

## 1037 - Federal Programs Risk Assessment and Monitoring Procedures

The Tennessee Public Charter School Commission ("Commission") utilizes a risk assessment procedure to coordinate targeted monitoring activities of authorized charter schools to support compliance with federal programs requirements under Every Student Succeeds Act ("ESSA") and Individuals with Disabilities Education Act ("IDEA"). This document is designed to provide an overview of the methodology for calculating each school's risk of noncompliance for each of the included program areas:

- Homeless, Foster, and Migrant Programs;
- Parent and Family Engagement;
- English Learners and Immigrant Students;
- Special Education; and
- Fiscal.

Each section contains a brief description of the included federal program(s), a description of the thresholds used to designate a monitoring tier to schools, a table outlining each of the indicators contributing to a school's total risk score, and a table outlining monitoring activities by tier designation. The indicators table provides a specific description of each indicator, how points are assigned, the maximum points possible, and the source of data.

## **Tier Identification and Monitoring Activities**

Schools will be assigned a separate monitoring tier for each program area. Monitoring activities are targeted to specific tiers such that schools assigned a higher tier shall participate in additional or more comprehensive monitoring than schools assigned a lower tier. Tier designations and monitoring activities are specific to each program area, so a school may be assigned a lower risk tier for one program area and a higher risk tier for another program area based on the calculated risk of noncompliance for each.

Schools may automatically participate in Tier 3 monitoring activities across all applicable program areas – as determined appropriate by the Commission – during the following benchmark years within the charter term:

- First year of operation under the Commission;
- Interim review year (Year 5);
- Renewal review year (Year 9);
- If the school site is selected as part of a LEA Results-Based Monitoring (i.e., Level 3);

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 1 of 31



- If the school does not meet standard in one or more indicators on the School Performance Framework; and/or
- At any time a significant risk is identified through the course of regular monitoring and oversight.

### **Risk Assessment Timeline**

On an annual basis, the risk assessment process shall occur on the following timeline:

Month	Activity
May - June	Commission staff begin pulling data for risk calculations, review school tier identifications by program area
July	Tier identifications are finalized and communicated to schools
August	Commission staff provide training to schools on risk assessment process, tier identification, and monitoring activities for upcoming school year
August	Commission staff finalize monitoring schedule by program area and tier and schedule is shared with schools
August	Office hour schedules for each program area are finalized and shared with schools
August	If the Commission is selected for Level 3 LEA monitoring by the Tennessee Department of Education, schools selected for site visits will be informed of their elevated monitoring status
September - May	Commission staff conduct monitoring activities, office hours, and provide additional targeted supports as needed

### **LEA Results-Based Monitoring**

When the Commission is selected by the Tennessee Department of Education for Level 3 Results-Based Monitoring (RBM), at least two school sites are selected for additional documentation review and department-led site visits. If a school is selected by the department to participate in this monitoring process, the school will automatically be identified for Tier 3

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 2 of 31



monitoring in all categories for that fiscal year. If a school is selected by the department for Tier 3 monitoring in the year immediately preceding the school's interim review year or renewal review year, then the school will not be required to complete a full review as regularly scheduled.

#### **Additional Resources**

A resources page is included at the end of this document. This page includes links to the Commission LEA monitoring procedures and contact information for district staff according to each program area.

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 3 of 31



## **Homeless, Foster, and Migrant Programs**

This section includes indicators focused on the identification, enrollment, provision of services, and protection of rights for students experiencing homelessness, students in foster care, and migratory students. These indicators are based on requirements described in Titles I and IX of the Every Student Succeeds Act and the McKinney-Vento Act.

#### **Tier Identification Thresholds**

Schools are assigned a risk tier for this section based on the percent of earned points according to the following thresholds:

- Tier 1 is defined as schools earning less than 33% of possible points.
- Tier 2 is defined as schools earning between 33% and 67% of possible points.
- Tier 3 is defined as schools earning more than 67% of possible points.

### **Homeless, Foster, Migrant Indicators**

Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
School Homeless Point of Contact Experience	Number of years with a Commission authorized school:	5	Main Contact List (Reporting Calendar submission)
	<1 year of experience 5 points,		
	1-2 years of experience 2 points,		
	3+ years of experience 0 points		

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 4 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
School Foster Care Point of Contact Experience	Number of years with a Commission authorized school:	5	Main Contact List (Reporting Calendar submission)
	<1 year of experience 5 points,		
	1-2 years of experience 2 points,		
	3+ years of experience 0 points		
School Migrant Point of Contact Experience	Number of years with a Commission authorized school:	5	School Main Contact List (Reporting Calendar submission)
	<1 year of experience - 5 points,		
	1-2 years of experience 2 points,		
	3+ years of experience 0 points		
Number of Identified Students	One point per identified student; max 10 points.	10	EIS Data Report pulled by district staff in February; Enrollment Projections submitted by new and expanding schools through Pre-Opening
ESSA Complaints with Findings	Five points per substantiated complaint; max 10 points.	10	Substantiated administrative or parent complaints

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 5 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Best Interest Determination Meeting	Five points per failure to hold BID meeting in a timely manner; max 15 points.	15	BID meeting documentation (Reporting Calendar submission)
Procedures for Enrolling, Identifying, and Serving Students	One point per finding; max 5 points.	5	Federal Programs Subrecipient Monitoring Instrument
Improper Identification	Five points per finding of improper identification of students or failure to appropriately report identified students; max 15 points.	15	EIS Data Report, Student Information System, and school self-reported number of students.
Concerns Identified in Prior Year SPF or Performance Review	One point per concern; max 5 points.	5	School Performance Framework and/or Performance Review Letters
Staff Development	Five points if district- provided training not completed annually by registrar and school POC.	5	Homeless, Foster, and Migrant Programs training attendance log
Total Possible Points		80	

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 6 of 31



# **Monitoring Activities by Tier**

Monitoring Activities	Frequency	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Federal Programs Monitoring Instrument*	Annual	Х	X	X
Federal Programs Monitoring Supplement	Annual		Х	Х
School-Level Training Review	Annual		Х	Х
On-Site File Review	Annual			Х
Staff Interview	Annual			Х

<sup>\*</sup>Monitoring instrument may require review of all relevant policies, procedures, and processes

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 7 of 31



# **Parent and Family Engagement**

This section includes indicators focused on the requirements outlined in Title I of the Every Student Succeeds Act to meaningfully engage parents and families in their child's education.

#### **Tier Identification Thresholds**

Schools are assigned a risk tier for this section based on the percent of earned points according to the following thresholds:

- Tier 1 is defined as schools earning **less than 33%** of possible points.
- Tier 2 is defined as schools earning **between 33% and 67%** of possible points.
- Tier 3 is defined as schools earning more than 67% of possible points.

### **Parent and Family Engagement Indicators**

Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
20-Day Parent Notification	10 points if school fails to send required parent notification, notification is sent after the 10-day deadline, or documentation is not uploaded to the Reporting Calendar.	10	Reporting Calendar submission
Annual Title I Meeting	10 points if fewer than 25% of families attend the annual meeting; 20 points if meeting is not held by the district-provided deadline or documentation is not uploaded to the Reporting Calendar.	20	Reporting Calendar submission
Quarterly School Improvement Plan Meeting	5 points per quarterly meeting if meeting is not held, documentation is not uploaded to the Reporting Calendar, or a required stakeholder is not present.	20	Reporting Calendar submission

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 8 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
School Parent Compact	5 points if district-provided feedback is not addressed in final compact; 5 points if no evidence of opportunity for parent and family feedback.	10	Reporting Calendar submission
Parent and Family Engagement Policy	5 points if no evidence of annual evaluation of policy with opportunity for parent and family feedback; 10 points if policy is not shared annually; 20 points if school does not have a parent and family engagement policy.	20	Reporting Calendar submission
Translation and Interpretation	10 points if school does not provide translation and interpretation services pursuant to federal requirements.	10	Federal Programs Monitoring
Policies Shared Publicly	10 points if school's policies are not shared on the website or annually in the student/family handbook; 10 points if translations are not available.	20	Reporting Calendar submission; Federal Programs Subrecipient Monitoring Instrument
Parent Representation in Governing Board	10 points if no active parent representative (with a child currently enrolled) on board or an active advisory school council with at least one parent representative, in alignment with T.C.A. 49-13-109(a).	10	Reporting Calendar submission

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 9 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Concerns Identified in Prior Year SPF or Performance Review	One point per identified concern not included in the indicators above; maximum of 5 points.	5	School Performance Framework and/or Performance Review Letters
Total Possible Points		125	

# **Monitoring Activities by Tier**

Monitoring Activities	Frequency	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Federal Programs Monitoring Instrument*	Annual	Х	X	Х
Federal Programs Monitoring Supplement	Annual		X	Х
District Attendance at SIP Meeting	Annual		X	
District Attendance at SIP Meeting	Semi-Annual			Х
In-Depth Review of Policy, Compact, Handbook, and Title I Meeting Presentation, including evidence of family engagement	Annual			X

<sup>\*</sup>Monitoring instrument may require review of all relevant policies, procedures, and processes

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 10 of 31



## **English Learners and Immigrant Students**

This section includes indicators focused on the identification, provision of services, and protection of rights for English Learners (EL) and Immigrants students according to the requirements described in Title III of the Every Student Succeeds Act.

#### **Tier Identification Thresholds**

Schools are assigned a risk tier for this section based on the percent of earned points according to the following thresholds:

- Tier 1 is defined as schools earning less than 25% of possible points.
- Tier 2 is defined as schools earning **between 25% and 50%** of possible points.
- Tier 3 is defined as schools earning **greater than 50%** of possible points.

### **English Learners and Immigrant Students Indicators**

Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data	
EL Coordinator Experience	Number of years with a Commission authorized school in an EL program role:	5		School Main Contact List (Reporting Calendar submission)
	<1 year of experience 5 points,			
	1-2 years of experience 2 points,			
	3+ years of experience 0 points			
English Language Proficiency		20	School accountability files from TDOE	
Assessment (ELPA) Performance	60%+ earns 0 points,			
renormance	50-59.9% earns 5 points,			
	40-49.9% earns 10 points,			
	25-39.9% earns 15 points,			
	<25% earns 20 points.			

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 11 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
EL Subgroup Academic Performance (ELA)	School comparative performance to resident district in ELA, aligned with prior year School Performance Framework:  Meets or Exceeds 0 points,  Does Not Meet 5 points,  Falls Far Below 10 points	10	School Performance Framework  Note – in the event of an extended data embargo, will use most recent available accountability data and update upon official release
EL Subgroup Academic Performance (Math)	School comparative performance to resident district in Math, aligned with prior year School Performance Framework:  Meets or Exceeds 0 points,  Does Not Meet 5 points,  Falls Far Below 10 points	10	School Performance Framework  Note – in the event of an extended data embargo, will use most recent available accountability data and update upon official release
Title III Complaints with Findings	Five points per substantiated complaint; max 10 points.	10	Substantiated administrative or parent complaints
Screening of English Learners	Inappropriate screening of students causing a student to miss services for 30 or more days after enrollment.  1-9 instances = 5 points;  10+ instances = 10 points	10	State-Approved English Proficiency Screener, TN PULSE, EIS Data Report, Student Information System

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 12 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Identification of English Learners	Incorrect classification causing a student to miss services for 30 or more days after enrollment. 1-9 instances = 5 points; 10+ instances = 10 points	10	State-Approved English Proficiency Screener, TN PULSE, EIS Data Report, Student Information System
Desktop Monitoring Findings	Points are assigned based on total number of items of non-compliance as a percent:	50	English Learner Desktop Monitoring Results
	0-9.99% non-compliance is 0 points,		
	10-19.99% non-compliance is 10 points,		
	20-29.99% non-compliance is 30 points,		
	30% or greater non-compliance is 50 points (max).		
Direct Services	20 points assigned for failure to provide service schedule providing required minutes for daily ESL instruction by licensed ESL teacher	20	Service schedule (Reporting Calendar submission)
Staffing and Licensure	10 points assigned for failure to meet 1:35 ESL-endorsed teacher to L/W/T1/T2 student ratio, and/or for staffing vacancies that impact compliance	10	Personnel Report (Reporting Calendar submission)

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 13 of 31



5	Federal Programs Subrecipient Monitoring Instrument
10	EL training materials and participation logs (Reporting Calendar submission)
20	TPCSC On-Site Monitoring Report, Federal Programs Site Visit Report, Quarterly Review Letters
10	School Performance Framework
	20

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 14 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
EL Population Size	School English Learner population (L/W/T1/T2) is:	20	EIS Data Reports
	0-24.99% of overall student population 0 points		
	25-34.99% of overall student population 10 points		
	35% or greater of overall student population 20 points		
Student Comparative Population	School Limited English Proficient population (L/W/T1/T2/T3/T4) compared to the resident district average:	10	EIS Data Reports, TN District Report Card data (Note: lagging year district data)
	>5 percentage points below resident district average 0 points,		
	5-10 percentage points below resident district average 5 points,		
	>10 percentage points below resident district average 10 points		
Staff Attrition	10 points assigned if there is greater than 50% turnover of EL staff during prior year or between prior and current year.	10	Personnel Reports (Reporting Calendar submission)
Concerns Identified in Prior Year SPF or Performance Review	One point per identified concern not included in the indicators above; maximum of 5 points.	5	School Performance Framework and/or Performance Review Letters

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 15 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Total Possible Points		245	

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 16 of 31



# **Monitoring Activities by Tier**

Monitoring Activities	Frequency	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Federal Programs Monitoring Instrument*	Annual	Х	X	Х
Federal Programs Monitoring Supplement	Annual		X	Х
On-Site Cumulative File Review	Annual			Х
Desktop Monitoring	Annual	Х		
Desktop Monitoring	Semi-Annual		X	
Desktop Monitoring	Three times per year			X
Special Populations Meetings	Monthly		Х	Х
Special Populations Meetings	Quarterly	Х		
On-Site Direct Service Observation and Interview (in conjunction with Authorizing Site Visit)	Annual	X		
On-Site Direct Service Observation and Interview	Annual		Х	
On-Site Direct Service Observation and Interview**	Semi-Annual			X
Supplementary Program Interviews	Annual			Х

<sup>\*</sup>Monitoring instrument may require review of all relevant policies, procedures, and processes

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 17 of 31

<sup>\*\*</sup>The second monitoring visit may be conducted virtually in lieu of an on-site visit, if deemed appropriate by the Commission



# **Special Education**

This section includes indicators focused on the identification, provision of services, and protection of rights for students with disabilities according to the requirements described in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and Section 504 of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

#### **Tier Identification Thresholds**

Schools are assigned a risk tier for this section based on the percent of earned points according to the following thresholds:

- Tier 1 is defined as schools earning less than 25% of possible points.
- Tier 2 is defined as schools earning **between 25% and 50%** of possible points.
- Tier 3 is defined as schools earning greater than 50% of possible points.

### **Special Education Indicators**

Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Special Education Coordinator Experience	Number of years with a Commission authorized school in a special education role:	5	School Main Contact List (Reporting Calendar
	>1 years of experience 5 points,		submission)
	1-3 years of experience 2 points,		
	<3 years of experience 0 points		
504 Coordinator Experience	Number of years with a Commission authorized school:	5	School Main Contact List (Reporting
	>1 years of experience 5 points,		Calendar submission)
	1-3 years of experience 2 points,		Subinission)
	<3 years of experience 0 points		

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 18 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
SWD Subgroup Academic Performance (ELA)	School comparative performance to resident district in ELA, aligned with prior year School Performance Framework:  Meets or Exceeds 0 points,  Does Not Meet 5 points,  Falls Far Below 10 points	10	School Performance Framework  Note – in the event of an extended data embargo, will use most recent available accountability data and update upon official release
SWD Subgroup Academic Performance (Math)	School comparative performance to resident district in Math, aligned with prior year School Performance Framework:  Meets or Exceeds 0 points,  Does Not Meet 5 points,  Falls Far Below 10 points	10	School Performance Framework  Note – in the event of an extended data embargo, will use most recent available accountability data and update upon official release
Alternate Assessment Participation	10 points assigned if percent of students participating in Alternate Assessment is greater than 1% of the overall student population.	10	TN PULSE Alternate Assessment participation report

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 19 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Desktop Monitoring Findings (Special Education and 504)	Points are assigned based on total number of items of non-compliance as a percent:  0-9.99% non-compliance is 0 points,  10-19.99% non-compliance is 10 points,  20-29.99% non-compliance is 30 points,  30% or greater non-compliance is 50 points (max).	50	Special Education Desktop Monitoring Results
Isolation and Restraint Reporting	2 points assigned per failure to report instance of isolation or restraint within mandated timeline, max 10 points.	10	TN PULSE
IDEA or 504 Complaints with Findings	Five points per substantiated complaint; max 10 points.	10	SY substantiated administrative or parent complaints
TPCSC Site Visit	2 points assigned per IDEA or 504 related finding, max 20 points	20	TPCSC On-Site Monitoring Report, Quarterly Review Letters
Provision of Student Services	2 points assigned for each failure to provide services in a timely manner after a student's enrollment, or for student services that are scheduled inappropriately, max 20 points	20	Student Service Schedule (Reporting Calendar submission); TN Pulse transfer data

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 20 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Staffing and Licensure	10 points assigned for failure to provide services with appropriately licensed or credentialed staff, and/or for staffing vacancies that impact compliance.	10	Personnel Report (Reporting Calendar submission)
Procedures for Enrolling, Identifying, and Serving Students (including Child Find)	2 points per finding; max 10 points.	10	SY Federal Programs Subrecipient Monitoring Instrument
IDEA and 504 Timeline Compliance	5 points assigned per instance of failure to maintain compliance with statutory timelines, max 50 points.	50	TN PULSE
Staff Development	10 points assigned if school-level Special Education training does not meet all state and district-level requirements.	10	SPED training materials and participation logs (Reporting Calendar submission)
Student Attrition	School retention of students with disabilities compared to overall student retention rate, based on prior year School Performance Framework:	10	School Performance Framework
	Meets or Exceeds 0 points,		
	Does Not Meet 5 points,		
	Falls Far Below 10 points		

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 21 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Staff Attrition	10 points assigned if there is greater than 50% turnover of special education staff during prior year or between prior and current year.	10	Personnel Reports (Reporting Calendar submission)
Student Comparative Population	School student with disability population compared to the resident district average:	10	EIS Data Reports, TN District Report Card data
	0 points if <5 percentage points below resident district average		
	5 points if 5-10 percentage points below resident district average		
	10 points if >10 percentage points below resident district average		
Concerns Identified in Prior Year SPF or Performance Review	One point per identified concern not included in the indicators above; maximum of 5 points.	5	School Performance Framework and/or Performance Review Letters
Total Possible Points		265	

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 22 of 31



# **Monitoring Activities by Tier**

Monitoring Activities	Frequency	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Federal Programs Monitoring Instrument*	Annual	Х	Х	Х
Federal Programs Monitoring Supplement	Annual		Х	Х
On-Site File Review	Annual			Х
Desktop Monitoring	Annual	Х		
Desktop Monitoring	Semi-Annual		Х	
Desktop Monitoring	Three times per year			Х
Special Populations Meetings	Monthly		Х	Х
Special Populations Meetings	Quarterly	Х		
On-Site Direct Service Observation and Interview (in conjunction with Authorizing Site Visit)	Annual	Х		
On-Site Direct Service Observation and Interview	Annual		Х	
On-Site Direct Service Observation and Interview**	Semi-Annual			Х
Supplementary Program Interviews	Annual			Х

<sup>\*</sup>Monitoring instrument may require review of all relevant policies, procedures, and processes

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 23 of 31

<sup>\*\*</sup>The second monitoring visit may be conducted virtually in lieu of an on-site visit, if deemed appropriate by the Commission



#### **Fiscal**

This section includes indicators focused on the management of grant funds and equipment and inventory purchased using grant funds according to the fiscal requirements of the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) and the district's Uniform Grants Guidance procedures.

#### **Tier Identification Thresholds**

Schools are assigned a risk tier for this section based on the percent of earned points according to the following thresholds:

- Tier 1 is defined as schools earning less than 33% of possible points.
- Tier 2 is defined as schools earning **between 33% and 67%** of possible points.
- Tier 3 is defined as schools earning more than 67% of possible points.

#### **Fiscal Indicators**

Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Grant Draw-Down Rate	Points are assigned according to the following timeline:  5 points if school fails to submit reimbursement request(s) for available grants on a monthly basis; max 50 points.	50	Grant Reimbursement Tracker
On-Time Reporting	Points are assigned according to the following:  2 points per fiscal report submitted late within one week of the deadline,  5 points per fiscal report submitted more than one week after the deadline, Max 50 points.	50	Fiscal Year Closeout submissions

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 24 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Fiscal Policies and Procedures	5 points per finding; max 50 points.	50	Federal Programs Subrecipient Monitoring Instrument
Reimbursement Documentation	2 points assigned per instance of missing or inappropriate reimbursement supporting documentation, max 50 points.	50	Grant Reimbursement Tracker
Procurement	5 points assigned per failure to comply with Davis Bacon Act or other federal or state procurement requirements and procedures, max 50 points.	50	Federal Programs Subrecipient Monitoring Instrument, Fiscal Year Closeout submissions
Inventory	5 points assigned per missing inventory or equipment; 5 points assigned for incomplete inventory and equipment logs; max 20 points.	20	Fiscal Year Closeout inventory log submission; On-Site Monitoring
Maintenance of Effort	25 points assigned for failure to meet IDEA maintenance of effort eligibility or compliance requirement, max 50 points.	50	GP SPED Budget, Comprehensive Final Expenditure Report
Concerns Identified in Prior Year SPF or Performance Review	One point per identified concern not included in the indicators above; maximum of 5 points.	5	School Performance Framework and/or Performance Review Letters

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 25 of 31



Indicator	Description	Max Points	Source of Data
Total Possible Points		325	

# **Monitoring Activities by Tier**

Monitoring Activities	Frequency	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Federal Programs Monitoring Instrument*	Annual	Х	X	Х
Federal Programs Monitoring Supplement	Annual		X	Х
Comprehensive Final Expenditure Report Verification	Annual		X	X
On-Site Equipment and Inventory Review	Annual			Х
Procurement Audit	Annual			Х
Fiscal Staff Interviews	Annual			Х

<sup>\*</sup>Monitoring instrument may require review of all relevant policies, procedures, and processes

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 26 of 31



### Resources

### **Commission Contact Information**

Name	Email	Areas of Focus
Maggie Stampley, Director of School Programs and Supports	Maggie.Stampley@tn.gov	Federal Programs Monitoring State Grant Programs
Claire Seguin, Federal Programs Coordinator	Claire.Seguin@tn.gov	Federal Programs Monitoring Voluntary Pre-K Title I Parent and Family Engagement School Improvement Planning Extended Learning Turnaround Action Grant (TAG) Students Experiencing Homelessness Students in Foster Care Migratory Students
Katie Bridges, Exceptional Education Coordinator	Katie.Bridges@tn.gov	IDEA and Section 504 Special Education  Dyslexia
John Bowyer,  English Learner Program  Coordinator	John.Bowyer@tn.gov	Title III English Learners and Immigrant Students
Melanie Harrell, Director of Finance and Operations	Melanie.Harrell@tn.gov	Fiscal Monitoring and Supports General Purpose Funds (TISA)

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 27 of 31



Gomer Pascual,	Gomer.Pascual@tn.gov	Grant Reimbursements
Finance Programs Manager		Fiscal Year Closeout Process
Noelle Farley, <i>Grant Coordinator</i>	Noelle.Farley@tn.gov	Grant Reimbursements Fiscal Year Closeout Process

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 28 of 31



### **Policy and Procedure Links**

Commission policies and procedures can be accessed at the <u>Rules and Policies page on the Commission website</u>. Monitoring and reporting processes can be found in the following procedure documents. Relevant <u>LEA Policies</u> and <u>LEA Procedures</u> are listed below:

### Homeless, Foster, and Migrant:

- Enrollment Procedures
- Homelessness Procedures
- Foster Care Procedures
- Migrant Education Program Procedures

### Parent and Family Engagement:

- Commission Charter School Handbook
- Translation and Interpretation Procedures
- Title I School Planning Procedures
- District Parent and Family Engagement Plan

### English Learners and Immigrant Students:

- Enrollment Procedures
- English Learners Monitoring Procedures
- Individual Learning Plan (ILP) Monitoring Procedures

# Special Education:

- 504 Plan Monitoring Procedures
- Child Find Procedures
- Special Education Monitoring Procedures
- Preschool LRE Procedures
- Students with Disabilities Discipline Procedures
- Gifted Students Procedures

#### Fiscal

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 29 of 31



- Procurement Procedures
- Uniform Grants Guidance Policies and Procedures
- IDEA Maintenance of Effort Procedures

#### Other

- Special Populations On-Site Monitoring Procedures
- Reporting Calendar Procedures
- Grievance and Complaints Procedures

Version: 7/1/2025 Page 30 of 31