: Policy, Planning and Research Unit** 

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction	2
Release Trends	3
Recidivism	4
Recidivism by Location	5
Release by Type	6
Recidivism by Release Type	8
Community Corrections	8
Sentence Expiration	10
Parole	12
Probation	14
Release by Offense Group	17
Recidivism by Offense Group	20
Person Offense	20
Property Offense	22
Societal Offense	24
Other Offense	26
Release by Sex	28
Recidivism by Sex	30
Type of Release by Sex	32
Variation by Sex and Release Type	34
Recidivism by Sex and Release Type	36
<b>Community Corrections</b>	36
Sentence Expiration	37
Parole	39
Probation	41
Type of Offense Group by Sex	43
Variation by Sex and Offense Group	44
Recidivism by Sex and Offense Group	<b>46</b>
Release by Race	<b>48</b>
Recidivism by Race	49
Type of Release by Race	50
Recidivism by Race and Release Type	52
Community Corrections	52
Sentence Expiration	54
Parole	56
Probation	58
Type of Offense Group by Race	60
Recidivism by Race and Offense Group	62
Noteworthy Findings and Conclusions	64

## INTRODUCTION

The Tennessee Department of Correction (TDOC) is pleased to present this report based on an analysis of felons released between 2001 and 2007. A systematic investigation of *who* is being released and *how* they are being released reveals patterns that may be surprising. Recidivism comparisons were made between locations of release, types of release, conviction offense, sex and race. The full report, entitled <u>Statistical Abstract:</u> <u>TDOC Recidivism Study - CY 2001-2007</u> can be accessed at <u>www.tn.gov/correction</u>.

Recidivism is not a subject routinely associated with good news. The best news would be that no felons return once they are released. While that is not the case, what is true is that some rates of return have declined in significant ways. Certainly any return to custody represents both personal and economic costs for victims and their families so no attempt will be made to minimize the impact of recidivism. However, the information contained in this report provides hope and insight in to the trends developing in Tennessee.

Recidivism generally refers to an offender's participation in criminal endeavors subsequent to his release from prison. It is usually counted on the basis of actions that result in the offender's return to prison. Sometimes an offender commits a new crime and is arrested, convicted, and returned to state prison to serve a new sentence. Other times an offender violates a condition of his release and is returned to prison to serve what remains of his original sentence or his original sentence with extra time having been added.

The Tennessee Department of Correction (TDOC) has joined with the Association of Correctional Administrators (ASCA) and other departments of correction in their adoption of both standardized terminology and a time frame for assessing recidivism. As suggested by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, recidivism has been measured by counting the criminal acts that result in re-arrest, reconviction, or a return to prison of an individual with or without a new sentence for a period of three years. Offenders are herein referred to as being "unique" in reference to their first release from incarceration and their recidivism is counted upon their first return to incarceration for each calendar year. This is a departure from the methodology used in previous reports where multiple returns for offenders were counted through out the time frame of each study. While a change in measurement does make direct comparisons of TDOC's other published recidivism rates impossible, counting recidivism as others do will optimize our ability to make comparisons with other departments of correction and provide context to determine whether critical periods of time are shown to affect recidivism rates.

A clear understanding of the time frame within which recidivism occurs will provide information useful in allocating support services. Previous studies have counted the total number of offenders who returned each year for a three year period of time. Because this study follows unique offenders on a year-by-year basis from 2001-2007, we can determine return rates for not only calendar years one, two, and three but also years four and beyond. Our goal is to provide a more comprehensive view of recidivism in Tennessee.

## **RELEASE TRENDS**

Comprehending recidivism depends upon knowing who is being released and under what circumstances they are being released. A total of 100,633 felon releases have been made between the years 2001 and 2007. Ninety six percent (96,655) of those releases qualify to be counted as unique releases. TDOC released 37,050 of the unique releases and local jails released the remaining 59,605 felons. While local jails continue to house and release more felons than TDOC, the disparity in release is beginning to narrow.

	Release	es for Jan	uary 2001	- Decembe	r 2007		
	Syster	n Wide	TD	ОС	Local Jail		
Calendar <u>Year</u>	Total <u>Releases</u>	Unique Yearly <u>Releases</u>	TDOC <u>Releases</u>	Unique Yearly <u>Releases</u>	Local Jail <u>Releases</u>	Unique Yearly <u>Releases</u>	
2001	12,508	12,151	4,450	4,423	8,058	7,728	
2002	2002 13,144 12,649		4,374	4,336	8,770	8,313	
2003	13,434	12,904	4,928	4,873	8,506	8,031	
2004	14,048	13,479	5,380	5,348	8,668	8,131	
2005	15,169	14,500	5,602	5,545	9,567	8,955	
2006	006 16,121 15,452		6,267	6,197	9,854	9,255	
2007	2007 16,209 15,520		6,396	6,328	9,813	9,192	
Total	Total 100,633 96		37,397	37,050	63,236	59,605	

You may not have known that more than 60% of felons released in Tennessee are being released from local jails. Some of these felons have been sentenced under Section 40-35-104 Sentencing Alternatives which provides for their time to be served in a local jail if they were convicted of a felony after November 1, 1989 and have no more than six years to serve and live in Shelby or Davidson County or they have no more than three years to serve in any other county. The rest of the felons released from local jails are back-ups (sentenced to TDOC but no bed space was available for them) or felons who have a split confinement sentence that requires them to serve their sentence locally.

Between 2001 and 2007, TDOC releases have increased by 43.7% (43.1% unique) while jail releases have only increased by 21.8% (18.9% unique). As shown below, felons released from TDOC in 2001 accounted for 35.6% (36.4% unique) of the total number of system wide releases. Jails in 2001 accounted for 64.4% (63.6 unique) of the total number of system wide releases. By 2007, felons released from TDOC accounted for 39.5% (40.8% unique) of the system wide releases and jails accounted for 60.5% (59.2%) of the system wide releases.

	Tot	al and Uni 2001 an	•	ises								
<u>Calendar</u> <u>Year</u>	Year Facility Releases Total Releases Total											
		12,508	100.0%	12,151	100.0%							
2001	TDOC	4,450	35.6%	4,423	36.4%							
	JAILS	8,058	64.4%	7,728	63.6%							
		16,209	100.0%	15,520	100.0%							
2007												
	JAILS	9,813	60.5%	9,192	59.2%							

## RECIDIVISM

System wide recidivism rates have remained stable from 2001-2007. When there is a departure from the average (in the four years and beyond) it is in the direction of declining rates of return.

				Sy	stem wid	e Return	Rates					
				Janua	ry 2001 ·	- Decemt	oer 2007					
	Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
Calendar Total Yearly Returned in Years in Years									No			
Year	Releases	Releases Releases <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>								Readmissions		
2001	12,508	12,151	3,032	4,697	5,544	6,980	25.0%	38.7%	45.6%	57.4%	5,171	
2002	13,144	12,649	3,196	4,901	5,790	7,069	25.3%	38.7%	45.8%	55.9%	5,580	
2003	13,434	12,904	3,350	5,133	6,035	7,030	26.0%	39.8%	46.8%	54.5%	5,874	
2004	14,048	13,479	3,484	5,259	6,174	6,921	25.8%	39.0%	45.8%	51.3%	6,558	
2005	15,169	14,500	3,869	5,795	6,729	N/A	26.7%	40.0%	46.4%	N/A	7,437	
2006	16,121	15,452	4,164	6,118	N/A	N/A	26.9%	39.6%	N/A	N/A	8,725	
2007	16,209	15,520	3,952	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	10,293	
Average 25.9% 39.3% 46.1% 54.8%												

## **RECIDIVISM BY LOCATION**

TDOC has demonstrated substantial (11.1%) decline in return rates at four years and beyond for the period 2001 to 2004. This is particularly striking when compared to the minimal (2.5%) decline in returns for jail releases during the same period of time. While only partial information is currently available for 2005-2007, the data seems to be following the same pattern of difference in declining return rates.

					TDOC R	eturn Rat	es				
				Janua	ry 2001 ·	- Decemt	er 2007				
Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
Calendar TDOC Yearly Returned in Years in Years								No			
<u>Year</u>	<b>Releases</b>	Releases         1 Yr         2 Yrs         3 Yrs         4+ Yrs         1 Yr         2 Yrs         3 Yrs         4+ Yrs         .							Readmissions		
2001	4,450	4,423	852 1,516 1,856 2,426 19.3% 34.3% 42.0% 54					54.8%	1,997		
2002	4,374	4,336	749	1,357	1,698	2,144	17.3%	31.3%	39.2%	49.4%	2,192
2003	4,928	4,873	891	1,594	2,002	2,378	18.3%	32.7%	41.1%	48.8%	2,495
2004	5,380	5,348	931	1,644	2,039	2,336	17.4%	30.7%	38.1%	43.7%	3,012
2005	5,602	5,545	1,030	1,779	2,152	N/A	18.6%	32.1%	38.8%	N/A	3,263
2006	6,267	6,197 1,225 2,033 N/A N/A 19.8% 32.8%						N/A	N/A	3,899	
2007	6,396	6,328	1,139	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,680
Average 18.4% 32.3% 39.8% 49.2%											

				L	ocal Jail	Return R	ates				
January 2001 - December 2007											
Local Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
Calendar	Calendar Jail Yearly Returned in Years in Years								No		
<u>Year</u>	Releases	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>							Readmissions
2001	8,058	7,728	2,180	3,181	3,688	4,554	28.2%	41.2%	47.7%	58.9%	3,174
2002	8,770	8,313	2,447	3,544	4,092	4,925	29.4%	42.6%	49.2%	59.2%	3,388
2003	8,506	8,031	2,459	3,539	4,033	4,652	30.6%	44.1%	50.2%	57.9%	3,379
2004	8,668	8,131	2,553	3,615	4,135	4,585	31.4%	44.5%	50.9%	56.4%	3,546
2005	9,567	8,955	2,839	4,016	4,577	N/A	31.7%	44.8%	51.1%	N/A	4,174
2006	9,854	9,255	2,939	4,085	N/A	N/A	31.8%	44.1%	N/A	N/A	4,826
2007	9,813 9,192 2,813 N/A N/A N/A 30.6% N/A N/A N/A							5,613			
	Average						30.5%	43.6%	49.8%	58.1%	

There is a growing disparity between TDOC and jail return rates. While unique yearly releases have increased for both locations, those being released from jails persistently post return rates that are substantially higher than those being released from TDOC. At most points of comparison with TDOC, jails post a double digit difference in return rates.

		ite Diffe OC and L		S								
_	% Return Rate Differences											
<u>Calendar</u>	Calendar											
Year	<u>Year <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u></u>											
2001	8.9%	6.9%	5.7%	4.1%								
2002	12.1%	11.3%	10.0%	9.8%								
2003	12.3%	11.4%	9.1%	9.1%								
2004	14.0%	13.8%	12.8%	12.7%								
2005	13.1%	12.7%	12.3%	N/A								
2006	2006 12.0% 11.3% N/A N/A											
2007	12.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A								

## **RELEASE BY TYPE**

Tennessee has increased the number of felons released each year since 2001. The table shows total yearly releases and unique yearly releases for each calendar year. The unique releases are further differentiated on the basis of release type. The four release types considered are releases to community corrections, releases due to sentence expiration, releases to parole, and releases to probation. The percentage of unique releases for each release type is included.

				•		es by Typ mber 200					
Octoreday	Tabal	Unique		umber of Un	ique Release			•	e Releases		
Calendar <u>Year</u>	Total Releases	Yearly Releases	<u>CC</u>	<u>EXP</u>	ype PAR	PROB	<u>CC</u>	<u>EXP</u>	Type PAR	PROB	
100	Iteleases	<u>Neleases</u>	00			TROD	00			<u>I KOD</u>	
2001	12,508	12,151	913	913 4,058 3,213 3,967 7.5% 33.4% 26.4%							
2002	13,144	12,649	1,107	4,373	2,966	4,203	8.8%	34.6%	23.4%	33.2%	
2003	13,434	12,904	1,195	4,510	3,053	4,146	9.3%	35.0%	23.7%	32.1%	
2004	14,048	13,479	1,105	4,830	3,331	4,213	8.2%	35.8%	24.7%	31.3%	
2005	15,169	14,500	1,287	4,870	3,689	4,654	8.9%	33.6%	25.4%	32.1%	
2006	16,121	15,452	1,308	5,066	4,343	8.5%	32.8%	28.1%	30.6%		
2007	16,209	15,520	1,255	5,029	4,489	4,747	8.1%	32.4%	28.9%	30.6%	
	Average						8.5%	33.9%	25.8%	31.8%	

The distribution of unique releases amongst release types has shown little change from 2001 to 2007. Release due to sentence expiration represents the largest category of release but only minimally exceeds release to probation. Release to parole, on average, comprises about 25% of unique releases. Release to community corrections is the smallest category of release with less than 10% of unique releases being made in this way.

A comparison of the distribution of release types between release locations (TDOC and local jails) reveals that about 87% of TDOC releases are to either parole or sentence expiration.

			TC	OC Rele	eases by	Туре				
		J	anuai	ry 2001	- Decem	ber 2007	7			
		Unique	ľ	Number of	Unique Rel	eases		% of Unio	ue Releases	
Calendar	Total	Yearly		В	у Туре			Ву	Туре	
Year	<b>Releases</b>	Releases								
2001	4,450	4,423	15	1,593	2,292	523	0.3%	36.0%	51.8%	11.8%
2002	4,374	4,336	10	1,754	2,096	476	0.2%	40.5%	48.3%	11.0%
2003	4,928	4,873	22	1,951	2,299	601	0.5%	40.0%	47.2%	12.3%
2004	5,380	5,348	18	2,162	2,489	679	0.3%	40.4%	46.5%	12.7%
2005	5,602	5,545	24	2,061	2,818	642	0.4%	37.2%	50.8%	11.6%
2006	6,267	6,197	22	2,154	3,263	758	0.4%	34.8%	52.7%	12.2%
2007	6,396	6,328	25	2,237	3,371	695	0.4%	35.4%	53.3%	11.0%
	Average 0.4% 37.7% 50.1% 11.8%									

In contrast, about 75% of local jail releases are to probation or sentence expiration. We can also see that local jails release significantly more inmates to community corrections than TDOC, and TDOC makes significantly more releases to parole than local jails.

			Local	Jail Rel	eases by	у Туре				
			January	y 2001 -	Decemb	per 2007	7			
		Unique	Nur	mber of Un	ique Relea	ses		% of Uniqu	e Releases	
Calendar	Total	Yearly		By	уре			By	Гуре	
Year										
2001	8,058	7,728	7,728 898 2,465 921 3,444 11.6% 31.9% 11.9% 44.							44.6%
2002	8,770	8,313	1,097	2,619	870	3,727	13.2%	31.5%	10.5%	44.8%
2003	8,506	8,031	1,173	2,559	754	3,545	14.6%	31.9%	9.4%	44.1%
2004	8,668	8,131	1,087	2,668	842	3,534	13.4%	32.8%	10.4%	43.5%
2005	9,567	8,955	1,263	2,809	871	4,012	14.1%	31.4%	9.7%	44.8%
2006	9,854	9,255	1,286	2,912	1,080	3,977	13.9%	31.5%	11.7%	43.0%
2007	9,813	9,192	1,230	2,792	1,118	4,052	13.4%	30.4%	12.2%	44.1%
	Average 13.5% 31.6% 10.8% 44.1%									

## **RECIDIVISM BY TYPE OF RELEASE**

### **COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS**

System wide return rates for the four categories of release vary. Releases to community corrections show the highest rate of return in every year under consideration. By year 3, almost 75% of those released have been returned.

	Sy	stem wid	e Retu	n Rate	s for R	eleases	s to Con	nmunity	Corre	ctions	
			J	anuary	2001 -	Decem	ber 20	07			
Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
CY	Releases	Releases		Returned in Years in Years							No
_	Gross Unique <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>									Readmissions	
2001	992	913	439	439 569 632 694 48.1% 62.3% 69.2% 76.0%							219
2002	1,238	1,107	536	683	742	827	48.4%	61.7%	67.0%	74.7%	280
2003	1,382	1,195	642	827	888	938	53.7%	69.2%	74.3%	78.5%	257
2004	1,308	1,105	614	776	826	868	55.6%	70.2%	74.8%	78.6%	237
2005	1,537	1,287	751	908	971	N/A	58.4%	70.6%	75.4%	N/A	295
2006	1,520	1,308	702	883	N/A	N/A	53.7%	67.5%	N/A	N/A	392
2007	2007 1,445 1,255 611 N/A N/A N/A 48.7% N/A N/A N/A								511		
Average 52.4% 66.9% 72.2% 76.9%											

TDOC releases very few felons to community corrections.

		TDOC Re						'	orrectio	ons	
			J	anuary	2001 -	Decen	ıber 20	07			
		Unique						04 B 1	- ·		
	Unique	TDOC Comm		Number of Releases % Return Rate							
CY	TDOC	Corr		Returned in Years in Years							No
_	<b>Releases</b>	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>						<b>Readmissions</b>	
2001	4,423	15	5	7	9	13	33.3%	46.7%	60.0%	86.7%	2
2002	4,336	10	2	6	7	8	20.0%	60.0%	70.0%	80.0%	2
2003	4,873	22	6	13	16	17	27.3%	59.1%	72.7%	77.3%	5
2004	5,348	18	8	11	12	14	44.4%	61.1%	66.7%	77.8%	4
2005	5,545	24	5	13	16	N/A	20.8%	54.2%	66.7%	N/A	7
2006	6,197	22	7	7 11 N/A N/A 31.8% 50.0% N/A N/A						10	
2007	6,328	25	6	6 N/A N/A N/A 24.0% N/A N/A N/A							17
Average 28.8% 55.2% 67.2% 80.4%											

However, those who are released from TDOC to community corrections initially fare better than those released to community corrections from jails. A comparison of first year return rates reveals that TDOC average return rates are significantly lower (23.9%) than jail return rates.

	TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique	Unique TDOC Comm		Number o	f Release:	S		% Retu	rn Rate				
СҮ													
_	<b>Releases</b>	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>									
2001	4,423	15	5	7	9	13	33.3%	46.7%	60.0%	86.7%	2		
2002	4,336	10	2	6	7	8	20.0%	60.0%	70.0%	80.0%	2		
2003	4,873	22	6	13	16	17	27.3%	59.1%	72.7%	77.3%	5		
2004	5,348	18	8	11	12	14	44.4%	61.1%	66.7%	77.8%	4		
2005	5,545	24	5	13	16	N/A	20.8%	54.2%	66.7%	N/A	7		
2006	2006 6,197 22 7 11 N/A N/A 31.8% 50.0% N/A N/A										10		
2007	6,328	25	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	17		
	Average 28.8% 55.2% 67.2% 80.4%												

	Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
		Unique											
	Unique	Jail		Number of Releases % Return Rate									
Calendar	Jail	Comm Corr		Returned in Years in Years									
Year	<b>Releases</b>	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>		
2001	7,728	898	434	562	623	681	48.3%	62.6%	69.4%	75.8%	217		
2002	8,313	1,097	533	677	735	819	48.6%	61.7%	67.0%	74.7%	278		
2003	8,031	1,173	635	814	872	921	54.1%	69.4%	74.3%	78.5%	252		
2004	8,131	1,087	605	765	814	854	55.7%	70.4%	74.9%	78.6%	233		
2005	8,955	1,263	743	895	955	N/A	58.8%	70.9%	75.6%	N/A	288		
2006	9,255	5 1,286 695 872 N/A N/A 54.0% 67.8% N/A N/A									382		
2007	9,192	1,230	605	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	494		
Average 52.7% 67.1% 72.2% 76.9%													

## DISPARITY BETWEEN LOCATIONS FOR COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

A comparison of difference in return rates between TDOC and jails reveals that felons released to community corrections from jails are significantly more likely to return.

	Return Rate Differences												
Be	Between TDOC and Local Jails												
_	<u>~</u> % Return Rate Differences												
Calendar	Calendar Releases to Community Corrections												
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>									
2001	15.0%	15.9%	9.4%	10.8%									
2002	28.6%	1.7%	3.0%	5.3%									
2003	26.9%	10.3%	1.6%	1.2%									
2004	11.2%	9.3%	8.2%	0.8%									
2005	38.0%	16.7%	8.9%	N/A									
2006	2006 22.2% 17.8% N/A N/A												
2007	25.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A									

## **RECIDIVISM FOR RELEASES DUE TO SENTENCE EXPIRATION**

System wide return rates for felons released due to sentence expiration are very low. First year return rates average 8.0%, second year average 17.1%, third year average 24.7%, and four years and beyond average 37.1%. Return rates have shown declines in all four time periods with the four years and beyond showing the most significant decrease (10.4%).

	System wide Return Rates for Releases to Expiration												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate												
СҮ	Releases	Releases		Return		No							
			Readmissions										
_	<u>Gross</u> <u>Unique</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u>												
2001	4,133	4,058	398	776	1,048	1,706	9.8%	19.1%	25.8%	42.0%	2,352		
2002	4,469	4,373	351	757	1,077	1,679	8.0%	17.3%	24.6%	38.4%	2,694		
2003	4,607	4,510	351	828	1,155	1,638	7.8%	18.4%	25.6%	36.3%	2,872		
2004	4,932	4,830	356	794	1,168	1,525	7.4%	16.4%	24.2%	31.6%	3,305		
2005	4,994	4,870	346	777	1,125	N/A	7.1%	16.0%	23.1%	N/A	3,597		
2006	5,195	5,066	394	794	N/A	N/A	7.8%	15.7%	N/A	N/A	4,058		
2007	4,388												
	Average 8.0% 17.1% 24.7% 37.1%												

Felons released due to sentence expiration from TDOC have significantly lower return rates than felons released due to sentence expiration from jails.

	TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Expiration													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique         Unique TDOC         Number of Releases         % Return Rate													
CY	TDOC	Expiration	F	Returne		No								
	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{3}{4+}$													
_	<u>Releases</u> <u>Releases</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>Yrs</u> <u>Yrs</u> <u>Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>Readmissions</u>													
2001	4,423	1,593	105	234	339	581	6.6%	14.7%	21.3%	36.5%	1,012			
2002	4,336	1,754	78	230	349	554	4.4%	13.1%	19.9%	31.6%	1,200			
2003	4,873	1,951	117	291	425	607	6.0%	14.9%	21.8%	31.1%	1,344			
2004	5,348	2,162	92	267	420	561	4.3%	12.3%	19.4%	25.9%	1,601			
2005	5,545	2,061	92	228	353	N/A	4.5%	11.1%	17.1%	N/A	1,650			
2006	6,197	2,154	111	260	N/A	N/A	5.2%	12.1%	N/A	N/A	1,820			
2007	6,328	2,237	99	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,052			
	Avera	age					5.0%	13.0%	19.9%	31.3%				

	Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Expiration											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
Unique Unique Jail Number of Releases % Return Rate												
Calendar	Jail	Expiration	xpiration Returned in Years in Years									
	$\underline{2} \underline{3}$											
Year         Releases         Releases         1 Yr         Yrs         Yrs         4+ Yrs         1 Yr         2 Yrs         3 Yrs         4+ Yrs         Readmissions												
2001	7,728	2,465	293	542	709	1,125	11.9%	22.0%	28.8%	45.6%	1,340	
2002	8,313	2,619	273	527	728	1,125	10.4%	20.1%	27.8%	43.0%	1,494	
2003	8,031	2,559	234	537	730	1,031	9.1%	21.0%	28.5%	40.3%	1,528	
2004	8,131	2,668	264	527	748	964	9.9%	19.8%	28.0%	36.1%	1,704	
2005	8,955	2,809	254	549	772	N/A	9.0%	19.5%	27.5%	N/A	1,947	
2006	9,255	2,912	283	534	N/A	N/A	9.7%	18.3%	N/A	N/A	2,238	
2007	9,192	2,792	293	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,336	
	Average 10.1% 20.1% 28.1% 41.3%											

### DISPARITY BETWEEN LOCATIONS FOR RELEASES TO EXPIRATION

A comparison of difference in return rates between TDOC and jails reveals that felons released due to sentence expiration from jails are not only significantly more likely to return but that the likelihood of their return accelerates at 4 years and beyond.

В	Return Rate Differences Between TDOC and Local Jails											
<u>Calendar</u>	% Return Rate DifferencesCalendarReleases to Expiration											
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>								
2001	5.3%	7.3%	7.5%	9.2%								
2002	6.0%	7.0%	7.9%	11.4%								
2003	3.1%	6.1%	6.7%	9.2%								
2004	5.6%	7.4%	8.6%	10.2%								
2005	4.6%	8.5%	10.4%	N/A								
2006 4.6% 6.3% N/A N/A												
2007	2007 6.1% N/A N/A N/A											

### **RECIDIVISM FOR RELEASES TO PAROLE**

Return rates for felons released to parole are the most consistent across time and location of release. System wide releases to parole average a 25.8% return rate in the first year, 43.2% for the second year, 51.1% for the third year, and 59.3% for four years and beyond.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases to Parole													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Total         Unique         Number of Releases         % Return Rate													
СҮ	CY Releases Releases Returned in Years in Years													
_	<u>Gross</u> <u>Unique</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>R</u>													
2001	3,243	3,213	842											
2002	3,000	2,966	740	1,274	1,525	1,801	24.9%	43.0%	51.4%	60.7%	1,165			
2003	3,094	3,053	810	1,341	1,603	1,803	26.5%	43.9%	52.5%	59.1%	1,250			
2004	3,362	3,331	849	1,416	1,667	1,824	25.5%	42.5%	50.0%	54.8%	1,507			
2005	3,722	3,689	957	1,600	1,860	N/A	25.9%	43.4%	50.4%	N/A	1,755			
2006	4,382	4,343	1,157	1,856	N/A	N/A	26.6%	42.7%	N/A	N/A	2,286			
2007 4,521 4,489 1,110 N/A N/A N/A 24.7% N/A N/A N/A 2,91														
Average 25.8% 43.2% 51.1% 59.3%														

TDOC and jail return rates for felons released to parole are similar. However, TDOC previously posted higher rates of return than jails in years four and beyond. That trend has now been reversed. TDOC return rates for felons released to parole declined 9.3% between 2001 and 2004.

	TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Parole												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate												
CY	TDOC	Parole		Returned	No								
-	<u>Releases Releases 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>												
2001	4,423	2,292	593	93 1,007 1,199 1,473 25.9% 43.9% 52.3% 64.3%									
2002	4,336	2,096	520	890	1,078	1,284	24.8%	42.5%	51.4%	61.3%	812		
2003	4,873	2,299	603	1,014	1,231	1,388	26.2%	44.1%	53.5%	60.4%	911		
2004	5,348	2,489	634	1,060	1,247	1,370	25.5%	42.6%	50.1%	55.0%	1,119		
2005	5,545	2,818	720	1,221	1,433	N/A	25.6%	43.3%	50.9%	N/A	1,326		
2006	6,197	3,263	863	1,410	N/A	N/A	26.4%	43.2%	N/A	N/A	1,690		
2007 6,328 3,371 834 N/A N/A N/A 24.7% N/A N/A N/A 2													
Average 25.6% 43.3% 51.6% 60.2%													

	Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Parole												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	UniqueUnique JailNumber of Releases% Return Rate												
СҮ	Jail	Parole	F	Returned in Years in Years							No		
	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{3}{4+}$												
_	<u>Releases</u> <u>Releases</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>Yrs</u> <u>Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u>												
2001	7,728	921	249	389	58.3%	384							
2002	8,313	870	220	384	447	517	25.3%	44.1%	51.4%	59.4%	353		
2003	8,031	754	207	327	372	415	27.5%	43.4%	49.3%	55.0%	339		
2004	8,131	842	215	356	420	454	25.5%	42.3%	49.9%	53.9%	388		
2005	8,955	871	237	379	427	N/A	27.2%	43.5%	49.0%	N/A	429		
2006	9,255	1,080	294	446	N/A	N/A	27.2%	41.3%	N/A	N/A	596		
2007 9,192 1,118 276 N/A N/A N/A 24.7% N/A N/A N/A 741													
	Average 26.3% 42.8% 49.7% 56.7%												

## DISPARITY BETWEEN LOCATIONS FOR RELEASES TO PAROLE

A comparison of return rates between TDOC and jails reveals that felons released to parole show little difference on the basis or release location.

Re	Return Rate Differences											
Betn	Between TDOC and Local Jails											
_	% Return Rate Differences											
<u>Calendar</u>		Releases	to Parole									
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>								
2001	1.2%	1.7%	3.5%	6.0%								
2002	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%	1.8%								
2003	1.2%	0.7%	4.2%	5.3%								
2004	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	1.1%								
2005	1.7%	0.2%	1.8%	N/A								
2006	2006 0.8% 1.9% N/A N/A											
2007	2007 0.1% N/A N/A N/A											

#### **RECIDIVISM FOR RELEASES TO PROBATION**

While parole return rates are relatively stable, the same cannot be said for probation. System wide, increases across time are evident in years one - three, with return rates for 4 years and beyond being stable over time.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases to Probation											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
СҮ	Releases	Releases			No							
_	Gross	<u>Unique</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>	
2001	4,140	3,967	1,353	1,956	2,215	2,570	34.1%	49.3%	55.8%	64.8%	1,397	
2002	4,437	4,203	1,569	2,187	2,446	2,762	37.3%	52.0%	58.2%	65.7%	1,441	
2003	4,351	4,146	1,547	2,137	2,389	2,651	37.3%	51.5%	57.6%	63.9%	1,495	
2004	4,446	4,213	1,665	2,273	2,513	2,704	39.5%	54.0%	59.6%	64.2%	1,509	
2005	4,916	4,654	1,815	2,510	2,773	N/A	39.0%	53.9%	59.6%	N/A	1,790	
2006	5,024	4,735	1,911	2,585	N/A	N/A	40.4%	54.6%	N/A	N/A	1,989	
2007 5,060 4,747 1,839 N/A N/A N/A 38.7% N/A N/A N/A 2,480												
	Average 38.1% 52.6% 58.2% 64.7%											

Return rates for felons released to probation from TDOC have been declining and are significantly lower than return rates for felons released to probation from jail.

		TDO	DC Re	turn l	Rates	for Re	leases t	o Proba	tion			
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate											
CY												
	$\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{3}{4+}$											
_	<u>Releases Releases 1 Yr Yrs Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs Rea</u>											
2001	4,423	523	149	268	309	359	28.5%	51.2%	59.1%	68.6%	164	
2002	4,336	476	148	231	264	298	31.1%	48.5%	55.5%	62.6%	178	
2003	4,873	601	164	276	330	366	27.3%	45.9%	54.9%	60.9%	235	
2004	5,348	679	196	306	360	391	28.9%	45.1%	53.0%	57.6%	288	
2005	5,545	642	210	317	350	N/A	32.7%	49.4%	54.5%	N/A	280	
2006	6,197	758	244	352	N/A	N/A	32.2%	46.4%	N/A	N/A	379	
2007	2007 6,328 695 200 N/A N/A N/A 28.8% N/A N/A N/A 438											
	Avera	age					29.9%	47.8%	55.4%	62.4%		

Return rates for felons released to probation from jail are increasing.

		Loc	cal Jail	Return	Rates	for Rel	eases to	o Probat	ion			
	January 2001 - December 2007											
Unique Unique Jail Number of Releases % Return Rate												
Calendar	Jail	Probation		Returned	l in Years			in Y	ears		No	
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>	
2001	7,728	3,444	1,204	1,688	1,906	2,211	35.0%	49.0%	55.3%	64.2%	1,233	
2002	8,313	3,727	1,421	1,956	2,182	2,464	38.1%	52.5%	58.5%	66.1%	1,263	
2003	8,031	3,545	1,383	1,861	2,059	2,285	39.0%	52.5%	58.1%	64.5%	1,260	
2004	8,131	3,534	1,469	1,967	2,153	2,313	41.6%	55.7%	60.9%	65.4%	1,221	
2005	8,955	4,012	1,605	2,193	2,423	N/A	40.0%	54.7%	60.4%	N/A	1,510	
2006	9,255	3,977	1,667	2,233	N/A	N/A	41.9%	56.1%	N/A	N/A	1,610	
2007	2007 9,192 4,052 1,639 N/A N/A N/A 40.4% N/A N/A N/A											
	Average					39.4%	53.4%	58.7%	65.1%			

## DISPARITY BETWEEN LOCATIONS FOR RELEASES TO PROBATION

A comparison of difference in return rates between TDOC and jails reveals that felons released due to probation from jails are not only significantly more likely to return but that the likelihood of their return has increased across time.

	Return Rate Differences Between TDOC and Local Jails										
<u>Calendar</u>	% Return Rate Differences Calendar Releases to Probation										
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>							
2001	6.5%	2.2%	3.7%	4.4%							
2002	7.0%	4.0%	3.1%	3.5%							
2003	11.7%	6.6%	3.2%	3.6%							
2004	12.7%	10.6%	7.9%	7.9%							
2005	7.3%	5.3%	5.9%	N/A							
2006											
2007	11.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A							

## **RELEASE BY OFFENSE GROUP**

Felons being released can be grouped in to four offense categories: *person offense*, *property offense*, *societal offense*, and *other offense*. Offense categories are based upon the Tennessee Incident Based Reporting System (TIBRS) and are representative of offenses that are grouped on the basis of their related nature. The chart below lists the offenses that are included in each category. Recognizing that some felons may have multiple offenses, their most serious offense determines which category of offense is the one in which they are counted.

Person Offenses	Property Offenses
1. Homicide	1. Arson
Murder/Non-negligent Manslaughter	2. Burglary
Negligent Manslaughter	3. Forgery/Fraud
2. Kidnapping	4. Larceny/Theft
3. Sex Offenses	5. Robbery
Forcible	Robbery
Non-forcible	Aggravated Robbery
4. Assault	6. All Other Property*
Simple Assault	*Includes Bad Checks, Bribery, Embezzlement, Extortion, Motor Vehicle
Aggravated Assault	Theft, Vandalism/Destruction
Societal Offenses	All Other Offenses
1. Drugs/Narcotics	<ol> <li>Conspiracy/Solicitation/Facilitation Person</li> </ol>
Cocaine Offenses	2. Conspiracy/Solicitation/Facilitation Property
All Other Drug Offenses	<ol> <li>Conspiracy/Solicitation/Facilitation Societal</li> </ol>
2. Vehicular Homicide/DUI	4. Escape
Vehicular Homicide	5. All Other Offenses
All Other Vehicular	

#### DISTRIBUTION AND INCREASE IN OFFENSE RATE RELEASES

All offense category release types have increased between 2001 and 2007 at every level; system wide, TDOC, and jails. *Property offense* represents the largest category of release for every year. *Societal offense* represents the second largest category of release and is also the fastest growing category of release. *Societal offense* releases have increased 46.1% between 2001 and 2007. *Person offense, property offense, and other offense* have increased 27.5%, 20.4%, and 17.9%, respectively, during the same period of time.

	S	ystem wide	e Release	es by Offe	ense Grou	р							
January 2001 - December 2007													
	Total Unique												
<u>CY</u>	Releases Releases Person Property Societal Other Unknown												
2001	12,508 12,151 2,039 5,546 2,974 1,472 120												
2002	13,144	12,649	2,095	5,883	3,093	1,434	144						
2003	13,434	12,904	2,172	5,939	3,322	1,346	125						
2004	14,048	13,479	2,235	6,081	3,679	1,357	127						
2005	15,169	14,500	2,381	6,418	3,989	1,571	141						
2006	2006 16,121 15,452 2,517 6,807 4,264 1,706 158												
2007	16,209	15,520	2,599	6,679	4,346	1,736	160						

*Property offense* also represents the largest category of TDOC releases. While *societal offense* represents the second largest category of release, *other offense* is the fastest growing category of release. Between 2001 and 2007, *other offense* releases increased by 77.7%. *Societal offense, property offense,* and *person offense* have increased 67.4%, 32.4%, 28.3%, respectively, during the same period of time.

				y Offense December	•								
Total Unique													
<u>CY</u>	Releases Releases Person Property Societal Other Unknown												
2001	12,508	12,508 4,423 999 2,071 1,027 292 34											
2002	13,144	4,336	977	2,041	1,016	272	30						
2003	13,434	4,873	1,065	2,196	1,229	342	41						
2004	14,048	5,348	1,097	2,468	1,368	383	32						
2005	15,169	5,545	1,165	2,512	1,436	389	43						
2006	16,121	6,197	1,253	2,819	1,593	482	50						
2007	16,209	6,328	1,282	2,742	1,719	519	66						

#### DISPARITY IN RATES OF INCREASE

Jail releases have experienced more modest increases amongst their release types. *Property offense* is the largest category of jail releases and *societal offense* releases are the fastest growing (34.9%) type of release. *Person offense, property offense,* and *other offense* have increased 26.6%, 13.3%, and 3.1%, respectively. While TDOC *other offense* category releases have increased significantly, jail releases of *other offense* category have shown minimal increase (3.1%).

		Local Jail I	Releases	by Offen	se Group							
January 2001 - December 2007												
Total Unique												
<u>CY</u>	Releases Releases Person Property Societal Other Unknown											
2001	12,508 7,728 1,040 3,475 1,947 1,180 86											
2002	13,144	8,313	1,118	3,842	2,077	1,162	114					
2003	13,434	8,031	1,107	3,743	2,093	1,004	84					
2004	14,048	8,131	1,138	3,613	2,311	974	95					
2005	15,169	8,955	1,216	3,906	2,553	1,182	98					
2006	2006 16,121 9,255 1,264 3,988 2,671 1,224 108											
2007	16,209	9,192	1,317	3,937	2,627	1,217	94					

Three out of the four offense type releases from jail show modest increases when compared to TDOC offense type releases for the time period 2001-2007.

Perce	nt of Cha	ange for O	ffense Gi	roup							
	Person Property Societal Other										
System Wide	27.5%	20.4%	46.1%	17.9%							
TDOC											
Local Jail	26.6%	13.3%	34.9%	3.1%							

## **RECIDIVISM BY OFFENSE GROUP**

## PERSON OFFENSE

Across categories, felons released having committed *person offense* have the lowest return rates system wide. On average, fewer than 19% return in the first year. While second and third year rates of return averaged 29% and 35% respectively, both years post gradual increases across time. Four years and beyond return rates have steady decreases.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases by Offense Group Person Offenses											
			J	anuary 20	01 - Dece	mber 200	)7					
	Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
СҮ	Person Offn	erson Offn Returned in Years in Years										
-	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>									
2001	2,039	368	572	694	944	18.0%	28.1%	34.0%	46.3%	1,095		
2002	2,095	382	614	748	955	18.2%	29.3%	35.7%	45.6%	1,140		
2003	2,172	396	612	751	931	18.2%	28.2%	34.6%	42.9%	1,241		
2004	2,235	394	611	757	894	17.6%	27.3%	33.9%	40.0%	1,341		
2005	2,381	467	733	865	N/A	19.6%	30.8%	36.3%	N/A	1,467		
2006	2,517	514	770	N/A	N/A	20.4%	30.6%	N/A	N/A	1,644		
2007	2,599	470	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,942		
Average						18.6%	29.0%	34.9%	43.7%			

Return rates for felons convicted of *person offense* and released from TDOC are significantly lower than state wide return rates.

		TĽ	OC Retur	n Rates t	for Releas	es by Off	ense Gro	up				
	Person Offenses											
January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate											
Calendar	Person Offn	Person Offn Returned in Years in Years										
<u>Year</u>	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>									
2001	999	110	203	264	400	11.0%	20.3%	26.4%	40.0%	599		
2002	977	74	165	233	333	7.6%	16.9%	23.8%	34.1%	644		
2003	1,065	117	207	274	357	11.0%	19.4%	25.7%	33.5%	708		
2004	1,097	103	187	267	323	9.4%	17.0%	24.3%	29.4%	774		
2005	1,165	111	229	292	N/A	9.5%	19.7%	25.1%	N/A	853		
2006	1,253	161	268	N/A	N/A	12.8%	21.4%	N/A	N/A	941		
2007	1,282	135	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,068		
Average						10.3%	19.1%	25.1%	34.3%			

Return rates for felons convicted of *person offense* and released from jail are significantly higher than return rates for those released from TDOC.

	TDOC Return Rates for Releases by Offense Group Person Offenses												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
Calendar	Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate												
		erson Offn Returned in Years in Years											
<u>Year</u>	<u>Releases</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions			
2001	999	110	203	264	400	11.0%	20.3%	26.4%	40.0%	599			
2002	977	74	165	233	333	7.6%	16.9%	23.8%	34.1%	644			
2003	1,065	117	207	274	357	11.0%	19.4%	25.7%	33.5%	708			
2004	1,097	103	187	267	323	9.4%	17.0%	24.3%	29.4%	774			
2005	1,165	111	229	292	N/A	9.5%	19.7%	25.1%	N/A	853			
2006	1,253	161	268	N/A	N/A	12.8%	21.4%	N/A	N/A	941			
2007	1,282	135	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,068			
Average						10.3%	19.1%	25.1%	34.3%				

	Local Jail Return Rates for Releases by Offense Group Person Offenses											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique Jail Number of Releases % Return Rate											
Calendar	Person Offn	erson Offn Returned in Years in Years										
Year	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>									
2001	1,040	258	369	430	544	24.8%	35.5%	41.3%	52.3%	496		
2002	1,118	308	449	515	622	27.5%	40.2%	46.1%	55.6%	496		
2003	1,107	279	405	477	574	25.2%	36.6%	43.1%	51.9%	533		
2004	1,138	291	424	490	571	25.6%	37.3%	43.1%	50.2%	567		
2005	1,216	356	504	573	N/A	29.3%	41.4%	47.1%	N/A	614		
2006	1,264	353	502	N/A	N/A	27.9%	39.7%	N/A	N/A	703		
2007	1,317	335	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	874		
Average						26.5%	38.4%	44.1%	52.5%			

#### **DISPARITY BETWEEN RELEASE LOCATIONS FOR PERSON OFFENSE**

Examining the return rates at the site of release reveals that *person offense* felons released from TDOC have significantly lower return rates than those released from jails. The chart below shows that at every point of comparison the difference between TDOC return rates and jail rates are double digit.

Be	Return F tween T	Rate Diff DOC and		ils							
_	9	6 Return Rat	e Difference	s							
<u>Calendar</u>		Person (	Offenses								
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>							
2001	13.8%	15.2%	14.9%	12.3%							
2002	19.9%	23.3%	22.3%	21.5%							
2003	14.2%	17.2%	17.4%	18.4%							
2004	16.2%	20.3%	18.8%	20.8%							
2005	19.8%	21.7%	22.0%	N/A							
2006	15.1%	18.3%	N/A	N/A							
2007	2007 14.9% N/A N/A N/A										

### **RECIDIVISM FOR PROPERTY OFFENSE**

System wide return rates for *property offense* are consistent across years one - three. However, return rates have been decreasing for the 4 years and beyond time frame.

		Sys	stem wi	de Retu	rn Rate:	s by Off	ense Gro	up						
	Property Offenses													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate													
СҮ														
_	Releases	5												
2001	5,546	1,604	2,408	2,798	3,416	28.9%	43.4%	50.5%	61.6%	2,130				
2002	5,883	1,680	2,495	2,897	3,471	28.6%	42.4%	49.2%	59.0%	2,412				
2003	5,939	1,730	2,620	3,028	3,457	29.1%	44.1%	51.0%	58.2%	2,482				
2004	6,081	1,713	2,549	2,984	3,326	28.2%	41.9%	49.1%	54.7%	2,755				
2005	6,418	1,891	2,761	3,180	N/A	29.5%	43.0%	49.5%	N/A	3,091				
2006	6,807	1,993	2,910	N/A	N/A	29.3%	42.8%	N/A	N/A	3,611				
2007	6,679	1,901	N/A	N/A	N/A	28.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,175				
Average														

Return rates for felons convicted of a *property offense* and released from TDOC are also consistent across time for years one - three. However, years four and beyond show a more than 10% decrease in return rates.

			TDOC	Return	Rates b	y Offens	se Group							
				Pro	perty Ot	ffenses								
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate													
Calendar														
Year	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>									
2001	2,071	474	813	972	1,235	22.9%	39.3%	46.9%	59.6%	836				
2002	2,041	431	741	894	1,112	21.1%	36.3%	43.8%	54.5%	929				
2003	2,196	483	837	1,025	1,177	22.0%	38.1%	46.7%	53.6%	1,019				
2004	2,468	519	882	1,062	1,209	21.0%	35.7%	43.0%	49.0%	1,259				
2005	2,512	568	921	1,104	N/A	22.6%	36.7%	43.9%	N/A	1,346				
2006	2,819	2,819 645 1,055 N/A N/A 22.9% 37.4% N/A N/A												
2007	2007 2,742 601 N/A N/A N/A 21.9% N/A N/A N/A													
Average														

Return rates for felons released having been convicted of a *property offense* from jails are significantly higher than return rates for felons convicted of a *property offense* from TDOC.

		L	ocal Jai			by Offer	nse Group	D						
	Property Offenses													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Jail Number of Releases % Return Rate													
Calendar														
Year	Releases1 Yr2 Yrs3 Yrs4+ Yrs1 Yr2 Yrs3 Yrs4+ YrsReadmissions													
2001	3,475	1,130	1,595	1,826	2,181	32.5%	45.9%	52.5%	62.8%	1,294				
2002	3,842	1,249	1,754	2,003	2,359	32.5%	45.7%	52.1%	61.4%	1,483				
2003	3,743	1,247	1,783	2,003	2,280	33.3%	47.6%	53.5%	60.9%	1,463				
2004	3,613	1,194	1,667	1,922	2,117	33.0%	46.1%	53.2%	58.6%	1,496				
2005	3,906	1,323	1,840	2,076	N/A	33.9%	47.1%	53.1%	N/A	1,745				
2006	3,988	1,348	1,855	N/A	N/A	33.8%	46.5%	N/A	N/A	1,975				
2007	2007 3,937 1,300 N/A N/A N/A 33.0% N/A N/A N/A 2,288													
Average														

#### DISPARITY BETWEEN RELEASE LOCATIONS FOR PROPERTY OFFENSE

A comparison of difference in return rates at the site of release reveals that *property offense* releases from TDOC have not only significantly lower return rates than those released from jails but that the difference is increasing across time.

	Return	Rate Diff	erences									
Between TDOC and Local Jails												
_	_ % Return Rate Differences											
Calendar	Calendar Property Offenses											
Year	<u>Year <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u></u>											
2001	9.6%	6.6%	5.6%	3.1%								
2002	11.4%	9.3%	8.3%	6.9%								
2003	11.3%	9.5%	6.8%	7.3%								
2004	12.0%	10.4%	10.2%	9.6%								
2005	2005 11.3% 10.4% 9.2% N/A											
2006	2006 10.9% 9.1% N/A N/A											
2007	2007 11.1% N/A N/A N/A											

## **RECIDIVISM FOR** *SOCIETAL OFFENSE*

System wide return rates for *societal offense* felons have been slowly increasing for years one - three. However, return rates have been decreasing for the 4 years and beyond time frame.

		Sys	stem wi	de Retu	ırn Rate	s by Off	ense Gr	oup						
	Societal Offenses													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate													
CY														
_	<b>Releases</b>	Releases <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u> Readmissions												
2001	2,974	714	1,166	1,392	1,750	24.0%	39.2%	46.8%	58.8%	1,224				
2002	3,093	761	1,222	1,457	1,782	24.6%	39.5%	47.1%	57.6%	1,311				
2003	3,322	872	1,369	1,627	1,874	26.2%	41.2%	49.0%	56.4%	1,448				
2004	3,679	1,014	1,536	1,778	1,950	27.6%	41.8%	48.3%	53.0%	1,729				
2005	3,989	1,057	1,636	1,913	N/A	26.5%	41.0%	48.0%	N/A	1,980				
2006	4,264	1,155	1,707	N/A	N/A	27.1%	40.0%	N/A	N/A	2,403				
2007	4,346	1,106	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,900				
Average	Average 25.9% 40.5% 47.8% 56.5%													

A reverse trend has occurred in return rates for felons convicted of *societal offense* and released from TDOC. TDOC return rates have been significantly decreasing across time. Return rates for the 4 year and beyond time frame has decreased 13.6%.

			TDOC	Retur	n Rates	by Offe	nse Grou	р					
				So	ocietal (	Offenses							
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate												
Calendar	Calendar Societal Offn Returned in Years in Years												
Year	Releases	<u>Releases <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u></u>											
2001	1,027	213	392	476	612	20.7%	38.2%	46.3%	59.6%	415			
2002	1,016	191	348	442	547	18.8%	34.3%	43.5%	53.8%	469			
2003	1,229	224	431	553	665	18.2%	35.1%	45.0%	54.1%	564			
2004	1,368	248	454	556	629	18.1%	33.2%	40.6%	46.0%	739			
2005	1,436	270	487	585	N/A	18.8%	33.9%	40.7%	N/A	812			
2006	1,593	1,593 317 548 N/A N/A 19.9% 34.4% N/A N/A											
2007 1,719 307 N/A N/A N/A 17.9% N/A N/A N/A													
Average 18.9% 34.8% 43.2% 53.4%													

Return rates for felons convicted of a *societal offense* and released from jail are significantly higher than return rates for those released from TDOC. Jail return rates show increases in years one - three and minimal decrease in four years and beyond.

			Local Jo	ail Retu	rn Rate:	s by Offe	ense Gro	up					
				Soc	cietal O	ffenses							
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Jail Number of Releases % Return Rate												
Calendar													
Year	<b>Releases</b>	eleases <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>											
2001	1,947	501	774	916	1,138	25.7%	39.8%	47.0%	58.4%	809			
2002	2,077	570	874	1,015	1,235	27.4%	42.1%	48.9%	59.5%	842			
2003	2,093	648	938	1,074	1,209	31.0%	44.8%	51.3%	57.8%	884			
2004	2,311	766	1,082	1,222	1,321	33.1%	46.8%	52.9%	57.2%	990			
2005	2,553	787	1,149	1,328	N/A	30.8%	45.0%	52.0%	N/A	1,168			
2006	2,671	838	1,159	N/A	N/A	31.4%	43.4%	N/A	N/A	1,428			
2007 2,627 799 N/A N/A N/A 30.4% N/A N/A N/A 1,622													
Average													

### DISPARITY BETWEEN RELEASE LOCATIONS FOR SOCIETAL OFFENSE

A comparison of difference in return rates at the site of release reveals that *societal offense* releases from TDOC have not only significantly lower return rates than those released from jails but that the difference is increasing across time.

		ite Diffe OC and L		5								
_	% Return Rate Differences											
<u>Calendar</u>		Societal	Offenses									
Year												
2001	5.0%	1.6%	0.7%	-1.2%								
2002	8.6%	7.8%	5.4%	5.7%								
2003	12.8%	9.7%	6.3%	3.7%								
2004	15.0%	14.7%	12.3%	11.2%								
2005	12.0%	11.1%	11.3%	N/A								
2006 11.5% 9.0% N/A N/A												
2007	12.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A								

### **RECIDIVISM FOR OTHER OFFENSE**

System wide return rates for *other offense* have been steadily increasing for years one - three. However, return rates have been decreasing for the 4 years and beyond time frame.

	System wide Return Rates by Offense Group												
	Other Offenses												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate												
CY	CY Other Offn Returned in Years in Years												
_	Releases	<u>Releases</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u>											
2001	1,472	325	520	621	809	22.1%	35.3%	42.2%	55.0%	663			
2002	1,434	347	527	634	794	24.2%	36.8%	44.2%	55.4%	640			
2003	1,346	336	499	587	722	25.0%	37.1%	43.6%	53.6%	624			
2004	1,357	336	518	602	681	24.8%	38.2%	44.4%	50.2%	676			
2005	1,571	424	619	718	N/A	27.0%	39.4%	45.7%	N/A	815			
2006	1,706	472	685	N/A	N/A	27.7%	40.2%	N/A	N/A	961			
2007	1,736	445	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,156			
Average	Average 25.2% 37.8% 44.0% 53.5%												

While increases in return rates for felons convicted of *other offense* and released from TDOC appear in year one, this trend has been reversed. All other years show decreases in return rates for those convicted of *other offense* and released from TDOC.

			TDOC			s by Offe Iffenses	ense Grou	dr					
			Ja	nuary	2001 - 1	Decembe	r 2007						
Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate													
Calendar	endar Other Offn Returned in Years in Years												
	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$												
<u>Year</u>	<u>Releases</u>	<u>Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions			
2001	292	50	98	131	163	17.1%	33.6%	44.9%	55.8%	129			
2002	272	50	94	116	138	18.4%	34.6%	42.6%	50.7%	134			
2003	342	63	111	139	168	18.4%	32.5%	40.6%	49.1%	174			
2004	383	56	112	144	161	14.6%	29.2%	37.6%	42.0%	222			
2005	389	72	127	154	N/A	18.5%	32.6%	39.6%	N/A	228			
2006	482	482 95 149 N/A N/A 19.7% 30.9% N/A N/A											
2007	519	87	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	392			
Average	Average 17.6% 32.2% 41.1% 49.4%												

Return rates have increased for felons convicted of *other offense* and released from jail and are significantly higher than rates of return for TDOC.

	Local Jail Return Rates by Offense Group Other Offenses												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Jail Number of Releases % Return Rate												
Calendar	·												
Year	<b>Releases</b>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>										
2001	1,180	275	422	490	646	23.3%	35.8%	41.5%	54.7%	534			
2002	1,162	297	433	518	656	25.6%	37.3%	44.6%	56.5%	506			
2003	1,004	273	388	448	554	27.2%	38.6%	44.6%	55.2%	450			
2004	974	280	406	458	520	28.7%	41.7%	47.0%	53.4%	454			
2005	1,182	352	492	564	N/A	29.8%	41.6%	47.7%	N/A	587			
2006	1,224	377	536	N/A	N/A	30.8%	43.8%	N/A	N/A	651			
2007	1,217	358	N/A	N/A	N/A	29.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	764			
Average 27.8% 39.8% 45.1% 54.9%													

## DISPARITY BETWEEN RELEASE LOCATIONS FOR OTHER OFFENSE

A comparison of difference in return rates at the site of release reveals that *other offense* releases from TDOC have not only significantly lower return rates than those released from jails but that the difference is increasing across time.

	Return Rate Differences Between TDOC and Local Jails									
	<u> </u>									
<u>Calendar</u>		Other O	ffenses							
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>								
2001	6.2%	6.2% 2.2% -3.4% -1.1%								
2002	7.2%	2.7%	2.0%	5.8%						
2003	8.8%	6.1%	4.0%	6.1%						
2004	14.1%	12.5%	9.4%	11.4%						
2005	11.3%	9.0%	8.1%	N/A						
2006	11.1%	12.9%	N/A	N/A						
2007	12.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A						

## **RELEASE BY SEX**

Female felons accounted for 12,661 (14.1%) of the 96,655 unique releases made between 2001-2007. System wide, the number of female felons being released has shown slow, but steady increase.

System wide Releases by Sex										
	Jan	uary 2001 - [	December	2007						
			Unique Re	leases by	% of L	Jnique				
Calendar	Total	Unique	Se	ex	Rele	ases				
<u>Year</u>	<b>Releases</b>	Male	Female							
2001	12,508	12,151	10,712	1,439	88.2%	11.8%				
2002	13,144	12,649	11,139	1,510	88.1%	11.9%				
2003	13,434	12,904	11,284	1,620	87.4%	12.6%				
2004	14,048	13,479	11,667	1,812	86.6%	13.4%				
2005	15,169	14,500	12,525	1,975	86.4%	13.6%				
2006	16,121	15,452	13,344	2,108	86.4%	13.6%				
2007	16,209	15,520	13,323	2,197	85.8%	14.2%				

	TDOC Releases by Sex									
	January 2001 - December 2007									
Calendar Total Unique Unique Releases by % of Unique Releases by Releases										
Year	<b>Releases</b>	<b>Releases</b>	Male	Female	Male	Female				
2001	4,450	4,423	4,001	422	90.5%	9.5%				
2002	4,374	4,336	3,866	470	89.2%	10.8%				
2003	4,928	4,873	4,401	472	90.3%	9.7%				
2004	5,380	5,348	4,791	557	89.6%	10.4%				
2005	5,602	5,545	4,970	575	89.6%	10.4%				
2006	6,267	6,197	5,611	586	90.5%	9.5%				
2007	6,396	6,328	5,748	580	90.8%	9.2%				

While female felon releases from TDOC have changed very little, jail releases of female felons have shown modest increases from 13.2% in 2001 to 17.6% in 2007.

	Local Jail Releases by Sex									
	January 2001 - December 2007									
Calendar Total Unique Unique Releases by % of Unique Releases by Releases										
<u>Year</u>	<b>Releases</b>	<u>Releases</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	Female				
2001	8,058	7,728	6,711	1,017	86.8%	13.2%				
2002	8,770	8,313	7,273	1,040	87.5%	12.5%				
2003	8,506	8,031	6,883	1,148	85.7%	14.3%				
2004	8,668	8,131	6,876	1,255	84.6%	15.4%				
2005	9,567	8,955	7,555	1,400	84.4%	15.6%				
2006	9,854	9,255	7,733	1,522	83.6%	16.4%				
2007	9,813	9,192	7,575	1,617	82.4%	17.6%				

## **RECIDIVISM BY SEX**

System wide female offenders have demonstrated a relatively consistent recidivism rate for each of the years under consideration. The first year after releases averages a 27.2% return rate. The second year after release averages a 38.7% return rate. Third year return rates average 44.3% and at 4 years and beyond, the return rate averages 50.3%.

				Femo	ale Sy	stem wi	de Retur	n Rates			
	January 2001 - December 2007										
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate										
CY	Releases	Releases		Returne	ed in Yea	ars		in Y	ears		No
_	<u>Gross</u>	<u>Unique</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						Readmissions	
2001	1,495	1,439	367	525	610	741	25.5%	36.5%	42.4%	51.5%	698
2002	1,570	1,510	402	570	651	768	26.6%	37.7%	43.1%	50.9%	742
2003	1,714	1,620	478	672	751	834	29.5%	41.5%	46.4%	51.5%	786
2004	1,907	1,812	511	700	798	860	28.2%	38.6%	44.0%	47.5%	952
2005	2,083	1,975	567	802	897	N/A	28.7%	40.6%	45.4%	N/A	1,044
2006	2,225	2,108	564	782	N/A	N/A	26.8%	37.1%	N/A	N/A	1,266
2007	2,319	2,197	558	N/A	N/A	N/A	25.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,483
	Average	)					27.2%	38.7%	44.3%	50.3%	

High system wide rates of return for female felons obscure the persistently lower rates of return posted for females released from TDOC.

				Fem	ale T[	DOC Re	turn Rat	es			
	January 2001 - December 2007										
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate										
CY	TDOC Female	Yearly		Returne	e <mark>d in</mark> Ye	ears		in Y	ears		No
			<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>						
-	<u>Releases</u>	Releases	<u>Yr</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	425	422	71	123	154	209	16.8%	29.1%	36.5%	49.5%	213
2002	474	470	82	142	169	207	17.4%	30.2%	36.0%	44.0%	263
2003	476	472	99	164	191	221	21.0%	34.7%	40.5%	46.8%	251
2004	563	557	96	163	189	214	17.2%	29.3%	33.9%	38.4%	343
2005	582	575	90	172	197	N/A	15.7%	29.9%	34.3%	N/A	367
2006	592	586	88	88 150 N/A N/A 15.0% 25.6% N/A N/A						412	
2007	586	580	80	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	459
	Average						16.7%	29.8%	36.2%	44.7%	

TDOC return rates for female felons are significantly lower than female felon return rates
for jails.

				Fem	ale T[	DOC Re	turn Rat	es			
	January 2001 - December 2007										
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate										
CY	TDOC Female	Yearly		Return	e <mark>d in</mark> Ye	ears		in Y	ears		No
			<u>1</u>	<u>1 2 3</u>							
_	<u>Releases</u>	<u>Releases</u>	<u>Yr</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	425	422	71	123	154	209	16.8%	29.1%	36.5%	49.5%	213
2002	474	470	82	142	169	207	17.4%	30.2%	36.0%	44.0%	263
2003	476	472	99	164	191	221	21.0%	34.7%	40.5%	46.8%	251
2004	563	557	96	163	189	214	17.2%	29.3%	33.9%	38.4%	343
2005	582	575	90	172	197	N/A	15.7%	29.9%	34.3%	N/A	367
2006	592	586	88	150	N/A	N/A	15.0%	25.6%	N/A	N/A	412
2007	2007 586 580 80 N/A N/A N/A 13.8% N/A N/A N/A										459
	Average						16.7%	29.8%	36.2%	44.7%	

				Fema	ale Loo	cal Jail	Return R	lates			
	January 2001 - December 2007										
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate										
CY	Jail Female	Yearly		Returne	ed in Yea	ars		in Ye	ears		No
				<u>2</u> <u>3</u>							
_	Releases	<u>Releases</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	1,070	1,017	296	402	456	532	29.1%	39.5%	44.8%	52.3%	485
2002	1,096	1,040	320	428	482	561	30.8%	41.2%	46.3%	53.9%	479
2003	1,238	1,148	379	508	560	613	33.0%	44.3%	48.8%	53.4%	535
2004	1,344	1,255	415	537	609	646	33.1%	42.8%	48.5%	51.5%	609
2005	1,501	1,400	477	630	700	N/A	34.1%	45.0%	50.0%	N/A	677
2006	1,633	1,522	476	632	N/A	N/A	31.3%	41.5%	N/A	N/A	854
2007											1,024
	Average						31.6%	42.4%	47.7%	52.8%	

#### DISPARITY IN RETURN RATES BY SEX BETWEEN RELEASE LOCATIONS

The table below presents the difference in return rates for females released from jail and TDOC. Every point of comparison shows TDOC rates of return being significantly lower than jail rates of return.

-	Return Rate Differences Between TDOC and Local Jails										
<u>Calendar</u>	Alendar Female Felons										
<u>Year</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>									
2001	12.3%	10.4%	8.3%	2.8%							
2002 2003	13.4% 12.0%	11.0% 9.6%	10.3% 8.3%	9.9% 6.6%							
2004	15.9%	13.5%	14.6%	13.1%							
2005	18.4% 15.1% 15.7% N/A										
2006	16.3%	15.9%	N/A	N/A							
2007	15.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A							

## TYPE OF RELEASE BY SEX

Female felons qualify for the same four categories of release (community corrections, sentence expiration, parole, and probation) as male felons. System wide, every category of female release has shown increases from 2001-2007. Female felons are most often released to probation. Fewer releases are made to parole and sentence expiration, while significantly fewer releases (54% less than parole, 55% less than sentence expiration, 69% less than probation for calendar year 2007) are made to community corrections.

	Female Felons by Type of Release System Wide January 2001 - December 2007										
СҮ											
2001	379	350	542	168	1,439						
2002 392 342 581 195 1,											
2003	360	409	617	234	1,620						
2004	451	455	714	192	1,812						
2005	483	490	763	239	1,975						
2006	517	523	829	239	2,108						
2007	2007 551 564 828 254 2,197										
Total					12,661						

Females from TDOC are even less likely to be released to community corrections (less than 1% in 2007). Releases of female felons from TDOC are most often to parole. Being released due to sentence expiration occurs about half as often as parole and probation releases.

	Female Felons by Type of Release TDOC										
	January 2001 - December 2007										
CY	CY Parole Expiration Probation Comm Corr. Total										
2001	270	116	35	1	422						
2002	2002 288 107 75 0										
2003	280	132	56	4	472						
2004	327	152	76	2	557						
2005	313	158	71	3	545						
2006	347	160	76	3	586						
2007	340	162	75	3	580						
Total					3,632						

Jail releases of females present a strikingly different pattern. Releases to probation are the most common type of release. However, releases due to sentence expiration almost equal the combined total of releases to parole and community corrections. The number of jail releases to community corrections is also significantly higher than TDOC releases to community corrections.

Female Felons by Type of Release Local Jails					
January 2001 - December 2007					
CY	Parole	Expiration	Probation	Comm Corr.	Total
2001	109	234	507	167	1,017
2002	104	235	506	195	1,040
2003	80	277	561	230	1,148
2004	124	303	638	190	1,255
2005	140	332	692	236	1,400
2006	170	363	753	236	1,522
2007	211	402	753	251	1,617
Total					8,999

## VARIATION BY SEX AND RELEASE TYPE

Because female felons represent a much smaller portion of releases, we would anticipate that every category of release would contain relatively few females in comparison to male releases. This can be seen below. While the proportion of female felons being released has grown, female only account for 14.2% of the releases made in 2007.

	System wide Releases by Type & Sex											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
						% of Uniqu	e Releases					
		Unique				Ву Туре	and Sex					
Calendar	Total	Yearly	<u>CC</u>		<u>E</u> )	<u>KP</u>	<u>P/</u>	<u>AR</u>	PR	OB		
Year	<b>Releases</b>	Releases	Male Female		Male	<b>Female</b>	Male	<b>Female</b>	Male	Female		
2001	12,508	12,151	81.6%	18.4%	91.4%	8.6%	88.2%	11.8%	86.3%	13.7%		
2002	13,144	12,649	82.4%	17.6%	92.2%	7.8%	86.8%	13.2%	86.2%	13.8%		
2003	13,434	12,904	80.4%	19.6%	90.9%	9.1%	88.2%	11.8%	85.1%	14.9%		
2004	14,048	13,479	82.6%	17.4%	90.6%	9.4%	86.5%	13.5%	83.1%	16.9%		
2005	15,169	14,500	81.4%	18.6%	89.9%	10.1%	86.9%	13.1%	83.6%	16.4%		
2006	16,121	15,452	81.7%	18.3%	89.7%	10.3%	88.1%	11.9%	82.5%	17.5%		
2007	16,209	15,520	79.8%	20.2%	88.8%	11.2%	87.7%	12.3%	82.6%	17.4%		

System wide, release to community corrections has the highest proportion of female felon releases when compared to the releases of male felons. Female felons account for 20.2% of all community corrections releases system wide in 2007. Releases to probation, parole, and expiration of sentence releases were 17.4%, 12.3%, and 11.2% respectively. Probation, sentence expiration, and community corrections have experienced steady increases while releases to parole have remained relatively stable between 2001 and 2007.

A comparison of TDOC and jail proportionate releases on the basis of sex reveals that females are less likely to be released in any form by TDOC although there are increases in releases for females to community corrections and probation.

	TDOC Releases by Type & Sex												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
		Unique			ç	% of Unique	Releases						
Calendar	Total	Yearly			By Type and Sex								
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	<u>C(</u>	<u> </u>	<u>E</u> )	<u>KP</u>	<u>P/</u>	<u>AR</u>	PR	<u>OB</u>			
_	_	_	Male Female		Male	<b>Female</b>	Male	<b>Female</b>	Male	Female			
2001	4,450	4,423	93.3%	6.7%	92.7%	7.3%	88.2%	11.8%	93.3%	6.7%			
2002	4,374	4,336	100.0%	0.0%	93.9%	6.1%	86.3%	13.7%	84.2%	15.8%			
2003	4,928	4,873	81.8%	18.2%	93.2%	6.8%	87.8%	12.2%	90.7%	9.3%			
2004	5,380	5,348	88.9%	11.1%	93.0%	7.0%	86.9%	13.1%	88.8%	11.2%			
2005	5,602	5,545	87.5%	12.5%	92.3%	7.7%	88.8%	11.2%	88.9%	11.1%			
2006	6,267	6,197	86.4%	13.6%	92.6%	7.4%	89.4%	10.6%	90.0%	10.0%			
2007	6,396	6,328	88.0%	12.0%	92.8%	7.2%	89.9%	10.1%	89.2%	10.8%			

Jails have been increasing the proportion of their releases of females to sentence expiration, parole, and probation.

	Local Jail Releases by Type & Sex										
			Januar	y 2001 -	Decemb	er 2007					
		Unique				% of Uniqu	e Releases				
Calendar	Total	Yearly				Ву Туре	and Sex				
<u>Year</u>	Releases	Releases	<u>CC</u>		<u>E</u> )	<u>(P</u>	PAR		PR	OB	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	<b>Female</b>	
2001	8,058	7,728	81.4%	18.6%	90.5%	9.5%	88.2%	11.8%	85.3%	14.7%	
2002	8,770	8,313	82.2%	17.8%	91.0%	9.0%	88.0%	12.0%	86.4%	13.6%	
2003	8,506	8,031	80.4%	19.6%	89.2%	10.8%	89.4%	10.6%	84.2%	15.8%	
2004	8,668	8,131	82.5%	17.5%	88.6%	11.4%	85.3%	14.7%	81.9%	18.1%	
2005	9,567	8,955	81.3%	18.7%	88.2%	11.8%	83.9%	16.1%	82.8%	17.2%	
2006	9,854	9,255	81.6%	18.4%	87.5%	12.5%	84.3%	15.7%	81.1%	18.9%	
2007	9,813	9,192	79.6%	20.4%	85.6%	14.4%	81.1%	18.9%	81.4%	18.6%	

`

# **RECIDIVISM BY SEX AND RELEASE TYPE**

## **COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS**

Female releases to community corrections show the highest rate of return for all types of release. By year 3, more than 70% of those females released to community corrections have been returned.

	Female System wide Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Total	Unique		Number	of Releas	ses	1	% Retu				
СҮ	Releases	Releases		Return	ed in Year	٢S	1	in Y	'ears		No	
	Gross	<u>Unique</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>	
2001	183	168	74	97	108	114	44.0%	57.7%	64.3%	67.9%	54	
2002	208	195	80	102	114	128	41.0%	52.3%	58.5%	65.6%	67	
2003	277	234	122	151	162	167	52.1%	64.5%	69.2%	71.4%	67	
2004	219	192	100	122	129	137	52.1%	63.5%	67.2%	71.4%	55	
2005	282	239	132	160	174	N/A	55.2%	66.9%	72.8%	N/A	62	
2006						N/A	46.9%	57.7%	N/A	N/A	96	
2007	299	254	108	N/A	N/A	N/A	42.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	111	
	Average					47.7%	60.5%	66.4%	69.1%			

There are so few TDOC female releases to community corrections that comparisons cannot be made. Jail female releases to community corrections are almost identical to the system wide patterns.

	Female Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections										
January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique	Unique Local Jail		Number	of Relea	ses		% Retu	rn Rate		
	Female Local	Female Com									
Calendar	Jail	Corr		Returne	ed in Yea	rs		in Y	ears		No
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	1,017	167	74	96	107	113	44.3%	57.5%	64.1%	67.7%	54
2002	1,040	195	80	102	114	128	41.0%	52.3%	58.5%	65.6%	67
2003	1,148	230	121	150	161	166	52.6%	65.2%	70.0%	72.2%	64
2004	1,255	190	100	121	128	136	52.6%	63.7%	67.4%	71.6%	54
2005	1,400	236	130	158	171	N/A	55.1%	66.9%	72.5%	N/A	62
2006	1,522	236	111 136 N/A N/A				47.0%	57.6%	N/A	N/A	95
2007	2007 1,617 251				N/A	N/A	42.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	110
					47.9%	60.5%	66.5%	69.3%			

## **RECIDIVISM BY SEX FOR RELEASES TO SENTENCE EXPIRATION**

System wide return rates for females released to sentence expiration are very low. First year return rates have varied and no distinct pattern of increase or decrease exists. Years two and three have been relatively stable at an average of 11% and 15.9%. An almost 10% decline in return rates has occurred in the four years and beyond time frame.

Female System wide Return Rates for Releases to Expiration											
January 2001 - December 2007											
	Total	Number	of Releas		% Ret						
СҮ	Releases	Releases		Return	ed in Yea	rs		in		No	
_	<u>Gross</u> <u>Unique</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u>							<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	357	350	23	41	55	105	6.6%	11.7%	15.7%	30.0%	245
2002	356	342	24	40	59	89	7.0%	11.7%	17.3%	26.0%	253
2003	421	409	20	49	69	97	4.9%	12.0%	16.9%	23.7%	312
2004	465	455	22	45	69	92	4.8%	9.9%	15.2%	20.2%	363
2005	511	490	25	51	72	N/A	5.1%	10.4%	14.7%	N/A	407
2006	549	523	24	54	N/A	N/A	4.6%	10.3%	N/A	N/A	454
2007	588	564	41	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	512
	Average					5.8%	11.0%	15.9%	25.0%		

Return rates for females release to sentence expiration from TDOC show significant decreases.

	Female TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Expiration										
	January 2001 - December 2007										
	Unique	Unique TDOC		Number	of Relea	ises		% Ret	urn Rate		
Calendar	Female TDOC	Female Exp	Returned in Years					in `	Years		No
			<u>1</u>								
<u>Year</u>	<b>Releases</b>	<b>Releases</b>	<u>Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	422	116	8	14	23	40	6.9%	12.1%	19.8%	34.5%	76
2002	470	107	4	8	13	18	3.7%	7.5%	12.1%	16.8%	89
2003	472	132	6	18	22	33	4.5%	13.6%	16.7%	25.0%	99
2004	557	152	4	12	16	24	2.6%	7.9%	10.5%	15.8%	128
2005	575	158	7	15	19	N/A	4.4%	9.5%	12.0%	N/A	136
2006	586	160	3	11	N/A	N/A	1.9%	6.9%	N/A	N/A	145
2007	2007 580 162				N/A	N/A	1.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	156
	Average						3.6%	9.6%	14.2%	23.0%	

Female return rates for releases to sentence expiration from jail show variation in the first year, relative stability in the second year, increase in the third year, and decrease in four years and beyond.

		Female Lo	ocal J	ail Ret	urn Ra	tes for	Release	s to Expi	ration		
			J	anuary	2001 -	- Decem	ber 200	)7			
	Unique	Unique Local Jail		Number	of Relea	ses		% Ret	urn Rate		
Calendar	Female Local Jail	Female Exp		Return	ed in Yea	irs		in '		No	
Year	<b>Releases</b>	<b>Releases</b>	<u> </u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>
2001	1,017	234	15	27	32	65	6.4%	11.5%	13.7%	27.8%	169
2002	1,040	235	20	32	46	71	8.5%	13.6%	19.6%	30.2%	164
2003	1,148	277	14	31	47	64	5.1%	11.2%	17.0%	23.1%	213
2004	1,255	303	18	33	53	68	5.9%	10.9%	17.5%	22.4%	235
2005	1,400	332	18	36	53	N/A	5.4%	10.8%	16.0%	N/A	271
2006	1,522	363	21	43	N/A	N/A	5.8%	11.8%	N/A	N/A	309
2007	2007 1,617 402				N/A	N/A	9.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	356
	Average					6.7%	11.7%	16.7%	25.9%		

#### FEMALE RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR SENTENCE EXPIRATION

A comparison of female return rates for release to sentence expiration from TDOC and jail reveal few differences.

Female Return Rate Differences												
Betw	Between TDOC and Local Jails											
-	% Return Rate Differences											
Calendar		Releases to	o Expiratior	ı								
<u>Year</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>								
2001	-0.5%	-0.5%	-6.2%	-6.7%								
2002	4.8%	6.1%	7.4%	13.4%								
2003	0.5%	-2.4%	0.3%	-1.9%								
2004	3.3%	3.0%	7.0%	6.7%								
2005	1.0%	1.3%	3.9%	N/A								
2006	3.9%	5.0%	N/A	N/A								
2007	8.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A								

## **RECIDIVISM BY SEX FOR RELEASES TO PAROLE**

System wide return rates for females released to parole have fluctuated for the first year (averaging 22.4%) and second year (averaging 36.9%), stabilized for the third year (averaging 44.2%), and declined for four years and beyond.

	Female System wide Return Rates for Releases to Parole										
	January 2001 - December 2007										
	Total	Unique		Number	of Releas	ses		% Retu			
СҮ	Releases	Releases		Return	ed in Yea	rs		in Y		No	
_	<u>Gross</u>	<u>Unique</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>
2001	385	379	77	132	162	204	20.3%	34.8%	42.7%	53.8%	175
2002	394	392	86	144	168	203	21.9%	36.7%	42.9%	51.8%	189
2003	361	360	96	157	178	198	26.7%	43.6%	49.4%	55.0%	162
2004	457	451	101	170	195	210	22.4%	37.7%	43.2%	46.6%	241
2005	484	483	96	181	207	N/A	19.9%	37.5%	42.9%	N/A	269
2006	522	517	100	162	N/A	N/A	19.3%	31.3%	N/A	N/A	327
2007	554	551	91	N/A	N/A	N/A	26.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	409
	Average					22.4%	36.9%	44.2%	51.8%		

TDOC first year return rates for females released to parole are lower than system wide rates. However, all other years show fluctuations similar to system wide rates of return.

Female TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Parole											
January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique	Unique TDOC	l	Number	of Relea	ases		% Retu	rn Rate		
Calendar	Female TDOC	Female Parole	Returned in Years					in Y	ears		No
			<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>						
Year	<u>Releases</u>	<b>Releases</b>	<u>Yr</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions
2001	422	270	51	92	114	149	18.9%	34.1%	42.2%	55.2%	121
2002	470	288	59	100	120	147	20.5%	34.7%	41.7%	51.0%	141
2003	472	280	71	122	141	158	25.4%	43.6%	50.4%	56.4%	122
2004	557	327	66	119	136	151	20.2%	36.4%	41.6%	46.2%	176
2005	575	343	61	126	145	N/A	17.8%	36.7%	42.3%	N/A	191
2006				109	N/A	N/A	17.9%	31.4%	N/A	N/A	220
2007	2007 580 340				N/A	N/A	16.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	252
	Average						19.5%	36.2%	43.6%	52.2%	

Jail return rates for females released to parole are so varied that no clear pattern of	
increase or decrease is apparent.	

		Female	Loco	al Jail	Return	Rates f	or Releas	ses to Pai	role		
				Januar	y 2001	- Decer	nber 200	7			
	Unique     Unique     Unique       Jail     Number of Releases     % Return Rate										
Calendar	dar Jail Female Parole Returned in Years in Years										No
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	Yr	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>
2001	1,017	109	26	40	48	55	23.9%	36.7%	44.0%	50.5%	54
2002	1,040	104	27	44	48	56	26.0%	42.3%	46.2%	53.8%	48
2003	1,148	80	25	35	37	40	31.3%	43.8%	46.3%	50.0%	40
2004	1,255	124	35	51	59	59	28.2%	41.1%	47.6%	47.6%	65
2005	1,400	140	35	55	62	N/A	25.0%	39.3%	44.3%	N/A	78
2006	1,522	170	38	53	N/A	N/A	22.4%	31.2%	N/A	N/A	107
2007	1,617	211	36	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	157
	Average						24.8%	39.1%	45.7%	50.5%	

## FEMALE RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR PAROLE

A comparison of female return rates for release to parole from TDOC and jail shows lower return rates for females released to parole from TDOC.

			Female Return Rate Differences											
Femo	ale Retur	'n Rate D	oifference	es										
Bet	Between TDOC and Local Jails													
<u>~</u> % Return Rate Differences														
Calendar Releases to Parole														
<u>Year <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u></u>														
2001	5.0%	2.6%	1.8%	-4.7%										
2002	5.5%	7.6%	4.5%	2.8%										
2003	5.9%	0.2%	-4.1%	-6.4%										
2004	8.0%	4.7%	6.0%	1.4%										
2005	7.2%	2.6%	2.0%	N/A										
2006	4.5%	-0.2%	N/A	N/A										
2007	0.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A										

## **RECIDIVISM BY SEX FOR RELEASES TO PROBATION**

		Fei	nale S	ystem	wide Re	eturn Rat	tes for Re	leases to	Probation			
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Total Unique Number of Releases % Return Rate											
СҮ	Releases	Releases		Return	ed in Year	ſS		in Y	ears		No	
_	<u>Gross</u> <u>Unique</u> <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>											
2001	570	542	193	255	224							
2002	612	581	212	284	310	348	36.5%	48.9%	53.4%	59.9%	233	
2003	655	617	240	315	342	372	38.9%	51.1%	55.4%	60.3%	245	
2004	766	714	288	363	405	421	40.3%	50.8%	56.7%	59.0%	293	
2005	806	763	314	410	444	N/A	41.2%	53.7%	58.2%	N/A	306	
2006	877	829	328	428	N/A	N/A	39.6%	51.6%	N/A	N/A	389	
2007	878	828	318	N/A	N/A	N/A	38.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	451	
	Average         38.6%         50.5%         55.3%         59.5%											

System wide return rates for females released to probation are increasing.

However, return rates for females released to probation from TDOC are decreasing.

		Female TD	OC F	≀eturn	Rates	for Rel	eases to	Probatic	n			
			Janı	Jary 20	JO1 - [	Decembe	er 2007					
	Unique Unique TDOC Number of Releases % Return Rate											
Calendar	Female TDOC	Female Prob Returned in Years in Years								No		
<u>Year</u>												
2001	422	35	12	16	16	19	34.3%	45.7%	45.7%	54.3%	16	
2002	470	75	19	34	36	42	25.3%	45.3%	48.0%	56.0%	33	
2003	472	56	21	23	27	29	37.5%	41.1%	48.2%	51.8%	27	
2004	557	76	26	31	36	38	34.2%	40.8%	47.4%	50.0%	38	
2005	575	71	20	29	30	N/A	28.2%	40.8%	42.3%	N/A	40	
2006	586	76	22	28	N/A	N/A	28.9%	36.8%	N/A	N/A	46	
2007	580	75	22	N/A	N/A	N/A	29.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	
	Average						31.1%	41.8%	46.3%	53.0%		

		Female L	.ocal J	Jail Re	turn R	ates for	r Releases	s to Probe	ation			
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	UniqueUnique LocalUniqueJailNumber of Releases% Return Rate											
ļ	Female Local Female											
Calendar	Jail	Probation	1	Returne	ed in Yea	irs	1	in Y	'ears	ļ	No	
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>	
2001	1,017	507	181	239	269	299	35.7%	47.1%	53.1%	59.0%	208	
2002	1,040	506	193	250	274	306	38.1%	49.4%	54.2%	60.5%	200	
2003	1,148	561	219	292	315	343	39.0%	52.0%	56.1%	61.1%	218	
2004	1,255	638	262	332	369	383	41.1%	52.0%	57.8%	60.0%	255	
2005	1,400	692	294	381	414	N/A	42.5%	55.1%	59.8%	N/A	266	
2006	1,522	753	306	400	N/A	N/A	40.6%	53.1%	N/A	N/A	343	
2007	1,617	753	296	N/A	N/A	N/A	39.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	401	
	Average						39.5%	51.5%	56.2%	60.2%		

Return rates are increasing for females released to probation.

## FEMALE RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR PROBATION

A comparison of difference in return rates for females released to probation reveals a significant difference between TDOC and jail return rates that is increasing.

Fen	Female Return Rate Differences										
Between TDOC and Local Jails											
% Return Rate Differences											
Calendar Releases to Probation											
Year	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>										
2001	1.4%	1.4%	7.3%	4.7%							
2002	12.8%	4.1%	6.2%	4.5%							
2003	1.5%	11.0%	7.9%	9.4%							
2004	6.9%	11.2%	10.5%	10.0%							
2005	14.3%	14.2%	17.6%	N/A							
2006	11.7%	16.3%	N/A	N/A							
2007	10.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A							

## TYPE OF OFFENSE GROUP BY SEX

System wide, 12,661 female felons were released between 2001 and 2007. Ten percent (10%) of those released had been convicted of a *person offense*. Fifty percent (50%) had been convicted of a *property offense*, 26.5% had been convicted of a *societal offense*, and 12.4% had been convicted of an *other offense*.

	System Wide Female Releases by Offense Group January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique												
CY	Releases Person Property Societal Other Unknown												
2001	2001 1,439 178 713 351 183 14												
2002	1,510 161 769 376 186 18												
2003	1,620	147	849	418	189	17							
2004	1,812	185	927	481	208	11							
2005	1,975	201	962	537	252	23							
2006	2,108	195	1,047	561	281	24							
2007	2007 2,197 219 1,060 630 268 20												
Total	12,661	1,286	6,327	3,354	1,567	127							

	System V	Vide Ferr	ale Releas	es by Off	fense Gro	pup						
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique											
CY	<u>CY</u> <u>Releases</u> Person Property Societal Other Unknown											
2001	2001 1,439 12.4% 49.5% 24.4% 12.7% 1.0%											
2002	2 1,510 10.7% 50.9% 24.9% 12.3% 1.2%											
2003	1,620	9.1%	52.4%	25.8%	11.7%	1.0%						
2004	1,812	10.2%	51.2%	26.5%	11.5%	0.6%						
2005	1,975	10.2%	48.7%	27.2%	12.8%	1.2%						
2006	2,108	9.3%	49.7%	26.6%	13.3%	1.1%						
2007	2007 2,197 10.0% 48.2% 28.7% 12.2% 0.9%											
A	/erage	10.2%	50.1%	26.3%	12.4%	1.0%						

Releases for females convicted of *societal offense* and *property offense* have shown significant increase while releases for *other offense* and *person offense* have remained relatively stable. There does not appear to be much variation in female releases across offense groups when comparisons are made between jails and TDOC. While jails release more female felons in any given year, the proportion of releases for the jails and TDOC are relatively equal.

			Releases by 001 - Dece		•								
	Unique												
СҮ	CY Releases Person Property Societal Other Unknown												
2001	2001 422 60 224 103 34 1												
2002	470 61 259 108 40 2												
2003	472	36	252	122	57	5							
2004	557	60	298	144	53	2							
2005	575	67	295	149	59	5							
2006	586	71	296	163	54	2							
2007	2007 580 53 289 176 55 7												
Total	3,662	408	1,913	965	352	24							

			e Releases	•		р						
	January 2001 - December 2007 Unique											
CY	Releases Person Property Societal Other Unknown											
2001	1,017 118 489 248 149 13											
2002	1,040 100 510 268 146 16											
2003	1,148	111	597	296	132	12						
2004	1,255	125	629	337	155	9						
2005	1,400	134	667	388	193	18						
2006	1,522	124	751	398	227	22						
2007	1,617	166	771	454	213	13						
Total	8,999	878	4,414	2,389	1,215	103						

## VARIATION BY SEX AND OFFENSE GROUP

Female felons convicted of *property offense* represent the largest number of releases for every year from 2001-2007. The same pattern is evident in male felon releases. *Societal offense* releases for female felons are made a little less than half as often as *property* 

offense releases but still total more than the combine amount of both *person offense* and *other offense* releases. Total male felon releases to *person offense* and *other offense* are about equal to *societal offense* releases.

	System wide Releases by Offense Group											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown											
<u>CY</u>	<u>CY</u> <u>Releases</u> <u>Male</u> <u>Female</u> <u>Male</u> <u>Female</u> <u>Male</u> <u>Female</u> <u>Male</u> <u>Female</u> <u>Male</u> <u>Female</u> <u>Male</u> <u>Female</u>											
2001	12,151	1,861 178 4,833 713 2,623 351 1,289 183 1									14	
2002											18	
2003	12,904	2,025	147	5,090	849	2,904	418	1,157	189	108	17	
2004	13,479	2,050	185	5,154	927	3,198	481	1,149	208	116	11	
2005	14,500	2,180	201	5,456	962	3,452	537	1,319	252	118	23	
2006	2006 15,452 2,322 195 5,760 1,047 3,703 561 1,425 281 134 24											
2007	15,520	2,380	219	5,619	1,060	3,716	630	1,468	268	140	20	

Comparisons of releases by sex and offense group between TDOC and jails reveals that because jails release three times (see 2007 releases) as many female felons as TDOC, more females are being released across every category of offenses by jails.

	TDOC Releases by Offense Group											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown											
<u>CY</u>	<b>Releases</b>	Male	<u>Aale Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female</u>									
2001	4,423	939	60	1,847	224	924	103	258	34	33	1	
2002	4,336	916	61	1,782	259	908	108	232	40	28	2	
2003	4,873	1,029	36	1,944	252	1,107	122	285	57	36	5	
2004	5,348	1,037	60	2,170	298	1,224	144	330	53	30	2	
2005	5,545	1,098	67	2,217	295	1,287	149	330	59	38	5	
2006	2006 6,197 1,182 71 2,523 296 1,430 163 428 54 48 2											
2007	2007 6,328 1,229 53 2,453 289 1,543 176 464 55 59 7											

	Local Jail Releases by Offense Group													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown													
<u>CY</u>	<u>Releases</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>			
2001	7,728	922	118	2,986	489	1,699	248	1,031	149	73	13			
2002	8,313	1,018	100	3,332	510	1,809	268	1,016	146	98	16			
2003	8,031	996	111	3,146	597	1,797	296	872	132	72	12			
2004	8,131	1,013	125	2,984	629	1,974	337	819	155	86	9			
2005	8,955	1,082	134	3,239	667	2,165	388	989	193	80	18			
2006	9,255	1,140	124	3,237	751	2,273	398	997	227	86	22			
2007	9,192	1,151	166	3,166	771	2,173	454	1,004	213	81	13			

# **RECIDIVISM BY SEX AND OFFENSE GROUP**

Return rates for female felons vary across offense groups. Return rates are lowest for female felons convicted of a *person offense*. Their first year return rates average 20.4%, second year 29.5%, third year 33.7%, and four years and beyond 38.7%.

	System Wide Return Rates for Females by Offense Group														
	Person Offenses														
	January 2001 - December 2007														
	Unique Female Number of Releases % Return Rate														
Calendar															
	<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u>														
<u>Year</u>															
2001	178	34	48	54	70	19.1%	27.0%	30.3%	39.3%	108					
2002	161	35	48	55	68	21.7%	29.8%	34.2%	42.2%	93					
2003	147	28	36	42	48	19.0%	24.5%	28.6%	32.7%	99					
2004	185	46	63	69	75	24.9%	34.1%	37.3%	40.5%	110					
2005	201	47	70	77	N/A	23.4%	34.8%	38.3%	N/A	121					
2006	195	31	52	N/A	N/A	15.9%	26.7%	N/A	N/A	137					
2007	219	41	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	167					
ŀ	Average 20.4% 29.5% 33.7% 38.7%														

Property offense return rates have been declining across time.

	System Wide Return Rates for Females by Offense Group Property Offenses														
	January 2001 - December 2007														
	Unique Female Number of Releases % Return Rate														
Calendar															
<u>Year</u>															
2001	713	207	285	329	391	29.0%	40.0%	46.1%	54.8%	322					
2002	769	222	316	351	408	28.9%	41.1%	45.6%	53.1%	361					
2003	849	277	386	431	475	32.6%	45.5%	50.8%	55.9%	374					
2004	927	273	376	431	465	29.4%	40.6%	46.5%	50.2%	462					
2005	962	286	387	430	N/A	29.7%	40.2%	44.7%	N/A	514					
2006	1,047	292	403	N/A	N/A	27.9%	38.5%	N/A	N/A	616					
2007	1,060	281	N/A	N/A	N/A	26.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	699					
ļ	Average 29.2% 41.0% 46.7% 53.5%														

*Societal offense* return rates have been stable for years one and two but years three and four years and beyond have not shown enough consistent increase or decrease to determine a trend.

	System Wide Return Rates for Females by Offense Group														
	Societal Offenses														
	January 2001 - December 2007														
	Unique Female Number of Releases % Return Rate														
Calendar															
	$\frac{2}{3}$														
<u>Year</u>															
2001	351	87	133	157	186	24.8%	37.9%	44.7%	53.0%	165					
2002	376	87	128	155	187	23.1%	34.0%	41.2%	49.7%	189					
2003	418	123	182	204	218	29.4%	43.5%	48.8%	52.2%	200					
2004	481	137	186	212	225	28.5%	38.7%	44.1%	46.8%	256					
2005	537	149	226	258	N/A	27.7%	42.1%	48.0%	N/A	270					
2006	561	149	207	N/A	N/A	26.6%	36.9%	N/A	N/A	337					
2007	2007 630 159 N/A N/A N/A 25.2% N/A N/A N/A														
ŀ	Average					26.5%	38.9%	45.4%	50.4%						

Return rates have increased for females felons who have been convicted of other offense.

	System Wide Return Rates for Females by Offense Group														
	Other Offenses														
	January 2001 - December 2007														
	Unique Female Number of Releases % Return Rate														
Calendar															
	1 2 3														
<u>Year</u>	Releases	<u>Yr</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions					
2001	183	36	55	65	88	19.7%	30.1%	35.5%	48.1%	95					
2002	186	54	72	84	96	29.0%	38.7%	45.2%	51.6%	90					
2003	189	49	67	71	87	25.9%	35.4%	37.6%	46.0%	102					
2004	208	53	72	82	88	25.5%	34.6%	39.4%	42.3%	120					
2005	252	82	115	126	N/A	32.5%	45.6%	50.0%	N/A	123					
2006	281	85	110	N/A	N/A	30.2%	39.1%	N/A	N/A	162					
2007	268	73	N/A	N/A	N/A	27.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A	173					
ŀ	Average 27.2% 37.3% 41.5% 47.0%														

# **RELEASE BY RACE**

Most (95,320) of the 96,655 unique releases made between 2001 and 2007 were from two racial groups; black and white. The number and distribution of releases by race are shown below.

	System wide Releases by Race														
	January 2001 - December 2007														
Calendar	Calendar Total Unique Releases by Race														
<u>Year</u>															
2001	2001 12,508 12,019 6,429 5,590														
2002	13,144	13,144 12,493 6,454 6,039													
2003	13,434	12,755	6,257	6,498											
2004	14,048	13,298	6,433	6,865											
2005	15,169	14,299	6,699	7,600											
2006	16,121	15,216	7,057	8,159											
2007	16,209	15,240	7,115	8,125											
Total	100,633	95,320	46,444												

	TDOC Releases by Race												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
Calendar	Calendar Total Unique Releases by Race												
Year	Releases	Releases	Black	<u>White</u>									
2001	4,450	4,384	2,140	2,244									
2002	4,374	4,284	2,015	2,269									
2003	4,928	4,817	2,180	2,637									
2004	5,380	5,273	2,315	2,958									
2005	5,602	5,454	2,336	3,118									
2006	6,267	6,089	2,578	3,511									
2007	6,396	6,203	2,603	3,600									
Total	37,397	36,504	16,167	20,337									

	Local Jail Releases by Race												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Releases by												
Calendar	Calendar Total Unique Race												
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	Black	<u>White</u>									
2001	8,058	7,635	4,289	3,346									
2002	8,770	8,209	4,439	3,770									
2003	8,506	7,938	4,077	3,861									
2004	8,668	8,025	4,118	3,907									
2005	9,567	8,845	4,363	4,482									
2006	9,854	9,127	4,479	4,648									
2007	9,813	9,037	4,512	4,525									
Total	63,236	58,816	30,277	28,539									

## **RECIDIVISM BY RACE**

A system wide comparison of felon return rates on the basis of race reveal black return rates to be lower than white return rates. While black return rates are stable for year one, all other years show marked declines in black return rates. White return rates are steadily increasing for years one, two, and three while years four and beyond have stabilized.

	System Wide Return Rates by Race														
	January 2001 - December 2007														
	Total Unique Black - % Return Rate White - % Return Rate														
CY															
_	<u>Gross</u> <u>Unique</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u>														
2001															
2002	12,987	12,493	11.9%	19.6%	23.5%	29.5%	13.6%	19.4%	22.5%	26.7%	5,466				
2003	13,284	12,755	11.2%	18.7%	22.6%	27.1%	14.8%	21.3%	24.4%	27.6%	5,780				
2004	13,865	13,298	11.9%	18.4%	22.2%	25.4%	14.1%	20.8%	23.9%	26.3%	6,432				
2005	14,960	14,299	11.8%	18.6%	22.1%	N/A	15.1%	21.6%	24.6%	N/A	7,288				
2006	15,876	15,216	11.9%	18.1%	N/A	N/A	15.2%	21.7%	N/A	N/A	8,547				
2007	2007 15,923 15,240 11.6% N/A N/A N/A 14.0% N/A N/A N/A														
	Average 11.8% 18.9% 22.9% 28.4% 14.2% 20.6% 23.4% 26.7%														

When return rates are compared on the basis of race for those released from TDOC, the same pattern is evident.

				TD	OC Reti	urn Rate	s by Rac	e							
	January 2001 - December 2007														
	Total Unique Black - % Return Rate White - % Return Rate														
CY	TDOC	Yearly		in	<i>lears</i>			in Y	ears		No				
_	Releases Releases <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>														
2001															
2002	4,322	4,284	8.2%	15.8%	20.1%	25.3%	9.2%	15.7%	19.4%	24.5%	2,149				
2003	4,871	4,817	8.1%	15.2%	19.7%	23.8%	10.3%	17.6%	21.5%	25.2%	2,457				
2004	5,305	5,273	8.4%	14.6%	18.3%	21.1%	9.1%	16.3%	20.0%	22.8%	2,958				
2005	5,511	5,454	8.0%	14.6%	17.8%	N/A	10.8%	17.8%	21.4%	N/A	3,185				
2006	6,158	6,089	8.8%	14.7%	N/A	N/A	11.2%	18.4%	N/A	N/A	3,809				
2007 6,270 6,203 8.2% N/A N/A N/A 10.0% N/A N/A N/A 4,569															
	Average         8.5%         15.4%         19.5%         24.8%         10.1%         17.1%         20.6%         24.7%														

When return rates are compared on the basis of race for those released from jails, return rates are higher for both groups. However, black return rates are either stable or decreasing and white return rates are increasing.

	Local Jail Return Rates by Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Total Unique Black - % Return Rate White - % Return Rate													
CY														
_	<u>Releases Releases 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>													
2001														
2002	8,665	8,209	13.8%	21.5%	25.4%	31.7%	15.8%	21.4%	24.2%	27.9%	3,317			
2003	8,413	7,938	13.1%	20.7%	24.3%	29.0%	17.6%	23.5%	26.1%	29.1%	3,323			
2004	8,560	8,025	14.1%	20.9%	24.7%	28.2%	17.4%	23.8%	26.4%	28.5%	3,474			
2005	9,449	8,845	14.1%	21.1%	24.7%	N/A	17.7%	23.9%	26.6%	N/A	4,103			
2006	9,718	9,127	14.0%	20.4%	N/A	N/A	17.8%	24.0%	N/A	N/A	4,738			
2007 9,653 9,037 14.0% N/A N/A N/A 16.7% N/A N/A N/A											5,499			
	Average 13.9% 21.0% 25.0% 30.5% 16.8% 22.8% 25.1% 27.9%													

## TYPE OF RELEASE BY RACE

System wide, a comparison of felon releases for 2007 on the basis of race reveals that more white felons were released to community corrections and probation than black felons. Releases to parole and sentence expiration have become more equivalent on the basis of race over time. Other notable trends are that white felons were more likely to be released on probation than black felons and black felons were more likely to be released on parole between 2002 and 2007 and, beginning in 2005, white felon releases to parole outnumbered black releases to parole. Sentence expiration and parole continue to be the most common forms of release for black felons and community corrections and probation are the two most common forms of release for white felons.

	System wide Releases by Type and Race												
	January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique CC EXP PAR PROB												
CY	<b>Releases</b>	Black	<u>White</u>	Black	<u>White</u>	Black	<u>White</u>	Black	<u>White</u>				
2001	1         12,151         279         632         2,323         1,702         1,794         1,380         2,033         1,876												
2002													
2003	12,904	406	781	2,408	2,056	1,612	1,401	1,831	2,260				
2004	13,479	428	667	2,555	2,221	1,693	1,587	1,757	2,390				
2005	14,500	519	757	2,467	2,357	1,766	1,844	1,947	2,642				
2006	15,452	473	826	2,507	2,496	2,092	2,159	1,985	2,678				
2007	2007 15,520 502 742 2,432 2,538 2,178 2,199 2,003 2,646												
Total	96,655	2,962	5,152	17,104	15,289	12,757	11,870	13,621	16,565				

A comparison of TDOC and jail releases on the basis of race reveals that TDOC releases to community corrections have sharply changed over between 2001 and 2007 while jails have showed more modest changes. TDOC releases to community corrections have previously been disproportionately white but have now become equally distributed between black and white felon releases. While jail trends have been moving in the same direction, they are still, on average, disproportionate (40%/60%).

When releases to sentence expiration are compared on the basis of race and location, differences emerge. TDOC releases more white felons to sentence expiration than black felons and jails release more black felons to sentence expiration than white felons. This same trend can be seen in jail releases to parole.

	TDOC Releases by Type and Race January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique	C	C	ΕX	(P	P/	٩R	PR	OB			
<u>CY</u>	Releases											
2001	4,423	4	11	701	884	1,216	1,050	219	299			
2002	4,336	6	4	747	994	1,080	981	182	290			
2003	4,873	8	14	798	1,135	1,173	1,095	201	393			
2004	5,348	6	12	858	1,280	1,206	1,243	245	423			
2005	5,545	6	18	818	1,224	1,319	1,430	193	446			
2006	6,197	5	17	776	1,350	1,546	1,649	251	495			
2007	6,328 12 12 796 1,414 1,569 1,714 226 460											
Total	37,050 47 88 5,494 8,281 9,109 9,162 1,517 2,806											

	Local Jail Releases by Type and Race January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique CC EXP PAR PROB											
<u>CY</u>	<u>Releases</u>	eases <u>Black White</u> <u>Black White</u> <u>Black</u> <u>White</u> <u>Black</u> <u>White</u>										
2001	7,728	275	621	1,622	818	578	330	1,814	1,577			
2002	8,313	349	743	1,665	925	542	319	1,883	1,783			
2003	8,031	398	767	1,610	921	439	306	1,630	1,867			
2004	8,131	422	655	1,697	941	487	344	1,512	1,967			
2005	8,955	513	739	1,649	1,133	447	414	1,754	2,196			
2006	9,255	468	809	1,731	1,146	546	510	1,734	2,183			
2007	07 9,192 490 730 1,636 1,124 609 485 1,777 2,186											
Total	59,605 2,915 5,064 11,610 7,008 3,648 2,708 12,104 13,759											

## **RECIDIVISM BY RACE AND RELEASE TYPE**

#### **COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS**

System wide releases to community corrections reveal that both races post similarly high return rates. More than half of either race is returned within the first year of release. Seventy five percent are returned by the third year.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections by Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique	Unique		Bla	nck			Wł	nite					
Calendar	Black	White		% Return R	ate in Years		% Return Rate in Years							
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>				
2001	279	632	49.5%	64.9%	74.2%	82.8%	47.5%	61.2%	67.1%	73.1%				
2002	355	747	50.1%	66.8%	71.8%	80.3%	47.7%	59.3%	64.8%	72.2%				
2003	406	781	51.0%	69.7%	76.8%	81.8%	54.9%	68.9%	73.0%	76.8%				
2004	428	667	57.0%	73.1%	78.3%	82.0%	54.7%	68.7%	72.9%	76.8%				
2005	519	757	58.0%	69.9%	75.0%	N/A	58.4%	70.7%	75.6%	N/A				
2006	473	826	53.3%	68.5%	N/A	N/A	54.1%	67.2%	N/A	N/A				
2007	502	742	46.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	50.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Average		52.1%	68.8%	75.2%	81.7%	52.5%	66.0%	70.7%	74.7%				

The number of TDOC releases to community corrections is too small for comparison.

	TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections by Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique	Unique	1	B	Black			Wł	hite					
Calendar	Black	White	1	% Return F	Rate in Years	!	% Return Rate in Years							
<u>Year</u>	<b>Releases</b>	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>				
2001	4	11	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%	45.5%	54.5%	63.6%	81.8%				
2002	6	4	50.0%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	75.0%				
2003	8	14	12.5%	62.5%	75.0%	75.0%	42.9%	57.1%	71.4%	78.6%				
2004	6	12	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	41.7%	50.0%	66.7%				
2005	6	18	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	N/A	38.9%	66.7%	72.2%	N/A				
2006	5	17	40.0%	80.0%	N/A	N/A	29.4%	41.2%	N/A	N/A				
2007	12	12	33.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Average         33.7%         58.5%         71.7%         89.6%         28.3%         47.7%         61.5%         75.5%													

Jail releases to community corrections post the same high rates of return for both races.

	Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Community Corrections by Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Unique Black White													
Calendar	Black	White		% Return R	ate in Years		% Return Rate in Years							
Year	<b>Releases</b>	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>				
2001	275	621	50.2%	65.5%	74.5%	82.5%	47.5%	61.4%	67.1%	72.9%				
2002	349	743	50.1%	66.5%	71.6%	80.2%	47.9%	59.5%	64.9%	72.1%				
2003	398	767	51.8%	69.8%	76.9%	81.9%	55.1%	69.1%	73.0%	76.8%				
2004	422	655	56.6%	72.7%	78.0%	81.8%	55.1%	69.2%	73.3%	76.9%				
2005	513	739	58.5%	70.6%	75.2%	N/A	58.9%	70.8%	75.6%	N/A				
2006	468	809	53.4%	68.4%	N/A	N/A	54.6%	67.7%	N/A	N/A				
2007	490	730	46.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	51.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Average		52.4%	68.9%	75.3%	81.6%	52.9%	66.3%	70.8%	74.7%				

#### **RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS BY RACE**

A comparison or return rate differences between TDOC and jails demonstrates a difference between rates on the basis of race. However, the difference varies significantly at different periods of time.

	Return Rate Differences Between TDOC and Local Jails Releases to Community Corrections by Race												
Black White													
Calendar		% Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years											
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs 1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u>											
2001	50.2%	40.5%	24.5%	-17.5%	2.0%	6.8%	3.5%	-8.9%					
2002	0.1%	-16.9%	-11.7%	-3.1%	47.9%	34.5%	14.9%	-2.9%					
2003	39.3%	7.3%	1.9%	6.9%	12.3%	12.0%	1.6%	-1.8%					
2004	-26.7%	-27.3%	-22.0%	-18.2%	21.8%	27.5%	23.3%	10.3%					
2005	41.8%	70.6%	25.2%	N/A	20.0%	4.1%	3.4%	N/A					
2006	2006 13.4% -11.6% N/A N/A 25.2% 26.6% N/A N/A												
2007													

## **RECIDIVISM BY RACE FOR RELEASES TO SENTENCE EXPIRATION**

System wide rates of return for both black and white felons released to sentence expiration are declining. However, white return rates are lower than black return rates.

System wide Return Rates for Releases to Expiration by Race												
January 2001 - December 2007												
Unique Unique Black White												
Calendar	Black	White		% Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years								
Year	Releases	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Return</b>	
2001	2,323	1,702	10.6%	20.4%	28.3%	47.1%	8.8%	17.5%	22.6%	35.5%	2,327	
2002	2,139	1,919	8.7%	19.2%	26.8%	42.7%	7.1%	15.1%	22.0%	33.4%	2,662	
2003	2,408	2,056	8.1%	20.3%	28.2%	40.5%	7.4%	16.4%	23.0%	31.9%	2,833	
2004	2,555	2,221	8.4%	18.9%	27.4%	35.8%	6.2%	13.7%	20.7%	27.1%	3,260	
2005	2,467	2,357	7.3%	16.9%	25.1%	N/A	6.9%	15.1%	21.3%	N/A	3,557	
2006	2,507	2,496	8.1%	16.9%	N/A	N/A	7.4%	14.5%	N/A	N/A	4,004	
2007 2,432 2,538 8.3% N/A N/A N/A 7.2% N/A N/A N/A 4,5										4,336		
Average 8.5% 18.8% 27.1% 41.5% 7.3% 15.4% 21.9% 32.0%												

TDOC black and white return rates for releases to sentence expiration are more comparable.

TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Expiration by Race												
January 2001 - December 2007												
Unique Unique Black White												
Calendar	Black	White		% Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years								
Year	<b>Releases</b>	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>Return</u>	
2001	701	884	6.8%	14.7%	22.7%	40.8%	6.4%	14.8%	20.1%	32.9%	1,008	
2002	474	994	4.0%	14.9%	21.4%	34.8%	4.8%	11.8%	18.7%	29.3%	1,190	
2003	798	1,135	6.5%	16.4%	24.9%	36.2%	5.7%	14.0%	19.8%	27.8%	1,328	
2004	858	1,280	4.9%	15.0%	23.2%	30.0%	3.8%	10.7%	17.2%	23.5%	1,580	
2005	818	1,224	4.6%	9.7%	15.4%	N/A	4.4%	12.1%	18.4%	N/A	1,634	
2006	776	1,350	5.3%	11.3%	N/A	N/A	5.2%	12.7%	N/A	N/A	1,794	
2007 796 1,414 3.6% N/A N/A N/A 4.9% N/A N/A N/A 2,										2,027		
Average 5.1% 13.7% 21.5% 35.4% 5.0% 12.7% 18.8% 28.4%												

Jail black and white return rates are higher than TDOC return rates and show less decline.
--

Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Expiration by Race												
January 2001 - December 2007												
Unique Unique Black White												
Calendar	Black	White		% Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years								
<u>Year</u>	Releases	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Return	
2001	1,622	818	12.2%	22.9%	30.7%	49.8%	11.2%	20.3%	25.3%	38.4%	1,319	
2002	1,665	925	10.9%	21.1%	29.2%	46.2%	9.6%	18.7%	25.6%	37.7%	1,472	
2003	1,610	921	8.9%	22.2%	29.8%	42.7%	9.6%	19.3%	26.8%	36.8%	1,505	
2004	1,697	941	10.1%	20.9%	29.6%	38.8%	9.5%	17.9%	25.5%	31.9%	1,680	
2005	1,649	1,133	8.7%	20.4%	29.8%	N/A	9.5%	18.4%	24.4%	N/A	1,923	
2006	1,731	1,146	9.4%	19.4%	N/A	N/A	9.9%	16.7%	N/A	N/A	2,210	
2007 1,636 1,124 10.6% N/A N/A N/A 10.2% N/A N/A N/A 2,3											2,309	
	Average		10.1%	21.2%	29.8%	44.3%	9.9%	18.5%	25.5%	36.2%		

## **RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR SENTENCE EXPIRATION BY RACE**

A comparison of return rates for sentence expiration by race reveals that both black and white felons released from jails have significantly higher return rates.

	Return Rate Differences Between TDOC and Local Jails Releases due to Sentence Expiration by Race												
	Black White												
Calendar		% Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years											
Year	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>					
2001	5.4%	8.2%	8.0%	9.0%	4.8%	5.5%	5.2%	5.5%					
2002	6.9%	6.2%	7.8%	11.4%	4.8%	6.9%	6.9%	8.5%					
2003	2.4%	5.8%	4.9%	6.5%	3.8%	5.3%	7.0%	9.0%					
2004	5.2%	5.9%	6.4%	8.8%	5.6%	7.2%	8.3%	8.4%					
2005	4.0%	10.8%	14.4%	N/A	5.1%	6.4%	6.1%	N/A					
2006	4.1% 8.1% N/A N/A 4.8% 4.0% N/A N/A												
2007	6.9% N/A N/A N/A 5.4% N/A N/A N/A												

#### **RECIDIVISM BY RACE FOR RELEASES TO PAROLE**

Return rates for releases to parole by race are stable and comparable over time. An average of 25% of felons released to parole return within the first year and about half of the felons released to parole return by the third year.

System wide Return Rates for Releases to Parole by Race												
January 2001 - December 2007												
Unique Unique Black White												
Calendar	Black	White		% Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years								
Year	<b>Releases</b>	Releases	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Return	
2001	1,794	1,380	25.5%	43.8%	52.5%	64.5%	27.8%	43.8%	50.7%	61.0%	1,174	
2002	1,622	1,300	24.0%	43.7%	53.3%	62.6%	26.9%	43.2%	50.5%	59.7%	1,130	
2003	1,612	1,401	24.9%	43.4%	53.3%	61.2%	28.7%	45.2%	52.4%	57.3%	1,223	
2004	1,693	1,587	26.2%	43.1%	51.8%	57.8%	25.1%	42.6%	48.9%	52.4%	1,471	
2005	1,766	1,844	25.8%	46.1%	54.4%	N/A	27.1%	42.1%	48.2%	N/A	1,686	
2006	2,092	2,159	27.0%	43.7%	N/A	N/A	26.8%	42.9%	N/A	N/A	2,210	
2007	2,178	2,199	26.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	26.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,818	
Average 25.6% 44.0% 53.1% 61.5% 26.9% 43.3% 50.1% 57.6%												

TDOC return rates are comparable to the system wide rates of return.

TDOC Return Rates for Releases to Parole by Race												
		1					•	uce				
			J	anuary 20	001 - Dec	ember 20	07					
Unique Unique Black White												
Calendar Black White % Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years												
<u>Year</u> <u>Releases</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>Releases</u>												
2001	1,216	1,050	24.8%	44.2%	53.4%	66.9%	27.7%	44.3%	51.7%	61.9%	802	
2002	1,080	981	24.1%	43.4%	54.0%	64.2%	26.5%	42.7%	50.3%	59.8%	781	
2003	1,173	1,095	24.6%	43.6%	54.6%	62.7%	28.2%	45.1%	53.2%	58.5%	892	
2004	1,206	1,243	26.6%	43.6%	52.1%	58.2%	24.8%	42.2%	48.8%	52.7%	1,092	
2005	1,319	1,430	26.0%	46.4%	54.9%	N/A	26.3%	42.1%	49.5%	N/A	1,265	
2006	1,546	1,649	26.3%	44.0%	N/A	N/A	27.2%	43.7%	N/A	N/A	1,633	
2007 1,569 1,714 26.2% N/A N/A N/A 24.3% N/A N/A N/A 2												
	Average		25.5%	44.2%	53.8%	63.0%	26.4%	43.3%	50.7%	58.2%		

Jail rates of return are also comparable to system wide rates.

Local Jail Return Rates for Releases to Parole by Race														
January 2001 - December 2007														
Unique Unique Black White														
Calendar Black White % Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years														
<u>Year</u> <u>Releases</u> <u>Releases</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr</u> <u>2 Yrs</u> <u>3 Yrs</u> <u>4+ Yrs</u> <u>Releases</u>														
2001	578	330	27.0%	43.1%	50.7%	59.5%	28.2%	42.1%	47.3%	58.2%	372			
2002	542	319	23.8%	44.3%	51.8%	59.6%	28.2%	44.5%	51.4%	59.2%	349			
2003	439	306	25.7%	42.6%	49.9%	57.4%	30.4%	45.4%	49.7%	52.9%	331			
2004	487	344	25.3%	41.7%	51.1%	56.7%	26.5%	44.2%	49.4%	51.2%	379			
2005	447	414	25.1%	45.4%	53.0%	N/A	30.0%	42.3%	45.4%	N/A	421			
2006	546	510	28.9%	42.9%	N/A	N/A	25.7%	40.6%	N/A	N/A	577			
2007														
	Average		25.7%	43.3%	51.3%	58.3%	27.8%	43.2%	48.6%	55.4%				

## **RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR PAROLE BY RACE**

A comparison of releases to parole reveals no significant differences between black or white releases to parole from TDOC and jails.

	Retur	n Rate Di		es Betwee to Parole			l Jails							
	Black White													
Calendar % Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years														
Year														
2001	2.2%	-1.1%	-2.7%	-7.4%	0.5%	-2.2%	-4.4%	-3.7%						
2002	-0.3%	0.9%	-2.1%	-4.6%	1.7%	1.8%	1.2%	-0.6%						
2003	1.1%	-1.1%	-4.7%	-5.3%	2.2%	0.3%	-3.5%	-5.6%						
2004	-1.4%	-1.9%	-0.9%	-1.5%	1.7%	2.0%	0.7%	-1.5%						
2005	-0.9%	-1.0%	-1.9%	N/A	3.7%	0.2%	-4.1%	N/A						
2006	2.6%	-1.1%	N/A	N/A	-1.5%	-3.1%	N/A	N/A						
2007	-2.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A						

#### **RECIDIVISM BY RACE FOR RELEASES TO PROBATION**

System wide return rates for black felons released to probation are increasing. However, white felon return rates are higher than black felon return rates.

		Syster	n wide Re	turn Rate	es for Rel	eases to l	Probation	by Race					
			J	anuary 20	001 - Dec	ember 20	07						
Unique Unique Black White													
Calendar Black White % Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years													
YearReleasesReleases1 Yr2 Yrs3 Yrs4+ Yrs1 Yr2 Yrs3 Yrs4+ YrsRe													
2001	2,033	1,876	31.7%	47.3%	54.2%	64.3%	37.1%	52.2%	58.6%	66.3%	1,358		
2002	2,065	2,073	34.2%	50.3%	57.0%	65.7%	41.0%	54.7%	60.4%	66.9%	1,396		
2003	1,831	2,260	34.3%	49.6%	56.4%	63.1%	40.0%	53.4%	59.0%	64.9%	1,469		
2004	1,757	2,390	38.5%	52.4%	59.0%	64.4%	40.7%	55.7%	60.8%	64.7%	1,469		
2005	1,947	2,642	38.5%	54.8%	61.0%	N/A	39.7%	53.7%	59.0%	N/A	1,751		
2006	1,985	2,678	40.0%	55.2%	N/A	N/A	39.1%	52.7%	N/A	N/A	1,946		
2007 2,003 2,646 39.1% N/A N/A N/A 41.5% N/A N/A N/A 2													
	Average		36.6%	51.6%	57.5%	64.4%	39.9%	53.7%	59.6%	65.7%			

TDOC releases to probation have comparable return rates for black and white felons. Also, TDOC return rates for both groups have posted decreases in years two, three, and four and beyond.

		TD	OC Retur	n Rates f	or Releas	es to Prol	bation by	Race				
			J	anuary 20	001 - Dec	ember 20	07					
Unique Unique Black White												
Calendar         Black         White         % Return Rate in Years         % Return Rate in Years         N												
Year         Releases         Releases         1 Yr         2 Yrs         3 Yrs         4+ Yrs         1 Yr         2 Yrs         3 Yrs         4+ Yrs         Return												
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$												
2002	182	290	32.4%	51.6%	61.0%	69.2%	30.0%	46.6%	52.1%	58.6%	176	
2003	201	393	23.9%	42.3%	52.7%	58.7%	29.3%	48.1%	56.2%	62.1%	232	
2004	245	423	31.4%	45.3%	54.3%	59.6%	27.7%	45.2%	52.5%	56.7%	282	
2005	193	446	29.5%	54.9%	61.7%	N/A	34.1%	46.9%	51.3%	N/A	279	
2006	251	495	33.5%	50.2%	N/A	N/A	31.7%	44.6%	N/A	N/A	372	
2007 226 460 29.6% N/A N/A N/A 28.9% N/A N/A N/A 430												
	Average		29.9%	49.8%	58.5%	65.0%	30.0%	46.7%	53.7%	60.8%		

Isil notices not as for both block and white fol	and values and to much stick and in suspective.	
Jail return rates for both black and white fel	ons released to probation are increasing.	
	$\partial $	

		Local	Jail Retu	ırn Rates	for Relea	ases to Pr	obation b	y Race					
	January 2001 - December 2007												
Unique Unique Black White													
Calendar Black White % Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years													
Year     Releases     1 Yr     2 Yrs     3 Yrs     4+ Yrs     1 Yr     2 Yrs     3 Yrs													
2001         1,814         1,577         32.1%         46.5%         53.1%         63.3%         38.7%         52.8%         59.0%         66.3%         1													
2002	1,883	1,783	34.4%	50.2%	56.6%	65.3%	42.8%	56.0%	61.8%	68.2%	1,220		
2003	1,630	1,867	35.6%	50.6%	56.8%	63.7%	42.3%	54.5%	59.6%	65.5%	1,237		
2004	1,512	1,967	39.6%	53.6%	59.7%	65.1%	43.5%	58.0%	62.5%	66.4%	1,187		
2005	1,754	2,196	39.5%	54.7%	60.9%	N/A	40.8%	55.1%	60.6%	N/A	1,472		
2006	1,734	2,183	40.9%	55.9%	N/A	N/A	43.1%	56.8%	N/A	N/A	1,574		
2007 1,777 2,186 40.3% N/A N/A N/A 41.1% N/A N/A N/A													
Average         37.5%         51.9%         57.4%         64.4%         41.8%         55.5%         60.7%         66.6%													

## **RETURN RATE DISPARITY FOR PROBATION BY RACE**

A comparison of TDOC and jail releases to probation on the basis of race reveals significant differences.

	Returi	n Rate Di					al Jails							
	Releases to Probation by Race													
Black White														
Calendar % Return Rate in Years % Return Rate in Years														
Year														
2001	3.3%	-7.9%	-9.9%	-9.3%	10.3%	3.7%	2.8%	0.4%						
2002	1.9%	-1.5%	-4.4%	-3.9%	12.8%	9.5%	9.7%	9.6%						
2003	11.7%	8.3%	4.1%	5.0%	13.1%	6.4%	3.3%	3.4%						
2004	8.2%	8.3%	5.4%	5.6%	15.8%	12.8%	10.0%	9.7%						
2005	10.0%	-0.2%	-0.7%	N/A	6.7%	8.2%	9.2%	N/A						
2006	7.5%	5.7%	N/A	N/A	11.4%	12.2%	N/A	N/A						
2007	10.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A						

## TYPE OF OFFENSE GROUP BY RACE

System wide, there is no apparent racial disparity in *person offense* releases. Racial disparities do exist for *societal offense* releases (which are disproportionately black), and *property offense* releases (which are disproportionately white). These same trends are seen at the local jails and TDOC levels. *Other offense* releases also show a disproportionate pattern (60%/40%) with more white felon releases system wide in 2007. However, TDOC shows the highest level of disproportionate release of this offense group with 72% of those released being white felons.

	System Wide Releases by Offense Group and Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown														
CY														
2001														
2002	12,649	1,027	1,036	2,687	3,153	2,015	1,013	646	773	79	64			
2003	12,904	962	1,184	2,629	3,267	2,014	1,248	592	736	60	63			
2004	13,479	1,043	1,147	2,607	3,424	2,195	1,417	519	820	69	57			
2005	14,500	1,172	1,171	2,582	3,781	2,223	1,682	643	906	79	60			
2006	15,452	1,196	1,272	2,715	4,031	2,372	804	701	968	73	84			
2007	15,520	1,239	1,300	2,610	3,981	2,514	1,734	682	1,023	70	201			

	System Wide Releases by Offense Group and Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown													
<u>CY</u>														
2001														
2002	12,649	49.8%	50.2%	46.0%	54.0%	66.5%	33.5%	45.5%	54.5%	55.2%	44.8%			
2003	12,904	44.8%	55.2%	44.6%	55.4%	61.7%	38.3%	44.6%	55.4%	48.8%	51.2%			
2004	13,479	47.6%	52.4%	43.2%	56.8%	60.8%	39.2%	38.8%	61.2%	54.8%	45.2%			
2005	14,500	50.0%	50.0%	40.6%	59.4%	56.9%	43.1%	41.5%	58.5%	56.8%	43.2%			
2006	15,452	48.5%	51.5%	40.2%	59.8%	74.7%	25.3%	42.0%	58.0%	46.5%	53.5%			
2007	15,520	48.8%	51.2%	39.6%	60.4%	59.2%	40.8%	40.0%	60.0%	25.8%	74.2%			

*Person offense* releases do show different trends at the local jails and TDOC levels. Jails disproportionately (55.3%/44.7%) released black felons for a *person offense* and TDOC disproportionately (57.8%/42.2%) released white felons for a *person offense* in 2007.

	TDOC Releases by Offense Group and Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown													
<u>CY</u>														
2001	4,423	48.3%	51.7%	41.3%	58.7%	69.1%	30.9%	32.4%	67.6%	51.5%	48.5%			
2002	4,336	44.6%	55.4%	40.6%	59.4%	68.0%	32.0%	25.7%	74.3%	53.3%	46.7%			
2003	4,873	40.4%	59.6%	39.4%	60.6%	65.0%	35.0%	27.7%	72.3%	46.2%	53.8%			
2004	5,348	41.9%	58.1%	36.9%	63.1%	62.8%	37.2%	27.2%	72.8%	51.6%	48.4%			
2005	5,545	44.9%	55.1%	34.8%	65.2%	58.9%	41.1%	30.0%	70.0%	48.8%	51.2%			
2006	6,197	40.6%	59.4%	36.9%	63.1%	56.2%	43.8%	32.9%	67.1%	51.0%	49.0%			
2007	6,328	42.2%	57.8%	34.5%	65.5%	58.4%	41.6%	28.0%	72.0%	36.9%	63.1%			

Racial disparities also exist for *societal offense* releases (which are disproportionately black), and *property offense* releases (which are disproportionately white). This same trend is seen at the system wide level. *Other offense* releases also show a disproportionate pattern (60%/40%) with more white felon releases system wide in 2007. However, TDOC shows the highest level of disproportionate release of this offense group with 72% of those released being white felons.

	Local Jail Releases by Offense Group and Race													
	January 2001 - December 2007													
	Unique Person Property Societal Other Unknown													
CY														
2001														
2002	8,313	54.3%	45.7%	48.9%	51.1%	65.8%	34.2%	50.1%	49.9%	55.8%	44.2%			
2003	8,031	49.1%	50.9%	47.6%	52.4%	59.9%	40.1%	50.4%	49.6%	50.0%	50.0%			
2004	8,131	53.1%	46.9%	47.6%	52.4%	59.6%	40.4%	43.3%	56.7%	55.8%	44.2%			
2005	8,955	54.9%	45.1%	44.3%	55.7%	55.9%	44.1%	45.3%	54.7%	60.2%	39.8%			
2006	9,255	56.3%	43.7%	42.6%	57.4%	92.4%	7.6%	45.5%	54.5%	44.4%	55.6%			
2007	9,192	55.3%	44.7%	43.2%	56.8%	59.7%	40.3%	45.1%	54.9%	22.3%	77.7%			

# **RECIDIVISM BY RACE AND OFFENSE GROUP**

## Person Offense

Return rates for both black and white felons are lowest for those convicted of a *person offense*. The first year return rates for black felons average 17.4%. However, this average is a composite of yearly rates that have been increasing over time. White felons' return rates for the first year average 19.9%. This average is a composite of yearly rates that are decreasing. Average rates of return for years two and three are comparable on the basis of race. Four years and beyond average rates show decreasing returns for both black and white felons.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases by Race for Offense Group											
Person Offenses												
January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Black White											
CY	Person Offn	%	6 Return R	ate in Year	S	9	6 Return R	ate in Year	S	No		
_	Releases <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Yrs 4+ Yrs</u> <u>1 Yr 2 Yrs 3 Y</u>							<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	Readmissions		
2001	2,011	16.3%	27.5%	34.5%	48.7%	20.0%	28.9%	33.8%	44.0%	1,076		
2002	2,063	15.8%	28.3%	35.7%	47.4%	20.9%	30.6%	36.2%	44.4%	1,116		
2003	2,146	15.9%	27.0%	34.0%	43.8%	20.1%	29.2%	35.3%	42.4%	1,223		
2004	2,190	17.1%	28.0%	35.9%	43.0%	18.5%	27.5%	33.0%	38.4%	1,301		
2005	2,343	19.5%	31.4%	37.7%	N/A	20.1%	30.6%	35.4%	N/A	1,438		
2006	2,468	19.0%	30.9%	N/A	N/A	21.9%	30.7%	N/A	N/A	1,607		
2007	2007 2,539 18.3% N/A N/A N/A 18.1% N/A N/A N/A 1,891											
Average												

## Property Offense

Return rates for *property offense* are stable across time for both black and white felons. White felon return rates are higher for years one - three. By fours years and beyond the rates become comparable.

System wide Return Rates for Releases by Race for Offense Group												
Property Offenses												
January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Black White											
CY	Person Offn	%	6 Return R	ate in Year	rs	%	6 Return R	ate in Year	S	No		
_	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>		
2001	5,505	26.4%	40.9%	49.0%	62.6%	31.3%	45.8%	51.9%	61.1%	2,103		
2002	5,840	25.4%	40.6%	48.2%	59.2%	31.4%	44.2%	50.4%	59.2%	2,383		
2003	5,896	24.9%	41.1%	49.3%	58.3%	32.6%	46.6%	52.5%	58.2%	2,462		
2004	6,031	25.1%	39.0%	47.6%	54.3%	30.6%	44.2%	50.3%	55.1%	2,729		
2005	6,363	25.2%	40.3%	47.9%	N/A	32.4%	45.0%	50.8%	N/A	3,058		
2006	6,746	25.7%	39.7%	N/A	N/A	31.8%	44.9%	N/A	N/A	3,573		
2007	007 6,591 27.1% N/A N/A N/A 29.4% N/A N/A N/A 4,112											
Average												

## Societal Offense

Black felon return rates for *societal offense* have shown modest increases across time. While white felon return rates for societal offenses have also had periods of increase, white felon return rates are lower than black felon return rates and are incrementally declining.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases by Race for Offense Group											
	Societal Offenses											
January 2001 - December 2007												
	Unique Black White											
CY	Person Offn	%	6 Return R	ate in Year	ſS	9	6 Return R	ate in Year	ſS	No		
_	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>		
2001	2,928	24.3%	40.6%	48.6%	62.0%	24.4%	37.3%	44.4%	53.3%	1,187		
2002	3,028	24.8%	41.5%	49.5%	61.4%	25.5%	37.6%	44.7%	52.5%	1,259		
2003	3,262	24.5%	40.7%	49.0%	57.7%	29.6%	43.2%	50.2%	55.8%	1,403		
2004	3,612	27.7%	42.0%	49.5%	55.5%	27.9%	42.3%	47.7%	50.5%	1,679		
2005	3,905	28.8%	44.7%	52.4%	N/A	24.3%	37.3%	43.6%	N/A	1,912		
2006	4,176	28.5%	42.1%	N/A	N/A	25.8%	38.4%	N/A	N/A	2,333		
2007	4,248	26.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,816		
Average		26.4%	41.9%	49.8%	59.2%	26.0%	39.4%	46.1%	53.0%			

## Other Offense

*Other offense* return rates are increasing for both races across years one – three; however, white felon return rates are on average higher than black felon return rates. Both races have decreased return rates for years four and beyond.

	System wide Return Rates for Releases by Race for Offense Group											
	Other Offenses											
	January 2001 - December 2007											
	Unique Black White											
CY	Person Offn	%	6 Return R	ate in Year	rs	9	6 Return R	ate in Year	ſS	No		
_	<b>Releases</b>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<u>1 Yr</u>	<u>2 Yrs</u>	<u>3 Yrs</u>	<u>4+ Yrs</u>	<b>Readmissions</b>		
2001	1,457	19.4%	31.7%	39.2%	53.4%	24.6%	38.9%	45.2%	56.8%	654		
2002	1,419	19.3%	31.7%	38.7%	51.5%	27.9%	40.9%	48.8%	58.7%	632		
2003	1,328	21.3%	34.0%	41.6%	52.0%	27.9%	39.5%	45.2%	55.0%	615		
2004	1,339	24.3%	36.2%	42.0%	48.9%	25.1%	39.6%	45.7%	51.0%	667		
2005	1,549	23.5%	36.2%	44.0%	N/A	29.6%	42.1%	47.5%	N/A	798		
2006	1,669	28.7%	41.1%	N/A	N/A	27.6%	40.4%	N/A	N/A	930		
2007	1,705	23.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	27.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,131		
Average		22.9%	35.2%	41.1%	51.5%	27.1%	40.2%	46.5%	55.4%			

# NOTEWORTHY FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

While many people assume that most, if not all, felons eventually return to prison, the good news is that felons being released from TDOC are less likely to return to custody today than they were in the past and rates of return are declining. Less than one in five felons return within one year of release. One in three felons return by year two and fewer than 40% are returned within three years. These return rates represent significant declines for TDOC.

Even better news is that TDOC is maintaining extremely low return rates for those serious offenders convicted of crimes against persons (murder, rape, assault). One in ten felons convicted of a crime against a person returns within one year of release. Fewer than one in five has returned by year two. One in four felons returned by year three and just over one in three felons returned by years four and beyond. While any return of such serious offenders is undesirable, the consistent ability of TDOC to achieve these low return rates is commendable.

This study has shown that the type of release corresponds to rates of return. Release to sentence expiration has the lowest rate of return with fewer than one in three felons returning. Releases to parole demonstrate a stable return rate that is evident whether a felon is released from TDOC or local jails. However, one out of two felons will be returned within three years of being released to parole.

Two types of release contributing to high return rates are probation and community corrections. While TDOC has decreased the return rate for releases to probation, increasing rates of return are occurring in local jail releases to probation. Currently, more than half of all felons released from jail to probation will return within two years.

Community corrections is a poor performer when it comes to return rates. One out of two felons released to community corrections returns within the first year. Three out of four return by the third year after release. Changes in the structure of program delivery within community corrections as well as review of selection criteria for those released to community corrections may offer more desirable outcomes in the future.

The most influential aspect governing return rates is location of release. A glaring disparity in rates of return for local jails, when compared to TDOC, signals an area worthy of attention. Felons released from jails are returning at significantly high rates. Comparisons of return rates by type of release and offense consistently demonstrate that felons released from jail are both more likely to return to prison and do so within a shorter span of time. Efforts to examine the nature of confinement and release from jails could provide information useful in reducing their return rates.

This study has provided an analysis of recidivism across time to facilitate the recognition of trends. Comparisons have been made to identify areas within which improvement in rates of return can be verified and areas within which improvement is needed. Policy

makers may find this information to be useful in shaping continued efforts to reduce recidivism in Tennessee.