Jamestown, Tennessee

## Jamestown Complete Streets Plan

August 2021







Prepared By



GreshamSmith.com 615.770.8100

222 Second Avenue South Suite 1400 Nashville, TN 37201

Cover Photo Credit: "Buildings along Main Street" copyright (c) 2016 by Brian Stansberry is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License. Based on a work at https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/8/81/Jamestown-Main-Street-tn1.jpg



## Contents

Jamestown, Tennessee Draft Complete Streets Plan

Section 1.0
Overview
Section 2.0
Issues and Opportunities5
Section 3.0
Development and Evaluation of Alternatives
Section 4.0
Recommendations
Section 5.0
Implementation
Appendices
Appendix A. Public Engagement Summary ii
Appendix B. ADA Assessment Technical Memorandum .viii
Appendix C. Cost Estimates xvii

#### Figures

Figure 1-1. Complete Streets Plan Study Area	2
Figure 2-1. Existing Sidewalk Network	. 6
Figure 2-2. Jamestown ADA Deficiencies Example Map	.10
Figure 4-1. Jamestown Complete Streets Plan Recommendations	.14
Figure 4-2. High-Visibility Crosswalk Example	.16
Figure 4-3. Updated Sidewalk Network Following Recommendations	. 17
Figure 4-4. Updated Sidewalk Network Recommendation Locations	.18
Figure 4-5. Smith Street - Recommendation	.19
Figure 4-6. Norris Street - Recommendation	.19
Figure 4-7. Central Avenue - Existing	20
Figure 4-8. Central Avenue - Recommendation	20
Figure 4-9. Main Street North - Existing	.21
Figure 4-10. Main Street North - Recommendation	.21
Figure 4-11. Main Street South - Existing	22
Figure 4-12. Main Street South - Recommendation	22
Figure 4-13. Main Street with Turn - Existing	23
Figure 4-14. Main Street with Turn - Recommendation	23
Figure 5-1. Jamestown Complete Streets Prioritization Framework	26

#### Tables

Table 5-1. Grant Funding Programs	
-----------------------------------	--

#### **SECTION 1.0**

## Overview

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) established the Community Transportation Planning Grant (CTPG) Program to assist rural communities with planning efforts that define the transportation cohesiveness between multimodal transportation systems and local land use objectives that achieve statewide transportation goals. Additionally, the program connects governments with planning resources to apply for funding of projects that promote economic growth.

Jamestown is one of the recipients of the 2019-2020 Community Transportation Planning Grant Program. This report documents the findings and recommendations of the Downtown Jamestown Complete Streets Plan. This report documents the findings and recommendations of the Downtown Jamestown Complete Streets Plan.

## Jamestown Community Overview

Jamestown was established in 1823 as the county seat for Fentress County, Tennessee. The city is located along the western edge of the Cumberland Plateau and falls within two (2) watersheds – the Big South Fork of the Cumberland River watershed in the east and the Upper Obed River watershed in the west.

Jamestown was established as a community along the Big South Fork National Recreation Area (NRA). The city provides easy access to the NRA as well as the landmark York Gristmill. Today visitors and residents alike travel to Jamestown to partake in recreation activities such as camping, hiking, fishing, horseback riding, and boating. The city also serves as a gateway to Pickett Civilian Conservation Corps Memorial State Park and Dale Hollow Lake.

Currently, the downtown contains several key attractions, including municipal facilities such as City Hall, the Chamber of Commerce, and post office. Located adjacent to the downtown is York Elementary School, the Jamestown library, senior center, Upper Cumberland Human Resources Agency (UCHRA) Office, and miscellaneous places of worship.

Figure 1-1 shows the plan's focus area, bounded by Church Street to the north, Rugby/Livingston Avenue to the south, Smith Street to the west, and Norris Street to the east. The study area also includes Central Avenue (SR 52) from Mark Twain Avenue to Potter Street and Main Street from Church Street to School Avenue.

Figure 1-1. Complete Streets Plan Study Area



Overview

## Downtown Jamestown Revitalization Efforts

Today, the city is actively pursuing an economic development strategy that will leverage its assets to support long-term growth and development. Key to this strategy is the revitalization of downtown to appeal to residents and visitors alike. As part of this initiative, Jamestown is focused on improving pedestrian safety and comfort by adding sidewalks, increasing existing sidewalk widths, adding crosswalks, and improving ADA facilities. These updates, coupled with an economic development strategy, will support revitalization of the downtown as a place that supports users of all ages and abilities. Officials with the city of Jamestown applied for the Façade Improvement Program in 2019. The Tennessee Façade Improvement Program provides grants of up to \$100,000 to municipalities that can illustrate the need for a façade program and the ability to execute an effective design plan for said improvements. Jamestown won the grant funding and is using it to update local business frontage in an attempt to revitalize the community.

Jamestown officials' attempts at securing grant funding (via the Façade Improvement Grant and the Community Transportation Planning Grant) are the first steps to revitalizing the downtown to support walkability and economic prosperity.



#### **SECTION 2.0**

## Issues & Opportunities

Pedestrian facilities are an important component of the basic transportation framework of any community, allowing people of all ages and abilities access to destinations, goods, and services. Pedestrian facilities also play an important role in serving as public spaces, particularly in compact downtown districts. These twin functions, pedestrian facilities as transportation and public space underpin the key issues and opportunities identified in downtown Jamestown.

#### Key issues and opportunities include:

- Improve Pedestrian User Safety and Comfort along Downtown Sidewalks;
- Enhance Access to Local Businesses, Civic Services, and Schools;
- · Provide Safe Crossings of Downtown Streets;
- Ensure Facilities are **Suitable** for Users with Disabilities; and
- Calm Traffic Traveling Through Downtown.

Pedestrian facilities as transportation and public space underpin the key issues and opportunities identified in downtown Jamestown.

### Improve Pedestrian User Safety and Comfort along Downtown Sidewalks

Roadways in downtown Jamestown can be characterized as tight spaces with sidewalks that extend from the curb or edge of pavement up to existing store fronts. The already-tight sidewalks often contain utility or light poles and are not consistently present throughout the study area. Figure 2-1 shows sidewalk location and approximate width for the study area. Approximate sidewalk width varies with most sidewalks spanning four (4) to five (5) feet in width. Church Street and Main Street between Mark Twain Avenue and Central Avenue have wider sidewalks, closer to six (6) and seven (7) feet. Also notable is the gap in the network located in the northern portion of the study area and along Central Avenue and Norris Street.

Past guidance supported sidewalk widths of four (4) feet; however, most recent guidance (FHWA) suggests sidewalks maintain a width of five (5) feet. Additionally, the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) recommends five (5) foot sidewalks for safety and ADA compliance. Most sidewalks in Jamestown meet the past standard of four (4) feet but do not meet the current standard of five (5) feet. To meet ADA compliance and provide for a safer pedestrian experience, Jamestown should focus on increasing all sidewalks that are four (4) feet in width.





Figure 2-1. Existing Sidewalk Network

### Enhance Access to Local Businesses, Civic Services, and Schools

Downtown Jamestown is bustling with shops, municipal facilities, parks, and schools. Downtown attractions include City Hall, the post office, Chamber of Commerce, the Mark Twain Park, York Elementary School, and numerous places of worship. Figure 2-1 shows the plan's focus area in relation to local attractions. The abundance of attractions in the downtown emphasize the need for ample parking and sidewalks.

A survey conducted in relation to the Jamestown Complete Streets Plan asked respondents to rank the importance of increasing parking downtown. The results showed that 38.8% of respondents ranked increased parking as very important. The same survey asked participants to rank four (4) ideas by priority. The top idea ranked was the need to repair existing sidewalks followed by the need for new sidewalks. Additionally, local officials have noted that parking in Jamestown is limited and sidewalk widths are small. A quick visual analysis of parking in Jamestown shows a need for parking in the southern portion of the downtown, particularly near the Court House and shopping centers.

The results of the survey, combined with the expressed needs by local officials, show that there is a need for improving parking and sidewalks in downtown Jamestown.

### Provide Safe Crossings of Downtown Streets

Currently there is one (1) signalized crossing located in downtown Jamestown at Main Street and Central Avenue. There is an additional unsignalized crosswalk located at School Avenue and Main Street. The signalized crossing is adequate but does require pedestrian signal heads, while the unsignalized crossing requires improvement and a linkage to the sidewalk network.

Based on current crash data, there have been two (2) pedestrian crashes in downtown Jamestown. One (1) located at the signalized intersection of Main Street and Central Avenue and another at Main Street and Church Street. Both resulted in the pedestrian being injured or killed. Further, survey results show that respondents want crosswalks throughout the town but are most interested in safe crosswalks being installed at the Court House, Post Office, and York Elementary School.

#### Ensure Facilities are Suitable for Users with Disabilities

Jamestown does not currently have an ADA Transition Plan. An ADA Transition Plan is a formal document available to the public outlining a city's compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). An ADA Transition Plan, at a minimum, will:

- 1. Identify physical obstacles in the public entity's facilities that limit the accessibility of its programs or activities to individuals with disabilities;
- 2. Describe in detail the methods that will be used to make the facilities accessible; and
- 3. Specify the schedule for taking the steps necessary to achieve compliance with this section and, if the time period of the transition plan is longer than one year, identify steps that will be taken during each year of the transition period.

Although not required, an ADA Transition Plan provides cities with leverage for all funding requiring ADA upgrades. Important to note: the Dale Hollow Regional Planning Organization (RPO) can provide support in developing an ADA Transition Plan.

An evaluation of downtown Jamestown found that the city is not in full compliance with ADA requirements. Curb ramps with truncated domes are missing or do not meet ADA standards at most intersections in the study area. Many sidewalks are less than the TDOT and ADA-standard five (5) feet wide and/or have obstructions in their paths. The one (1) signalized intersection in the study area does not have pedestrian signal heads or push buttons and sidewalks are typically not continuous along routes and are broken-up by business' open frontage parking. Figure 2-2 shows examples of ADA deficiencies in Jamestown.

### Calm Traffic Traveling Through Downtown

Jamestown has two (2) major roads traveling through the downtown – Main Street and Central Avenue (SR 52). Main Street is a north-south road with two (2) through lanes and a middle turn lane. Central Avenue has two (2) through lanes with a center turn lane turning onto Main Street. Central Avenue has two (2) through lanes with a center turn lane. The speed through the city is 35 miles per hour and lane widths vary from 11 feet to 12 feet.

According to Jamestown officials, these roads are heavily traveled with drivers driving over the speed limit. High speeds on the roadways coupled with heavy foot traffic cause safety concerns and increase the likelihood of vehicle / pedestrian crashes, particularly if pedestrian traffic increases in the future. This document will focus on reducing speeds through the downtown using traffic calming techniques such as reducing roadway widths and increasing sidewalk capacity.

#### Figure 2-2. Jamestown ADA Deficiencies Example Map





Curb ramp does not meet ADA standards and sidewalk less than 5 feet in width



utility pole directly on sidewalk













Curb ramp with no truncated domes and no crosswalk at intersection







Utlity pole in center of sidewalk



#### **SECTION 3.0**

## Development & Evaluation of Alternatives

In addition to the identified issues and opportunities, the plan recommendations were developed and evaluated through several supporting activities.

### Project Team Coordination

Two (2) project team meetings were held throughout the course of the eight-month planning process. These meetings included members of the project consulting team, Upper Cumberland Development District (UCDD) representatives, TDOT Office of Community Transportation (OCT) representatives, City of Jamestown staff, and county representatives. The meetings consisted of progress reports highlighting the primary work-to-date and relevant key findings. These discussions acted as milestones in the plan development process, allowing the project team to ensure that plan progress was consistent with expectations. The collaborative series of meetings provided critical input and guidance through the planning process and, ultimately, to the final plan recommendations.

### **Project Survey**

An online survey, hosted on the SurveyMonkey platform, was deployed for the Jamestown Complete Streets Plan on April 26, 2021. The survey was posted for two (2) weeks in the local newsletter and closed on May 10, 2021. Preliminary results of the survey were shared with the project team on May 19, 2021. Local representatives were pleased with the results and agreed that the input was consistent with their understanding of the primary issues.

There were 118 respondents to the survey. Of those respondents, 81% were residents. When asked about converting two-way streets to one-way streets, 59.6% of respondents agreed to converting streets to one-way to improve sidewalks and/or on-street parking. Additionally, 53.8% of respondents agreed to removing center turnlanes to enhance sidewalks.

45 of the 118 respondents thought it was very important to increase the amount of parking in downtown Jamestown. Respondents highest priority regarding sidewalks was to repair existing sidewalks followed by expanding the sidewalk network to new locations.

Additional thoughts of respondents include:

- · Repair damaged, incomplete sidewalks;
- Increase the number of crosswalks and add signage or lights instructing cars to stop for pedestrians;
- · Respondents want more parking in the downtown; and
- Respondents are requesting ADA-compliant access on Central Avenue along with ramps around the city for wheelchairs and strollers.

The full survey results can be found in Appendix I.

**SECTION 4.0** 

## Recommendations

The Jamestown Complete Streets Plan recommendations (Figure 4-1) reflect a desire to make downtown Jamestown a multimodal district by strengthening existing active transportation assets while adding new facilities and treatments. The plan recommendations will complement other planning activities both within and adjacent to downtown, further supporting citywide initiatives for improved mobility and economic development. Within downtown, the improved multimodal network will support expanded public spaces. The Jamestown Complete Streets Plan recommendations include the following principal improvements.

### **ADA Recommendations**

As part of this report, the consulting team conducted an ADA Assessment of downtown Jamestown. The report can be viewed in Appendix II. As shown in Figure 4-1, the report documents example locations where ADA improvements are needed, and is not intended to be an exhaustive examination of all existing ADA issues.

While sidewalks are provided throughout the study area, the study area is generally not accessible to those with disabilities. Curb ramps with truncated domes are missing or do not meet ADA standards at most of the roadway intersections in the study area. Most sidewalks are less than TDOT and ADA-standard five (5) feet wide and/or have obstructions in their paths. The one (1) signalized intersection in the area does not have pedestrian signal heads or push buttons and sidewalks are not continuous along routes.

The plan recommendations will complement other planning activities both within and adjacent to downtown. further supporting citywide initiatives for improved mobility and economic development.

It is therefore recommended that the City of Jamestown develop an ADA Transition Plan that documents the improvements they plan to make in ADA deficient areas, such as at the intersection of Main Street and Central Avenue. Given the constraints in downtown, including storefronts, utilities, and limited right-of-way, wholesale replacement or rehabilitation of substandard sidewalks may not be a feasible solution. For those areas where replacement or rehabilitation is not feasible, updates can be made on adjacent facilities as long as users have access to those facilities.

### New/Improved Crossing Recommendations

Currently there are two (2) crossings located in downtown Jamestown. The first is a signalized crossing located at Central Avenue and Main Street. The second is an unsignalized school crossing located at School Avenue and Main Street. Both crossings are in need of improvement. The signalized crossing requires signal heads and push buttons. The unsignalized crossing requires new stripping and a connection to sidewalk.

Although the signalized crossing is already high-visibility with ladder crosswalk markings the amount of traffic in the area warrants signal heads and push buttons. For the unsignalized crossing to meet the high-visibility crosswalk standard it requires ladder crosswalk markings and a connection to a sidewalk. Linking the crosswalk to a sidewalk provides pedestrians with a connection to the rest of the sidewalk network, allowing safe travel from one location to the next.

Figure 4-1. Jamestown Complete Streets Plan Recommendations





**Figure 4-2. High-Visibility Crosswalk Example** *Image Courtesy of The Greenway Collaborative, Inc.* 

In addition to updating crosswalks, this study is recommending for the placement of 12 new marked crossings within the downtown. The crosswalks should be high-visibility with ladder crosswalk markings (Figure 4-2). Crosswalks of this type are more visible to approaching vehicles and have been shown to improve yielding behavior.

These crossings are located at:

- 1. Smith Street & Church Street
- 2. Main Street & Church Street
- 3. Norris Street & Church Street
- 4. Norris Street & Wheeler Avenue

- 5. Smith Street & Mark Twain Avenue
- 6. Main Street & Mark Twain Avenue
- 7. Norris Street & Mark Twain Avenue
- 8. Smith Street & Central Avenue

- 9. Norris Street & Central Avenue
- 10. Central Avenue & White Oak Street
- Central Avenue between White Oak Street & Potter Street
- 12. Main Street at Rugby/ Livingston Avenue

The 12 new crossings and 2 updated crossings are shown in Figure 4-1.

### New / Improved Sidewalk Recommendations

Downtown Jamestown is generally well-served by sidewalks, though many of the facilities are not consistently present throughout and do not meet current design standards. Sidewalks located along Church Street are consistent with design standards and meet the city's future vision for downtown. Other facilities, such as those located along Main Street and Central Avenue, need to be upgraded or replaced entirely. Figure 4-3 provides an updated sidewalk widths map summarizing the proposed improvements, which are discussed in detail on the following pages. Figure 4-4 provides a reference map for sidewalk recommendations.



Figure 4-3. Updated Sidewalk Network Following Recommendations



#### Figure 4-4. Updated Sidewalk Network Recommendation Locations

Recommendations are discussed in detail on pages 19 - 23

#### 1. Norris & Smith Streets

Norris and Smith Streets are located parallel to Main Street and run north-south. Businesses and residences are located along these streets. Based on feedback from local officials and survey respondents, there is one (1) recommendation for these roadways. Recommendation: (Figures 4-5 and 4-6)

This recommendation keeps two (2) through lanes but decreases lane width to 10 feet with the addition of a 2-foot gutter and 5-foot sidewalk. The reduction in lane width will reinforce the corridor as a pedestrianfriendly public space while also reducing the vehicle speed of passing motorists. As discussed in the TDOT Multimodal Project Scoping Manual, there is no appreciable difference in vehicle safety when lane widths are reduced to 10 feet, particularly in low-speed downtown environments.



#### 2. Central Avenue from Mark Twain Avenue to Smith Street & from Norris Street to Potter Street

Central Avenue is a state route that travels east-west with a speed limit of 35 miles per hour (mph). This roadway is characterized by two (2) 11-foot through lanes and wide shoulders. There are 5-foot sidewalks that are not continuous throughout the entire study area. Central Avenue has one (1) recommendation.

Recommendation: (Figures 4-7 and 4-8) Central Avenue is a state route and as such, must follow state guidelines. The Tennessee Roadway Design Guidelines mandates that two (2) lane arterial roads be a minimum of 22 feet or 11 feet per lane. Therefore, it is recommended that this roadway continue to maintain two (2) 11-foot through lanes. The shoulders are decreased by 4.5 feet on each side to provide for two (2) 2-foot gutters located between the roadway and the sidewalk. Gutters are added to prevent any drainage issues that may be occurring along the roadway. Further, it is recommended to fill in missing sidewalk on the southern leg of the roadway and add sidewalk to the northern leg of the roadway.



Figure 4-7. **Central Avenue** 

## Main Street Northern Southern Limits

Main Street is a north-south roadway with two (2) 11-foot through lanes. There is a 9-foot parking lane and a 7-foot shoulder south of Livingston/Rugby Avenue. There are some sidewalks (primarily located south of Livingston/ Rugby Avenue) that measure at 5-feet. Main Street north of Mark Twain Avenue has wide shoulders on both sides of the road. The speed limit on Main Street is 35 mph. There are two (2) recommendations for this road. One (1) recommendation is for the northern segment of Main Street (North of Mark Twain Avenue) and the second recommendation is for the southern segment of Main Street (South of Rugby/Livingston Avenue). This roadway is broken into a northern and southern portion because roadway dimensions differ in the north (42 feet) compared to the south (38 feet).



#### Figure 4-11.

Main Street South - Existing



Figure 4-12.

Main Street South - Recommendation

#### Recommendation: South Main Street (Figure 4-11 and 4-12)

The design of the southern segment of Main Street closely reflects that of the northern segment except that this recommendation provides for a 5-foot sidewalks on one (1) side of the road instead of two (2). This recommendation calls for one (1) sidewalk because storefronts abut the roadway leaving little right-of-way to expand the roadway width to include additional sidewalks or gutters.



#### 4. Main Street Center Limit

For approximately three (3) blocks, Main Street widens from a two (2) through lane road to a three (3) lane road with two (2) through lanes and a center turn lane. The through lanes have a width of 11-feet while the center turn lane has a width of 12 feet. There are 6-foot sidewalks on both sides. Based on survey results, there is one (1) recommendation for this roadway segment.

#### Recommendation: (Figures 4-13 and 4-14)

Survey results favored eliminating a center turn lane on Main Street for more on-street parking and improved sidewalks. This recommendation suggests removing the center turn lane and decreasing the two (2) through lane widths from 11 feet to 10 feet. Further recommendations include adding an 8-foot parking lane, two (2) 2-foot gutters, and updating the sidewalks from 6 feet to 7 feet.



### Utilities Recommendations

Analysis of downtown Jamestown shows that utilities are located directly on the sidewalk or in the road. The ADA assessment in Appendix II shows example images of utility and light poles being located on sidewalks or in the roadway. Jamestown officials are aware of this issue and are working to remedy it by exploring the possibility of burying utility cables or moving them. However, burying cable and/or moving cable is a very costly venture even with grant funding.

It is therefore recommended that Jamestown continue its efforts in applying for grant funding to bury and/or move utilities with the understanding that it would take years and significant funds to bury and/or move all the utilities in downtown Jamestown. For those areas where burying and/or moving utilities is not feasible, updates can be made on adjacent facilities as long as users have access to those facilities.

### Existing Sidewalk Updates

Prior recommendations do not encompass the entire existing sidewalk network. As Figure 4-3 shows, there are still sidewalks less than five (5) feet in the study area after completing the suggested recommendations. The sidewalks that do not get updated in the recommendations have an underlying issue (i.e., store frontage abutting the sidewalk) that hinders updating the sidewalk. Without extensive overhaul of the area and significant funding, these sidewalks are unable to be modernized to the TDOT and ADA standard.

It is recommended to update these sidewalks when funding and resources become available. It is also recommended to complete these updates once all other updates and recommendations have been finalized.



"Buildings along Main Street" copyright (c) 2016 by Brian Stansberry is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License. Based on a work at https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/8/81/Jamestown-Main-Street-tn1.jpg

**SECTION 5.0** 

## Implementation

The Complete Streets Plan provides an overall framework for pedestrian improvements in downtown Jamestown. Importantly, with the overall framework there is a great deal of flexibility in terms of project scope, phasing, and funding.

### **Project Cost Estimates**

The cost estimates are planning-level assessments for each sidewalk improvement recommendation. For this project, a typical construction cost is \$896 per linear foot due to utility and roadway complications. Applied to all sidewalks recommended for improvement, the preliminary estimated cost is \$6,291,000, though the final cost of individual improvements will likely vary depending on the context of each redevelopment effort.

Project costs are based on unit costs for the specific facility type or intersection treatment. It is important to note that although the cost estimates cover the entire extent of the street and crossing improvements proposed in the plan, each improvement can be further separated into smaller projects, as needed; for example, a single block length or a single crossing. Appendix III summarizes the cost estimates. There is a great deal of flexibility in terms of project scope, phasing, and funding.

### **Project Prioritization**

The projects in this plan are divided into five (5) prioritization categories (Figure 5-1). The first prioritization category, and the most crucial to complete, encompasses all ADA improvements. These improvements include anything from adding signal heads to curb ramp improvements. The second prioritization category includes crosswalk improvements. Crosswalks are typically cost-effective, easy to implement, and provide pedestrians with ease-of-mind when traveling around downtown. The third prioritization category encompasses sidewalk improvements. Although sidewalks are crucial for pedestrians walking downtown, they can be expensive and difficult to implement, particularly in a compact downtown environment. The fourth prioritization category is utility relocation. As discussed, moving and/or burying utilities is a costly venture that is not necessarily feasible. Additionally, other updates or changes can be made to the facilities to help alleviate utility constrain. For example, adding sidewalk to the other side of the street that is not constrained by utilities. The final prioritization category is to update existing sidewalks. This category is last because it is the least feasible due to hindrances. Once all the other ADA and sidewalk improvements are made, updating the existing sidewalks should become priority.



## Potential Funding Sources

Project development ultimately depends on funding availability. While pedestrian facilities are typically included as part of larger public infrastructure and private development projects, increasingly, communities of all sizes are undertaking targeted pedestrian projects to retrofit commercial districts and neighborhoods for economic and community development purposes.

The most cost-effective way to implement the recommendations in the plan is to coordinate walking improvements with either local or state road projects during the project planning and programming processes.

TDOT maintains a Pavement Office that is responsible for managing highway resurfacing. Resurfacing projects are selected based on existing pavement conditions such as distress, roughness, traffic level, and pavement age. Resurfacing projects are not solely based on resurfacing a roadway. These

#### Table 5-1. Grant Funding Programs

Program Name/

## **Opportunities** for coordinating projects include:

- 1. Corridor resurfacing
- 2. Corridor reconstruction
- 3. Intersection and safety improvements
- 4. Drainage improvements
- 5. Utility projects
- 6. Commercial redevelopment

projects, when selected, qualify for complete upgrades including crosswalk additions and striping. It is with this program and the grant programs listed below that the aforementioned recommendations can be completed.

There are also several grant opportunities at the state and federal levels aimed at pedestrian improvements. Table 5-1 summarizes several programs, including eligible activities.

Administering Agency	Examples of Eligible Activi- ties	Funding	How to Apply
Transportation Alternatives Program / Tennessee Department of Transportation	On- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and safe routes to schools projects.	80 percent federal with a 20 percent non-federal construction share. Nonfederal share must be provided as a hard cash match, and all preliminary engineering (PE), design and right-of-way expenditures are solely the responsibility of the local governmental agency.	Application cycle is open from July to October each year. Application materials can be accessed on the TDOT website: <u>https://www.tn.gov/tdot/program-</u> <u>development-and-administration-home/</u> <u>local-programs/tap.html</u>
Multimodal Access Grant / Tennessee Department of Transportation	Pedestrian crossing improvements, sidewalks, paved shoulders, bicycle lanes, ADA, multi-use paths, and pedestrian lighting.	95 percent state with a 5 percent local match. Total project costs must not exceed \$1 million.	Application materials can be accessed on the TDOT website: <u>https://www.</u> <u>tn.gov/tdot/multimodal-transportation-</u> <u>resources/multimodal-access-grant.html</u>
Spot Safety Improvements Program / Tennessee Department of Transportation	Signalization, school flashing signals, and flashing beacons on state routes or at intersections with state routes only.	Depending on the type of work, 80 percent to 100 percent federal with corresponding local match.	Application materials can be accessed on the TDOT website: www.tdot.tn.gov/PublicDocuments/ LocalPrograms/FundingGuidance/ SpotSafetyGuidelines.pdf
Access to Health through Healthy Built Environments / Tennessee Department of Health	Greenways, trailhead signs, sidewalks, bikeways, crosswalks, and pedestrian/ bicycle traffic signs/signals.		Application announcement is in the fall of each year. Application materials can be accessed on the TDH website: <u>https://</u> www.tn.gov/health/health-program/ areas/office-of-primary-prevention/ redirect-opp/built-environment-and- health/built-environment/grants.html

## Summary

The Downtown Jamestown Complete Streets Plan serves as a blueprint for pedestrian facilities in the central business district. Strategically targeting investments that simultaneously achieve economic and community development goals will largely determine the success of the master plan.

## Appendices



## Appendix A. Public Engagement Summary

An online survey was hosted on the SurveyMonkey platform. The survey asked respondents questions about sidewalks, crosswalks, and parking in Jamestown, Tennessee. There were 118 responses recorded. The responses to each of the questions presented are summarized below.

Question 1: Select all that apply. I am a:



Question 2: Would you prefer to maintain the existing two-way street network or convert some streets to one-way to provide space for new or improved sidewalk connections and / or on-street parking?







Question 3: Would you be willing to remove the center turn-lanes on Main Street to enhance sidewalks?

Question 4: On a scale of 1 to 5, how important is it to you to increase the amount of parking in downtown Jamestown?





Question 5: Which intersections within the Study Area do you feel are most important to install crosswalks?

Location	Number of Responses
Fentress County Courthouse	37
US Post Office	29
York Elementary School	15
All Areas	7
No Intersections Needed	6
Mark Twain Spring Park	4
Fentress County Chamber of Commerce	3
Health Department & Senior Citizen Center	2
City Government	2

Question 6: Are there locations you would like midblock crosswalks?

Location	Number of Responses
US Post Office	29
No Midblock Crosswalks Needed	23
Fentress County Courthouse	12
Highway 52	7
York Elementary School	6
Fentress County Chamber of Commerce	3
All Areas	3
City Government	2
Jamestown First United Methodist Church	2
First Baptist Church	1
Mark Twain Spring Park	1





Question 7: On a scale of 1 to 5, how important are improved sidewalks to you on Norris and Smith Streets?

Question 8: How do you feel about "sharrow" pavement markings?





Question 9: Where would you like to see new sidewalks installed?

Location	Number of Responses
Fentress County Courthouse	22
US Post Office	19
Jamestown First United Methodist Church	19
All Areas	17
Fentress County Chamber of Commerce	15
York Elementary School	12
City Government	11
Health Department & Senior Citizens Center	7
Highway 52	6
None	6
Mark Twain Spring Park	5
First Baptist Church	4

Question 10: Rank the following from 1 to 4, with 1 being your highest priority.

- 1. Repair Existing Sidewalks
- 2. Enhance Existing Sidewalks
- 3. Expand the Sidewalk Network to New Locations
- 4. New Crosswalks





Question 11: What are barriers to walking within the study area? What would you change?

- 1. Sidewalks were mentioned the most times regarding damaged, incomplete sidewalks and narrow and uneven surfaces of sidewalk.
- 2. **Crosswalks** were the second-most mentioned item. Jamestown residents are requesting **more crosswalks**, along with **signage** or **lights** instructing cars to stop for pedestrians.
- 3. Roads were mentioned. Some residents find the roads too narrow. They also would like to see one-way roads around the courthouse to encourage safe pedestrian travel.
- 4. **Parking** is another issue for a few Jamestown residents. They believe with more available parking downtown, the city could flourish.
- 5. Residents are requesting **handicap access** on Central Avenue, along with **ramps** around the city for wheelchairs and strollers.

Question 12: Please provide any other thoughts of comments in the area below.

- 1. "I really believe investing in our downtown area will be beneficial to everyone especially to help grow our local economy and promote local businesses to promote shop local."
- 2. "All the suggested sidewalk improvements are crucial. Jamestown desperately needs investment in basic infrastructure."
- 3. "Fix the sidewalks that need to be fixed up, I'm on-board with that 100%. I'm completely against reconfiguring the roads and removing turning lanes to add completely unnecessary parking and so-called 'enhanced sidewalks.'"
- 4. "If one way traffic could be managed, it would make additional parking in the downtown area possible. This additional parking in front of stores would greatly benefit all merchants. It also would allow for additional and larger sidewalks to be built which would also encourage foot traffic which in turn would again benefit all the merchants in the downtown area."
- 5. "Integration of bike lanes would be great if one-way streets would allow the space. If renewing or installing new sidewalks, I feel areas closest to the courthouse would be the most impactful investment for the community."

## Appendix B. ADA Assessment Technical Memorandum

## Jamestown Complete Streets Study ADA Assessment

#### **Technical Memorandum**

Jamestown, Fentress County, TN

Executive Summary

While sidewalks are provided throughout the study area, the study area is generally not accessible to those with disabilities due to the following reasons:

- Curb ramps with truncated domes are missing or do not meet ADA standards at most of the roadway intersections in the study area.
- Many sidewalks are less than TDOT and ADAstandard five (5) feet wide and / or have obstructions in their paths.
- The two signalized intersections in the study area do not have pedestrian signal heads or push buttons.
- Sidewalks are typically not continuous along routes and broken-up by business's open frontage parking.

<u>For</u> City of Jamestown 314 E Central Ave Jamestown, TN 38556

#### By

Gresham Smith 222 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave. S. Suite 1400 Nashville, TN 37201

Gresham Smith Project No. 44606.01

May 27, 2021

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	ADA Assessment	1
		-

#### Figures

Figure 1: Photograph Legend (for Figures 2 through 11)	2
Figure 2: Central Avenue (SR 52) at Mark Twain Avenue	3
Figure 3: Central Avenue (SR 52) at Smith Street	3
Figure 4: Central Avenue (SR 52) at Main Street	4
Figure 5: Central Avenue (SR 52) between Main Street and Norris Street	4
Figure 6: Central Avenue (SR 52) between Norris Street and White Oak Street	5
Figure 7: Main Street at McGhee Street	5
Figure 8: Main Street at School Avenue	6
Figure 9: Main Street at Livingston Avenue / Rugby Avenue	6
Figure 10: Main Street at Church Street	7
Figure 11: Main Street at Livingston Avenue	7

#### 1.0 ADA ASSESSMENT

Sidewalks, curb ramps, and signalized intersections were reviewed on Wednesday March 10, 2021 within the *Downtown Jamestown* Study Area to investigate compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). The review was based upon visual inspection. Measurements were not taken. The review included Main Street, Central Avenue, Church Street, Livingston Avenue, Norris Street, and Smith Street. Only one of these routes are State Routes (SR), under jurisdiction of the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT). Central Avenue is designated as State Route (SR) 52. There are two signalized intersections within the study area, one at the intersection of Main Street with Livingston Avenue/ Rugby Avenue and the other at the intersection of Main Street with Central Avenue (SR 52).

A location map noting relevant field observations is provided in Figure 1. The numbers correspond with site photographs in Figure 2 through Figure 11 on the following pages. While sidewalks are provided throughout the study area, the study area is generally not accessible to those with disabilities due to the following reasons:

- Curb ramps with truncated domes are missing or do not meet ADA standards at most of the roadway intersections in the study area.
- Many sidewalks are less than TDOT and ADA-standard five (5) feet wide.
- The two signalized intersections in the study area do not have pedestrian signal heads or push buttons.
- There are multiple permanent obstructions within the sidewalks including utility poles, light poles, signposts, and planters.
- The sidewalk along the southbound side of Main Street adjacent to the Fentress County Courthouse is often partially blocked with the front ends of vehicles parked at the courthouse.
- Steps, which are inaccessible for those in a wheelchair, are located along the Central Avenue (SR 52) sidewalk.
- The school crosswalk across Main Street does not meet Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) standards.
- Sidewalks are typically not continuous along routes and broken-up by business's open frontage parking.

Improvements in the study area should include upgrading pedestrian facilities to standards that meet ADA requirements and creating more continuous routes.



FIGURE 1: PHOTOGRAPH LEGEND (FOR FIGURES 2 THROUGH 11)



FIGURE 2: CENTRAL AVENUE (SR 52) AT MARK TWAIN AVENUE Demonstrates curb ramps that do not meet ADA standards, truncated domes are missing, and sidewalks are less than five (5) feet in width



FIGURE 3: CENTRAL AVENUE (SR 52) AT SMITH STREET Demonstrates no curb ramps, no truncated domes, sidewalks less than five (5) feet in width, and utility poles directly adjacent to sidewalk



FIGURE 4: CENTRAL AVENUE (SR 52) AT MAIN STREET Demonstrates no pedestrian heads at signalized intersection



FIGURE 5: CENTRAL AVENUE (SR 52) BETWEEN MAIN STREET AND NORRIS STREET Demonstrates stairs in the sidewalk



FIGURE 6: CENTRAL AVENUE (SR 52) BETWEEN NORRIS STREET AND WHITE OAK STREET Demonstrates utility pole in the center of the sidewalk, sidewalk less than five (5) feet wide, and open frontage creating gaps in the sidewalk



**FIGURE 7: MAIN STREET AT MCGHEE STREET** Demonstrates sidewalk less than five (5) feet wide and utility pole obstruction



FIGURE 8: MAIN STREET AT SCHOOL AVENUE Demonstrates a school crosswalk that does not connect to a sidewalk, does not meet MUTCD standards



FIGURE 9: MAIN STREET AT LIVINGSTON AVENUE / RUGBY AVENUE Demonstrates uni-directional curb ramp with no truncated domes and no crosswalks, no pedestrian heads or push buttons at signalized intersection



FIGURE 10: MAIN STREET AT CHURCH STREET Demonstrates no curb ramps, truncated domes, or crosswalk; sidewalk in need of repair



FIGURE 11: MAIN STREET AT LIVINGSTON AVENUE Demonstrates parked cars at Fentress County Courthouse overhanging and partially obstructing the sidewalk

## Appendix C. Cost Estimates

#### **COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY**

Route:	Norris St	treet			the second se
Description:	Sidewal	k Improvements along	Norris Street		TN TDOT
Description.					Department of Transportation
Project Type of Work:	Sidewal	k Improvements			
County:	Fentress				
Length:	0.20	Miles			
Date:	July 22,	2021			
Estimate Type:	Concep	t			
DESCRIPTION			STATE 0%	FEDERAL 0%	TOTAL
Construction Items		•/•	0/0		
Removal Items		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,400
Asphalt Paving		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,800
Concrete Pavement		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drainage		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$148,000
Appurtenances		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$88,100
Structures		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$180,000
Fencing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signalization & Lighting		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Railroad Crossing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Earthwork		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115,000
Clearing and Grubbing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Seeding & Sodding		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,800
Rip-Rap or Slope Protection		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Guardrail		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$600
Pavement Markings		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,700
Maintenance of Traffic		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,300
Mobilization	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,900
Other Items	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$64,900
Const. Contingency	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160,000
Const. Eng. & Inspec.	10%	\$U ¢0	\$0	\$U ¢0	\$87,400
Construction Estimate	reactions	<u>۵</u> ۵	<u>م</u>	٥¢	\$961,000
Poundaboute	sections	02	\$0	¢ŋ	¢0
Interchanges		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Right-of-Way & Utilities			STATE	FEDERAL	
hight of Huy & Stillos		50%	0%	0%	
Right-of-Way		\$7,850	\$0	\$0	\$15,700
Utilities		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Preliminary & Construction	Engineerir	ng and Inspection			
Prelim. Eng.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$96,100
Total Project Cost (	2020)	\$ 7,850	\$-	\$	\$ 1,070,000

-
Ūυ.
ດນ
Ξ.
÷
S
S
Ξ.
5
0
~
_

xviii | Jamestown, Tennessee

## **PAY ITEM SUMMARY**

трот раунтем	TDOT DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOOLQUANTITIES	ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	TOOL QUANTITIES + ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	Statewide UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
Para and Brancing							< Unit Cost Trends with Quantities
202-03.01	REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT	SY	333		333	\$ 33.89	\$ 11,285.37
415-01.02	COLD PLANING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	SY	2347		2347	\$ 6.43	\$ 15,088.46
					PAVEMENT REM	OVAL TOTAL (ROUNDED	) \$ 26,400
Asphalt Roads							
303-01	MINERAL AGGREGATE, TYPE A BASE, GRADING D	TON	98		98	\$ 40.29	\$ 3,939.42
403-01	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT (TC)	TON	1		1	\$ 657.80	\$ 467.77
407-20.05	SAW CUTTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT	LS		1060	1060	\$ 3.10	\$ 3,286.00
411-01.10	ACS MIX(PG64-22) GRADING D	TON		148	148	\$ 94.96	\$ 14,054.08
					۶d	AVING TOTAL (ROUNDED	) \$ 21,800
Concrete Roads							
				CONCRI	ETE RAMPS AND ROAD	WAYS TOTAL (ROUNDED)	- \$
Drainage							
607-05.02	24" CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT (CLASS III)	Ŀ	1096		1096	\$ 75.01	\$ 82,180.96
611-12.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 12, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	2	4	9	\$ 4,082.39	\$ 23,514.58
611-14.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 14, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	1	5	9	\$ 6,847.88	\$ 40,265.52
611-42.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 42, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	0		0	\$ 5,435.85	\$ 2,174.34
					DRAI	NAGE TOTAL (ROUNDED	) \$ 148,200
Appurtenances							
701-01.01	CONCRETE SIDEWALK (4 ")	SF	5280		5280	\$ 7.97	\$ 42,081.60
701-02.03	CONCRETE CURB RAMP	SF		1011	1011	\$ 13.01	\$ 13,153.11
702-03	CONCRETE COMBINED CURB & GUTTER	СY	76		76	\$ 432.38	\$ 32,787.96
				ROADWAY AND F	AVEMENT APPURTENA	NCES TOTAL (ROUNDED)	i \$ 88,100
Earthwork & Mineral							
105-01	CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES AND GRADES	rs	1		1	\$ 16,154.33	\$ 16,154.33
203-01	ROAD & DRAINAGE EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)	Cλ	3661		3661	\$ 17.24	\$ 63,102.67
203-03	BORROW EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)	ς	2441		2441	\$ 14.48	\$ 35,332.68
					EARTHWORK & MIN	JERAL TOTAL (ROUNDED)	i \$ 114,600
Structures							
604-07.01	RETAINING WALL	SF	1701		1701	\$ 105.67	\$ 179,740.54
					STRUCI	rures total (rounded	) \$ 179,800
Interchanges and Unique Intersections							
				INTERCHANGES A	AND UNIQUE INTERSECT	rions total (rounded)	- \$
Lighting & Signalization							

25,300	: TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$	OF TRAFFIC	MAINTENANCE (				
1,593.50	30.18 \$	Ş	53	53	LF	INTERCONNECTED PORTABLE BARRIER RAIL	712-02.02
23,696.00	\$		1	1	LS	Traffic Control	N/A
							Maintenace of Traffic
3,800	i TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$	SODDING					
CT-0/0	¢ 0/./T	¢	nc	DC DC	ONI		20-T00

LIGHTING & SIGNALIZATION TOTAL (ROUNDED)

22.31

66 50

50

SEEDING (WITH MULCH) UNIT TEMPORARY SEEDING (WITH MULCH) UNIT

Seeding and Sodding 801-01

801-01.07

Guardrail

NOLVIS STREET

## **PAY ITEM SUMMARY**

Signs						
Not Listed	Signs (Construction) LS 1		1	Ş	- \$	600
			S	IGNING TOTAL (ROU	UNDED) \$	600
Pavement Markings						
716-02.03	Plastic Pavement Marking (Cross-Walk) LF	589	589	Ş	9.81 \$	5,778.09
716-12.02	Enhanced Flat Thermo P.M. (6") LM	0.602	0.602	\$ 4,	,833.41 \$	2,909.71
			PAVEMENT MA	RKINGS TOTAL (ROI	UNDED) \$	8,700
Fencing						
				ENCE TOTAL (ROUN	lded) \$	•
Rip-Rap						
		æ	IP-RAP & SLOPE PROT	ECTION TOTAL (ROU	UNDED) \$	•
Clearing and Grubing						
			CLEAR AND GRI	JBBING TOTAL (ROL	JNDED) \$	•
Railroad At-Grade Crossing						
		RAILROA	D CROSSING OR SEPA	RATION TOTAL (ROI	UNDED) \$	
Utilities						
			ITU	LITIES TOTAL (ROUN	JDED) \$	•
Right-of-Way						
N/A	Right-of-Way LS 1		1	\$ 15,	,647.27 \$	15,647.27
			RIGHT-OF	-WAY TOTAL (ROUN	JDED) \$	15,700.00

#### **COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY**

Route:	Smith St	reet			the second se
Description:	Sidewal	k Improvements along	smith Street		TN TDOT
Description.					Department of Transportation
Project Type of Work:	Sidewal	k Improvements			
County:	Fentress	i			
Length:	0.14	Miles			
Date:	July 22,	2021			-
Estimate Type:	Concep	t			_
					-
DESCRIPTION		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
0		0%	0%	0%	
Construction items		¢0	03	¢0	¢21 200
Asphalt Paving		ას დე	φ0 \$0	30 \$0	\$21,300
Concrete Pavement		φυ ¢0	30 \$0	30 \$0	\$17,000
		φυ ¢0	30 \$0	φ0 ¢0	\$0
Appurtenances		φυ \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$100,000
Structures		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$00,000
Fencing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signalization & Lighting		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Railroad Crossing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Earthwork		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$85,400
Clearing and Grubbing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Seeding & Sodding		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,700
Rip-Rap or Slope Protection		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Guardrail		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
Pavement Markings		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,700
Maintenance of Traffic		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,500
Mobilization	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,100
Other Items	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,800
Const. Contingency	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$111,000
Const. Eng. & Inspec.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$48,300
Construction Estimate		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$531,000
Interchanges & Unique Inte	rsections			· · ·	
Roundabouts		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interchanges		\$0	\$0	\$0 5505041	\$0
Right-of-way & Utilities		LUCAL 50%	STATE 0%	FEDERAL 0%	IUIAL
Right-of-Way		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Utilities		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Preliminary & Construction	Engineerir	and Inspection			
Prolim Fag	40%		¢0	¢0	¢52.400
Freimi. Eng.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$53,100
Total Project Cost (	(2020)	\$ -	\$-	\$	\$ 584,000

T,
້
<u> </u>
t d
~
는
÷
_
Ξ
Sm

## PAY ITEM SUMMARY

AL COST	ost Trends with antities	8,457.44	12,761.75	21,300		2,875.76	332.12	11.395.20	17,000			58,348.48	21,430.93	24,822.19	1,543.78 106.200		1.894.05	29,877.94	13,725.55	23,279.45	68,800		12,605.73	46,833.42 25,936.03	85,400				•					07 220 4	1,277.4U 784.08	622.07	2,700		12,328.00	1,131.39 13,500
statewide JNIT COST TO	< Unit C Qu	33.89 \$	7.66 \$	)TAL (ROUNDED) 💲		41.42 \$	657.80 \$	5.10 54.96 5	JTAL (ROUNDED) \$	ITAL (ROUNDED) \$		75.01 \$	4,082.39 \$	6,847.88 \$ r 43r 6r 6	5 23433.05 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		10.35 \$	7.97 \$	13.01 \$	432.38 \$	ital (rounded) 💲	-	12,605.73 \$	14.97 \$	ital (rounded) 💲		)TAL (ROUNDED) 💲		TAL (ROUNDED) \$		rtal (Rounded) 💲		JTAL (ROUNDED) Ş	4 UC FC	د 22.31 5 22.31 5	17.70 \$	)TAL (ROUNDED) \$		Ş	30.18   \$ 314L (ROUNDED) \$
TOOL QUANTITIES + S ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES L		250 \$	1666 \$	PAVEMENT REMOVAL TO		69 <mark>\$</mark>		120 5	PAVING TO	ETE RAMPS AND ROADWAYS TO		778 \$	5 \$	4 5	DRAINAGE TO		183 \$	3749 \$	1055 \$	54 \$	AVEMENT APPURTENANCES TO		1 5	1733 \$	EARTHWORK & MINERAL TO		STRUCTURES TO		ND UNIQUE INTERSECTIONS TO		LIGHTING & SIGNALIZATION TO		GUARDRAIL TO	د ۲	35 5	35 \$	SODDING TO		1	37 37 5 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC TO
ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES					_		G T	120		CONCRI			4	3			183	5 0 1	1055		ROADWAY AND P								INTERCHANGES A											
TOOL QUANTITIES		250	1666			69	1					778	1		5			3749		54	l		1	1733										[	35	35			1	37
UNIT		SΥ	SY			TON	TON	TON				LF	EA	EA	EA		λS	SF	SF	ъ	Ľ		LS 2	5 2										11141 ·	INIT	UNIT			LS	5
TDOT DESCRIPTION		REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT	COLD PLANING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT			MINERAL AGGREGATE, TYPE A BASE, GRADING D	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT (TC)	ACS MIX(PG64-22) GRADING D				24" CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT (CLASS III)	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 12, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 14, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	CAICH BASINS, ITTE 42, >4 - 8 UEFTH		REMOVAL OF RIGID PAVEMENT SIDEWALK. ETC.	CONCRETE SIDEWALK (4 ")	CONCRETE CURB RAMP	CONCRETE COMBINED CURB & GUTTER			CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES AND GRADES	RUAD & DRAINAGE EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED) BORROW EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)												SEEDING (WITHOUT MULCH)			Traffic Control	INTERCONNECTED PORTABLE BARRIER RAIL
TDOT PAY ITEM	Payment Removal	202-03.01	415-01.02		Asphalt Roads	303-01	403-01	40/-20.05		Concrete Roads	Drainage	607-05.02	611-12.02	611-14.02	20.24-110	Annurtanance	202-03	701-01.01	701-02.03	702-03		Earthwork & Mineral	105-01	203-03 203-03		Cterrotinese	Structures	Interchanges and Unique Intersections		Lighting & Signalization		Guardrail		Seeding and Sodding	801-01.07 801-01.07	801-02		Maintenace of Traffic	N/A	712-02.02

~	
-	
5	
_	
>	
~	
_	
<b>C</b>	
• •	
>	
~	
ш	
_	
~	
-	
~	
Ц	

	1 \$ - \$ 300	SIGNING TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$ 300		475 \$ 9.81 \$ 4,659.75	0.426 \$ 4,833.41 \$ 2,059.03	PAVEMENT MARKINGS TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$ 6,700		FENCE TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		P & SLOPE PROTECTION TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		CLEAR AND GRUBBING TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		SSING OR SEPARATION TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		UTILITIES TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$	
				475	0.426					RIP-RAF				RAILROAD CRO			
	1																
	Signs (Construction) LS			Plastic Pavement Marking (Cross-Walk) LF	Enhanced Flat Thermo P.M. (6") LM												
Signs	Not Listed		Pavement Markings	716-02.03	716-12.02		Fencine	p	Rip-Rap		Clearing and Grubing		Railroad At-Grade Crossing		Utilties		Right-of-Way

RIGHT-OF-WAY TOTAL (ROUNDED)

LS

of-Way

N/A

Smith Street

#### COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY

Route:	Central A	Ave			
Description	Sidewal	k Improvements along	g Central Avenue		TN TDOT
Description:					Department of
Project Type of Work:	Sidewal	k Improvements			
County:	Fentress				-
Length:	0.64	Miles			-
Date:	July 22,	2021			-
Estimate Type:	Concep	t			-
					-
DESCRIPTION		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
0		0%	0%	0%	
Construction items		¢0	¢0	¢0	\$72.200
Asphalt Paving		\$U \$0	\$U \$U	\$U \$0	\$72,200
Concrete Pavement		ېن ۵۵	φυ (\$0	\$0 \$0	\$73,800
		\$0 \$0	φ¢ \$0	φ0 \$0	\$368,000
Appurtenances		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$511,000
Structures		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fencing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signalization & Lighting		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Railroad Crossing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Earthwork		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$337,000
Clearing and Grubbing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Seeding & Sodding		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,300
Rip-Rap or Slope Protection		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Guardrail		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,200
Pavement Markings		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$19,700
Maintenance of Traffic		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$76,200
Mobilization	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$74,600
Other Items	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$157,000
Const. Contingency	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$517,000
Const. Eng. & Inspec.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$224,000
Construction Estimate		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,470,000
Interchanges & Unique Inter	rsections	¢0	¢0	¢0	
Roundabouts		\$U ¢0	\$U \$0	\$0	\$0
Right of Way & Utilities			ŞU State		
Right-of-way & others		50%	0%	0%	TOTAL
Right-of-Way		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Utilities		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Preliminary & Construction	Engineerir	ng and Inspection			
Prelim. Eng.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$247,000
-					1 1 1 1 1
Total Project Cost (	(2020)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,720,000

Ð
é
Ã
_
σ
ᆉ
œ,
$\circ$

xxiv | Jamestown, Tennessee

# PAY ITEM SUMMARY

TDOT PAY ITEM	TDOT DESCRIPTION	UNIT TOOL QUANTITIES	TO ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	OL QUANTITIES + ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	Statewide UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
Pavment Removal						< Unit Cost Trends with Quantities
202-03.01	REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT	SY 1262		1262	\$ 33.89	\$ 42,764.81
202-03.02	REMOVAL OF RIGID PAVEMENT	CY 21		21	\$ 14.81	\$ 316.25
415-01.02	COLD PLANING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	SY 8941		8941	\$ 3.25	\$ 29,021.33
Acada Boode				PAVEMENI KEMI		¢
303-01	MINERAL AGGREGATE, TYPE A BASE, GRADING D	TON 621		621	\$ 34.17	\$ 21.213.04
403-01	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT (TC)	TON 3		ε	\$ 657.80	\$ 1,782.20
407-20.05	SAW CUTTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT	IS	1700	1700	\$ 3.10	\$ 5,270.00
411-02.10	ACS MIX(PG70-22) GRADING D	TON 643	-284	359 PA	\$ 126.25 VING TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 45,274.47 \$ 73,600
Concrete Roads						
502-04.01	SAWING CONCRETE PAVEMENT (FULL DEPTH)	Ľ	173	173	\$ 6.40	\$ 1,107.20
			CONCRETE R/	AMPS AND ROADV	VAYS TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 1,200
Drainage		16 2604		3604	¢ 75 01	¢ 370.3EE EA
611-12 02	CATCH BASING TYPE 12 2 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA 11		11	¢ 1082.30	¢ A5 624 82
611-14.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 14. > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA 6		9	\$ 6.847.88	\$ 38.265.94
611-42.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 42, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA 3		3	\$ 5,435.85	\$ 13,807.06
				DRAIN	NAGE TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 368,100
202-03	REMOVAL OF RIGID PAVEMENT, SIDEWALK, ETC.	SY	775	775	\$ 10.35	\$ 8,021.25
701-01.01	CONCRETE SIDEWALK (4 ")	SF 33528		33528	\$ 7.97	\$ 267,218.16
701-02.03	CONCRETE CURB RAMP	SF	2126	2126	\$ 13.01	\$ 27,659.26
702-03	CONCRETE COMBINED CURB & GUTTER	CY 482		482	\$ 432.38	\$ 208,203.57
			ROADWAY AND PAVEN	AENT APPURTENA	NCES TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 511,200
Earthwork & Mineral						-
105-01	CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES AND GRADES	CV 13950		1.12050	5 24,103.86 6 14.20	\$ 24,103.86 \$ 106.700.06
203-01	BORROW EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)	CY 9239		9239	\$ 12.57	\$ 116,142.81
			EA	<b>RTHWORK &amp; MIN</b>	ERAL TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 337,100
Structures						
				אחרו		·
Interchanges and Unique Intersections			II UTERCH ANGES AND II		IONS TOTAL (BOLINDED)	
Lighting & Signalization						
			LIGHT	TING & SIGNALIZA	TION TOTAL (ROUNDED)	۶ -
Guardrail				GUARD	DRAIL TOTAL (ROUNDED)	- -
Seeding and Sodding						
801-01	SEEDING (WITH MULCH)	UNIT 126		126	\$ 27.26	\$ 3.427.40
801-01.07	TEMPORARY SEEDING (WITH MULCH)	UNIT 94		94	\$ 22.31	\$ 2,103.78
801-02	SEEDING (WITHOUT MULCH)	UNIT 94		94	\$ 17.70	\$ 1,669.07
				SOD	DING TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 7,300

# PAY ITEM SUMMARY

	\$ 71,046.36	30.18 \$ 5,059.38	(NDED) \$ 76,200		2,000.59 \$ 2,000.59	847.13 \$ 22,777.04	- \$ 1,400	(NDED) \$ 26,200
	1	168 \$	NTENANCE OF TRAFFIC TOTAL (ROU		1 \$ 2,0	8 \$ 2,8	1 \$	SIGNING TOTAL (ROU
			IVAI		1	8		
	1	168					1	
	Traffic Control LS	INTERCONNECTED PORTABLE BARRIER RAIL LF			SIGN INSTALLATION (DESCRIPTION) LS	RELOCATE SIGN LS	Signs (Construction) LS	
Maintenace of Traffic	N/A	712-02.02		Signs	713-15.40	713-16.41	Not Listed	

8,701.47	668.10	1,118.34	9,207.65	19,700	
1 \$	7 \$	4 \$	1 \$	\$ (0	
9.81	22.27	82.84	4,833.41	TOTAL (ROUNDED	
Ş	Ş	Ş	Ş	. MARKINGS	
887	30	13.5	1.905	PAVEMENT	
887	30	13.5	1.905		
ц.	ц.	EF.	Μ		
Plastic Pavement Marking (Cross-Walk)	Plastic Pavement Marking (Longitudinal X-Walk)	Plastic Pavement Marking (Yield Line) S	Enhanced Flat Thermo P.M. (6") L		

							•
	CLEAR AND GRUBBING TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		RAILROAD CROSSING OR SEPARATION TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		UTILITIES TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		1 Å
							1
							Right-of-Way LS
Clearing and Grubing		Railroad At-Grade Crossing		Utilties		Right-of-Way	N/A

RIGHT-OF-WAY TOTAL (ROUNDED)

RIP-RAP & SLOPE PROTECTION TOTAL (ROUNDED)

Rip-Rap

Fencing

#### COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY

Route:	Main Str	eet (North)			
Description:	Sidewal	k Improvements alonç	g Main Street (North)		TN TDOT Department of
Project Type of Work:	Sidewal	k Improvements			
County:	Fentress	- -			-
Length:	0.09	Miles			-
Date:	July 22.	2021			-
Estimate Type:	Concep	t			-
			07.175		2024
DESCRIPTION		LOCAL 0%	SIAIE 0%	FEDERAL 0%	IOIAL
Construction Items		•/•	•/•	•/-	
Removal Items		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$35,900
Asphalt Paving		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,700
Concrete Pavement		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drainage		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$71,200
Appurtenances		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$69,300
Structures		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fencing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signalization & Lighting		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Railroad Crossing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Earthwork		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,300
Clearing and Grubbing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Seeding & Sodding		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Rip-Rap or Slope Protection		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Guardrail		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
Pavement Markings		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000
Maintenance of Traffic		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,300
Mobilization	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,800
Other Items	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,000
Const. Contingency	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,600
Const. Eng. & Inspec.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$41,400
Construction Estimate		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$456,000
Interchanges & Unique Inter	sections				
Roundabouts		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interchanges		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Right-of-Way & Utilties		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
Distant Ma		50%	0%	0%	
Right-of-Way		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Utilities		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Preliminary & Construction	Engineerir	ng and Inspection			-
Prelim. Eng.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,600
Total Project Cost (	2020)	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ 502,000

_
North)
$\sim$
Ъ.
ē
누
S
_ <b>_</b>
a:
Σ

## PAY ITEM SUMMARY

TDOT PAY ITEM	TDDT DESCRIPTION	UNIT	TOOL QUANTITIES	ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	TOOL QUANTITIES + ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	Statewide UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	
Daumont Domousi							< Unit Cost Trends with Quantities	L
202-03.01	REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT	SY	713		713	\$ 33.89	\$ 24,156.0	.04
415-01.02	COLD PLANING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	SY	662	397	1394	\$ 8.39	\$ 11,697.4	.40
Asobalt Roads					PAVEMENT REN	IOVAL TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 35,90	006
303-01	MINERAL AGGREGATE TYPE A RASE GRADING D	TON	83		83	¢ 40.83	¢ 3303.3	26
403-01		TON	} <	t		¢ 657.80	\$ 856.6	60
407-20.05	SAW CUTTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT	-rs	,	854	854	\$ 3.10	5 2.647.4	40
411-01.10	ACS MIX(PG64-22) GRADING D	TON		92	92	\$ 94.96	\$ 8,736.3	.32
Concrete Roads							V/cT ¢	8
Drainage				CONCR	LIE KAMPS AND KOAD		'n	
607-05.02	24" CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT (CLASS III)	Ŀ	482		482	\$ 75.01	\$ 36.189.3	.32
611-12.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 12, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	1	2	m	\$ 4,082.39	\$ 14,272.0	.05
611-14.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 14, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	1	2	3	\$ 6,847.88	\$ 18,817.9	.97
611-42.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 42, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	0		0	\$ 5,435.85	\$ 1,848.1	.19
					DRA	NAGE TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 71,20	500
Appurtenances								
202-03	REMOVAL OF RIGID PAVEMENT, SIDEWALK, ETC.	SY		75	75	\$ 10.35	\$ 776.2	.25
701-01.01	CONCRETE SIDEWALK (4 ")	SF	4488		4488	\$ 7.97	\$ 35,769.3	.36
50-202	CONCRETE CONDINED 2. CUITED	5 2	CA	7/5	3/1	۲۵: TD	4,82b.	1/.
		5	5	ROADWAY AND P	AVEMENT APPURTEN	ANCES TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 69,30	00
rthwork & Mineral								
105-01	CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES AND GRADES	LS	1		1	\$ 12,286.45	\$ 12,286.4	.45
203-01	ROAD & DRAINAGE EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)	ç	1855		1855	\$ 18.79	\$ 34,852.4	.43
203-03	BORROW EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)	ς	1237		1237	\$ 15.45	\$ 19,108.0	00.
					EARTHWORK & MII	VERAL TOTAL (ROUNDED)	\$ 66,30	00
Structures					STRUC	rures total (rounded)	- \$	
es and Unique Intersections								
				INTERCHANGES A	ND UNIQUE INTERSEC	rions total (rounded)	۰ ۲	
ıting & Signalization					TIGHTING & SIGNALIZ	VTON TOTAL (POLINDED)		
Guardrail							۰ ۶	
					GUAR	DRAIL TOTAL (ROUNDED)	- \$	
eding and Sodding								
801-01	SEEDING (WITH MULCH)	UNIT	17		17	\$ 27.26	\$ 458.7	.79
801-01.07	TEMPORARY SEEDING (WITH MULCH)	UNIT	13		13	\$ 22.31	\$ 281.6	.61
801-02	SEEDING (WITHOUT MULCH)	UNIT	13		13	\$ 17.70	\$ 223.4	.42
					201	DING TOTAL (ROUNDED)	5 JU(I	000
intenace of Traffic								ļ

588.00

Traffic Control LS

A/A

xxviii | Jamestown, Tennessee

# PAY ITEM SUMMARY

	300	300		3,776.85	1,232.52	5,000						•		•		•		•	•
	- \$	3 TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		9.81 \$	4,833.41 \$	s TOTAL (ROUNDED) 💲		OTAL (ROUNDED) \$		I TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		i TOTAL (ROUNDED) 💲		I TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		OTAL (ROUNDED) \$		۲	OTAL (ROUNDED) \$
	1 \$	SIGNING		385 \$	0.255 \$	PAVEMENT MARKINGS		FENCET		IP-RAP & SLOPE PROTECTION		CLEAR AND GRUBBING		D CROSSING OR SEPARATION		UTILITIES T		1 \$	RIGHT-OF-WAY T
				385	0.255					~				RAILROA					
	1																	1	
	Signs (Construction) LS			Plastic Pavement Marking (Cross-Walk) LF	Enhanced Flat Thermo P.M. (6") LM													Right-of-Way LS	
Signs	Not Listed		Pavement Markings	716-02.03	716-12.02		Fencing		Rip-Rap		Clearing and Grubing		Railroad At-Grade Crossing		1   1  1  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2	00000	Right-of-Wav	N/A	

#### COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY

Route:	Main Str	eet (South)			
Description:	Sidewal	k Improvements along	Main Street (South)		TN TDOT Department of
Project Type of Work:	Sidewal	k Improvements			Transportation
County:	Fentress				-
Length:	0.15	Miles			-
Date:	July 22,	2021			-
Estimate Type:	Concep	t			_
		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
		0%	0%	0%	
Construction Items					
Removal Items		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$48,000
Asphalt Paving		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,700
Concrete Pavement		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drainage		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$95,100
Appurtenances		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$70,500
Structures		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$49,100
Fencing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signalization & Lighting		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Railroad Crossing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Earthwork		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,000
Clearing and Grubbing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Seeding & Sodding		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,900
Rip-Rap or Slope Protection		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Guardrail		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,100
Pavement Markings		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,500
Maintenance of Traffic		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,800
Mobilization	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,300
Other Items	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$42,600
Const. Contingency	30%	<u>۵</u> ۵	\$U \$0	0¢	\$126,000
Const. Eng. & Inspec.	10%	0¢	φ0 \$0	0¢	\$59,500
Interchanges & Unique Inter	eactions	φυ	φυ	φ <b>υ</b>	<b>پرون ک</b> ور کور کور کور کور کور کور کور کور کور ک
Roundahouts	Sections	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interchanges		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Right-of-Way & Utilties		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
		50%	0%	0%	
Right-of-Way		\$4,950	\$0	\$0	\$9,900
Utilities		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Preliminary & Construction	Engineerir	ng and Inspection			
Prelim. Eng.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,400
Total Project Cost (	2020)	\$ 4,950	\$-	\$-	\$ 729,000

_
1
Ŧ
-
0
S
$\sim$
<u>ب</u>
Ψ.
ω.
느
Š
⊒.
B
~
~

## PAY ITEM SUMMARY

	TOTAL COST	· Unit Cost Trends with	Quantities	32,831.88	15,152.25	48,000		3,024.46	350.83	2,455.20	14,908.72	20,700			•		61,635.72	13,553.54	18,215.36	1,630.76
Statewide	UNIT COST	Ŷ		33.89 \$	6.40 \$	<pre>LTOTAL (ROUNDED) \$</pre>		41.24 \$	657.80 \$	3.10 \$	94.96 \$	G TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$			S TOTAL (ROUNDED) \$		75.01 \$	4,082.39 \$	6,847.88 \$	5,435.85 \$
TOOL QUANTITIES + ADDITIONAL	QUANTITIES			\$ 696	2367 \$	PAVEMENT REMOVA		73 \$	1 \$	792 \$	157 \$	PAVIN			ETE RAMPS AND ROADWAY		822 \$	3 \$	3	\$ 0
ADDITIONAL	QUANTITIES				607					792	157				CONCR			2	2	
	TOOL QUANTITIES			696	1760			73	1								822	1	1	0
	UNIT			SY	SY			TON	TON	SI	TON					-	LF	EA	EA	EA
	TDOT DESCRIPTION			REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT	COLD PLANING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT			MINERAL AGGREGATE, TYPE A BASE, GRADING D	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT (TC)	SAW CUTTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT	ACS MIX(PG64-22) GRADING D						24" CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT (CLASS III)	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 12, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 14, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 42, > 4' - 8' DEPTH
	TDOT PAY ITEM		Pavment Removal	202-03.01	415-01.02		Asphalt Roads	303-01	403-01	407-20.05	411-01.10		-	Concrete Koads		 DI alliage	607-05.02	611-12.02	611-14.02	611-42.02

5.435.85 TOTAL (ROUNDED)

Appurtenances						
202-03	REMOVAL OF RIGID PAVEMENT, SIDEWALK, ETC. SY		708 708	\$ 1	0.35 \$	7,327.80
701-01.01	CONCRETE SIDEWALK (4 ") SF	3960	3960	Ş	\$ 1.97	31,561.20
701-02.03	CONCRETE CURB RAMP SF		538 538	\$ 1	3.01 \$	6,999.38
702-03	CONCRETE COMBINED CURB & GUTTER CY	57	57	\$ 43	2.38 \$	24,590.97
		RO	ADWAY AND PAVEMENT APPURI	ENANCES TOTAL (ROUNI	DED) \$	70,500
Earthwork & Mineral						
105-01	CONSTRUCTION STAKES, LINES AND GRADES LS	1	1	\$ 13,59	7.31 \$	13,597.31
203-01	ROAD & DRAINAGE EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED) CY	2746	2746	\$ 1	\$ 68.2	49,128.67
203-03	BORROW EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED) CY	1830	1830	\$ 1	t.89 \$	27,253.56
			EARTHWORK &	<b>MINERAL TOTAL (ROUNI</b>	DED) \$	900'06
Structures						
604-07.01	RETAINING WALL SF	284	284	\$ 17	2.56 \$	49,007.64
			STI	UCTURES TOTAL (ROUN	ЭЕD) \$	49,100
Interchanges and Unique Intersections						
		<u>N</u>	TERCHANGES AND UNIQUE INTER	SECTIONS TOTAL (ROUNI	эер) \$	
Lighting & Signalization						
			LIGHTING & SIGN	LIZATION TOTAL (ROUNI	) \$ (Да	•
Guardrail						
			9	JARDRAIL TOTAL (ROUNI	эер) \$	•

30.18

\$

2

Traffic Control INTERCONNECTED PORTABLE BARRIER RAIL

Maintenace of Traffic

712-02.0

Seeding and Sodding

801-01.07 801-02

TOTAL (ROUNDED)

SODDING

37

37

UNIT UNIT

TEMPORARY SEEDING (WITH MULCH) SEEDING (WITHOUT MULCH) SEEDING (WITH MULCH)

UNIT

20

-
달
ğ
<u>ب</u>
ree
S
эi
ŝ

## PAY ITEM SUMMARY

				MAINTENANCE OF	TRAFFIC TOTAL	(ROUNDED) \$	16,800
Signs							
713-16.41	RELOCATE SIGN LS		2	2	Ş	2,847.13 \$	5,694.26
Not Listed	Signs (Construction) LS	1		1	ş	\$ '	400
				2	SIGNING TOTAL	(ROUNDED) \$	6,100
Pavement Markings							
716-02.03	Plastic Pavement Marking (Cross-Walk) LF		347	347	Ş	9.81 \$	3,404.07
716-02.09	Plastic Pavement Marking (Longitudinal X-Walk) LF		38	38	Ş	22.27 \$	846.26
716-12.02	Enhanced Flat Thermo P.M. (6") LM		0.45	0.45	ş	4,833.41 \$	2,175.03
				PAVEMENT MA	<b>ARKINGS TOTAL</b>	(ROUNDED) \$	6,500
Fencing							
					FENCE TOTAL (R	OUNDED) \$	
Rip-Rap							
			RI	P-RAP & SLOPE PROT	TECTION TOTAL	(ROUNDED) \$	
Clearing and Grubing							
				CLEAR AND GRI	UBBING TOTAL	(ROUNDED) \$	
Railroad At-Grade Crossing							
			RAILROAD	CROSSING OR SEPA	RATION TOTAL	(Rounded) \$	
Utilities							
				ΠU	ILITIES TOTAL (R	ounded) \$	
Right-of-Way							
N/A	Right-of-Way 1S	~			v	9 818.18 S	9 818 18

(IGHT-OF-WAY TOTAL (ROUNDED)

#### **COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY**

Route:	Main Str	eet (Central)			
Decorintion:	Sidewal	k Improvements along	Main Street (Central	)	TN TDOT
Description.					Department of Transportation
Project Type of Work:	Sidewal	k Improvements			
County:	Fentress	1			_
Length:	0.11	Miles			_
Date:	July 22,	2021			_
Estimate Type:	Concep	t			_
					-
DESCRIPTION		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
		0%	0%	0%	
Construction Items				· · ·	
Removal Items		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,100
Asphalt Paving		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,500
Concrete Pavement		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drainage		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,700
Appurtenances		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$121,000
Structures		)¢	\$U \$0	\$U \$0	\$0
Fericing		\$U \$0	\$U ¢0	\$U \$0	\$U \$0
		30 ¢0	φ0 Φ0		
Farthwork		φ0 ¢0	ېن ۵۵	30 \$0	ەر \$82 300
Clearing and Grubbing		90 02	φυ (12)	پې ۵۵	\$02,300
Seeding & Sodding		0¢ 02	0¢ 02	φ0 \$0	\$0 \$1 300
Rip-Rap or Slope Protection		\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1,500
Guardrail		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Signing		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400
Pavement Markings		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10.000
Maintenance of Traffic		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,400
Mobilization	5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,900
Other Items	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$39,700
Const. Contingency	30%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$131,000
Const. Eng. & Inspec.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$56,700
Construction Estimate		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$624,000
Interchanges & Unique Inter	rsections				
Roundabouts		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interchanges		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Right-of-Way & Utilties		LOCAL	STATE	FEDERAL	TOTAL
Dight of Woy		50%	0%	0%	¢0
Right-of-way		۵¢	\$U ¢0	\$U \$0	\$U
otinties		<b>Φ</b> υ	<b>پ</b> ۵	<b>\$</b> 0	\$U
Preliminary & Construction	Engineerir	ng and Inspection			
Prelim. Eng.	10%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$62,400
Total Project Cost (	2020)	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ 686,000

-
2
-
<b>_</b>
e
()
Ξ
άı
ā
Ľ
Ē
S
~
. <u> </u>
ā
~
~

## PAY ITEM SUMMARY

TDOT PAY ITEM	TDOT DESCRIPTION	UNIT TOO	OL QUANTITIES	ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	TOOL QUANTITIES + ADDITIONAL QUANTITIES	Statewide UNIT COST		TOTAL COST
Davment Removal								t Cost Trends with Quantities
202-03.01	REMOVAL OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT	SY	436		436	\$	33.89 \$	14,779.81
415-01.02	COLD PLANING BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT	SY	1314	1087	2401 PAVEMENT REM	\$ OVAL TOTAL (ROU	6.35 \$ NDED) \$	15,258.71 30,100
Asphalt Roads								
303-01	MINERAL AGGREGATE, TYPE A BASE, GRADING D	TON	110		110	Ş	39.91 \$	4,371.03
403-01	BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT (TC)	TON	0	1	1	\$	57.80 \$	919.75
407-20.05	SAW CUTTING ASPHALT PAVEMENT	LS		982	982 150	ŝ	3.10 \$ 04.06 ¢	3,044.20 15 000 64
Ol. IU-10-114	4C2 MIN(7504-22) GRADING C/A			ACT	Vd	> VING TOTAL (ROU	94.30 > NDED) \$	23,500
				CONCRE	ETE RAMPS AND ROADV	VAYS TOTAL (ROU	NDED) \$	•
607-05.02	24" CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT (CLASS III)	5	636		636	Ş	75.01 \$	47.684.76
611-12.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 12, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	2	2	4	\$ 4,(	)82.39 \$	16,212.00
611-14.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 14, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	1	3	4	\$ 6,8	847.88 \$	27,292.90
611-42.02	CATCH BASINS, TYPE 42, > 4' - 8' DEPTH	EA	0		0	\$ 5,4 NAGE TOTAL (POL	135.85 \$	2,435.26
Annuchanacoc								
202-03	REMOVAL OF RIGID PAVEMENT, SIDEWALK, ETC.	SY		636	636	Ş	10.35 Ś	6,582.60
701-01.01	CONCRETE SIDEWALK (4 ")	SF	5914	954	6868	Ş	7.97 \$	54,734.77
701-02.03	CONCRETE CURB RAMP	SF		1765	1765	\$.	13.01 \$	22,962.65
702-03	CONCRETE COMBINED CURB & GUTTER	СY	85		85	\$	i32.38 \$	36,722.52
		ŀ	l	ROADWAY AND P	AVEMENT APPURTENA	NCES TOTAL (ROU	NDED) Ş	121,100
					•		÷ • • •	CF CJC CF
105-CUT 203-D1	CUNSI RUCTION STARES, LINES AND GRADES ROAD & DRAINAGE EXCAVATION (LINCLASSIEIED)	<u>מ</u> צ	1 2444		1 2444	ې 13,: د	1816 ¢	13,362.42 44 385 23
203-03	BORROW EXCAVATION (UNCLASSIFIED)	c, c	1630		1630	ŝ	15.06 \$	24,533.91
					EARTHWORK & MIN	IERAL TOTAL (ROU	NDED) \$	82,300
Structures					STRUCT	URES TOTAL (ROU	NDED) \$	
hanges and Unique Intersections								
P				INTERCHANGES A	ND UNIQUE INTERSECT	IONS TOTAL (ROU	NDED) \$	
Lighting & Signalization								
					LIGHTING & SIGNALIZA	TION TOTAL (ROU	NDED) \$	•
Guardrail					GUARI	UDAL TOTAL (ROL	NDFD) \$	
Condition of Condition								
Security and Souding R01-01	SEEDING (WITH MILICH)	INIT	22		22	÷	27.26 ¢	604.52
801-01.07	TEMPORARY SEEDING (WITH MULCH)	UNIT	17		17	Ŷ	22.31 \$	371.06
801-02	SEEDING (WITHOUT MULCH)	UNIT	17		17	\$	17.70 \$	294.39
					SOD	DING TOTAL (ROU	NDED) \$	1,300

AINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC TOTAL (I

รา ٤

Traffic Control INTERCONNECTED PORTABLE BARRIER RAIL

Maintenace of Traffic

A/A ģ

xxxiv | Jamestown, Tennessee

# PAY ITEM SUMMARY

Signs						
Not Listed	Signs (Construction) LS	1		1 \$	- \$	400
				SIGNING TO	TAL (ROUNDED) \$	400
Pavement Markings						
716-02.03	Plastic Pavement Marking (Cross-Walk) LF		852	852 \$	9.81 \$	8,358.12
716-12.02	Enhanced Flat Thermo P.M. (6") LM		0.336	0.336 \$	4,833.41 \$	1,624.03
			PA	VEMENT MARKINGS TO	TAL (ROUNDED) \$	10,000
Fencing						
				FENCE TOTA	L (ROUNDED) \$	•
Rip-Rap						
			RIP-RAP &	SLOPE PROTECTION TO	TAL (ROUNDED) \$	•
Clearing and Grubing						
			CL	EAR AND GRUBBING TO	ral (rounded) 💲	•
Railroad At-Grade Crossing						
			RAILROAD CROSS	ING OR SEPARATION TO	TAL (ROUNDED) \$	•
Utilities						
				UTILITIES TOTA	L (ROUNDED) \$	•
Right-of-Way						
N/A	Right-of-Way LS	1		1 \$	÷ -	•
				BIGUT OF WAY TOTA		

