

Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment

> Robert D. Rondinelli Elizabeth Genovee + Richard T. Kott + Tors G. Maver Kathryn Mueller + Mohamad Renavisya Christopher R. Brigham





AMA Guides 6th Edition Spine Cases

2020 TN BWC AMA Guides, 6th Edition James B. Talmage MD Nashville, TN February 29, 2020

Questions ?



James B. Talmage MD Cookeville, TN <u>olddrt@att.net</u>



In 2016 Retired from active practice After 14,154 days as a treating Physician

James B. Talmage MD

Adjunct Associate Professor



Division of Occupational Medicine

- Department of Family and Community Medicine

Meharry Medical College, Nashville, TN













Action of posterior cricoarytenoid muscles: Abduction of vocal ligaments



Action of lateral cricoarytenoid muscles: Adduction of vocal ligaments



Action of arytenoid muscle: Adduction of vocal ligaments Action of vocalis and thyroarytenoid muscles: Shortening (relaxation) of vocal ligaments



Financial Conflict of Interest Disclosure Last 18 months

- Paid American Medical Association

 Author, editor (royalties), consultant
- Paid ACOEM:
 - Faculty at Annual Musculoskeletal Course
 - Next one = June 21 -23, 2019
 - Elk Grove Village [Chicago] ACOEM Learning Center
- Paid by SEAK, Inc. [www.seak.com]
 - Faculty at IME courses
 - Naples, FL IME courses
 - Basic IME December 5 6, 2019
 - Advanced ("Masters") December 7 8, 2019



UN-Paid Speaker

- AAOS, Annual Workers' Compensation Course
 - November 8 10, 2019
 - https://www.aaos.org/calendar/event/?productId=10398762
 - Half Day IME Course November 7, 2019
- IAIME (a.k.a. AADEP)
 - January 16-19, 2019, Tucson, AZ
 - www.iaime.org
 - Past President





Financial Conflict of Interest Disclosure

- Paid State of Tennessee
 - Assistant Medical Director, Bureau of Workers' Compensation
- PAID member physician advisory pane to the Ohio Police and Fire Pension Fund [Disability Evaluation Committee].





AMA Publications

American Medical Association Physicians dedicated to the health of America



Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment

Fifth Edition







American Medical Association Physicians dedicated to the health of America



The Guides Newsletter AMA Guides®

SLETTER

Updates, authoritative guidance, practical information, and rationales for proper use of AMA Guides

Е



AMA Publications



2005 &

American Medical Association Physicians dedicated to the health of America A Physician's Griffe to Return to Work

Editors James B. Talmage, MD J. Mark Melhorn, MD





AMA Guides[™] to the Evaluation of Work Ability and Return to Work



SECOND EDITION

James B. Talmage, MD J. Mark Melhorn, MD Mark H. Hyman, MD

AMA Press www.ama-assn.org

FINANCIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST







AMA Guides[®] to the Evaluation of DISEASE AND INJURY Causation



SECOND EDITION

J. Mark Melhorn, MD | James B. Talmage, MD William E. Ackerman III, MD | Mark H. Hyman, MD

UNPAID Peer Reviewer

- The Spine Journal
 - North American Spine Society
- Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
- Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery





AMA Guides – Work in Progress



History of the AMA Guides

- 1956 ad hoc committee
- 1958-1970 13 publications in JAMA
- 1971 First Edition
- 1981 established 12 expert panels
- 1984 Second Edition
- 1988 Third Edition
- 1990 Third Edition-Revised
- 1993 Fourth Edition (4 printings)
- 2000 Fifth Edition (November 2000)
- 2007 (December) Sixth Edition
 - Radical paradigm shift





History of the AMA Guides

- 1956 ad hoc committee
- 1958-1970 13 publications in JAMA
- 1971 First Edition
- 1981 established 12 expert panels
- 1984 Second Edition
- 1988 Third Edition
- 1990 Third Edition-Revised
- 1993 Fourth Edition (4 printings)
- 2000 Fifth Edition (November 2000)
- 2007 (December) Sixth Edition
 - Radical paradigm shift







Case #1: Low Back Strain, Resolved

- Mr. A is a 35 year old with no prior history of low back pain.
- He works as a manual material handler in a warehouse.
- He strained his back lifting a box and twisting. ["Accepted" as a work injury]
- He had the acute onset of low back and right buttock pain without any leg symptoms.

Case #1: Low Back Strain, Resolved

- On the day of injury, and also
 1 week later:
 - "Spasm" with a 10° forward list, trunk deviation to the right during flexion, and a "sciatic scoliosis."
 - Neurologic exam was normal.
 - Straight leg raising produced only low back pain at 40° of elevation of either leg.





Case #1: Low Back Strain, Resolved

- At 3 weeks, 6 weeks, and 6 months post injury:
 - No low pain.
 - No leg pain or numbness.
 - No medications used (OTC or Rx).
 - Normal physical exam.

- Working full duty without absences.

- Turn to Table 17-4, page 570
- What is the Impairment Rating ????

Reproducibility of Examination

<u>к = Карра</u>

> 0.20 > 0.40 >0.60 >0.80 1.00

Agreement

fair moderate good excellent perfect

Tenderness JAMA 1992; 268 (6): 760-765

Finding	Unit of measurement	Kappa Interobserver
Bone tenderness	Yes/no	0.40
Soft-tissue tenderness	Yes/no	0.24
Muscle spasm	Yes/no	Discarded*

* = Discarded "too unreliable"

Muscle Spasm?

- Backache patients with "spasm" have electrically silent muscles on needle EMG.
 - Harell A/Mead S. JAMA 1950; 143 (7): 640-1

– Johnson EJ. Am J PM & R 1989; 68 (1): 1

 Body building and Physical Therapy literature says ISOMETRIC contraction is the best way to build muscle size.

– Chronic spasm = sustained isometric contraction

- YET, MRI on chronic back pain patients with "spasm" shows muscle atrophy and fatty infiltration
- Why do **only** muscles near the spine "spasm"?

Paraspinal "Spasm" in Chronic LBP

 Miller DJ, Comparison of Electromyographic Activity in the Lumbar Paraspinal Muscles of Subjects WITH and WITHOUT Chronic Low Back Pain. Physical Therapy 1985; 65: 1347-54



Fig. 5. Means and standard deviations of IEMG activity of the left paraspinal muscles for each group and task as a percent of the reference contraction.

Fig. 6. Means and standard deviations of IEMG activity of the right paraspinal muscles for each group and task as a percent of the reference contraction.

Surface electrodes

What is this cardiac rhythm? What Does It Imply?





NO mechanical Correlate. The heart is <u>NOT</u> contracting.

Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment Sixth Edition



Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment

Robert D. Randstelli

Refera Walte - Material Research

Mangae A. Japan

Chapter 17 The Spine and Pelvis





"The impairment rating process has been simplified by providing a congruent rating methodology among the three musculoskeletal chapters.

Once the examiner masters the methodology in one chapter, that same methodology applies to the other chapters."

DBI Method



Impairment class is determined by the diagnosis and specific criteria that are considered the "<u>key factor</u>" and then adjusted by grade modifiers, or "<u>non-key factors</u>"

TABLE 17-1

Philosophy NOT used in rating

Definition of Impairment Classes and Impairment Ranges

		Whole Person Impairment (%)				
CLASS	PROBLEM	CERVICAL SPINE	THORACIC SPINE	LUMBAR SPINE	PELVIS	
0	No objective findings	0%	0%	0%	0%	
1	Mild	1%-8%	1%-6%	1%-9%	1%-3%	
2	Moderate	9%-14%	7%-11%	10%-14%	4%-6%	Note
3	Severe	15%-24%	12%-16%	15%-24%	7%-11%	
4	Very severe	25%-30%	17%-22%	25%-33%	12%-16%	



Diagnoses for the spine and pelvis are defined in several major categories, based on the selective region. **Categories include**:

- Non-specific chronic, or chronic recurrent spine pain
- Intervertebral disk and motion segment pathology
 - Single and multiple levels
- Cervical and lumbar stenosis
- Spine fractures and/or dislocations
- Pelvic fractures and/or dislocations

In the event that a specific **diagnosis** is **not** included in the diagnosis based regional grid, the examiner should **use a similar listed condition** as a guide in determining an impairment value.

Must fully **explain** rationale in report. – page 559

Diagnosis **DETERMINES** Class

 Selection of the optimal diagnosis requires judgment and experience. If more than one diagnosis can be used, the one that provides the most clinically accurate impairment rating is selected; this will generally be the more specific diagnosis. In cases where more than one diagnosis is applicable (eg, spinal stenosis and AOMSI), the CAUSALLY-**RELATED** diagnosis that provides the higher impairment rating should be used." – page 562

Example

Person to be rated

 10 years ago sustained a stable L1 compression fracture, but there has been no back pain for over 9 years, UNTIL

 – 6 MONTHS ago a lifting incident with prompt low back and sciatic leg pain, objective plantar flexion weakness, and a L5-S1 disc herniation on MRI

 Rate as HNP, and <u>NOT</u> as Fracture – Rate the "Causally Related" condition.

DIAGNOSIS: Surgery

Treatment may alter the functional status of the condition evaluated at MMI. For example. treatment of a disk herniation for symptomatic radiculopathy can move the impairment rating from a higher class to a lower class if the radiculopathy is resolved. However, if a condition has been treated surgically, this does not result in an "add on" value or additional distinct impairment percentage; changes related to surgical intervention are reflected in the provided ranges for impairment values. – page 562

Lumbar Spine table

P 570

TABLE 17-4 Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

		Lumbar Spi	ne Regional G	rid	
CLASS	CLASS 0	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3	CLASS 4
IMPAIRMENT					
RATING (WPI %)	0	1%-9%	10%-14%	15%-24%	25%-33%
chronic, or	Documented	Documented history			
chronic recur- rent low back	history	of sprain/strain type			
pain (also	of sprain/ strain-type	ued complaints of			
chronic sprain/	Injury, now	axial and/or non-			
strain, symptom-	continued	complaints and sim-			
tive disc disease,	complaints of back pain	ilar findings docu-			
facet joint	with no	examinations and			
dysfunction, etc)	objective findings on	present at the time of evaluation (see			
	examination	Sec. 17.2, General			
MOTION CECHER	T I CONS	Considerations)			
Intervertebral	0	56789	10 11 12 12 14	15 17 19 21 22	25 27 29 21 22
disk herniation	Intervertebral	Intervertebral disk	Intervertebrai disk	Intervertebrai disk	intervertebral disk
and/or AOMSI	disk her-	herniation or docu-	herniation and/or	herniations and/or	herniations and/or
Note: AOMSI Includes	niation or documented	mented AOMSI, at a single level or	AOMSI at a single level with medically	AOMSI at multiple levels, with medi-	AOMSI, at multiple levels, with medi-
instability	AOMSI at 1 or	multiple levels with	documented find-	cally documented	cally documented
(specifically as defined in	(see defini-	medically docu- mented findings;	out surgery	without surgery	without surgery
the Guldes),	tion in foot-	with or without	and	and	and
failed arthrod-	medically	surgery	with documented	with or without	with documented
esis, dynamic	documented	with documented	radiculopathy at the clinically	documented radiculonathy at	signs of bilateral or multiple-level
arthroplasty,	or without	resolved radicu-	appropriate level	a single clinically	radiculopathy
or combina-	surgery, with	lopathy at clinically appropriate level	present at the time of examina-	appropriate level	at the clinically appropriate levels
multiple-level	signs or	or nonverifiable	tion (see Physical	of examination (see	present at the time
conditions	symptoms	radicular com- plaints at clinically	Examination adjustment grid in	Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade
		appropriate level(s),	Table 17-7 to grade		radiculopathy)
		of examination	radiculopatily		
Pseudarthrosis	0	56789	10 11 12 13 14	15 17 19 21 23	25 27 29 31 33
Note: Only	Pseudarthrosis	Pseudarthrosis	Pseudarthrosis	Pseudarthrosis	Pseudarthrosis
applies after spinal surgery	(post surgery) with no resid-	(post surgery) at a single level or	(post surgery) at a single level with	(post surgery) at a multiple levels with	(post surgery) at a multiple levels with
intended for	ual signs or	multiple levels with	medically docu-	medically docu-	medically docu-
resultant docu-	symptoms	medically docu- mented findings	mented findings	mented findings	mented findings
mented motion		and	with documented	with or without	with documented
AOMSI by defl-		with documented	signs of radiculopa-	documented	signs of bilateral
nition provided		resolved radicu-	thy at the clinically	a single clinically	or multiple-level
with consistent		verifiable radicular	present at the time	appropriate level	at the clinically
radiographic findings or hard-		complaints at the clinically appropri-	of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade	present at the time of examination isee	appropriate levels present at the time
ware failure;		ate level(s) pres-	radiculopathy)	Table 17-7 to grade	of examination (see
with or without surgery to repair		ent at the time of examination		radiculopatny	radiculopathy)

Page 570, Table 17-4 Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

SOFT TISSUE AND	NON-SPECIFIC	CONDITIONS			
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent low back pain (also known as: chronic sprain/ strain, symptom- atic degenera- tive disc dbease, facet joint pain, SJ joint dysfunction, etc)	0 Documented history of sprain/ strain-type injury, now resolved, or occasional complaints of back pain with no objective findings on examination	0 1 2 3 3 Documented history of sprain/strain type injury with contin- ued complaints of axial and/or non- verifiable radicular complaints and sim- liar findings on mul- tiple occasions (see Sec. 17.2, General Considerations)			
MOTION SEGMEN	IT LESIONS				
Intervertebrai disk hernlation and/or AOMSIP Note: AOMSI Includes Instability (specifically as defined in the Guides), arthrodesis, failed arthro- desis, dynamic stabilization or arthropiasty, or combina- tions of those in multiple-level conditions	0 Imaging find- ings of inter- vertebrai disk herniation without a history of clinically correlating radicular symptoms	5 6 7 8 9 Intervertebrai disk hemistion(s) or documented AOMSI, at a single level or multiple levels with medi- cally documented findings; with or without surgery <i>and</i> with documented resolved radicul- opathy at clinically appropriate level or nonverifiable radicular com- plaints at clinically appropriate level(s), present at the time of examination*	10 11 12 13 14 Intervertebral disk herniation and/or AOMSI at a single level with medikally documented find- ings; with or with- out surgery and with documented residual radicul- opathy at the clini- cally appropriate level present at the time of examina- tion (see Physical Examination Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	15 17 19 21 23 Intervertebral disk herniations andfor AOMSI at multiple levels, with medi- cally documented findings; with or without surgery and with or without documented resid- ual radiculopathy appropriate level present at the time of examination (see <i>Table 17-7 to grade</i> <i>radiculopathy</i>)	25 27 29 31 33 Intervertebral disk herniations and/or AOMSI, at multiple levels, with medi- cally documented findings; with or without surgery and with documented signs of residual bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)
Pseudarthrosis Note: Only, applies after splinal surgery. <u>Intended for</u> fusion with resultant docu- mented motion (not necessarily AOMSI by defi- nition provided in footnote) with consistent radlographic findings or hard- ware failure; with or without surgery to repair	0 Pseudarthrox/s (post-surgery) with no resid- ual signt or- symptoms	5 6 7 8 9 Pseudarthrosis (post surgery) at a single level or multiple levels with medically docu- mented findings and with documented resolved radicu- lopathy or non- verifiable radicular complaints at the clinically appropri- ate level(s) pres- ent at the time of examination	10 11 12 13 14 Pseudarthrosis (post surgery) at a single level with medically docu- mented findings and may have docu- mented signs of radiculopathy at the clinically appro- priate level pres- ent at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	15 17 19 21 23 Pseudarthrosis (post surgery) at a multiple levels with medically docu- mented rindings and may have docu- mented radicul- opathy at a single clinically appropri- ate level present at level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	25 27 29 31 33 Pseudarthrosis (post surgery) at a multiple levels with medically docu- mented findings and may have docu- mented signs of bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)

^a Or AOMSI in the absence of radiculopathy, or with documented resolved radiculopathy or nonverifiable radicular complaints at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination.

(continued)



Guides to the Evaluation o Permanent Impairment

Robert D. Kondardi Hadeb Garray - Holed T. Kar - Na O Mear Kales Mada - Miland Romes Garrate Dispac

Case #1: Low Back Strain, Resolved AMA Guides, 5th Edition Rating

Page 570, Table 17-4 Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

SOFT TISSUE AND NON-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

New Concept: Chronic Axial pain CAN Now be Rated

- Class 1: 0-3% WPI [0,1,2,3,3]
- The percentage impairment within that range depends on functional assessment, since there are no reliable physical examination or imaging findings in this group. – page 563
- [This means do <u>NOT</u> use Physical Exam or Clinical Studies as adjustment factors, – use only Functional History.]
- GMFH=Yes, GMPE & GMCS "<u>NOT</u> applicable"

Table 17-4, P 570

 These patients have no objective findings and, therefore, are often given a diagnosis of "chronic sprain/strain" or "nonspecific" back or neck pain. The current methodology allows these patients to be rated in impairment class 1, with a range of impairment ratings from 1 to 3% whole person impairment (WPI).

SOFT TISSUE AND NON-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS		
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent low back pain (also known as: chronic sprain/ strain, symptom- atic degenera- tive disc disease, facet joint pain, SI joint dysfunction, etc)0123300123300123300123300123300123300123300123300012330001233000012330000000000012330000123300 </td <td>Case #1 Fits best with Class 0</td>	Case #1 Fits best with Class 0	

Page 570, Table 17-4 Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments ERRATA


Case 2: Cervical Strain with Residual

- Ms B is a 35 year old seat belt restrained driver who was "rear-ended" while stopped.
- She did not lose consciousness.
- She had posterior neck pain develop before leaving the scene of the accident.
- She developed <u>pain and numbress</u> down the arm to her right thumb and index finger (C6 nerve root pattern).
- <u>Physical exam</u> initially showed decreased neck motion, deviation of the head/neck to the right during flexion, tenderness, but <u>no</u> neurologic deficit. [Normal sensation, strength, & reflexes]
- Imaging: Normal X-rays (mild C5-6 disc space narrowing).
 - MRI: Decreased disc height and loss of signal at C5-6

Case 2: Cervical Strain with Residual

- 1 year later, after:
 - Multiple chiropractic adjustments
 - Multiple sessions with a massage therapist
 - Multiple sessions with a physical therapist
- Constant posterior neck pain
- Intermittent, but daily occipital headache
- Twice weekly pain down the arm to the thumb and index finger
- Not willing to see a spine surgeon.
 At MMI and thus "ratable"

Case 2: Cervical Strain with Residual

• 1 year later:

- <u>Normal</u> neurologic exam (Sharp vs Dull sensation, strength, reflexes, and no atrophy)
 - 'Spurling' Negative
- Cervical range of motion with inclinometers:
 - Flexion 30°, extension 40°, left bending 30°, right bending 15°, left rotation 60°, right rotation 40°.
- No instability on Flexion-Extension lateral x-rays.

– PDQ = 80 [Pain Disability Questionnaire]

• WHAT IS THE IMPAIRMENT RATING?

Case 2: Cervical strain with residual

Chapter 17

Page 564, TABLE 17-2, Cervical Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

CLASS	CLASS O	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3
IMPAIRMENT RATING (WPI %)	0	1%-8%	9%–14%	15%–24%
SOFT TISSUE AND	O NON- SPECIFIC	CONDITIONS		
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent neck pain (also known as chronic sprain/ strain, symp- tomatic degen- erative disc disease, facet joint pain, chronic whiplash, etc)	0 Documented history of sprain/strain- type injury, now resolved, or occasional complaints of neck pain with no objective findings on examination	1 1 2 3 3 Documented history of sprain/strain-type injury with contin- ued complaints of axial and/or non- verifiable radicular complaints; similar findings docu- mented on mul- tiple occasions (see Section 17.2 General Considerations)		<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image/><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

Follow the Footnotes



CLASS CLASS 0 CLASS 1 CLASS 2 CLASS 3 CLASS 4 MPAIRMENT RATING (WP1 %) 0 1%-8% 9%-14% 15%-24% 25%-20% and may include AOMSIP vote: AOMSIP vote: AO	TA	TABLE 17-2 (CONTINUED) Cervical spine Regional Grid. Spine Impairments										
MMARINENT ARTING (WPI %) 0 1%-8% 9%-14% 15%-24% 25%-30% Spinal Stenosis and XMMSP 0 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis ad XMMSP Cervical stenosis at a single level ori multiple levels with or without AOMSI with avail at a single level ori multiple levels with or without AOMSI with avail and with or without surgery Cervical stenosis at at a single level ori multiple levels with or without AOMSI with avail and with documented radiculopathy at the dinading doc umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented radiculopathy at the dinading appropri- at level pres- tat the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) ² and with documented radiculopathy at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) ² 25 27 28 29 30 RACTURES/DISLOCATIONS OF THE SPINE 30 10 11 12 14 Single- or multiple- level factures with 25%-50% compres- sion of any ver- ter al body, with or without surgery (including ver- tor al body, with or without surgery (including ver- tropulsion, with or without surgical intervention, with or without surgical interventi	CLASS	CLASS 0	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3	CLASS 4						
pinal stenosis may include AOMSI)- for Kack AOMSI nosis at 1 or morize tar a single level or morize levels with or without AOMSI with addically do- umented findings; with or without AOMSI with addically do- umented findings; with or without AOMSI with addically do- umented findings; with or without surgery 15 I7 19 21 23 Cervical stenosis at asingle level with or without AOMSI with medically do- umented findings; with or without surgery 25 27 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis at asingle level with or without AOMSI with medically do- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented resolved radiu- lopathy or non- verifiable radius on ditions 15 I7 19 21 23 20 27 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis at asingle level with or without AOMSI with adcumented resolved radiu- lopathy at a radiuopathy? 25 Z7 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis at asingle level with or without AOMSI with or without surgery and with documented resolved radiu- lopathy or non- verifiable radiu- cally appropri- at terel time of examination 15 I7 19 21 23 And with documented radiuopathy? 26 Z7 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis at and with documented radiuopathy and with documented radiuopathy? 20 And with documented radiuopathy? RACTURES/DISLOCATIONS OF THE SPINE 9 10 11 12 14 Single or multiple- level fractures with a dricula pression of any ver- terior element racture element fracture with or without bory retropulsion; with or without surgical intervention; with residual deformity may have docu- mented radiuopathy? 15 17 19 21 23 Single or multiple- level fractures with 25% compression of any ver- teral levela radiu- posterior element fracture 25	IMPAIRMENT RATING (WPI %)	0	1%-8%	9%–14%	15%-24%	25%-30%						
Fractures of 1 or more vertebral oodies 0 2 2 4 6 9 10 11 12 14 15 17 19 21 23 </td <td>spinal stenosis (may include AOMSI)* Note: AOMSI includes insta- bility (specifi- cally as defined in the <i>Guides</i>), arthrodesis, dailed arthrod- esis, dynamic stabilization or arthroplasty, or combina- tions of those in multiple-level conditions</td> <td>0 Cervical ste- nosis at 1 or more levels with or with- out AOMSI with axial pain</td> <td>4 5 6 7 8 Cervical stenosis at a single level or multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented resolved radicu- lopathy or non- verifiable radicular complaints at clini- cally appropriate level(s) present at the time of examination</td> <td>9 10 11 12 14 Cervical stenosis at a single level with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented radiculopathy at the clinically appro- priate level pres- ent at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)^b</td> <td>15 1/ 19 21 23 Cervical stenosis at multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented residual radicu- lopathy at a single clinically appropri- ate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)^b</td> <td>25 27 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis at multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented signs of residual bilateral or mul- tiple-level radicu- lopathy at the clinically appropri- ate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy^b</td>	spinal stenosis (may include AOMSI)* Note: AOMSI includes insta- bility (specifi- cally as defined in the <i>Guides</i>), arthrodesis, dailed arthrod- esis, dynamic stabilization or arthroplasty, or combina- tions of those in multiple-level conditions	0 Cervical ste- nosis at 1 or more levels with or with- out AOMSI with axial pain	4 5 6 7 8 Cervical stenosis at a single level or multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented resolved radicu- lopathy or non- verifiable radicular complaints at clini- cally appropriate level(s) present at the time of examination	9 10 11 12 14 Cervical stenosis at a single level with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented radiculopathy at the clinically appro- priate level pres- ent at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) ^b	15 1/ 19 21 23 Cervical stenosis at multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented residual radicu- lopathy at a single clinically appropri- ate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) ^b	25 27 28 29 30 Cervical stenosis at multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medically doc- umented findings; with or without surgery and with documented signs of residual bilateral or mul- tiple-level radicu- lopathy at the clinically appropri- ate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy ^b						
Fractures of 1 or more vertebral oodes 0 2 2 4 6 9 10 11 12 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 28 29 30 Single- or multiple- else factures with no or process, trans- rese process) and purst fracture Single- or multiple- level fractures with 25%-50% compres- sion of any ver- tebral body; with or without bony retropulsion; with or without pedicle and/or posterior element fracture Single- or multiple- level fractures with 25%-50% compres- sion of any ver- tebral body; with or without bony retropulsion; with or without surgery (including ver- tebral body; with or without surgery (including ver- tebral body; with or without surgery (including ver- tebroplasty or symptoms 10 11 12 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 28 29 30 10 and or without of raccures 10 11 12 14 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 28 29 30 10 and prosterior element fracture 16 10 10 10 11 14 15 17 19 21 25 27 28 29 30 10 and	FRACTURES/DISL	OCATIONS OF T	HE SPINE									
See footnote * on page 571. With clear of relia Loost form additional impairment. Additional impairment.	Fractures of 1 or more vertebral bodies Fracture of pos- terior element (pedicle, lam- ina, articular process, trans- verse process) and burst fracture	0 Single- or multiple-lev- els fractures with no or minimal com- pression of any vertebral body: with or vithout pedicle and/ or posterior element frac- ture (<t5-mm displacement) Healed with or without surgical inter- vention; with no residual signs or symptoms</t5-mm 	2 2 4 6 8 Single- or multiple- level fractures with <25% compres- sion of any ver- tebral body; with or without bony retropulsion, with or without bony retropulsion, with or without bony retropulsion, with element fracture Healed, with or without surgery (including ver- tebroplasty or kyphoplasty) may have docu- mented resolved radiculopathy or nonverifiable radic- ular complaints at clinically appropri- ate level(s) ^b	9 10 11 12 14 Single- or multiple- level fractures with 25%-50% compres- sion of any ver- tebral body: with or without bony retropulsion; with or without pedicle and/or posterior element fracture Healed, with or without surgery (including vertebro- plasty or kypho- plasty or ky	15 17 19 21 23 Single-or multiple- level fractures with >50% compression of 1 vertebral body; with or without bony retropulsion; with or without posterior element fracture Healed, with or without surgical intervention; with residual deformity may have radicu- lopathy at a single clinically appropri- at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) ^a	25 27 28 29 30 Single- or multiple- level fractures with >50% compression of 1 vertebral body; with or without bony retropulsion; with or without pedicle and/or posterior element fracture Healed, with or without surgical intervention; with residual deformity may have docu- mented signs of bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy at the clinically appro- priate levels pres- ent at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade						
	See footnote ' on With signs of spins additional impairn	page 571. I cord injury or my nent.	elopathy: see Chapter 13	, The Central and Periphe	ral Nervous System, for c	alculating						

"a"= See Footnote on page 571

Bottom of Page 571

Footnote

SPONDYLOLISTH	SPONDYLOLISTHESIS									
SPONDYLOLISTH Spondylolisthesis	0 Spondylolysis or spondylolis- thesis at one or more levels on imaging studies with axial pain only	5 6 7 8 9 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery and	10 11 12 13 14 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery at a single level	15 17 19 21 23 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery at multiple levels	25 27 29 31 33 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery at multiple levels					
		with documented resolved radicu- lopathy or non- verifiable radicular complaints at clini- cally appropriate level, present at the time of examination	with documented signs of radiculopa- thy at the clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	with documented signs of radicu- lopathy at a single clinically appropri- ate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	with documented signs of bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)					

Note: The following applies to the <u>cervical</u>, thoracic, and lumbar spine grids: 1) Intervertebral disk herniation excludes annular bulge, annular tear and disk herniation on imaging without consistent objective findings of radiculopathy at the appropriate level(s) when most symptomatic. 2) When AOMSI is the diagnosis being rated, imaging is not included in the Net Adjustment Calculation, because imaging is used to confirm the diagnosis.

Bottom of Page 571

Footnote

Note: The following applies to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine grids:

1) Intervertebral disk herniation **excludes** annular bulge, annular tear, and disk herniation **on imaging** <u>without</u> **consistent objective** findings of radiculopathy at the appropriate level(s) when most symptomatic.

"appropriate level" would **EXCLUDE** remote **unrelated** radiculopathy findings from separate condition

You may choose to account for second level of remote radiculopathy by increasing GMCS – as per shoulder example

Case 2: Cervical Strain with Residual AMA Guides, 6th Edition

- In the AMA Guides 6th Edition,
 - The concept of non-verifiable radicular pain is retained.
 - Range of Motion is <u>no longer</u> rated.
 - NOT part of the required spine physical exam.
 - Unless <u>VERY</u> Severely Restricted, Motion
 <u>Does</u> <u>NOT</u> <u>Correlate</u> with Function/ADLs
 - Symptoms (Functional History) can be assessed with the PDQ (Pain Disability Questionnaire).







Non-Key Factors

- Functional History
 - Proper FH enables physician to determine the impact of a given spine-or-pelvis-related condition on basic function and activities as they pertain to ADLs
- Functional assessment tool <u>may</u> be used, example is <u>Pain Disabilities Questionnaire</u> (PDQ) is included in appendix.
- Physician is expected to weigh the patient's subjective complaints and score on the functional assessment tool, relative to the expected severity for the condition.
- The <u>grade modifier</u> that reflects functional assessment may or may not be <u>accepted</u> as a variable in the impairment calculation.
 - Examiner's choice depends on "believability".

Functional History: Spine

- <u>Concept</u>: adjusting the whole person impairment for function in <u>both</u> the <u>cervical</u> and the <u>lumbar</u> spine <u>double rates</u> the Functional History
- Use GMFH ONLY Once.
- IF there is BOTH LBP & Neck Pain, GMFH is used for the MORE severe impairment, and is "NOT Applicable" for the <u>other</u> spinal region.
- **Functional History grade** modifier should be applied **only** to the single, highest spine-related DBI if multiple regions are being rated. Specific jurisdictions may modify this process such that Functional History adjustment is considered for each DBI or not considered at all as a grade modifier." page 569

Functional History Modifiers

- What is **normal** activity ?? [NOT defined]
- Minor constant leg numbness could be grade 4 ("symptoms at rest"), or grade 1 ("no interference with normal activity")

<u> TABLE 17-6</u>									
Functional History Adjustment Spine									
Functional History Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4				
Activity	Asymptomatic; problem resolved; inconsistent symptoms	Pain; symptoms with strenuous/ vigorous activity	Pain; symptoms with <u>norma</u> l activity	Pain; symptoms with less-than- normal activity (minimal activity)	Pain; symptoms at rest, limited to sedentary activity				
PDQ or alterna- tive validated functional assess- ment, scaled appropriately	No disability 0	Mild disability 0–70	Moderate disability 71–100	Severe disability 101–130	Extreme disability 131–150				
Note: PDQ indicates P	ain Disabilities Questior	nnaire.							

Undefined words include: inconsistent symptoms" strenuous/vigorous activity normal activity" less than normal activity" limited to sedentary activity



To help us out, We May choose to use The Pain Disability Questionnaire

TABLE 17-6

Functional History Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Activity	Asymptomatic; problem resolved; inconsistent symptoms	Pain; symptoms with strenuous/ vigorous activity	Pain; symptoms with normal activity	Pain; symptoms with <u>less-than-</u> normal activity (minimal activity)	Pain; symptoms at rest, limited to sedentary activity
PDQ or alterna- tive validated functional assess- ment, scaled appropriately	No disability PDQ 0	Mild disability PDQ 0–70	Moderate disability PDQ 71–100	Severe disability PDQ 101-130	Extreme disability PDQ 131–150

Page 43, Appendix 3-1 Pain Disability Questionnaire Page 600, Figure 17-A Pain Disability Questionnaire (PDQ)

Patient Name:	Date:	
Instructions: These questions ask for your views about how your p Please answer every question and mark the ONE number on EACH	pain now affects how you function in eve I scale that best describes how you feel.	ryday activities.
1. Does your pain interfere with your normal work inside and outsi Work normally 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ide the home? Unable to work at all 9 10	
2. Does your pain interfere with personal care (such as washing, dr. Take care of myself completely 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	essing, etc.)? Need help with all my personal care 9 10	
3. Does your pain interfere with your traveling? Travel anywhere I like 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Only travel to see doctors 9 10	Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment
4. Does your pain affect your ability to sit or stand? No problems 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	Cannot sit / stand at all 9 10	Rebert D. Bandhadi Hinde Gamma - Michel H. Bart Sach Manz Kataria Manzala Manzam Ananata Angan
5. Does your pain affect your ability to lift overhead, grasp objects, No problems 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or reach for things? Cannot do at all 9 10	
6. Does your pain affect your ability to lift objects off the floor, ber No problems 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	nd, stoop, or squat? Cannot do at all 9 10	Page 43
7. Does your pain affect your ability to walk or run? No problems 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	Cannot walk / run at all 9 10	Chapter 3
8. Has your income declined since your pain began? No decline 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	Lost all income 9 10	Page 600
9. Do you have to take pain medication every day to control your p No medication needed 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ain? On pain medication throughout the day 9 10	Chapter 17
10. Does your pain force you to see doctors much more often than Never see doctors 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	before your pain began? See doctors weekly 9 10	
11. Does your pain interfere with your ability to see the people who No problem 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	o are important to you as much as you wo Never see them 9 10	uld like?
12. Does your pain interfere with recreational activities and hobbie No interference 0 7 8 -	s that are important to you? <i>Total interference</i> 9 10	
13. Do you need the help of your family and friends to complete ev and housework) because of your pain? <i>Never need help</i>	veryday tasks (including both work outside Need help all the time	the home
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -		
14. Do you now feel more depressed, tense, or anxious than before No depression / tension 0 7 7 8 -	your pain began? Severe depression / tension 9 10	
15. Are there emotional problems caused by your pain that interfer No problems 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 -	e with your family, social, and / or work ac Severe problems 9 10	tivities?
	Examiner	

P 600, Appendix 17-A, Pain Disability Questionnaire Instructions for administering and scoring 1. Reproduce the PDQ (Appendix 3-1) and ask the patient to complete all items on the questionnaire. 2. If necessary, the patient may complete the form with the assistance of a translator or reader. Be certain all 15 questions are answered. If the patient is unable to complete the PDQ, no functional assessment score will be given 3. The evaluating doctor will score the PDQ by adding together the marked integer in each question. 4. If the patient fails to mark a question, the default score for that question is 0. 5. Apply the final score to Table 17-A and consider this in the Steps of Assessment as described.

Aside: Questionnaires

- <u>Pencil and paper</u> questionnaires have been developed for a number of injuries and illnesses.
 - "VALIDATED" meaning researched, and if given to
 a <u>non</u>-compensation seeking population of patients before and after a treatment (for example, total knee replacement) the improvement after treatment measures the effect size of the treatment.

IMPORTANT CAVEAT

- The <u>concept</u> of giving a questionnaire to a compensation (\$\$) seeking patient and saying:
 - "Please fill this out.
 - The better you look on this questionnaire, the less money we will pay you.
 - The worse you look on this questionnaire the more money we will pay you.
 - -But, please fill this out honestly"
 - HAS NEVER BEEN TESTED !





Functional Adjustment: Spine

 "... and those with constant symptoms accompanied by <u>functional deficits</u> that persist despite treatment will be assigned grade 4 modifier." - page 569

- (severity of functional deficit NOT specified)

Functional History

- Example 2: PDQ = 80 points
- Grade 2 Functional History Modifier

TABLE 17-6

Functional History Adjustment: Spine

Functional History Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Activity	Asymptomatic; problem resolved; inconsistent symptoms	Pain; symptoms with strenuous/ vigorous activity	Pain; symptoms with normal activity	Pain; symptoms with less-than- normal activity (minimal activity)	Pain; symptoms at rest, limited to sedentary activity
PDQ or alterna- tive validated functional assess- ment, scaled appropriately	No disability 0	Mild disability 0–70	Moderate disability 71–100	Severe disability 101–130	Extreme disability 131–150
Note: PDQ indicates Pa	ain Disabilities Questior	nnaire.			

TABLE 17-7

Physical Examination Adjustment: Spine

Physical Examination Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Lumbar Neural Tension Signs	Negative straight leg raising test for radicular pain or invalid examination		Positive straight leg raising test, with reproducible radicular pain at 35°–70°	The highest g identified in e	rade modifier ach
Cervical Compression/ Foraminal Compression	Negative cervical compression/ foraminal compression		Positive cervical compression/foram- inal compression (Spurling's test) with reproducible radicular pain	adjustment gr for use in the adjustment ca	id is chosen net liculation.
Reflexes	Normal and symmetrical		New and asym- metrical abnormal- ity consistent with other radicular findings (ie, dif- ferentiate between old and new changes)	P 572	
Atrophy UE LE	<1 cm <1 cm	1.0–1.9 cm 1.0–1.9 cm	2.0–2.9 cm 2.0–2.9 cm	3.0–3.5 cm 3.0–3.5 cm	>3.5 cm >3.5 cm
Sensory Deficit	No loss of sensi- bility, abnormal sensation, or pain	Diminished light touch (with or without minimal abnormal sensa- tions or pain) in a clinically appropri- ate distribution, that is forgotten during activity	Diminished light touch (with some abnormal sensa tions or slight pain) in a clini cally appropriate distribution, that interferes with some activities	Decreased protec- tive sensibility (with abnormal sensations or moderate pain in a clinically appropri- ate distribution) that may prevent some activities	Absent superficial pain and tactile sensibility or absent protective sensibility (abnor- mal sensations, or severe pain) that prevents all activity
Motor Strength	Normal Active movement against gravity with full resistance (5/5)	Active movement against gravity and some resistance (4/5)	Active movement against gravity only, without resistance (3/5)	Active movement with gravity elimi- nated (2/5)	Slight contraction and no movement or no contraction (0–1/5)

Non-Verifiable Radicular Complaints p 576

Nonverifiable Radicular Complaints:

Although there are subjective complaints of a specific radicular nature, there are inadequate or **no** objective findings to support the diagnosis of radiculopathy.

Non-Verifiable Radicular Complaints p 576

Nonverifiable Radicular Complaints:

Nonverifiable radicular complaints are defined as <u>chronic persisting limb pain or numbness</u>, which is consistently and repetitively recognized in medical records in the distribution of a single nerve root that the <u>examiner can name</u>

... *preserved* sharp vs. dull <u>sensation</u> and <u>preserved</u> muscle <u>strength</u> in the muscles it innervates, is <u>not</u> <u>significantly</u> <u>compressed</u> on imaging, and is <u>not</u> <u>affected</u> on <u>electrodiagnostic</u> <u>studies</u> (if performed).

Jensen et al. BMC MSD 2015; 16: 374 DOI 10.1 186/s12891-015-0827-4



Focal protrusion with nerve root touch (left) or displacement (middle) and extrusion with nerve root compression (right)

Radiculopathy Definition: "Hidden" in PE section. Page 576

- <u>Subjective reports</u> of sensory changes are more difficult to assess; therefore, these complaints should be consistent and <u>supported by</u> <u>other</u> findings of radiculopathy.
 - ["It feels odd when you touch me there", yet perceives all stimuli IS **NOT necessarily** radiculopathy.]
- There <u>may be</u> associated motor <u>weakness</u> and <u>loss of reflex</u>. A <u>root tension sign</u> is usually positive. [NOT "MUST be"]

Sharp vs. Dull Perception







Amazon's Choice for "sewing pins with head" Dritz 120-Piece Long Pearlized Pins, 1-1/2-Inch by Dritz

\$3⁶¹ \$5.29 Add-on Item Add to a qualifying order to get it by Tomorrow, May 11.

More Buying Choices \$3.61 new (10 offers)



Singer Pearlized Head Straight Pins, 150-Count by Singer

\$3⁶⁸ *Prime* Get it by Tomorrow, May 11

More Buying Choices \$3.68 new (14 offers)

Sensory Exam: Instructions

- Close your eyes
- Each time you feel a touch on your leg, tell me 2 things by saying 2 words.
- Say "Close your eyes and tell me"
 - which leg felt the touch "Right" or "Left"
 - whether you were touched with the "Sharp" or the "Dull" side of the pin
 - For example: "Left, Dull" OR "Right, Sharp"

The Guides, 6th Edition Terms



Radiculopathy "Any pathological condition of a spinal nerve root, most commonly compression with or without inflammation, or less frequently another disorder such as traction, tumor, or infection. Radicular symptoms may include pain, numbress, tingling, and/or weakness in distribution of the nerve root, usually involving an upper or lower extremity. Physical findings are weakness of the involved myotome (muscles innervated by the nerve root), diminution in or loss of the corresponding muscle stretch reflex (if any), diminished sensation in the appropriate dermatome (area of skin supplied by the nerve root) and/or positive root tension signs. As commonly used, and for purposes of the Guides, radiculopathy requires the presence of radicular physical findings not just symptoms. AMA Guides, 6th Edition, Glossary P613-14

More Rules on Diagnosis: p 563

Common conditions related to **degenerative changes** in the spine, including abnormalities identified on imaging studies such as annular tears, facet arthropathy, and disk degeneration, do not correlate well with symptoms, clinical findings, or causation analysis and are **not** ratable according to the *Guides*.

Analogies

- "Of course you have <u>headache</u> You have GRAY HAIR on visual imaging."
- Gray Hair also correlates with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus





When you ORDER a MRI, <u>SAY</u>

- "You are old enough that we will see aging changes on your MRI.
- Here is a list of the aging changes commonly seen in volunteers who get a MRI done even though they say they have never had low back pain.
- You will see some of these words on your MRI report.
- My job is to figure out if the aging changes mean something, or <u>CORRELATE</u> with your symptoms "

Spine 2004; 29(23): 2679-2690, Battié

Influences on Lumbar Disc Degeneration • Battié et al 2681

Table 1. Prevalence of Disc-Related Degenerative Findings on MRI Images of the Lumbar Spine in "Asymptomatic Subjects"

Author, year	N	Age (years) [mean ± SD (range)]	Gender	Bulge	Protrusion	Extrusion	Reduced Signal Intensity	Reduced Disc Height	Annular Tears (HIZ)	Schmorl's Nodes
Salo, 1995	49	8 (0–14)	NA	_			22%	_	_	_
Gibson, 1986	20	19 (17–21)	50% M	_	—		20%			—
Tertti, 1991	39	15	44% M		3%		26%	3%		8%
Paajanen, 1989	34	20 ± 1	100% M	—	—		35%			—
Burns 1996	41	26 (21–31)	100% M	0–10% level	0–32%pe level	—	0–24% level	—	—	7–15% level
Weinreb, 1989	41	30 (19–40)	100% F	44	—	10%		—	—	—
Evans, 1989	59	30	52% M		—	—		37%	—	—
Schellhas, 1996	17	30 (22–54)	NA		—	0%	23%	—	6%	—
Weishaupt, 1998	60	35 (20–50)	50% M	20–28%	38–42%	18%		—	32–33%	—
Boos, 1995	46	36 (20–50)	74% M	51%	63%	13%	—			—
Stadnick, 1998	36	42 (17–71)	56% M	81%	33%	—	55%	—	56%	—
Boden, 1990	67	42 (20–80)	45% M	—	59%	24%	_	—	—	—
Boden, 1996 (L3S1)	67	42 (20–79)	NA	22% discs	—	—	54%	—	9% discs	—
Jensen, 1994	98	42 (20–80)	51% M	52%	27%	1%	—	—	14%	19%
Jarvik, 2001	148	54 (36–71)	78% M	64%	32%	6%	83%	56%	38%	—
Paajanen, 1997	216	(10–49)	51% M		—	—	44%	—	—	—
Parkkolla, 1993	60	(30–47)	NA	15% bpe		—		—	—	—
Danielson, 2001	43	(20–60)	49% M		26%					—
Hamanishi, 1994	106	(1–82)	NA							9%
Powell, 1986	302	(16–80)	100% F	11–13% bpe	—	—	6–79% age	—	—	—

NA = not available, % disc = % from discs studied; % level = % of subjects at a given intervertebral level; % age = % per age strata; bpe = bulges, protrusions, or extrusions; pe = protrusions or extrusions.

Note: no study of 'asymptomatic subjects' reported on the prevalence of vertebral rim osteophytes.

Brinjikji W, et al.

- Am J Neuroradiol
- AJNR 2015; 36(4): 811-6
- Systematic Review
- 33 articles
- 3110
 ASYMPTOMATIC individuals

Table 1: Estimated number of patients by age used to inform prevalence of degenerative spine imaging findings in asymptomatic patients^a

		Age (yr)								
Imaging Finding	20	30	40	50	60	70	80			
Disk degeneration	273 (9)	604 (16)	415 (12)	311 (10)	80 (4)	20 (2)	19 (2)			
Disk signal loss	46 (2)	142 (5)	352 (4)	73 (2)	35 (1)	15 (1)	14 (I)			
Disk height loss	15 (1)	163 (5)	186 (5)	208 (5)	35 (1)	15 (1)	14 (I)			
Disk bulge	55 (4)	101 (7)	151 (8)	123 (7)	66 (5)	24 (3)	22 (3)			
Disk protrusion	87 (5)	468 (14)	490 (14)	363 (12)	86 (5)	19 (2)	17 (2)			
Annular fissure	167 (5)	350 (5)	426 (7)	53 (3)	35 (3)	15 (1)	14 (I)			
Facet degeneration	0 (0)	0 (0)	596 (3)	53 (3)	35 (3)	15 (1)	14 (I)			
Spondylolisthesis	0 (0)	0 (0)	31 (1)	53 (I)	35 (1)	15 (1)	14 (I)			

^a The number of studies are in parentheses.

Table 2: Age-specific prevalence estimates of degenerative spine imaging findings in asymptomatic patients^a

	Age (yr)							
Imaging Finding	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	
Disk degeneration	37%	52%	68%	80%	88%	93%	96%	
Disk signal loss	17%	33%	54%	73%	86%	94%	97%	
Disk height loss	24%	34%	45%	56%	67%	76%	84%	
Disk bulge	30%	40%	50%	60%	69%	77%	84%	
Disk protrusion	2 9%	31%	33%	36%	38%	40%	43%	
Annular fissure	19%	20%	22%	23%	25%	27%	29%	
Facet degeneration	4%	9%	18%	32%	50%	69%	83%	
Spondylolisthesis	3%	5%	8%	14%	23%	35%	50%	

^a Prevalence rates estimated with a generalized linear mixed-effects model for the age-specific prevalence estimate (binomial outcome) clustering on study and adjusting for the midpoint of each reported age interval of the study.

Am J Neurorad 2014: ePub Ahead of Print 10.317A/ajnr.A4173

Table 2: Age-specific prevalence estimates of degenerative spine imaging findings in asymptomatic patients^a

	Age (yr)							
Imaging Finding	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	
Disk degeneration	37%	52%	68%	80%	88%	93%	96%	
Disk signal loss	17%	33%	54%	73%	86%	94%	97%	
Disk height loss	24%	34%	45%	56%	67%	76%	84%	
Disk bulge	30%	40%	50%	60%	69%	77%	84%	
Disk protrusion	29%	31%	33%	36%	38%	40%	43%	
Annular fissure	19%	20%	22%	23%	25%	27%	29%	
Facet degeneration	4%	9%	18%	32%	50%	69%	83%	
Spondylolisthesis	3%	5%	8%	14%	23%	35%	50%	

TABLE 17-4 Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments



Lumbar

TABLE 17-4 (CONTINUED) Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

LASS	CLASS O	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3	CLASS 4
IPAIRMENT ATING (WPI %)	0	1%-9%	10%-14%	15%-24%	25%-33%
ATING (WPI %) binal stenosis* nay include DMSI) obte: AOMSI cludes insta- lity (specifi- lly as defined the <i>Guides</i>), throdesis, iled arthrod- is, dynamic abilization or throplasty, combina- ons of those in ultiple-level inditions	0 Lumbar ste- nosis at 1 or more levels with axial pain only	1%-9% 5 6 7 8 9 Lumbar stenosis, at a single level or multiple levels, (with or without AOMSI) with medi- cally documented findings, with or without surgery (decompression) or with resolved previously docu- mented neurogenic claudication and may have docu- mented resolved radiculopathy at clinically appropri- ate level(s) or non- verifiable radicular complaints at clini- cally appropriate level(s), present	10%-14% 10 11 12 13 14 Lumbar stenosis, at a single level with or without AOMSI with medi- cally documented findings; with or without surgery (decompression) and documented inter- mittent neurogenic claudication (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy, but not claudication) may have docu- mented signs of radiculopathy at the clinically appro- priate level pres- ent at the time of examination with signs of cauda equina syndrome:	15%-24% 15 17 19 21 23 Lumbar stenosis, at multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medi- cally documented findings; with or without surgery (decompression) and documented neuro- genic claudication, walking limited to <10 minutes (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy, but not claudication) may have docu- mented signs of radiculopathy at a single clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination with signs of cauda	25%-33% 25 27 29 31 33 Lumbar stenosis, at multiple levels with or without AOMSI with medi- cally documented findings; with or without surgery (decompression) and severe neurogenic claudication and inability to ambu- late without assis- tive devices may have docu- mented signs of bilateral or multi- ple-level radiculop- athy at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination with signs of cauda equina syndrome:
		at the time of examination	use Chapter 13 to calculate additional impairment	equina syndrome: use Chapter 13 to calculate additional impairment	use Chapter 13 to calculate additional impairment
ONDYLOLISTHE	ESIS				
ondylolisthesis	0 Spondylolysis or spondylolis- thesis at one or more levels on imaging studies with axial pain only	5 6 7 8 9 Spondyiolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery and with documented resolved radicu- lopathy or non- verifiable radicular complaints at clini- cally appropriate level, present at the time of examination	10 11 12 13 14 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery at a single level and with documented signs of radiculopa- thy at the clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	15 17 19 21 23 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery at multiple levels and with documented signs of radicu- lopathy at a single clinically appropri- ate level present at the time of examination (see radiculopathy)	25 27 29 31 33 Spondylolisthesis with medically documented injury; with or without surgery at multiple levels and with documented signs of bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade

Note: The following applies to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine grids: 1) Intervertebral disk herniation excludes annular bulge, annular tear and disk herniation on imaging without consistent objective findings of radiculopathy at the appropriate level(s) when most symptomatic. 2) When AOMSI is the diagnosis being rated, imaging is not included in the Net Adjustment Calculation, because imaging is used to confirm the diagnosis.

Footnote "a" on page 571

 Note: The following applies to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine grids: 1) Intervertebral disk herniation excludes annular bulge annular tear and disk herniation on imaging without consistent objective findings of radiculopathy at the appropriate level(s) when most symptomatic.

More Rules on Diagnosis: p 563

Congenital anomalies such as spina bifida occulta, abnormal segmentation and conjoined nerve roots are not ratable as impairments. Developmental anomalies, including spondylolysis, some forms of spondylolisthesis, kyphosis and excessive lordosis or scoliosis are also <u>not</u> ratable.

There may be exceptions to these rules in some jurisdictions, related to aggravation of preexisting conditions.

New Concept: Chronic Axial pain CAN Now be Rated

- Class 1: 0-3% WPI [0,1,2,3,3]
- The percentage impairment within that range depends on functional assessment since there are <u>no</u> reliable physical examination or imaging findings in this group.
- [This means do <u>NOT</u> use Physical Exam or Clinical Studies as adjustment factors, use only functional history.]
- GMPE & GMCS are <u>NOT</u> applicable
Case 2: Cervical strain with residual

Chapter 17

Page 564, TABLE 17-2, Cervical Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

CLASS	CLASS O	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3
IMPAIRMENT RATING (WPI %)	0	1%-8%	9%–14%	15%–24%
SOFT TISSUE AND	O NON- SPECIFIC	CONDITIONS		
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent neck pain (also known as chronic sprain/ strain, symp- tomatic degen- erative disc disease, facet joint pain, chronic whiplash, etc)	0 Documented history of sprain/strain- type injury, now resolved, or occasional complaints of neck pain with no objective findings on examination	1 1 2 3 3 Documented history of sprain/strain-type injury with contin- ued complaints of axial and/or non- verifiable radicular complaints; similar findings docu- mented on mul- tiple occasions (see Section 17.2 General Considerations)		<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image/><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

New 6th Edition Category Spinal pain [p563] **WITHOUT** Objective Findings

- These patients have no objective findings and, therefore, are often given a diagnosis of "chronic sprain/strain" or "nonspecific" back or neck pain. The current methodology allows these patients to be rated in impairment class 1, with a range of impairment ratings from 1 to 3% whole person impairment (WPI).
- The percentage impairment within that range depends on functional assessment, since there are no reliable physical examination or imaging findings in this group.

Page 563

The patient who is rated in this impairment class (IC 1) and then presents with another episode that results in placement in this same impairment class (IC 1) may move up or down a grade within the class with each successive assessment at MMI. However, this patient would **not** be entitled to an **accumulation** of 1% or

2% WPI ratings, <u>or</u> placement in a different class, <u>unless</u> the diagnosis changed.

Page 563

That is, the patient might, after a second injury, move from grade B to grade C within Class 1, but successive evaluations of 1% or 2% WPI would not be added to increase the impairment beyond the maximum impairment assigned for grade E in that diagnostic impairment class. Thus, a person with a grade B or 1% impairment who sustains a similar, subsequent injury that is rated as grade D or 3% WPI would then have a 3% WPL

Page 563

In states where apportionment is appropriate, 1% impairment would have preexisted the new injury and 2% would be related to the new injury.

A person who has a grade C or 2% WPI who sustains a new injury, and still falls in grade A, B, or C, still has a 2% WPI, meaning there is **no new** impairment (0%) for the new injury.

Case 2: Cervical strain with residual

Chapter 17

Page 564, TABLE 17-2, Cervical Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

CLASS	CLASS O	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3
IMPAIRMENT RATING (WPI %)	0	1%–8%	9%–14%	15%–24%
SOFT TISSUE AND	NON- SPECIFIC	CONDITIONS		
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent neck pain (also known as chronic sprain/ strain, symp- tomatic degen- erative disc disease, facet joint pain, chronic whiplash, etc)	0 Documented history of sprain/strain- type injury, now resolved, or occasional complaints of neck pain with no objective findings on examination	1 1 2 3 3 Documented history of sprain/strain-type injury with contin- ued complaints of axial and/or non- verifiable radicular complaints; similar findings docu- mented on mul- tiple occasions (see Section 17.2 General Considerations)		<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

MOTION CECNENT LECIONC

Now that Diagnosis has established the Class

- Adjust the impairment from the "default" or grade C value by <u>considering</u>:
 - Functional History

Physical Exam

Clinical Studies

For "Non-specific axial pain the only adjustment is Functional History Case 2, Cervical Strain with Residual AMA Guides, 6th Edition

- Net Adjustment = GMFH CDX
- NA = 2 1 = +1
- Thus, Final rating is Class 1, Grade D, or 3% WPI



Interaction II. Register

Case 2: Cervical strain with residual

Chapter 17

Page 564, TABLE 17-2, Cervical Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments

CLASS	CLASS O	CLASS 1	CLASS 2	CLASS 3
IMPAIRMENT RATING (WPI %)	0	1%–8%	9%–14%	15%–24%
SOFT TISSUE AND	NON- SPECIFIC	CONDITIONS		
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent neck pain (also known as chronic sprain/ strain, symp- tomatic degen- erative disc disease, facet joint pain, chronic whiplash, etc)	0 Documented history of sprain/strain- type injury, now resolved, or occasional complaints of neck pain with no objective findings on examination	1 1 2 3 3 Documented history of sprain/strain-type injury with contin- ued complaints of axial and/or non- verifiable radicular complaints; similar findings docu- mented on mul- tiple occasions (see Section 17.2 General Considerations)		<image/> <image/> <image/> <image/> <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

MOTION CECNENT LECIONC



Case 3, Lumbar Radiculopathy

- Mr. C is a 40 year old who slips and falls at work and lands on his buttocks with immediate low back and left leg pain.
- He does not improve with time.
- He complains of pain and numbress in the left leg that goes all the way to the great toe.
- His pain worsens with activity.
- MRI shows a 8 mm left sided HNP at L4-5.
- 6 weeks after injury has

L4-5 left microdiscectomy.





Compression

Case 3, Lumbar Radiculopathy

- On exam at MMI:
 - Straight leg raising increases his left *leg* pain at 30° of elevation of the <u>left</u> leg, and at 40° of elevation of the <u>right</u> leg (positive crossed straight leg raising).
 - Retained sharp versus dull perception in the 1st dorsal web space (L5 dermatome area).
 - Subjective paresthesias in L5 dermatome
 - <u>Grade 4+/5 strength</u> in the Anterior Tibial muscle (mild foot drop gait). Does <u>not</u> have an AFO.
 - <u>2 cm of left leg atrophy</u>, 0.5 cm of thigh atrophy.

Case 3, Lumbar Radiculopathy

- <u>No</u> electrodiagnostic studies done.
- <u>No</u> post-op MRI done.
- Finished work conditioning and returned to work despite frequent low back and left leg pain to the foot (great toe).
 - Symptoms develop with **normal** activity, and especially at work.
- Taking naproxen and gabapentin.
 No medication side effects
- PDQ = 65
- WHAT IS THE IMPAIRMENT RATING ???

Case 3: Lumbar Radiculopathy AMA Guides, 6th Edition

- Very Similar to Example 17-13: Class 2 p 589-590
- Left L4-5 disc herniation with residual radiculopathy.





Residual ONE level radiculopathy

- Dorsiflexion weakness and leg pain.
- Table 17-4, page 570

MOTION SEGMENT LESIONS

Intervertebral	0	56789	10 11 12 13 14	15 17 19 21 23	25 27 29 31 33
disk herniation and/or AOMSI ^a	Imaging find-	Intervertebral	Intervertebral disk	Intervertebral disk	Intervertebral disk
	ings of inter-	disk herniation(s)	herniation and/or	herniations and/or	herniations and/or
Note: AOMSI	vertebral disk	or documented	AOMSI at a single	AOMSI at multiple	AOMSI, at multiple
includes	herniation	AOMSI, at a single	level with medically	levels, with medi-	levels, with medi-
instability	without a	level or multiple	documented find-	cally documented	cally documented
(specifically	history of	levels with medi-	ings; with or with-	findings; with or	findings; with or
as defined in	clinically	cally documented	out surgery	without surgery	without surgery
the Guides),	correlating	findings; with or	and	and	and
arthrodesis,	radicular	without surgery			
failed arthro-	symptoms	and	with documented	with or without	with documented
desis, dynamic		and a	residual radicul-	documented resid-	signs of residual
stabilization or		with documented	opathy at the clini-	ual radiculopathy	bilateral or
arthroplasty,		resolved radicul-	cally appropriate	at a single clinically	multiple-level
or combina-		opathy at clinically	level present at the	appropriate level	radiculopathy
tions of those in		appropriate level(s)	time of examina-	present at the time	at the clinically
multiple-level		or nonverifiable	tion (see Physical	of examination (see	appropriate levels
conditions		radicular com-	Examination	Table 17-7 to grade	present at the time
		plaints at clinically	adjustment grid in	radiculopathy)	of examination (see
		appropriate level(s),	Table 17-7 to grade		Table 17-7 to grade
		present at the time	radiculopathy)		radiculopathy)
		of examination ^a			

Example 17-13: Class 2

- Adjustment Grids:
 - Functional History: Grade modifier is 2 based on report of pain with normal activity.
 - Physical Exam: Grade modifier 2 for either positive SLR or for atrophy, <u>note</u> that 4/5 strength would only be grade modifier 1.
 - Clinical Testing: Grade modifier 2 as well.
 - The net adjustment is 0,
 - Impairment is grade 2, class C, which equals 12% WPI.



Functional History

- PDQ = 65
- Grade 2

	T	A	В	L	Е	1	7	- 6	5
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----	---

Functional History Adjustment: Spine

Functional History Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Activity	Asymptomatic; problem resolved; inconsistent symptoms	Pain; symptoms with strenuous/ vigorous activity	Pain; symptoms with normal activity	Pain; symptoms with less-than- normal activity (minimal activity)	Pain; symptoms at rest, limited to sedentary activity
PDQ or alterna- tive validated functional assess- ment, scaled appropriately	No disability 0	Mild disability 0–70	Moderate disability 71–100	Severe disability 101–130	Extreme disability 131–150
Note: PDQ indicates Pain Disabilities Questionnaire.					



TABLE 17-7

Physical Examination Adjustment: Spine

Physical Examination Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Lumbar Neural Tension Signs	Negative straight leg raising test for radicular pain or invalid examination		Positive straight leg raising test, with reproducible radicular pain at 35°–70°	The highest g identified in e	rade modifier ach
Cervical Compression/ Foraminal Compression	Negative cervical compression/ foraminal compression		Positive cervical compression/foram- inal compression (Spurling's test) with reproducible radicular pain	adjustment gr for use in the adjustment ca	id is chosen net liculation.
Reflexes	Normal and symmetrical		New and asym- metrical abnormal- ity consistent with other radicular findings (ie, dif- ferentiate between old and new changes)	P 372	
Atrophy UE LE	<1 cm <1 cm	1.0–1.9 cm 1.0–1.9 cm	2.0–2.9 cm 2.0–2.9 cm	3.0–3.5 cm 3.0–3.5 cm	>3.5 cm >3.5 cm
Sensory Deficit	No loss of sensi- bility, abnormal sensation, or pain	Diminished light touch (with or without minimal abnormal sensa- tions or pain) in a clinically appropri- ate distribution, that is forgotten during activity	Diminished light touch (with some abnormal sensa tions or slight pain) in a clini cally appropriate distribution, that interferes with some activities	Decreased protec- tive sensibility (with abnormal sensations or moderate pain in a clinically appropri- ate distribution) that may prevent some activities	Absent superficial pain and tactile sensibility or absent protective sensibility (abnor- mal sensations, or severe pain) that prevents all activity
Motor Strength	Normal Active movement against gravity with full resistance (5/5)	Active movement against gravity and some resistance (4/5)	Active movement against gravity only, without resistance (3/5)	Active movement with gravity elimi- nated (2/5)	Slight contraction and no movement or no contraction (0–1/5)

Clinical Studies: Spine (page 581)

TABLE 17-9

Clinical Studies Adjustment: Spine

Clinical Studies Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Imaging studies: Radiographs, bone scan, MRI Post-Op Study may Be Grade 0.	Imaging findings do not support symptoms or structural diagno- sis within normal limits <i>or</i> normal age- related changes <i>or</i> clinically insignifi- cant degenerative changes, or find- ings on the side opposite clinical presentation	This leaves radiculopathy	CT/MRI/other imaging findings consistent with clinical presen- tation, includ- ing evidence of AOMSI with seg- mental instability, fusion, or motion preservation device defined by region (see row below)	UNLESS Surgical "Oops" If a diagnosis is made, <u>imag</u> should be exe grade modifie ALSO include pseudarthrosi or spondylolis	Imaging evidence of major surgical complications, including infec- tion or major deformity of AOMSI, ing studies luded as a r. P 563 s stenosis s, fracture, thesis.
Electrodiagnostic testing	Normal		EMG evidence consistent with single nerve root radiculopathy		EMG evidence consistent with multiple nerve root radiculopathy
Note: CT indicates computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; AOMSI, alteration of motion segment integrity; and EMG,					

electromyographic.

Rules, Rules, Rules

- If a diagnosis of AOMSI, pseudarthrosis, fracture or spondylolisthesis
 <u>imaging studies should be excluded</u> as a grade modifier. P 563 & 577
- Lists do not include Spinal Stenosis, but logically should, as imaging is just as key a criterion for diagnosis.



When do you use Imaging as a GRADE Modifier ??

Category

Class 0, Every Diagnosis

Chronic Non-Specific Pain

Disc Herniation

AOMSI, Pseudarthrosis, Spinal Stenosis, Spondylolisthesis, Fracture, Dislocation

Deep Spinal Infection

Major surgical complications Yes (Broken or displaced implant)

Use Imaging ?

No, to exclude diagnoses

No (FH is the only GM)

Yes (consistent or not)

No, used in Class assignment.

Perhaps, if not draining

Example 17-13: Class 2

Class 2 Example Calculation					
CDX GMFH GMPE GMCS					
2 2 2 2					
Net adjustment					
(GMFH - CDX)(2 - 2) = 0					
+ (GMPE - CDX) + (2 - 2) = 0					
+ (CMCS $-$ CDY) $+$ (2 $-$ 2) $-$ 0					

Net adjustment = 0

Result is class 2 with an adjustment of 0; therefore, this impairment is class 2 default grade C, which equals 12% impairment

Note: CDX indicates class of diagnosis; GMFH, grade modifier for Functional History; GMPE, grade modifier for Physical Examination; and GMCS, grade modifier for Clinical Studies.



Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment

NUMBER OF STREET

Robert D. Rossinsli

Elabeli Sanna i Balani 1 Kas i Santi Mon Kalen Walla - Melanai Reares

finance Allegan

Key Point: Residual ONE level radiculopathy

MOTION SEGMEN	IT LESIONS				
Intervertebral	0	56789	10 11 12 13 14	15 17 19 21 23	25 27 29 31 33
disk herniation and/or AOMSIª	Imaging find- ings of inter-	Intervertebral disk herniation(s)	Intervertebral disk herniation and/ or	Intervertebral disk herniations and/ or	Intervertebral disk herniations and/or
Note: AOMSI includes	vertebral disk herniation	or documented AOMSI, at a single	AOMSI at a single level with medically	AOMSI at multiple levels, with medi-	AOMSI, at multiple levels, with medi-
(specifically as defined in	history of clinically	levels with medi- cally documented	ings; with or with- out surgery	findings; with or without surgery	findings; with or without surgery
the <i>Guides</i>), arthrodesis,	correlating radicular	findings; with or without surgery	and	and	and
failed arthro- desis, dynamic	symptoms	and	with documented residual radicul-	with or without documented resid-	with documented signs of residual
stabilization or arthroplasty, or combina-		with documented resolved radicul- opathy at clinically	opathy at the clini- cally appropriate level present at the	at a single clinically	bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy
tions of those in multiple-level conditions		appropriate level(s) or nonverifiable radicular com- plaints at clinically	time of examina- tion (see Physical Examination adjustment grid in	present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)	at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see
		appropriate level(s), present at the time of examination ^a	Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)		Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)

Case 3: Lumbar Radiculopathy AMA Guides, 6th Edition

- Final Rating Class 2, Grade C, or 12 % WPI
- Left L4-5 disc herniation with residual radiculopathy.



Gauge Lings







Anterior Approach









Example 4: Lumbar Fusion Non-specific Low Back Pain

- **Subject:** 52-year-old man.
- History: The patient had an onset of back pain and right thigh and calf pain after digging trenches to lay cable.
 - NO neurologic deficit, straight leg raising negative
 - He was treated with physical therapy and medications, without resolution of symptoms.
 - MRI showed a bulging disc with an annular fissure at L4-5
 - Flexion/extension X rays before surgery documented
 <u>NO</u> instability within the parameters described for AOMSI.
 - The patient was treated with a lumbar fusion at L4-5 one year prior to evaluation.

Example 4: Lumbar Fusion

- Current Symptoms at MMI: Reported "some" improvement in his back pain and <u>no</u> significant leg pain.
- Functional History: PDQ score of 120, consistent with severe disability. Pain with all ADLs, "prevents me from even sedentary work".
- Physical Exam: Decreased lumbar range of motion,
- "Positive" SLR test on the right at 30°
 <u>BUT</u> it increases only his low <u>back</u> pain.
- Normal neurologic exam.

Example 4: Lumbar Fusion

- Imaging: <u>Solid</u> L4-5 fusion with intact pedicle screw construct, and all screws appear to be in the pedicles.
- Medications: Sustained release opioids at 200 mg morphine equivalent daily, with carisoprodol at bedtime.
 - Denies any medication side effects.

AMA Guides, 4th Edition Criteria for Loss of Motion Segment Integrity are Radiographic







FIGURE 17-5 Loss of Motion Segment Integrity, Translation



A dot is placed at the posterior superior corner of the lower vertebra, and a separate dot is placed at the posterior-inferior corner of the upper vertebra. The distance (A) is measured as illustrated by the figure, using two intersecting lines. Measurements are obtained in flexion and extension, and the difference is calculated. A value greater than 2.5 mm in the thoracic spine, greater than 4.5 mm in the lumbar spine, and greater than 3.5 mm in the cervical spine qualifies as loss of structural integrity.

AMA 6 Method







Lines are drawn along the superior border of the vertebral body of the lower vertebrae and the superior border of the body of the upper vertebrae and the lines extended until they join. The angles are measured and subtracted. Note that lordosis (extension) is represented by a negative angle and kyphosis (flexion) by a positive angle. Loss of motion segment integrity is defined as motion greater than 15° at L1–2, L2–3, and L3–4 and greater than 20° at L4 to L5. Loss of integrity of the lumbosacral joint is defined as angular motion between L5 and S1 that is greater than 25°. The flexion angle is +8° and the extension angle is -18° . In the illustration, the flexion angle is $+8^\circ$. Therefore $(+8) - (-8) = +26^\circ$ and would qualify for loss of structural integrity at any lumbar level.

Case 4, Lumbar Fusion, 6th Edition

Fusion with pain but no radiculopathy @ MMI

Intervertebral
disk herniation
and/or <u>AOMSI</u> ª

Note: AOMSI includes instability (specifically as defined in the *Guides*), arthrodesis, failed <u>arthro-</u> <u>desis</u>, dynamic stabilization or arthroplasty, or combinations of those in multiple-level conditions 0 Imaging findings of intervertebral disk herniation without a history of clinically correlating radicular symptoms 5 6 7 8 9 Intervertebral disk herniation(s) or documented AOMSI, at a single level or multiple levels with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with documented resolved radiculopathy at clinically appropriate level(s) or nonverifiable radicular complaints at clinically appropriate level(s), present at the time of examination^a 10 11 12 13 14 Intervertebral disk herniation and/or AOMSI at a single level with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with documented residual radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination (see Physical Examination adjustment grid in Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) 15 17 19 21 23 Intervertebral disk herniations and/or AOMSI at multiple levels, with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with or without documented residual radiculopathy at a single clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) 25 27 29 31 33

Intervertebral disk herniations and/or AOMSI, at multiple levels, with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with documented signs of **residual** bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)

Case 4: Lumbar Fusion AMA Guides, 6th Edition

- 6th Edition has a different methodology to measure instability radiographically.
- 6th Edition retains the concept of "too little motion (surgery) qualifies" as Alteration of motion segment integrity (AOMSI).
- Thus, use the same diagnosis row for:
 - Radiculopathy from HNP, NO surgery
 - Radiculopathy from HNP, surgery
 - Discectomy with or without Fusion
 - Fusion with or without radiculopathy



Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment

> Robert D. Romalinelli Endedi Senerae i Robert I Sen i Seneri Robert Robert - Schwarz Romen Stragert Angen

Example 4: Lumbar Radiculopathy AMA Guides, 6th Edition

- Diagnosis: Status post lumbar fusion at L4-5 Impairment Rating: Regional Impairment: Diagnosis is consistent with "Intervertebral disk herniation and/or <u>AOMSI</u> at a single level or multiple levels with medically documented findings; with or without surgery,
- and
- with documented resolved radiculopathy the clinically appropriate level(s), <u>or</u> nonverifiable radicular complaints ..." and therefore, assigned to class 1 with default impairment of 7% WPI.


Example 4: Lumbar Radiculopathy AMA Guides, 6th Edition

 Some might argue, surgery is NOT to be considered in the 6th Edition ratings.

Page 570, Table 17-4 Lumbar Spine Regional Grid: Spine Impairments					
SOFT TISSUE AND NON-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS					
Non-specific chronic, or chronic recur- rent low back pain (also known as: chronic sprain/ strain, symptom- atic degenera- tive disc disease, facet joint pain, SI joint dysfunction, etc)	0 Documented history of sprain/ strain-type injury, now resolved, or occasional complaints of back pain with no objective findings on examination	0 1 2 3 3 Documented history of sprain/strain type injury with contin- ued complaints of axial and/or non- verifiable radicular complaints and sim- ilar findings on mul- tiple occasions (see Sec. 17.2, General Considerations)	No mention of Or of leg findir	leg symptoms, ngs.	

Example 4: Lumbar Fusion

- Current Symptoms: Reported some improvement in his back pain and no significant leg pain.
- Functional History: PDQ score of 120, consistent with severe disability. Pain with all ADLs, "prevents me from even sedentary work".

Physical Exam:

- Decreased lumbar range of motion, [NOT used in rating impairment]
- Positive SLR test on the right at 30° as it increases his low back pain.
- Normal neurologic exam.

TABLE 17-7

Physical Examination Adjustment: Spine

Physical Examination Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Lumbar Neural Tension Signs	Negative straight leg raising test for radicular pain or invalid examination		Positive straight leg raising test, with reproducible radicular pain at 35°–70°	Back Pain, No Leg pain	DT radicular
Cervical Compression/ Foraminal Compression	Negative cervical compression/ foraminal compression		Positive cervical compression/foram- inal compression (Spurling's test) with reproducible radicular pain		
Reflexes Normal	Normal and symmetrical		New and asym- metrical abnormal- ity consistent with other radicular findings (ie, dif- ferentiate between old and new changes)		
Atrophy UE LE NONE	<1 cm <1 cm	1.0–1.9 cm 1.0–1.9 cm	2.0–2.9 cm 2.0–2.9 cm	3.0–3.5 cm 3.0–3.5 cm	>3.5 cm >3.5 cm
Sensory Deficit Normal	No loss of sensi- bility, abnormal sensation, or pain	Diminished light touch (with or without minimal abnormal sensa- tions or pain) in a clinically appropri- ate distribution, that is forgotten during activity	Diminished light touch (with some abnormal sensa tions or slight pain) in a clini cally appropriate distribution, that interferes with some activities	Decreased protec- tive sensibility (with abnormal sensations or moderate pain in a clinically appropri- ate distribution) that may prevent some activities	Absent superficial pain and tactile sensibility or absent protective sensibility (abnor- mal sensations, or severe pain) that prevents all activity
Motor Strength Normal	Normal Active movement against gravity with full resistance (5/5)	Active movement against gravity and some resistance (4/5)	Active movement against gravity only, without resistance (3/5)	Active movement with gravity elimi- nated (2/5)	Slight contraction and no movement or no contraction (0–1/5)

Clinical Studies: Spine (page 581)

TABLE 17-9

electromyographic.

Clinical Studies Adjustment: Spine

Clinical Studies Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Imaging studies: Radiographs, bone scan, MRI	Imaging findings do not support symptoms or structural diagno- sis within normal limits or normal age- related changes or Clinically insignifi- cant degenerative changes, or find- ings on the side opposite clinical presentation		CT/MRI/other imaging findings consistent with clinical presen- tation, includ- ing evidence of <u>AOMS</u> I with seg- mental instability, fusion, or motion preservation device defined by region (see row below)	UNLESS Surgical "Oops" If a diagnosis is made, <u>imag</u> should be exe grade modifie ALSO include pseudarthrosi or spondylolis	Imaging evidence of major surgical complications, including infec- tion or major deformity of AOMSI, ing studies luded as a r. P 563 s stenosis s, fracture, thesis.
Electrodiagnostic testing	Normal		EMG evidence consistent with single nerve root radiculopathy		EMG evidence consistent with multiple nerve root radiculopathy
Note: CT indicates computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; AOMSI, alteration of motion segment integrity; and EMG,					

Example 17-14: Class 2

- Reported "some" improvement in his back pain and continued to experience symptoms even with sedentary activity, consistent with Grade 4
- Functional Assessment: The PDQ is 120 consistent with Grade 3.

TABLE 17-6 P 575 Functional History Adjustment: Spine					
Functional History Factor	Grade Modifier 0	Grade Modifier 1	Grade Modifier 2	Grade Modifier 3	Grade Modifier 4
Activity	Asymptomatic; problem resolved; inconsistent symptoms	Pain; symptoms with strenuous/ vigorous activity	Pain; symptoms with normal activity	Pain; symptoms with less-than- normal activity (minimal activity)	Pain; symptoms at rest, limited to sedentary activity
PDQ or alterna- tive validated functional assess- ment, scaled appropriately	No disability 0	Mild disability 0–70	Moderate disability 71–100	Severe disability 101–130	Extreme disability 131–150
Note: PDQ indicates Pain Disabilities Questionnaire.					

Functional History

The examiner <u>must</u> assess the **reliability** of the functional reports, recognizing the potential influence of behavioral and psychosocial factors.

If the grade for Functional History differs by two or more grades from that described by Physical Examination or Clinical Studies, the Functional History should be <u>assumed</u> to be <u>unreliable</u>.

If the Functional History is determined to be unreliable or inconsistent with other documentation or clinical findings, it is <u>excluded</u> from the grading process.

Example 17-14: Class 1

- Adjustment Grids:
 - <u>Functional History</u>: Grade modifier <u>3</u> or Grade <u>4</u>.
 - Note history is consistent with grade modifier 4 and PDQ score is consistent with grade 3 (assuming both are reliable, select highest value for net adjustment calculation).
 - <u>Physical Examination</u>: Grade modifier is <u>0</u> No findings.
 - <u>Clinical Testing</u> Not applicable AOMSI
- Thus, Functional History is 2 or more Grades higher than either Physical Exam or Clinical Studies and is excluded.
- No Grade Modifiers are applicable.
- Use Class 1, Grade C
 - From Row for AOMSI = 7 % WPI
 - From Row for Non-Specific Backache = 2 % WPI

My Bias: Call it AOMSI

• Lumbar fusion with poor result



MOTION SEGMENT LESIONS

Intervertebral disk herniation and/or AOMSI^a

Note: AOMSI includes instability (specifically as defined in the Guides), arthrodesis, failed arthrodesis, dynamic stabilization or arthroplasty, or combinations of those in multiple-level conditions Errata

Imaging findings of intervertebral disk herniation without a history of clinically correlating radicular symptoms

0

5 6 7 8 9 Intervertebral disk herniation(s) or documented AOMSI, at a single level or multiple levels with medically documented findings; with or

and

without surgery

with documented resolved radiculopathy at clinically appropriate level(s) or nonverifiable radicular complaints at clinically appropriate level(s), present at the time of examination^a 10 11 12 13 14 Intervertebral disk herniation and/or AOMSI at a single level with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with documented residual radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination (see Physical Examination adjustment grid in Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy) 15 17 19 21 23

Intervertebral disk herniations and/or AOMSI at multiple levels, with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with or without documented residual radiculopathy at a single clinically appropriate level present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)

25 27 29 31 33

Intervertebral disk herniations and/or AOMSI, at multiple levels, with medically documented findings; with or without surgery

and

with documented signs of residual bilateral or multiple-level radiculopathy at the clinically appropriate levels present at the time of examination (see Table 17-7 to grade radiculopathy)











Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment

Refer D. Restort

Eladeli George - Robert II. Nat. - Tanti Mane Robert Worlds - Malanted Texano

Shingle Lington

Page 43, Appendix 3-1 Pain Disability Questionnaire Page 600, Figure 17-A Pain Disability Questionnaire (PDQ)

Patient Name:	Date:
Instructions: These questions ask your views about how your pair Please answer every question and mark the ONE number on EAC	n now affects how you function in everyday activities. H scale that best describes how you feel.
1. Does your pain interfere with your normal work inside and outs	ide the home?
Work normally	Unable to work at all
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
2. Does your pain interfere with personal care (such as washing, dr	ressing, etc.)?
Take care of myself completely	Need help with all my personal care
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
3. Does your pain interfere with your traveling?	
Travel anywhere I like	Only travel to see doctors
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
4. Does your pain affect your ability to sit or stand?	
No problems	Cannot sit / stand at all
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
5. Does your pain affect your ability to lift overhead, grasp objects	or reach for things?
No problems	Cannot do at all
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
6. Deer your pain affect your ability to lift ablects off the fleer, be	nd steen ersquat3
No problems	Cannot do at all
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
7. Does your pain affect your ability to walk or run?	Cannot walking at all
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10
8. Has your income declined since your pain began?	
No decine 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Lost all income
	3 10
Do you have to take pain medication every day to control your	pain?
No medication needed	On pain medication throughout the day
0	y 10
10. Does your pain force you to see doctors much more often than	before your pain began?
Never see doctors	See doctors weekly
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10
11. Does your pain interfere with your ability to see the people wi	no are important to you as much as you would like?
No problem	Never see them
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
12. Does your pain interfere with recreational activities and hobbi	es that are important to you?
No Interference	Total Interference
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 9 10
13. Do you need the help of your family and friends to complete e	veryday tasks (including both work outside the home
and housework) because of your pain?	····,···, ······
Never need help	Need help all the time
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10
14. Do you now feel more depressed, tense, or anxious than befor	e vour pain began?
No depression / tension	Severe depression / tension
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10
15. Are there emotional problems caused by your pain that interfe	are with your family social and or work activities?
No problems	Severe problems

0 ----- 1 ----- 2 ------ 3 ------ 4 ------ 5 ------ 6 ------ 7 ------ 8 ------ 9 ------ 10

<u>Used</u> in the Pain Chapter to determine impairment

PDQ

Chapter 3: Pain

Degree of Pain-	Pain Disability	Whole Person
Related	Questionnaire ->	Impairment (%)
Impairment	(PDQ)	
None	0	0
Mild	1- 70	0
Moderate	71-100	1
Severe	101-130	2
Extreme	131-150	3

Chapter 3: Pain, p 39

- 3.3b Rating Impairment When Pain Accompanies Objective Findings of Injury or Illness That Permit Rating Using Another Chapter in the Guides
- The PRI system that was developed for the Sixth Edition of the *Guides* makes a basic distinction between assessing pain in conditions that can be rated according to principles outlined in Chapters 4 through 17, vs ones that cannot be rated. **The PRI system outlined in**

this chapter is used ONY if a patient presents with a painful condition and <u>cannot</u> <u>be rated according to</u> principles outlined in <u>Chapters 4 to 17</u>. should also be noted that patients' subjective experiences regarding their conditions are considered in the ratings described in Chapters 4 to 17.

Debate

- What if the 6th Edition has a clear methodology to rate an injury or illness, but the rating is ZERO Percent?
- Can you then go to the pain chapter to rate impairment??

Chapter 2

- 2.4d Pain and Suffering
- The impairment ratings in the body organ system chapters make allowance for most of the functional losses accompanying pain. It should

be recognized that a <u>zero</u> percent impairment rating in Chapters 4-17 is a numerical impairment <u>rating</u>. The broader impairment rating issues associated with pain are discussed in further detail in Chapter 3.



Enjoy Your Flight Home



May You Travel Safely