TO: Chancellors, Presidents, and Education Deans  
Tennessee Institutions of Higher Education

FROM: Mike Krause

SUBJECT: Request for Proposals for Diversity in Teaching Grants

DATE: February 7, 2019

The Tennessee Higher Education Commission is pleased to provide you with the 2019-2021 Request for Proposals (RFP) for the state funded Diversity in Teaching (DIT) Grant Program. The purpose of this competitive matching grant program is to increase the number of qualified teachers from underrepresented groups who are committed to diversity as an instructional tool and entering the teaching profession in grades K-12.

Projects must be developed by a public or private higher education institution with a state-approved teacher education program in cooperation with a local education agency. Program proposals must emphasize curriculum and retention strategies to enable students to progress through teacher education programs, on passing the PRAXIS examination, and ultimately, on obtaining licensure.

THEC anticipates awarding three to five DIT projects up to $50,000 annually for an individual institution, with a maximum 2 year program cost of $100,000. Awards will be contingent upon appropriation of funds by the State Legislature in its FY 2019 and FY 2020 appropriation. A dollar-for-dollar match is required. Project proposals are required to match state appropriated funds under this RFP with institutional, private or local funds and/or in-kind services.

All materials must be returned submitted by 4:30 p.m. (CST) on April 5, 2019. Please e-mail an electronic copy in PDF format of the proposal to Mr. Corey Gheesling at Corey.Gheesling@tn.gov

Thank you for your interest in this important program and THEC looks forward to receiving your submissions.
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Introduction

Every two years, the Tennessee Higher Education Commission administers the Diversity in Teaching (DIT) Program. This grant provides funds on a competitive basis to Tennessee colleges and universities for the development of programs which increase the number of K-12 teachers in Tennessee from underrepresented groups with a commitment to diversity as an instructional tool.

THEC anticipates awarding three to five General Competition projects up to $50,000 annually for an individual institution, with a maximum 2 year program cost of $100,000. The grant period will be from July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2021.

Basic Requirements:

- An institution may submit no more than one single institution and one consortium proposal. Consortium proposals must include another Tennessee located postsecondary institution.
- Projects must include an official partnership with a local education agency (LEA).
- A dollar-for-dollar match is required.
- Funds from THEC must be directed to student support.
- Institutional funds, private or local funds, and/or in-kind services can all be used for the match.
- Funds for personnel, etc. must be derived from the matching funds.

THEC requests program directors submit the Notice of Interest via email to THEC by 4:30 PM (CST) on Friday, March 8, 2019. To answer questions and provide further information regarding final proposals, THEC will provide a Technical Assistance conference call on March 15, 2019 at 2:00 p.m. (CDT). Final proposals are due on Friday, April 5, 2019 at 4:30 PM (CDT).

Prospective applicants are strongly encouraged to participate in the March 15, 2019 conference call. Call information is:

Conference Dial-in Number: (866) 531-9321
Participant Access Code: 5477

THEC strongly recommends program directors to submit a Notice of Interest to submit a proposal. To complete this task, program directors should email the following information:

- Institution;
- Program Director/Co-Director;
- Proposed title of the project;
- Brief overview of the project; and
- Proposed funding requested.
Participating Teacher Education Students

- Teacher education enrollment is defined as the number of students officially accepted into the institution’s or cooperating institution’s teacher education program.
- Tennessee residents should be given preference as participants. All participants should be vigorously encouraged to remain in Tennessee as practicing K-12 teachers.

Funding Priorities

- Funding should be requested for student support rather than personnel or administrative costs. Absent a very strong argument, all state-awarded funds should be utilized for student support with funds for personnel, etc. being derived from the match. Student support is limited to tuition, licensure fees, and some instructional materials.
- Awards will be contingent upon appropriation of funds by the state legislature in THEC’s FY 2019 and FY 2020 appropriation.

Program Design

Programs must be time-efficient. Priority will be given to proposals in which students graduate and complete their teacher licensure programs within two years of their initial participation in the program.

- Provide a timeline of all program activities including admissions, marketing, and projected test completion by students.
- Provide program curriculum.
Partnership with the Local Education Agency

One key goal of the DIT Program is to establish collaborative coalitions between institutions of higher education (IHE) and local education agencies (LEA) or school districts. Evidence of the partnership should include letters of commitment or memorandums of understanding, as well as a specific plan for the partnership between the IHE and LEA. The letter of commitment or memorandum of understanding from the LEA should detail the types of teacher education students will receive, the collaborative efforts towards marketing the program to district employees, and also encourage possible employment and practicum skills development for pre-service teachers. The plan must:

- Describe the make-up of the LEA, its needs, and a plan on how this proposed program can help meet those needs.
- Describe a plan of agreement between the IHE and the LEA to place teacher education students in the school system at some level. For example, the agreement may be that students from this program will student teach in this LEA or be highly considered for employment upon graduation.

Recruitment Proposal

All proposals must provide a specific plan for identifying, recruiting, and selecting students to participate in the DIT program. This plan must include:

- The type and number of students sought for program participation. Examples are: teacher aides, substitute teachers, college graduates pursuing initial teacher license, or veterans.
- A description of the selection criteria for student participants. This should include a detailed description of the method used to assess the student’s commitment to diversity as an instructional tool.
- A narrative and timeline of marketing and admissions activities for prospective students. This should include evidence of collaboration with LEAs.

Retention Proposal

All proposals must provide a specific plan for retaining students that are selected into the DIT program. This plan must include:

- The types of services made available to the students to ensure successful completion of the program.
- A detailed contingency plan to retain students who contemplate leaving the program before completion.
- A defined plan to identify and mentor any Diversity in Teaching fellowship students currently enrolled in the institution's teacher education program.

Plan for Successful Test Completion

With the significant number of teacher education students failing to pass the PRAXIS or edTPA through multiple attempts, this proposal must outline plans to raise first-attempt PRAXIS and edTPA pass rates:

- Describe in detail how the program will prepare students for the PRAXIS series testing and edTPA assessment.
- Students will only be eligible for PRAXIS funding on the first attempt per PRAXIS exam. If a student fails the first attempt, subsequent test fees (for the same exam) cannot be covered through DIT grant funding.
- Institutions that are applying for funding immediately following a current DIT project must disclose their Praxis passage rate during the previous academic year, and if necessary, propose methods of raising that rate.

Internal Evaluation Plan

All proposals are required to provide an evaluation design that indicates the process and tools by which the outcomes and effectiveness of the project will be assessed. Proposals must include a specification of performance benchmarks in the evaluation plan. Proposals must address how the findings of these evaluations will be disseminated (i.e., conference proceedings, journal publications, etc.) and program directors selected for award should be prepared to present their findings at a meeting convened by THEC in January 2020. THEC reserves the right to directly contact workshop participants regarding their experiences.
1) **Quality of the Program Design**  
   15 points

   a) Curricula of the program reflects the most accurate and current pedagogy
   b) Project is efficient (students will be licensed within 2 years of admission into the program)
   c) Project will increase the number of teachers committed to diversity as an instructional tool
   d) Project will increase the number of teachers from underrepresented groups
   e) Project will increase the pool of eligible, highly-qualified, K-12 teachers in the discipline areas of greatest need
   f) Project will increase the pool of K-12 teachers in underrepresented areas of Tennessee

2) **Strength of the Partnership with the Local Education Agency**  
   20 points

   a) Program will meet the needs of the local education agency
   b) Program has structured collaboration with the local education agency (e.g. observation, practicum, student teaching experiences)

3) **Quality of Recruitment Plan**  
   20 points

   a) Services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to meet the needs of the intended recipients or beneficiaries
   b) Project will focus on serving or otherwise addressing the needs of non-traditional students and those students with non-education focused backgrounds.

4) **Quality of Retention Plan**  
   20 points

   a) Project provides a plan to support the students accepted into the program
   b) Project provides a detailed plan to retain students in jeopardy of early exit from the program
   c) Project identifies current teachers and successful teacher education students and includes a plan to incorporate a mentorship opportunity for DIT participants with teachers and other licensure candidates in an effort to increase retention in the DIT program.
5) **Quality of the Plan For Successful Test Completion**  
10 points

a) Program will prepare students to successfully complete teacher licensure exams on the first-attempt.
b) Program has a plan to help students that make multiple attempts to obtain a passing score on the teacher licensure exams (Note: multiple test attempts cannot be funded through the DIT grant.)

6) **Quality of Internal Evaluation Plan**  
15 points

a) Methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project
b) Methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible
c) Methods of evaluation are appropriate to the context within which the project operates
d) Plan will conduct follow-up evaluations on the participants and reports the results of such evaluations in final and continued reporting
e) Plan will include deliverables on lessons learned and future directions to be shared with fellow grantees and future cohorts
Please note that the maximum page length for the proposal is 10 pages, excluding the cover page, table of contents, abstract, budget, bibliography, and curriculum vitae. All applications should contain the following elements on numbered, typed, and double-spaced pages with one-inch margins and 12 point font.

The grant proposal submission deadline is 4:30PM CDT, on Friday, April 5, 2019. Submissions should be emailed in PDF format to Corey Gheesling at corey.gheesling@tn.gov. The application must include:

- Cover Sheet *(Appendix A)*
- Table of Contents
- One Page Abstract/Project Summary
- Program Proposal
  1. Program Design
  2. Partnership with the Local Education Agency
  3. Recruitment Proposal
  4. Plan for Successful Test Competition
  5. Internal Evaluation Plan
- Bibliography - All cited references must be included in bibliography
- Budget Form and Narrative *(Appendix B)*
- One page curriculum vitae for all faculty and staff involved

**Notification**

Once each proposal has been received, a notice will be sent to each director. If you do not receive your notice within one week of submitting your proposal, please contact Corey Gheesling at 615-253-7474. It is the sole responsibility of the submitting institution to verify receipt of the proposal.
Proposal Review and Award Process

All projects will be awarded on a competitive basis. An advisory committee will be assembled to evaluate all proposals and make recommendations to the Executive Director of the Commission.

Project proposals will be distributed to the advisory committee upon closure of the RFP time period. The DIT Advisory Committee will convene on April 22, 2019. Each proposal will be assigned a lead discussant who will give an overview of the proposal and moderate the committee’s discussion. The proposals will be ranked on funding priorities. The projects will be assigned funding based on the ranking until all funds are assigned. The committee will also recommend any required conditions for funding. The committee’s recommendation will be presented to the THEC Executive Director for approval.

Title VI Compliance

The Tennessee Higher Education Commission operates all programs and activities free from discrimination on the basis of sex, color, race, religion, national origin, age, marital status, pregnancy, or disability.

For information on alternative formats available for this and other department publications, please contact the Department ADA Coordinator at 615-741-7571. Any person who believes he/she has been discriminated against should write to:

Brett Gipson
Deputy General Counsel
Tennessee Higher Education Commission
404 James Robertson Parkway, Suite 1900
Nashville, TN  37243-0830
Funding

THEC reserves the right to fund a proposal in full or in part, to request additional information to assist in the review process, to reject any of the proposals responding to the RFP, and to re-issue the RFP and accept new proposals if the DIT Advisory Committee determines that doing so is in the best interest of the State of Tennessee.

All costs incurred in preparation of a proposal shall be borne by the lead applicant. Proposal preparation costs are not recoverable from grant funds.

THEC reserves the right to withhold funding if at any point the program is not adhering to the requirements of the state-funded program, applicable laws and regulations, and stated results and outcomes or the goals and objectives declared in this RFP. THEC staff reserves the right to attend any training or project activity to ensure the fidelity of the program.

State Use of Work Products

The State of Tennessee shall have royalty-free and unlimited rights or license to use, disclose, reproduce, publish, distribute, modify, maintain, or create derivative works from, for any purpose whatsoever, all work products created, designed, developed, derived, documented, installed, or delivered under this grant subject to the relevant terms that will be included in the grant contract. Furthermore, all grant projects are subject to inclusion in the state’s Electronic Learning Center.
Tennessee Higher Education Commission  
2019-2021 Diversity in Teaching Program  
Grant Timeline

February 8, 2019  
Announcement of request for proposals to Chancellors, Presidents, Deans, and Colleges of Education

March 8, 2019  
Recommended Notice of Interest Deadline

March 15, 2019  
Technical assistance call with THEC staff @ 2:00 pm (CDT)

April 5, 2019  
4:30 pm (CDT) deadline for receipt of all proposals at THEC

April 12, 2019  
Proposals circulated to Advisory Committee

April 22, 2019  
Advisory Committee meets to evaluate proposals

April 25, 2019  
Approval of grant recommendations by THEC Executive Director  
Grant applicants are notified of their selection

May 2, 2019 10:00 AM – 1:00 pm (CDT)  
Mandatory Project Director’s workshop at THEC office
NAME OF INSTITUTION

2019-2021 Diversity in Teaching Grant Program

Program Title

Institution of Higher Education Name

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH
LEA name

PROGRAM DIRECTOR NAME
Co-Director
Name, Mailing Address

Director's Telephone:

Director's E-mail Address:

Funding requested:

_____________________________                                          ______________________________
President/Chancellor                                             Program Director
## Appendix B - Budget

**2019-21 Diversity in Teaching Grant**

The grant budget line-item amounts below shall be applicable only to expense incurred during the following:

**Applicable Period:** BEGIN: July 1, 2019 END: June 30, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY 03 Object Line-item Reference</th>
<th>EXPENSE OBJECT LINE-ITEM CATEGORY</th>
<th>GRANT CONTRACT</th>
<th>GRANTEE MATCH</th>
<th>TOTAL PROJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Salaries, Benefits &amp; Taxes</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 15</td>
<td>Professional Fee, Grant &amp; Award 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10</td>
<td>Supplies, Telephone, Postage &amp; Shipping, Occupancy, Equipment Rental &amp; Maintenance, Printing &amp; Publications</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11, 12</td>
<td>Travel, Conferences &amp; Meetings</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Interest 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Specific Assistance To Individuals</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Depreciation 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Other Non-Personnel 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Capital Purchase 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Indirect Cost</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>In-Kind Expense / Grantee Match Requirement (for any amount of the required Grantee Match that is not specifically delineated by budget line-items above)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>GRAND TOTAL</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2. Applicable detail follows this page if line-item is funded.

3. A Grantee Match Requirement is detailed by this Grant Budget, and the maximum total amount reimbursable by the State pursuant to this Grant Contract, as detailed by the “Grant Contract” column above, shall be reduced by the amount of any Grantee failure to meet the Match Requirement.
### GRANT BUDGET LINE-ITEM DETAIL:

#### SALARIES, BENEFITS & TAXES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific, Descriptive, Detail (Repeat Row As Necessary)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PROFESSIONAL FEE, GRANT & AWARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific, Descriptive, Detail (Repeat Row As Necessary)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SUPPLIES, TELEPHONE, POSTAGE & SHIPPING, OCCUPANCY, EQUIPMENT RENTAL & MAINTENANCE, PRINTING & PUBLICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific, Descriptive, Detail (Repeat Row As Necessary)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OTHER NON-PERSONNEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific, Descriptive, Detail (Repeat Row As Necessary)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### IN-KIND EXPENSE / GRANTEE MATCH REQUIREMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific, Descriptive, Detail (Repeat Row As Necessary)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grant Budget Line – Item Detail

**Line 1  Salaries And Wages**
On this line, enter compensation, fees, salaries and wages paid to grant program staff.

**Line 2  Employee Benefits & Payroll Taxes**
Enter (a) the organization's contributions to pension plans and programs such as health, life and disability insurance; and (b) the organization's portion of payroll taxes such as social security and Medicare taxes and unemployment and workers’ compensation insurance.

**Line 4  Professional Fees**
Enter the organization's fees to outside professionals, consultants, part-time staff and personal-service contractors. *(A detailed description is required in the Grant Budget Line-Item Detail if this line-item is funded.)*

**Line 5  Supplies**
Enter the organization's expenses for office supplies.

**Line 6  Telephone**
Enter the organization's expenses for telephone, cellular phones, beepers, telegram, FAX, E-mail, telephone equipment maintenance and other related expenses.

**Line 7  Postage And Shipping**
Enter the organization's expenses for postage, messenger services, overnight delivery and outside mailing service fees.

**Line 8  Occupancy**
Not applicable.

**Line 9  Equipment Rental And Maintenance**
Enter the organization's expenses for renting and maintaining computers, copiers, postage meters, other office equipment and other equipment, except for telephone, truck and automobile expenses.

**Line 10  Printing and Publications**
Enter the organization’s expenses for producing printed materials, purchasing books and publications for the direct use of the organization. *(Printed materials in support of conferences and meetings should be reported on Line 12, and printed materials and books purchased specifically for individuals should be reported on Line 15.)*

**Line 11  Travel**
Enter the organization's expenses for faculty and staff travel, including transportation, meals and lodging and per diem payments.
Line 12  Conferences and Meetings
Enter the organization’s expenses for conducting or attending meetings, conferences and conventions.

Line 13  Interest
Not Applicable.

Line 14  Insurance
Not Applicable.

Line 15  Grants and Awards
Enter the organization's awards, grants, subsidies and other pass-through expenditures to individuals and to other organizations, including travel, transportation, meals and lodging, stipend payments and equipment allowances. (A detailed description is required in the Grant Budget Line-Item Detail if this line-item is funded.)

Line 16  Specific Assistance to Individuals
Not Applicable.

Line 17  Depreciation
Not Applicable.

Line 18  Other Nonpersonnel Expenses
NOTE: Expenses reportable on lines 1 through 17 should not be reported in an additional expense category on line 18. Enter the organization’s allowable expenses for advertising, promotions, and, recruiting. Include the organization's and employees' membership dues in associations and professional organizations. Include testing fees for software licenses, testing, permits, registrations, etc. (A detailed description is required in the Grant Budget Line-Item Detail if this line-item is funded.)

Line 20  Reimbursable Capital Purchases
Enter the organization’s purchases of fixed assets and other purchases with a minimum life expectancy of one year. (A detailed description is required in the Grant Budget Line-Item Detail if this line-item is funded.)

Line 22  Administrative Expenses (Indirect Cost)
This amount is intended to cover costs associated with administrative functions including providing the required project reports, financial information and information to support project evaluation.

Line 24  In-Kind Expenses/ Grantee Match
In-Kind Expenses is for grantee reporting of the value of contributed resources applied to the program not specifically expressed Grant Budget.

Line 25  Total Expenses
The sum of all grant expenses goes on this line.
### Appendix C - Scoring Rubric

**2019-2021 Diversity in Teaching Grant Program**

**Scoring Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Reviewer Score</th>
<th>Comments/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of the Project Design</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extent to which the curricula of the program reflect the most accurate and current pedagogy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extent to which the project is efficient (students will be licensed within 2 years of admission into the program).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extent to which the project will increase the number of teachers committed to diversity as an instructional tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extent to which the project will increase the number of teachers from underrepresented groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extent to which the project will increase the pool of eligible, highly-qualified, K-12 teachers in the discipline areas of greatest need</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extent to which the project will increase the pool of K-12 teachers in underrepresented areas of Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring Range**
1 – Proposal states objectives but does not connect with the RFP
7 – Proposal states objectives and connects with priorities but lacks detail
15 – Proposal provides detailed and clear connections between project objectives and the priorities of the RFP
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Reviewer Score</th>
<th>Comments/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strength of the Partnership with K-12 LEAs and Schools</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the program will meet the needs of the local education agency.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the program has structured collaboration with the local education agency (observation, practicum, student teaching experiences).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Range</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – Partnerships with LEAs are not stated or clearly defined, or lack the required members</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Partnerships with LEAs stated but lacks justification for given partnership and explanation of partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – Partnerships clearly defined and describe structured collaboration, LEA partnership is clearly described and LEA certifies it will play an active role in involving students. Official agreement and/or MOU is included with local LEA is included in the proposal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Recruitment Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to meet the needs of the intended recipients or beneficiaries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the proposed project will focus on serving or otherwise addressing the needs of non-traditional students and those students with non-education focused backgrounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Range</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – Recruitment plan is included but gives no details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Recruitment plan is included but lacks detail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – Plan included and provides details as to how the program will recruit students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Criteria</td>
<td>Maximum Points</td>
<td>Reviewer Score</td>
<td>Comments/Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of the Plan for Successful Test Completion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the program will prepare students to successfully complete teacher licensure exams on the first-attempt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the program has a plan to help students that make multiple attempts to obtain a passing score on the teacher licensure exams (Note: multiple test attempts cannot be funded through the DIT grant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Range</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – Plan included but omits details to back up test completion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Plan is included but lacks details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – Plan is included and links program objectives with test completion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of Retention Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the project provides a plan to support the students once accepted into the program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the project provides a detailed plan to retain students in jeopardy of early exit from the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the project identifies current teachers and successful teacher education students and includes a plan to incorporate a mentorship opportunity for DIT participants with teachers and other licensure candidates in an effort to increase retention in the DIT program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoring Range</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – Retention plan is included but gives no details.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Retention plan is included but lacks detail.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Plan included and provides details as to how the program will retain students.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Criteria</td>
<td>Maximum Points</td>
<td>Reviewer Score</td>
<td>Comments/Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of the Project Evaluation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the methods of evaluation are appropriate to the context within which the project operates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Extent to which the plan will conduct follow-up evaluations on the participants and reports the results of such evaluations in final and continued reporting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Includes plan for the creation of deliverables to transmit lessons learned and future directions to be shared with the present and future cohorts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring Range**

1 – Evaluation plan has been partially described and is missing the evaluation method that the program will use

7.5 – Evaluation plan has been described but lacks detail

15 – Evaluation plan is included, fully described, and directly tied into program objectives

**Total Score:** _______ (out of 100)

**Reviewer Signature:**

________________________________________________________________________

Name                                                                                                          Date

**Overall Comments:**