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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

## A. Purpose of Study

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the existing interchange at Interstate 55 and Mallory Avenue, and to request approval for the modification of this interchange. It is anticipated that this interchange would serve as the main access point for the proposed Super Terminal, which is to be located southwest of the Mallory Avenue interchange. This study was conducted to:

- Determine any operational deficiencies in the current interchange.
- Develop the needed interchange improvements to provide the desired level of service for the design year.
- Improve the access and safety within the interchange area.
- Evaluate operational characteristics of the proposed improvements for the current conditions (2005) and the design year (2025).
- Develop construction cost estimates and evaluate the land use impacts of the construction.


## B. Project Location and Description of the Area

The l-55 \& Mallory Avenue interchange is located in the western portion of Memphis near the Tennessee-Arkansas state line (Mississippi River), as shown in Figure 1. The interchange is located along l-55 approximately 0.7 miles northwest of the I-55 and US-61 interchange and 1.2 miles south of the I-55 and South Parkway interchange.

This section of Interstate 55 is currently a six-lane median-divided access controlled facility within the vicinity of the Mallory Avenue interchange. Within the vicinity of the subject interchange, l-55 was constructed in the mid 1960's with geometric design that does not meet the current Federal or state standards. The existing I-55/Mallory Avenue interchange is a partial cloverleaf design with loop ramps to $\mathrm{l}-55$ located in the southeast and northwest quadrants of the interchange. In the mid 70's, the north and southbound entrance ramps were modified to allow for left turning vehicles from Mallory Lane to enter interstate 55.

With this modification, duplicate movements are now provided for these I-55 entrance ramps. It appears that these ramp terminal modifications were done due to the heavy truck traffic forced to utilize the low design speed loop ramps.

A large percentage ( $25 \%$ ADT) of truck traffic currently travel this portion of I-55 including the Mallory Avenue and Riverport Road corridors. (See Figure 3)





Photo 1: Aerial picture of the I-55 and Mallory Avenue Interchange

Considerable congestion occurs on both of the entrance loop ramps and the mainline of l-55 due to three primary reasons:

1. Minimal design speed entrance ramps to l-55
2. Heavy truck traffic (25\%)
3. Lack of sufficient merge distance for the southbound entrance loop ramp (500')

A significant safety hazard also exists for motorists using the northbound entrance ramp to l-55 via Riverside Drive. As shown in the lower left of Photo 1, motorists are required to travel northward along this two-way local street and then forced to cross on-coming traffic to enter l-55. Photo 2 on the following page more clearly shows the unsafe situation that exists.


Photo 2: $\quad$ Riverside Drive traveling northbound crossing to I-55
C. Relationship to Other Highway Improvement Programs and Plans

The local officials with the City of Memphis, Shelby County, as well as various public and private partners are developing plans to construct a multi-modal facility (Super Terminal) southwest of the subject interchange (See Figure 4). This facility would provide interface and transfer between trucks, rail and barge traffic in one central location. It is anticipated that this development could significantly increase the heavy vehicle traffic currently using the I-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange, as well as other local roads in the area, including Riverport Road.

There are no plans to provide HOV lanes or widen I-55 within this area based upon the current long-range plans. There is also no commuter rail or light rail transit available in this region; however, a limited trolley system operates in the central business district of Memphis.


## CHAPTER 2

## Preliminary Planning Data

## A. Land Use

As previously stated, industrial development is located within the interchange area, as well as M.L. King Riverside Park in the northwest quadrant of the interchange. North of Mallory Avenue and adjacent to the industrial/commercial businesses, lies a densely populated residential area. Access to this area from the south is primarily provided by Riverside Drive, which parallels I-55 along the eastside. It is important to note that Photo 2 depicts the primary route by which local access to this residential area is provided and which safety is a considerable concern.

The current configuration of the I-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange also contains several geometric deficiencies that impact the safety and operation of the overall transportation system. Included within the system is Mallory Avenue and Riverport Road which extends southwest towards the future Super Terminal. The duplicate movements provided for within the interchange vicinity introduces additional conflict points along Mallory Avenue, as well as merging hazards due the vehicles types and speed differentials present on I-55.

## B. Traffic Served

The traffic data for this study was supplied by the Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) and was based on proposed land use and existing conditions. The Design Hourly Volumes (DHV) for the years 2005 and 2025 are shown in Appendix A.

Interstate 55 is currently a six-lane section between the adjacent interchanges of South Parkway and Florida Street. The year 2005 peak hour volumes are over 3,100 vehicles per hour in each direction. In the design year (2025), the DHV's are anticipated to grow to approximately 4,600 vehicles per hour in each direction. The design year volumes along the mainline of I-55 will result in a LOS $F$ for this six-lane facility.

The figures in Appendix A provide a complete breakdown of traffic volumes for the subject interchange and the adjacent interchanges for the base year (2005) and the design year (2025).

## C. Proposed Modifications

Based upon detailed study of various alternatives to improving this interchange, it was determined that a single point urban interchange (SPUI) provides the most comprehensive solution. The proposed modifications for the l-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange will improve traffic operations and safety within the area, as well as provide for improved access for both the residential area towards the north and the future Super Terminal to the southwest.

In order to provide for the turning movements associated with a SPUI, the existing structure over I-55 will need to be replaced. Both of the existing loop ramps in the northwest and southeast quadrants would be removed and a new signal installed underneath l-55 to control all of the left turning vehicles to/from Mallory Avenue and I-55. To construct these new ramps, retaining walls will be utilized in each of the four quadrants with minor right-of-way required in the southeast portion of the interchange (Mapco Refinery) and the northeast quadrant of the interchange. These acquisitions are required due to the heavy volumes traveling west (two-lane ramp) on Mallory Avenue to southbound I-55 and westbound on Mallory Avenue to northbound I-55.

Along with the new structure over Mallory Avenue, widening of the existing l-55 mainline bridges over the I.C.R.R. will also be required. Due to the geometry of the existing northbound I-55 exit ramp bridge, it is also recommended that this structure be replaced to allow for the new ramp design to Mallory Avenue. Adequate vertical clearance exists at this location for this construction.

As part of this modification, it is also recommended that the existing segment of Riverside Drive that extends north from Mallory Avenue along the eastside of I55 be closed and replaced with a new connector road at the intersection of Mallory Avenue and Riverport Road. This new connection will provide for a safer situation for motorists as well as improve the overall operation within the interchange area.

## Riverport Road

Since Riverport Road will continue to serve the industrial development in the southwest quadrant of the study area, as well as the primary access point to the future Super Terminal, some road improvements are also recommended as part of this study.

Photo 3 on the following page shows the existing Riverport Road along the eastside of l-55. This roadway is currently forty-eight (48) feet in width with the I.C.R.R. paralleling the southeast margin of the road.Vertical sight distance deficiencies currently exist along Riverport Road underneath the l-55 bridge as shown in Photo 4.


Photo 3: Riverport Road East of I-55 towards Mallory Avenue


Photo 4: Riverport Road West of I-55 towards Mallory Avenue

Before this road was constructed, this bridge originally served to span the railroad solely. Several years ago the rail-line was shifted to the southern span with the new northbound lanes built underneath the center span and the southbound lanes constructed under the north span of the bridge. Photo 4 shows the existing grade difference (six feet) and sight distance constraints along this section of road.

The proposed improvements on Riverport Road consists of widening to five(5) travel lanes with a replacement structure built over Riverport Road to span the existing railroad and new travel lanes.

At the intersection of Mallory Avenue and Riverport Road, some modifications will be required, due to the additional travel lanes on Riverport Road as well as the new connector road to the north. Photo 5 shows the approach of the proposed connector road at the Mallory Avenue/Riverport Road intersection. This intersection would operate as a signalized intersection with safety controls recommended such as gates and lights due to the close proximity of the atgrade railroad crossing to the east of the intersection. It is also recommended that signal preemption be incorporated as part of this signalization to avoid unsafe queuing of vehicles over the railroad tracks.

As part of the intersection improvements at Riverport Road and Mallory Avenue, it is also recommended that an alternative driveway (access point) be considered for the truck service station located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection.


Photo 5: Intersection of Mallory Avenue and Riverport Road

## D. Discussion of Initial Concepts

Several alternatives to improve the safety and operational inadequacies of the existing l-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange were assessed. Below is a summary of the various alternatives investigated for this interchange. (See Appendix G for plans for these alternatives)

## Alternate A

This alternative would have eliminated the existing entrance loop ramps from Mallory Avenue to l-55. Since these movements are provided for by the other ramps, this option seemed the most logical. Also recommended with this alternative, was the closure of Riverside Drive near the l-55 northbound entrance ramp. A new connector road would be constructed at the intersection of Mallory Avenue and Riverport Road.

## Alternate B

Alternate $B$ was developed to allow the existing Riverside Drive to remain open with the northbound and southbound tangent ramps from Mallory Avenue to I-55 being abandoned. In order to allow for left turning vehicles to continue to enter the interstate, modification of the loop ramps was also recommended. No new connector road would be required, since the existing Riverside Drive was to be maintained.

## Alternate C

This alternative would have eliminated the loop ramp located in the northwest quadrant of the interchange and also closed the I-55 northbound tangent ramp. The existing l-55 southbound exit ramp to Mallory Avenue would also be modified to facilitate more efficient turning movements. The remaining loop ramp terminal at Mallory Avenue would be modified to allow for westbound traffic to turn onto this ramp.

## Alternate D

The final alternative investigated is also the one detailed in this study. It was found that the single point urban interchange provided the best overall operation of the roadway system compared with the previous alternatives. Several safety concerns could be addressed with this recommended alternative, including issues related to access.

## E. Environmental Concerns

The Tennessee Department of Transportation will perform all necessary studies including ecological and historical studies.

At the current time, the proposed design does not appear to impact any areas of environmental or historical significance. A substantial portion of this project would be constructed within the existing right-of-way.

## CHAPTER 3

## Engineering Investigations

## A. Traffic Operations

An initial analysis was made which determined that the existing interchange configuration was in adequate to handle design year volumes. Appendix B contains figures summarizing the levels-of-service under the existing conditions for 2005 and 2025 traffic. The levels-of-service were determined using the peak hour volumes which represent the worst case condition for each location.

## Existing Roadway Network

The results of the capacity analyses conducted for the existing roadway network are shown in the following tables. Specifically, Table 1 includes the capacity analyses of ramp junctions that will not result in a lane addition or a lane drop. As shown in Table 1, only one ramp junction within the study area is projected to operate at poor LOS in the Year 2005, based on the existing roadway network. Specifically, the junction of southbound I-55 and the northern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue is projected to operate at LOS F during the PM peak hour.

The following ramp junctions are expected to operate at poor Level of Service in the Year 2025, based on the existing roadway network.

- Northbound I-55 and the off-ramp to South Parkway (LOS F during the AM)
- Northbound I-55 and the on-ramp from South Parkway (LOS F during the AM)
- Southbound I-55 and the off-ramp to South Parkway (LOS E during the PM)
- Southbound I-55 and the on-ramp from South Parkway (LOS F during the PM)
- Northbound I-55 and the n. on-ramp from Mallory Ave. (LOS F during the PM)
- Southbound I-55 and the n. on-ramp from Mallory Ave. (LOS F during both AM/PM)
- Eastbound I-55 and the off-ramp to Florida Avenue (LOS F during the PM)
- Westbound I-55 and the on-ramp from Florida Avenue (LOS F during the AM

As noted within Table 1, several locations within the study area include an interchange ramp that is associated with a lane addition or a lane drop on I-55. These locations are as follows:

- Northbound I-55 at the off-ramp to Mallory Avenue,
- Northbound I-55 at the southern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue,
- Southbound I-55 at the off-ramp to Mallory Avenue, and
- Southbound I-55 at the southern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue.

The information in Exhibit 13-20 of HCM2000 indicates that the service volume of a single-lane ramp is approximately 1,760 vehicles per hour. Table 2 includes the projected traffic volumes on each ramp, which results in a lane addition or lane drop on l-55 at the interchanges within the study area.

The results of these analyses indicate that all of the ramps which currently result in a lane addition or a lane drop on I-55 have adequate capacity to accommodate the traffic volumes projected on the existing roadway network in the Years 2005 and 2025.

TABLE 1
CAPACITY ANALYSES OF RAMP JUNCTIONS WITHIN THE STUDY AREA

| Ramp Junctions | Year 2005 | Year 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (AM) | D | F |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (PM) | C | D |
| N/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (AM) | C | F |
| N/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (PM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (AM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (PM) | C | E |
| S/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (AM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (PM) | C | F |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (AM) | see note | see note |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (PM) | see note | see note |
| N/B I-55 and southern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (AM) | see note | see note |
| N/B I-55 and southern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (PM) | see note | see note |
| N/B I-55 and northern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (AM) | C | F |
| N/B I-55 and northern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (PM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (AM) | see note | see note |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (PM) | see note | see note |
| S/B I-55 and northern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (AM) | D | F |
| S/B I-55 and northern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (PM) | F | F |
| S/B I-55 and southern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (AM) | see note | see note |
| S/B I-55 and southern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (PM) | see note | see note |
|  |  |  |
| E/B I-55 and off-ramp to Florida Avenue (AM) | C | D |
| E/B I-55 and off-ramp to Florida Avenue (PM) | D | F |
| W/B I-55 and on-ramp from Florida Avenue (AM) | D | F |
| W/B I-55 and on-ramp from Florida Avenue (PM) | C | D |

Note: Some ramp junctions within the study area result in a lane addition or lane drop. The Highway Capacity Manual 2000 (HCM2000) states that in these cases, the capacity of the ramp is governed by the ramp geometry itself and not the ramp-freeway junction. Analyses for these locations are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

## CAPACITY ANALYSES AT RAMP JUNCTIONS WHICH RESULT IN A LANE ADDITION OR LANE DROP

|  |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2025 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cocation | Capacity <br> (vph) | AM Peak <br> (vph) | PM Peak <br> (vph) | AM Peak <br> (vph) | PM Peak <br> (vph) |
| Northbound I-55 at the off-ramp to Mallory Avenue | 1,760 | 532 | 276 | 1,185 | 615 |  |
| Northbound I-55 at the s. on-ramp from Mallory Avenue | 1,760 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 16 |  |
| Southbound I-55 at the off-ramp to Mallory Avenue | 1,760 | 301 | 155 | 917 | 461 |  |
| Southbound I-55 at the s. on-ramp from Mallory Avenue | 1,760 | 327 | 551 | 546 | 920 |  |

Currently no weaving sections are found within the study area because of the way that the existing interchanges are configured. Specifically, at the interchange with South Parkway, the off-ramps are located before the on-ramps in each direction of travel. Therefore, motorists exiting the interstate onto South Parkway do not have to cross paths with motorists entering the interstate segment from South Parkway.

In addition, there are no weaving sections currently found at the interchange with Mallory Avenue because several of the ramps result in lane additions and lane drops on mainline l-55. Also, as is the case at South Parkway, off-ramps are located before onramps in each direction of travel.

Finally, there are no weaving sections found at the interchange with Florida Avenue because this location is a partial interchange that does not include access to or from Florida Avenue for westbound / northbound motorists on l-55.

The results of the capacity analyses for the freeway segments within the study area are shown in Table 3. These results indicate that only one freeway segment within the study area is projected to operate at unacceptable LOS in the Year 2005, based on the existing roadway network: Specifically, Southbound I-55, between Mallory Avenue and Florida Avenue, is expected to operate at LOS E during the PM peak hour, based on the existing roadway network.

In the Year 2025, the majority of the freeway segments within the study area are projected to operate at poor LOS during both peak hours, based on the existing roadway network. However, the following roadway segments are expected to remain at acceptable levels in the Year 2025:

- Eastbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour),
- Westbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour).


## TABLE 3

## CAPACITY ANALYSES OF FREEWAY SEGMENTS WITHIN THE STUDY AREA

| Freeway Segments | Year | Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (AM Peak Hour) | $\mathbf{D}$ | F |
| Northbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (PM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (AM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (PM Peak Hour) | D | E |
| Northbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (AM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Northbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (PM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (AM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (PM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Northbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Northbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | E | F |
| Eastbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | C | D |
| Eastbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | D | E |
| Westbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | D | E |
| Westbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | C | D |

## PROPOSED ROADWAY NETWORK

The results of the capacity analyses conducted for the proposed roadway network are shown in the following tables. Specifically, Table 4 includes the capacity analyses of ramp junctions. As shown, only one ramp junction within the study area is projected to operate at poor LOS in the Year 2005, based on the proposed roadway network. Specifically, the junction of southbound I-55 and the northern on-ramp from Mallory Avenue is projected to operate at LOS F during the PM peak hour.

The following ramp junctions are expected to operate at poor Level of Service in the Year 2025, based on the proposed roadway network.

- Northbound I-55 and the off-ramp to South Parkway (LOS F during the AM)
- Northbound I-55 and the on-ramp from South Parkway (LOS F during the AM)
- Southbound I-55 and the off-ramp to South Parkway (LOS E during the PM)
- Southbound I-55 and the on-ramp from South Parkway (LOS F during the PM)
- Northbound I-55 and the n. on-ramp from Mallory Ave. (LOS F during the PM)
- Southbound I-55 and the n. on-ramp from Mallory Ave. (LOS F during AM/PM)
- Eastbound I-55 and the off-ramp to Florida Avenue (LOS F during the PM)
- Westbound I-55 and the on-ramp from Florida Avenue (LOS F during the AM)

TABLE 4
CAPACITY ANALYSES OF RAMP JUNCTIONS WITHIN THE STUDY AREA

| Ramp Junctions | Year 2005 | Year 2025 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (AM) | D | F |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (PM) | C | D |
| N/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (AM) | C | F |
| N/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (PM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (AM) | D |  |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to South Parkway (PM) | C | E |
| S/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (AM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and on-ramp from South Parkway (PM) | C | F |
|  |  | D |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (AM) | C | F |
| N/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (PM) | C | F |
| N/B I-55 and on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (AM) | C | D |
| N/B I-55 and on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (PM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (AM) | C | F |
| S/B I-55 and off-ramp to Mallory Avenue (PM) | C | D |
| S/B I-55 and on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (AM) | C | F |
| S/B I-55 and on-ramp from Mallory Avenue (PM) |  |  |
|  | C | D |
| E/B I-55 and off-ramp to Florida Avenue (AM) | D | F |
| E/B I-55 and off-ramp to Florida Avenue (PM) | D | F |
| W/B I-55 and on-ramp from Florida Avenue (AM) | C | D |
| W/B I-55 and on-ramp from Florida Avenue (PM) |  |  |

As with the existing roadway network, no weaving sections are found within the proposed roadway network because of the way that the existing interchanges are configured. Specifically, at the interchange with South Parkway, the off-ramps will remain positioned before the on-ramps in each direction of travel. Therefore, motorists exiting the interstate onto South Parkway do not have to cross paths with motorists entering the interstate segment from South Parkway.

In addition, there will be no weaving sections at the interchange with Mallory Avenue not only because off-ramps are located before on-ramps in each direction of travel, but also because the spacing between the proposed ramp locations exceeds the distance that defines a weaving section.

Finally, there are no weaving sections found at the interchange with Florida Avenue because this location is a partial interchange that does not include access to or from Florida Avenue for westbound / northbound motorists on l-55.

The results of the capacity analyses for the freeway segments within the study area are shown in Table 5. These results indicate that only one freeway segment within the study area is projected to operate at unacceptable LOS in the Year 2005, based on the existing roadway network: Specifically, Southbound I-55, between Mallory Avenue and Florida Avenue, is expected to operate at LOS E during the PM peak hour, based on the existing roadway network.

In the Year 2025, the majority of the freeway segments within the study area are projected to operate at poor LOS during both peak hours, based on the existing roadway network. However, the following roadway segments are expected to remain at acceptable levels in the Year 2025:

- Eastbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour),
- Westbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour).

TABLE 5

## CAPACITY ANALYSES OF FREEWAY SEGMENTS WITHIN THE STUDY AREA

| Freeway Segments | Year | Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (AM Peak Hour) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ |
| Northbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (PM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Southbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (AM Peak Hour) | E |  |
| Southbound I-55, north of S. Parkway (PM Peak Hour) | E |  |
| Northbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (AM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Northbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (PM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (AM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between S. Parkway and Mallory Avenue (PM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Northbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | D | F |
| Northbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | C | E |
| Southbound I-55, between Mallory Ave. and Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | E | F |
| Eastbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | C | D |
| Eastbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | D | E |
| Westbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (AM Peak Hour) | D | E |
| Westbound I-55, east of Florida Ave. (PM Peak Hour) | C | D |

Capacity analyses were conducted for the new single point urban interchange configuration that is proposed for Mallory Avenue within the proposed roadway network. For the purposes of these analyses, the following assumptions were made:

- a SPUI configuration would be provided for the l-55 interchange ramps at Mallory Avenue,
- a new traffic signal will be installed at the intersection of Mallory Avenue and the I-55 ramps,
- the new traffic signal will include a separate left turn signal phase for each approach,
- all right turns off the exit ramps from l-55 will operate with yield-control conditions rather than be controlled by the traffic signal,
- a separate eastbound left turn lane will be provided on Mallory Avenue for motorists turning onto the on-ramp for northbound / westbound I-55,
- two westbound left turn lanes will be provided on Mallory Avenue for motorists turning onto the on-ramp for southbound / eastbound l-55 and exclusive eastbound right turn lane to northbound I-55,
- two southbound left turn lanes will be provided for motorists turning from the off-ramp from southbound I-55 onto eastbound Mallory Avenue, and
- a single northbound left turn lane will be provided for motorists turning from the offramp from northbound I-55 onto westbound Mallory Avenue.

The results of these analyses are shown in Table 6. The analyses show that the new single point urban interchange will not fail in the AM and PM peak hours in the Year 2005 and 2025.

## TABLE 6

## CAPACITY ANALYSES AT NEW SURFACE STREET INTERSECTIONS

|  | Year | Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECTION | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ |
| Mallory Avenue and I-55 SPUI ramp configuration (AM peak) | B | D |
| Mallory Avenue and I-55 SPUI ramp configuration (PM peak) | C | E |
| Mallory Avenue and Riverport Rd/Proposed Connector (AM peak) | B | C |
| Mallory Avenue and Riverport Rd/Proposed Connector (PM peak) | C | D |

## B. Access Analysis

This study has been undertaken in accordance with the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) policy for granting new or revised interchange access. The FHWA policy, as described in FHWA Docket 98-3460, "Additional Interchanges to the Interstate System (Federal Register 63, No. 28, February 11, 1998) is provided in the following paragraphs accompanied by comments for consideration.

It is in the national interest to maintain the Interstate System to provide the highest level of service in terms of safety and mobility. Adequate control of access is critical to providing such service. Therefore, new or revised access points to the existing Interstate System should meet the following requirements.

1. The existing interchanges and/or local roads and streets in the corridor can neither provide the necessary access nor be improved to satisfactorily accommodate the design year traffic demands while at the same time providing the access intended by the proposal.

With the continual increase in traffic volumes along l-55 within the project area, the merge and diverge movements will continue to diminish the operation of the interstate system in the project area. This degradation will result in increased motorists delay, reduced traveler safety, and reduced air quality within the city of Memphis. No minor interchange improvements can be made (other than the recommended configuration) to eliminate the major problems outlined previously in this report.
2. All reasonable alternatives for design options, location and transportation system management type improvements (such as ramp metering, mass transit, and HOV facilities) have been assessed and provided for if currently justified, or provisions are included for accommodating such facilities if a future need is identified.

There were several different design options developed and assessed in this study to improve the operation of the I-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange. However, the proposed design is the only one that produced the desired level of service and operational characteristics for the interchange.

The proposed modification will be constructed with as little disruption to the adjacent development in the area as any other design option investigated.
3. The proposed access point does not have a significant adverse impact on the safety and operation of the interstate facility based upon an analysis of current and future traffic. The operational analysis for existing conditions shall, particularly in urbanized areas, include an analysis of sections of interstate to and including
at least the first adjacent existing or proposed interchange on either side. Crossroads and other roads and streets shall be included in the analysis to the extent necessary to assure their ability to collect and distribute traffic to and from the interchange with new or revised access points.

The proposed modifications should not have any adverse impact on the safety and operation of the interstate facility. Safety will be improved with the elimination of substandard merge lengths associated with the existing low speed loop ramps.
4. The proposed access connects to a public road only and will provide for all traffic movements. Less than "full interchanges" for special purpose access for transit vehicles, for HOV's, or into park and ride lots may be considered on a case-by-case basis. The proposed access will be designed to meet or exceed current standards for Federal-Aid projects on the Interstate System.

The proposal is a modification of the existing interchange at Interstate 55 and Mallory Avenue. The proposed modification is a "full interchange" and provides safer movements along the mainline of Interstate 55 and the local roadway system. The proposed design will meet or exceed the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) criteria.
5. The proposal considers and is consistent with local and regional land use and transportation plans. Prior to final approval, all requests for new or revised access must be consistent with the metropolitan and/or statewide transportation plan, as appropriate, the applicable provisions of 23 CFR part 450 and the transportation conformity requirements of 40 CFR parts 51 and 93.

The study was coordinated with both the Tennessee Department of Transportation and the City of Memphis. The proposal is consistent with all local, regional, and statewide land use and transportation plans.
6. In areas where the potential exists for future multiple interchange additions, all requests for new or revised access are supported by a comprehensive interstate network study with recommendations that address all proposed and desired access within the context of a long-term plan.

There are no long-range plans for additional interchanges in this area. The existing interchanges provide adequate access to the subject area.
7. The request for a new or revised access generated by a new or expanded development demonstrates appropriate coordination between the development and related or otherwise required transportation system improvements

This interchange modification is intended to correct operational inadequacies of the existing interchange configuration. The request is also generated by future development within the vicinity of the interchange, more specifically the proposed Super Terminal to be located southwest of the subject interchange.

## 8. The request for a new or revised access contains information relative to the planning requirements and the status of environmental processing of the proposal.

The proposed modifications will be submitted to the TDOT Environmental Department to begin environmental studies at the time this report is submitted to the FHWA.

## C. Proposed Interchange Cost

The total cost for this improvement to the l-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange and the Riverport Road area is approximately $\$ 12,967,000$. An estimated cost breakdown is shown in Appendix $E$.

## CHAPTER 4

## Summary of Findings and Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the existing interchange at Interstate 55 and Mallory Avenue and to develop proposed improvements to the interchange which could be constructed within current physical constraints and provide a desirable level of service for the design year traffic.

The traffic analysis indicates that the existing interchange is inadequate to handle the current and design year traffic volumes. The current configuration and the associated merge and diverge problems severely congest this area.

The proposed redesign of the l-55 and Mallory Avenue interchange area will provide safety for motorists traveling through this corridor as well as facilitate the large number of heavy trucks that presently use this roadway. Additional access and capacity will also be provided with the necessary improvements to Riverport Road and the proposed connector road towards the north from the Mallory Avenue intersection.

Traffic operations will be improved with most movements operating at a desirable level of service. As stated previously, in order for all the movements to operate at an acceptable LOS, the mainline of $\mathrm{l}-55$ would require one additional mainline travel lane in each direction. This widening falls outside the scope of this improvement project.

## APPENDIX A

TRAFFIC VOLUMES: 2005 AND 2025 DHV'S

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: INTERCHANGE MODIFICATION STUDY.

PROJECT NO:
COUNTY: SHELBY

ROUTE:
I-55
CITY: MEMPHIS

## DIVISION REQUESTING:

MAINTENANCE
PLANNING
PAVEMENT DESIGN
PROG. DEVELOPMENT \& ADM.


PUBLIC TRANS. \& AERO. STRUCTURES SURVEY \& DESIGN OTHER $\qquad$


YEAR PROJECT PROGRAMMED FOR CONSTRUCTION:


PROJECTED LETTING DATE:
TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT:

| BASE YEAR |  | DESIGN YEAR |  |  |  |  | DESIGN ROADWAY \% TRUCKS |  | DESIGNAVERAGEDAILY LOADS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADT | YEAR | ADT | DHV | \% | YEAR | DIR.DIST. | DHV | ADT | FLEX | RIGID |
| 65,320 | 2005 | 96,640 | 9,664 | 10 | 2025 | 55-45 | 17 | 25 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## COMMENTS:

THIS TRAFFIC BASED ON A PREVIOUS PROIECT DATED: 3/16/2000. FUTURE TRAFFIC BASED ON GROWTH RATES FROM THE MEMPHIS LONG RANGE COMPUTER MODEL. - INTERCHANGES :H5 AND \#6 REWORKED FOR DISTRIBUIION WITH ADDITION OF SUPER-TERMINAL. ADL AND DHV INCLUDED.
REPLACES PROJECT DATED: $1 / 12 / 2001$ PREPARED FOR PLANNING.

DHV'S ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR SIDE ROADS LESS THAN 1000 ADT. NOTE: FOR BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROIECTS, ADLS ARE NOT REQUTRED FOR ADTs OF 1000 OR LESS AND PERCENTAGE OF TRUCKS OF $7 \%$ OR LESS. SEE ATTACHMENIS FOR TURNING MOVEMENTS AND/OR OTHER DETAILS.
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SHELBY COUNTY


2005 ADT-000

$$
2025 \text { ADT-(000) }
$$

ADT TRuck \% -
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## APPENDIX B

## LEVEL OF SERVICE: EXISTING AND PROPOSED



(LOS C)
(LOS E)

## APPENDIX C

CAPACITY ANALYSIS: EXISTING CONDITIONS

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: NBI-55
Analyst: Fischbach
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 3717 | vph |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 0.90 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 1033 |  |
| Terrain Type | 3 |  |
| Grade | Level |  |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 25 | $\%$ |
| Recreational Vehicles | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 0 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 1.2 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 0.89 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1549 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 28.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: NBI-55
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3053 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 848 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1272 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.1 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: SBI-55
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3124 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 868 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1302 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: SBI-55
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3666 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1018 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1528 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 27.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3940 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1094 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp |  |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 1634 | pcphpl |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 35.0 | mph |
| Density, D | 29.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3178 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 883 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1318 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | $24.0-$ | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3121 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 867 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1295 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4031 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1120 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1672 |  |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1672 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 30.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

VOLUME

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4289 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1144 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1701 |  |

$\qquad$ FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1701 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 30.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

VOLUME

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3185 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 830 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

$\qquad$ FREE-FLOW SPEED $\qquad$

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |
|  | Regular Freeway |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1234 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 22.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3198 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 872 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |


| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | int |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fID | 5.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, $N$ | 3 |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot | Regul |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1296 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 23.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4472 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1231 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1830 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 54.2 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 33.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: EB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2005 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 2940 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 800 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1189 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 21.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: EB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2005 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3840 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1056 | v |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp |  |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 1569 | pcphpl |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 35.0 | mph |
| Density, D | 28.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: WB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2005 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 3714 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 984 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 | $\%$ |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1464 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 26.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: WB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2005 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 2914 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 755 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1122 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 20.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | C |  |

## RAMP JUNCTIONS

HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Diverge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |


| Type of analysis | Diver |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3940 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 333 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 325 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 110 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downstream |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On | ft |


| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3940 |  | 333 |  | 110 |  | ph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1094 |  | 93 |  | 31 |  |  |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4903 |  | 383 |  | 126 |  | cph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$
Analyst: Agency/Co.: Date performed:

Fischbach
June 2001
Analysis time period: PM Peak Hour
Freeway/dir or travel:
Junction:
NB I-55
Off-ramp to S. Parkway
Jurisdiction: Memphis, TN
Analysis Year:
2005 DHVs
Description: 10019
Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
55.0 mph

3178 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 287 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 325 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 162 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downst |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp | 500 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3178 |  | 287 |  | 162 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 883 |  | 80 |  | 45 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5* |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3955 |  | 330 |  | 186 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$

Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway 55.0 mph
Volume on freeway 3607 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph
$110 \quad \mathrm{vph}$
450 ft
Length of first accel/decel lane

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 333 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |

$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3607 |  | 110 |  | 333 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1002 |  | 31 |  | 93 |  |  |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4489 |  | 126 |  | 383 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
52.6

Free-flow speed on freeway
3
Volume on freeway 2981 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph
$162 \quad$ vph
Length of first accel/decel lane
450 ft
$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 287 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | 500 | ft |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
55.0 mph

3124 vph

Off Ramp Data $\qquad$
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp 1
Right
Free-Flow speed on ramp 35.0 mph
Volume on ramp 177 vph
Length of first accel/decel lane 275 ft
Length of second accel/decel lane
275 ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
55.0 mph
$3666 \quad$ vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 157 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 275 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 522 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downst |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp | 700 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3666 |  | 157 |  | 522 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1018 |  | 44 |  | 145 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4562 |  | 181 |  | 600 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


|  | Freeway Data____ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 52.6 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 2947 |  |
|  |  | On Ramp Data |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 174 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 177 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac <br> Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 2947 |  | 174 |  | 177 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 819 |  | 48 |  | 49 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3667 |  | 200 |  | 204 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |

$\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph
$522 \quad$ vph
500 ft
Length of first accel/decel lane 500
Length of second accel/decel lane
Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | l57 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |

$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac <br> Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3509 |  | 522 |  | 157 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 975 |  | 145 |  | 44 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4367 |  | 600 |  | 181 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB 55 |
| Junction: | n. on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


|  | Freeway Data______ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 52.0 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3766 | vph |
|  |  |  |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 174 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 375 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | ft |  |



| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjace Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3766 |  | 174 |  |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1046 |  | 48 |  |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4687 |  | 200 |  |  |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB 55 |
| Junction: | n. on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


|  | Freeway Data______ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 52.5 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 2922 |  |
|  |  | On Ramp Data |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 256 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 375 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | ft |  |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 2922 |  | 256 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 812 |  | 71 |  |  | V |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3636 |  | 294 |  |  |  |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB 55 |
| Junction: | n. on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |

$\qquad$
Type of analysis 49.4

| Number of lanes in freeway | 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |

Volume on freeway 2820 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 51 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp |  | $f t$ |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 2820 |  | 51 |  |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 783 |  | 14 |  |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3509 |  | 59 |  |  |  | pcph |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity Checks |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} & \text { Actual } & \text { Maximum } & \text { LOS F? } \\ \text { v } & 3568 & 4500 & \text { No }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| V 3568 No |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service Determination (if not F) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density, } D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=30.1 \\ & \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence |  |  |  |  |
| Speed Estimation |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate speed variable, $\mathrm{M}=0.424$ |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}=49.5 \mathrm{mp}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, $\mathrm{S}_{0}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, $\mathrm{S}=49.5 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |

HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB 55 |
| Junction: | n. on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 2
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 3876 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 45 | Vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | ft |  |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3876 |  | 45 |  |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1077 |  | 13 |  |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4823 |  | 52 |  |  |  | pcph |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity Checks |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Actual Maximum LOS F? <br> V FO 4875 4500 Yes <br> V 4875 4600 Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service Determination (if not F) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density, } D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=40.3 \\ & \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Speed Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate speed variable, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.797$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}=44.6 \mathrm{mp}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, $\mathrm{S}_{0}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, $\mathrm{S}=44.6 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | EB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |





HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | EB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


| Type of analysis | Diver |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4472 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 632 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 275 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp |  | ft |


| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4472 |  | 632 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1242 |  | 176 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 23 |  | 3 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  | \% |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.897 |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5540 |  | 713 |  |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | WB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |

$\qquad$
Type of analysis 51.7

| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |

Volume on freeway 3714 vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 575 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 350 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp |  | $f t$ |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3714 |  | 575 |  |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1032 |  | 160 |  |  | V |
| Trucks and buses | 23 |  | 3 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.897 |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4601 |  | 648 |  |  |  |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | WB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


|  | Freeway Data______ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 52.5 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 2914 |  |
|  |  | On Ramp Data |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 271 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 350 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | ft |  |

____Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions_______

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjace Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 2914 |  | 271 |  |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 809 |  | 75 |  |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 23 |  | 3 |  |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.897 |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3610 |  | 306 |  |  |  | pcph |



HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: NBI-55
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 5488 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1524 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2287 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 49.3 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 46.3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | F |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: NBI-55
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4596 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1277 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1915 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 53.7 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 35.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: SBI-55
Ag
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4565 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1268 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

$\qquad$ FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |
|  | Regular Freeway |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1902 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 53.8 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 35.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | North of S. Parkway |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |

$\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: SBI-55
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 5317 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1477 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 25 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

$\qquad$ FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2215 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 50.6 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 43.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 5840 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1622 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp |  |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 2423 | pcphpl |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 36.4 | mph |
| Density, D | 5 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | F .3 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 4750 | vph |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 0.90 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 1319 |  |
| Terrain Type | 3 |  |
| Grade | Level |  |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | \% |
| Trucks and Buses | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 24 | $\%$ |
| Recreational Vehicles | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 0 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 1.2 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 0.89 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS____ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1970 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 53.3 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 36.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4561 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1267 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1892 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 53.8 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 35.1 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between S. Parkway and Mallory |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 5847 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1624 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 24 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.89 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2425 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 46.3 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 52.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | F |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 6432 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1669 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS____ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2481 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 3 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D |  |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | NB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4486 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1230 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |
|  | Regular Freeway |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |


|  | RESULTS____ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1829 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 54.2 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 33.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4475 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1256 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |


| FREE-FLOW SPEED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fID | 5.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, $N$ | 3 |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot | Regula |  |


|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1867 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 54.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 34.6 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

|  | OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway/Dir. Travel: | SB I-55 |
| From/To: | Between Mallory and Florida |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 6559 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1756 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |



|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2611 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 3 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Density, D |  |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

| Highway/Dir. Travel: | EB I-55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| From/To: | East of Florida Street |
| Agency or Company: | Fischbach |
| Analyst: | GLF |
| Analysis Time Period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, Shelby County, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Date Performed: | June 2001 |


|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4151 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 | vph |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1166 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1734 |  |

$\qquad$ FREE-FLOW SPEED $\qquad$

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1734 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 31.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: EB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2025 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 5767 | vph |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 0.90 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 1536 |  |
| Terrain Type | 3 |  |
| Grade | Level |  |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | \% |
| Trucks and Buses | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 23 | $\%$ |
| Recreational Vehicles | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 0 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 1.2 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 0.90 |  |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2284 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 41.5 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: WB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: AM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2025 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME_ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 5710 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1468 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 2183 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 51.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 42.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | E |  |

HCS: Basic Freeway Sections Release 3.1c
OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

```
Highway/Dir. Travel: WB I-55
From/To: East of Florida Street
Agency or Company: Fischbach
Analyst: GLF
Analysis Time Period: PM Peak Hour
Jurisdiction: Memphis, Shelby County, TN
Analysis Year: 2025 DHVs
Date Performed: June 2001
```

|  | VOLUME___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V | 4146 | vph |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min Volume, v15 | 1136 |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Terrain Type | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Segment Length | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and Buses | 23 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and Buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational Vehicles | 0 |  |
| Recreational Vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy Vehicle Adjustment, fHV | 0.90 |  |
| Driver Population Adjustment, fP | 1.00 | pcphpl |

FREE-FLOW SPEED

| Free-Flow Speed: | Ideal |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| FFS or FFSi | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Lane Width | 12.0 | ft |  |
| Lane Width Adjustment, fLW | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Right-Shoulder Lateral Clearance | 6.0 | ft |  |
| Lateral Clearance Adjustment, fLC | 0.0 | mph |  |
| Interchange Density | 1.50 | interchange/mi |  |
| Interchange Density Adjustment, fid | 5.0 | mph |  |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |  |
| Number of Lanes Adjustment, fN | 3.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed | 55.0 | mph |  |
| Adjusted free-flow speed cannot be less than 55 mph. |  |  |  |


|  | RESULTS____ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Flow Rate, vp | 1689 | pcphpl |
| Adjusted Free-Flow Speed, FFS | 55.0 | mph |
| Average Passenger-Car Speed, S | 55.0 | mph |
| Number of Lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Density, D | 30.7 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of Service, LOS | D |  |

## RAMP JUNCTIONS

HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$
Analyst: Agency/Co.: Date performed:

Fischbach
Fischbach
June 2001
Analysis time period: AM Peak Hour
Freeway/dir or travel:
Junction:
NB I-55
Off-ramp to S. Parkway
Jurisdiction: Memphis, TN
Analysis Year:
2025 DHVs
Description: 10019
Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
$55.0 \quad \mathrm{mph}$
$5840 \quad$ vph

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 483 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 325 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 131 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downst |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp | 500 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5840 |  | 483 |  | 131 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1622 |  | 134 |  | 36 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 7268 |  | 555 |  | 151 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$
Analyst: Agency/Co.: Date performed:

Fischbach
June 2001
Analysis time period: PM Peak Hour
Freeway/dir or travel:
Junction:
NB I-55
Off-ramp to S. Parkway
Jurisdiction: Memphis, TN
Analysis Year:
2025 DHVs
Description: 10019
Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
55.0 mph
$4750 \quad$ vph
$\qquad$ Off Ramp Data

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

417 vph
325 ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 263 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downstream |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On | ft |

$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions

| Junction Components | Freeway | Ramp | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4750 | 417 | 263 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1319 | 116 | 73 | V |
| Trucks and buses | 24 | 7 | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level | Level | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | $\%$ | 0.00 | $\%$ |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 | 1.0 | $\%$ | 1.0 |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | 1.2 | mi |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 | 0.966 | 1.2 |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5911 | 480 | 302 | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
50.9

Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 5357 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph

131 vph
Length of first accel/decel lane
450 ft
$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 483 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | 500 | ft |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB I-55 |
| Junction: | on from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data

Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway 4333 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph

263 vph
450 ft
Length of first accel/decel lane

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 417 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |

$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4333 |  | 263 |  | 417 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1204 |  | 73 |  | 116 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5392 |  | 302 |  | 480 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Diverge
3
55.0 mph

4565 vph
$\qquad$ Off Ramp Data

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

256 vph
275 ft
$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Diverge
Free-flow speed on freeway
3
Volume on freeway 5317
$\qquad$ Off Ramp Data

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-Flow speed on ramp Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
35.0 mph

228 vph
275 ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 758 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downstream |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On | 700 |


| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5317 |  | 228 |  | 758 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1477 |  | 63 |  | 211 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 6617 |  | 262 |  | 872 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 4309 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph

252 vph
Length of first accel/decel lane
500 ft
$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 256 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | 700 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4309 |  | 252 |  | 256 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1197 |  | 70 |  | 71 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5362 |  | 290 |  | 294 | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from S. Parkway |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
mph
$5089 \quad$ vph
On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 758 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |

$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 228 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |

$\qquad$ Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB 55 @ n. on-ramp fr. Mallory |
| Junction: | N. on-ramp fr. Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis 50.6

Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway 55.0 mph
Volume on freeway 5257 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 583 | Vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 375 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | ft |  |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | 2025 DHVs |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | NB 55 @ n. on-ramp fr. Mallory |
| Junction: | N.on-ramp fr. Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 863 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 375 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent | (if one exists) |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp |  | $f t$ |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB 55 |
| Junction: | N. on-ramp fr. Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 2
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 3644 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 285 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent | (if one exists) |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp |  | $f t$ |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | SB 55 |
| Junction: | N. on-ramp fr. Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 2
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 5386 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 253 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent | (if one exists) |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp |  | $f t$ |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | EB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


| Type of analysis | Diver |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4475 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 324 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 275 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp |  |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp |  | ft |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | EB I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 6559 |  | 792 |  |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1822 |  | 220 |  |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 23 |  | 3 |  |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.897 |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 8126 |  | 893 |  |  |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | $\quad$ Merge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | WB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
50.2

Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0$ mph
Volume on freeway 5710 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 722 | Vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 350 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | ft |  |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | WB I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Florida |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 |  |


|  | Freeway Data____ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 51.6 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4146 |  |
|  |  | On Ramp Data |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 340 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 350 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp | Data (if one exists) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  | ft |



| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjace Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4146 |  | 340 |  |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1152 |  | 94 |  |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 23 |  | 3 |  |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.897 |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5136 |  | 383 |  |  |  | pcph |



## APPENDIX D

CAPACITY ANALYSIS: PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS

## RAMP JUNCTIONS

HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Northbound off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |



| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4289 |  | 532 |  | 183 |  | ph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1191 |  | 148 |  | 51 |  |  |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5337 |  | 612 |  | 210 |  | cph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Northbound off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |


| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3185 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 276 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 200 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 269 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downs |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp | 1800 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3185 |  | 276 |  | 269 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 885 |  | 77 |  | 75 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3964 |  | 317 |  | 309 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 3757 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
Number of lanes in ramp
1
35.0 mph
$183 \quad$ vph
Length of first accel/decel lane
400 ft
$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 532 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | 1800 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3757 |  | 183 |  | 532 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1044 |  | 51 |  | 148 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4675 |  | 210 |  | 612 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 2909 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 269 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 400 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 276 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |



| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3121 |  | 301 |  | 378 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 867 |  | 84 |  | 105 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 3884 |  | 346 |  | 435 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |



| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4031 |  | 155 |  | 596 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1120 |  | 43 |  | 166 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5016 |  | 178 |  | 685 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway 3
Free-flow speed on freeway $\quad 55.0 \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway 2820 vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data

| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 378 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 301 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |




HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2005 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |


|  | Freeway Data______ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 51.6 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 3876 | vph |
|  |  |  |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 596 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? |  |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | Yes |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | 155 | vph |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |



| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac <br> Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3876 |  | 596 |  | 155 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1077 |  | 166 |  | 43 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4823 |  | 685 |  | 178 |  | pcph |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity Checks |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} & \text { Actual } & \text { Maximum } \\ \text { v } & \text { 508 } & \text { LOS }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { V } & & 3538 & 4600\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service Determination (if not F) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density, } D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=29.6 \\ & \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $D$ |  |  |  |  |
| Speed Estimation |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate speed variable, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.420$ |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}=49.5 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, $\mathrm{S}_{0}=49.7 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, $\mathrm{S}=49.6 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |

## SURFACE STREET INTERSECTIONS




Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination

|  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane group | L | TR | L | T | L |  | L |  |  |
| Adj. flow rate | 14 | 373 | 79 | 171 | 106 |  | 257 |  |  |
| Lane group cap. | 221 | 1180 | 429 | 698 | 338 |  | 656 |  |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.31 |  | 0.39 |  |  |
| Green ratio | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.21 |  | 0.21 |  |  |
| Unif. delay d1 | 25.9 | 13.2 | 26.4 | 12.8 | 23.2 |  | 23.6 |  |  |
| Delay factor k | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |  |  |
| Increm. delay d2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.4 |  | 1.8 |  |  |
| PF factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Control delay | 26.5 | 13.9 | 27.4 | 13.6 | 25.6 |  | 25.3 |  |  |
| Lane group LOS | C | B | C | B | C |  | C |  |  |
| Apprch. delay | 14.4 |  | 17.9 |  | 25.6 |  | 25.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |  |
| Intersec. delay | 19.3 |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | $B$ |  |  |




Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination

|  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane group | L | TR | L | $T$ | L |  | L |  |  |
| Adj. flow rate | 21 | 616 | 59 | 489 | 54 |  | 132 |  |  |
| Lane group cap. | 221 | 1167 | 429 | 698 | 338 |  | 656 |  |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.16 |  | 0.20 |  |  |
| Green ratio | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.21 |  | 0.21 |  |  |
| Unif. delay d1 | 26.1 | 14.8 | 26.2 | 16.3 | 22.4 |  | 22.6 |  |  |
| Delay factor k | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |  |  |
| Increm. delay d2 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 1.0 |  | 0.7 |  |  |
| PF factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Control delay | 26.9 | 16.5 | 26.9 | 22.1 | 23.4 |  | 23.3 |  |  |
| Lane group LOS | C | B | C | C | C |  | C |  |  |
| Apprch. delay | 16.8 |  | 22.6 |  | 23.4 |  | 23.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |  |
| Intersec. delay | 20.0 |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | C |  |  |

## RAMP JUNCTIONS

HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Northbound off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |



| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 1185 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 200 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 593 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downstream |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On | ft |


| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 6432 |  | 1185 |  | 593 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1787 |  | 329 |  | 165 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 8004 |  | 1363 |  | 682 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Northbound off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |



| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4486 |  | 615 |  | 879 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1246 |  | 171 |  | 244 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5583 |  | 707 |  | 1011 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |


|  | Freeway Data______ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Type of analysis | 50.6 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5247 |  |
|  |  | On Ramp Data |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 593 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 400 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp | Data (if one exists) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 1185 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |



| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac <br> Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5247 |  | 593 |  | 1185 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1458 |  | 165 |  | 329 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 6530 |  | 682 |  | 1363 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Northbound I-55 |
| Junction: | on-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 615 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3871 |  | 879 |  | 615 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1075 |  | 244 |  | 171 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4817 |  | 1011 |  | 707 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis

|  | $\quad$ Diverge Analysis__ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |


| Type of analysis | Diverge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 4561 | vph |
| Off Ramp Data |  |  |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-Flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 917 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |
| Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |  |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent ramp | 831 | vph |
| Position of adjacent ramp | Downs |  |
| Type of adjacent ramp | On |  |
| Distance to adjacent ramp | 1800 | ft |


| Junction Components | Freewa |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 4561 |  | 917 |  | 831 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1267 |  | 255 |  | 231 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 5676 |  | 1055 |  | 956 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1
Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | Off-ramp to Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 PROPOSED |  |



| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5847 |  | 461 |  | 1173 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1624 |  | 128 |  | 326 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |  |
| Length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 7276 |  | 530 |  | 1349 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis___ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | AM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
51.5

3
55.0 mph
$3644 \quad$ vph

$\qquad$

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac <br> Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 3644 |  | 831 |  | 917 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1012 |  | 231 |  | 255 |  | V |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 4535 |  | 956 |  | 1055 |  | pcph |



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1

|  | Merge Analysis____ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Analyst: | Fischbach |
| Agency/Co.: | Fischbach |
| Date performed: | June 2001 |
| Analysis time period: | PM Peak Hour |
| Freeway/dir or travel: | Southbound I-55 |
| Junction: | On-ramp from Mallory |
| Jurisdiction: | Memphis, TN |
| Analysis Year: | 2025 DHVs |
| Description: 10019 | PROPOSED |


|  | Freeway Data_____ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Type of analysis | 50.0 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 3 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 55.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 5386 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | On Ramp Data |


| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 |  |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 35.0 | mph |
| Volume on ramp | 1173 | vph |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 500 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  | ft |


|  | Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does adjacent ramp exist? | Yes |  |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp | 461 | vph |
| Position of adjacent Ramp | Upstream |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp | Off | ft |


| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjac Ramp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 5386 |  | 1173 |  | 461 |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 1496 |  | 326 |  | 128 |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 24 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  | Level |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.893 |  | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Driver population factor, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 6703 |  | 1349 |  | 530 |  | pcph |


| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L}= & \text { (Equation } 25-2 \text { or 25-3) } \\ \mathrm{EQ} \\ \mathrm{P} \\ \mathrm{FM} \\ \mathrm{~V}= & 0.591 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity Checks |  |  |  |  |  |
| V Actual Maximum LOS F? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { V } & 5314 & 4600\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service Determination (if not F) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Density, } D=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}=43.2 \\ & \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccc}R & R & 12\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Speed Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate speed variable, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}=1.078$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}=41.0$ mph |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, $\mathrm{S}_{0}=45.8 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, $\mathrm{S}=42.5 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## SURFACE STREET INTERSECTIONS




Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination

|  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane group | L | TR | L | $T$ | L |  | L |  |  |
| Adj. flow rate | 21 | 670 | 493 | 140 | 134 |  | 907 |  |  |
| Lane group cap. | 309 | 950 | 600 | 543 | 421 |  | 816 |  |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.07 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.26 | 0.32 |  | 1.11 |  |  |
| Green ratio | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.27 |  | 0.27 |  |  |
| Unif. delay d1 | 24.3 | 21.8 | 28.7 | 18.2 | 22.0 |  | 27.5 |  |  |
| Delay factor k | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |  |  |
| Increm. delay d2 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 |  | 66.7 |  |  |
| PF factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Control delay | 24.8 | 26.2 | 40.7 | 19.4 | 24.0 |  | 94.2 |  |  |
| Lane group LOS | C | C | D | B | C |  | $F$ |  |  |
| Apprch. delay | 26.1 |  | 36.0 |  | 24.0 |  | 94.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | D |  | C |  | $F$ |  |  |
| Intersec. delay | 54.8 |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | D |  |  |




Lane Group Capacity, Control Delay, and LOS Determination

|  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane group | L | TR | L | $T$ | L |  | L |  |  |
| Adj. flow rate | 30 | 741 | 553 | 898 | 66 |  | 456 |  |  |
| Lane group cap. | 309 | 1264 | 600 | 760 | 210 |  | 408 |  |  |
| v/c ratio | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.92 | 1.18 | 0.31 |  | 1.12 |  |  |
| Green ratio | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.13 |  | 0.13 |  |  |
| Unif. delay d1 | 24.5 | 14.7 | 29.4 | 20.0 | 29.4 |  | 32.5 |  |  |
| Delay factor k | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |  |  |
| Increm. delay d2 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 21.8 | 95.0 | 3.9 |  | 80.5 |  |  |
| PF factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Control delay | 25.1 | 16.7 | 51.2 | 115.0 | 33.3 |  | 113.0 |  |  |
| Lane group LOS | C | B | D | $F$ | C |  | $F$ |  |  |
| Apprch. delay | 17.0 |  | 90.7 |  | 33.3 |  | 113.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | $F$ |  | C |  | F |  |  |
| Intersec. delay | 72.3 |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | $E$ |  |  |



| Appr/ | Lane | Adj Sat | Ratios | Lane Group | Approach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane | Group | Flow Rate |  |  |  |
| Grp | Capacity | (s) | v/c $\quad \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$ | Delay LOS | Delay LOS |


| Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L | 684 | 1752 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 7.6 | A |  |  |  |
| TR | 1501 | 3002 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 14.7 | B | 13.6 | B |  |


| Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L | 355 | 1504 | 0.16 | 0.61 | 8.0 | A |  |  |
| TR | 1658 | 3315 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 11.9 | B | 11.0 | B |



Southbound

| L | 320 | 1752 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 24.1 | C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TR | 497 | 2981 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 32.7 | C | 31.0 | C |

$$
\text { Intersection Delay }=17.6 \text { (sec/veh) } \quad \text { Intersection } \mathrm{LOS}=\mathrm{B}
$$



| Intersection Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appr/ | Lane | Adj Sat | Ratios | Lane Group | Approach |  |
| Lane | Group | Flow Rate |  |  |  |  |
| Grp | Capacity | (s) | $\overline{\text { v/c }} \quad$ g/C | $\overline{\text { Delay LOS }}$ | $\overline{\text { Delay LOS }}$ |  |


| Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L | 520 | 1752 | 0.33 | 0.56 | 10.5 | B |  |  |  |
| TR | 1233 | 2774 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 15.2 | B | 13.1 | B |  |


| Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L | 514 | 1504 | 0.06 | 0.56 | 9.3 | A |  |
| TR | 1480 | 3330 | 0.25 | 0.44 | 15.7 | B | 15.2 |


| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | 365 | 1504 | 0.80 | 0.33 | 37.2 | D |  |
| TR | 293 | 2634 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 37.6 | D | 37.3 |

Southbound

| L | 434 | 1752 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 20.7 | C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TR | 331 | 2981 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 38.9 | D | 35.3 | D |

Intersection Delay $=23.8$ (sec/veh) $\quad$ Intersection LOS $=C$

Analyst: Fischbach
Date: October 2001
Period: AM Peak Hour E/W St: Mallory Avenue

Inter.: Mallory and Riverport
Jurisd: Memphis, TN
Year : 2025 DHVs
N/S St: Riverport / prop. connector

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION SUMMARY $\qquad$


Duration 1.00 Area Type: All other areas Signal Operations
5
6


Intersection Performance Summary

| Intersection Performance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appr/ | Lane | Adj Sat | Ratios | Lane Group | Approach |  |
| Lane | Group | Flow Rate |  |  |  |  |
| Grp | Capacity | $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\overline{\text { v/C }} \quad$ g/C | $\overline{\text { Delay LOS }}$ | $\overline{\text { Delay LOS }}$ |  |


| Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | 432 | 1752 | 0.39 | 0.62 | 8.6 | A |  |  |
| T | 1687 | 3374 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 22.4 | C | 18.1 | B |
| R | 957 | 1346 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 12.3 | B |  |  |
| Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 180 | 1504 | 0.82 | 0.62 | 48.4 | D |  |  |
| T | 1687 | 3374 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 14.2 | B | 19.6 | B |
| R | 1115 | 1568 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 3.9 | A |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 454 | 2918 | 0.85 | 0.16 | 53.2 | D |  |  |
| T | 103 | 1845 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 40.3 | D | 48.9 | D |
| R | 239 | 1346 | 0.49 | 0.18 | 35.0- | C |  |  |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 355 | 1752 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 25.1 | C |  |  |
| T | 88 | 1583 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 40.7 | D | 33.0 | C |
| R | 279 | 1568 | 0.51 | 0.18 | $35.0+$ | D |  |  |

Analyst: Fischbach
Date: October 2001
Period: PM Peak Hour E/W St: Mallory Avenue

Inter.: Mallory and Riverport
Jurisd: Memphis, TN
Year : 2025 DHVs
N/S St: Riverport / prop. connector

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION SUMMARY



| Intersection Performance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appr/ | Lane | Adj Sat | Ratios | Lane Group | Approach |  |
| Lane | Group | Flow Rate |  |  |  |  |
| Grp | Capacity | $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\overline{\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}} \quad \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\overline{\text { Delay LOS }}$ | $\overline{\text { Delay LOS }}$ |  |


| Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | 257 | 1752 | 0.93 | 0.53 | 61.3 | E |  |  |
| T | 1275 | 3374 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 20.6 | C | 24.3 | C |
| R | 912 | 1346 | 0.45 | 0.68 | 7.0 | A |  |  |
| Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 397 | 1504 | 0.20 | 0.53 | 11.1 | B |  |  |
| T | 1275 | 3374 | 1.03 | 0.38 | 62.3 | E | 57.3 | E |
| R | 1063 | 1568 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 4.9 | A |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 713 | 2918 | 1.05 | 0.24 | 80.4 | F |  |  |
| T | 103 | 1845 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 41.1 | D | 72.4 | E |
| R | 284 | 1346 | 0.79 | 0.21 | 47.8 | D |  |  |
| Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 510 | 1752 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 19.6 | B |  |  |
| T | 88 | 1583 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 41.2 | D | 32.6 | C |
| R | 331 | 1568 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 36.0 | D |  |  |

APPENDIX E
COST ESTIMATES

## COST DATA SHEET

| PROJECT: I-55 \& Ma | I-55 \& Mallory Avenue Interchange Modification Study |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOCATION: Memphis, LENGTH: | Memphis, Shelby County, Tennessee |  |  |
| CROSS SECTION: 6 Lane In | 6 Lane Interstate (Single Point Urban Interchange) With Improvements to Riverport Road |  |  |
| RIGHT-OF-WAY |  |  |  |
| Land, Improvements \& Damages | (\# Acres | 1.70 ) | \$544,000 |
| Incidentals | (\# Tracts | 6 ) | \$30,000 |
| Relocation Payments | (Residences | 0 ) | \$0 |
|  | (Businesses | 0 ) | \$0 |
|  | (Non-Profits | 0 ) |  |

Total Right-Of-Way Cost
\$574,000
UTILITY RELOCATION

| Reimbursable | $\$ 0$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Reimbursable | $\$ 318,000$ |  |
| CONSTRUCTION | Total Utility Adjustment Cost |  |
| Clear and Grubbing | $\$ 15,000$ |  |
| Earthwork | $\$ 570,000$ |  |
| Pavement Removal | $\$ 385,000$ |  |
| Drainage (Erosion Control | $=$ | $\$ 565,000$ |
| Structures (Preserv'n/Demol'n $=$ | $\$ 5,113,000$ |  |
| Railroad Crossing (Gates \& Signals) | $\$ 60,000$ |  |
| Paving | $\$ 958,000$ |  |
| Retaining Walls | $\$ 845,000$ |  |
| Maintenance of Traffic | $\$ 350,000$ |  |
| Topsoil | $\$ 4,000$ |  |
| Seeding | $\$ 2,000$ |  |
| Sodding | $\$ 24,000$ |  |
| Signing | $\$ 150,000$ |  |
| Signalization | $\$ 160,000$ |  |
| Fence | $\$ 21,000$ |  |
| Guardrail | $\$ 20,000$ |  |
| Rip-rap or Slope Protection | $\$ 50,000$ |  |
| Other Construction Items $(8.5 \%)$ | $\$ 355,000$ |  |
| Mobilization | $\$ 416,000$ |  |
| $10 \%$ Engineering and Contigencies | $\$ 1,006,000$ |  |

Total Construction Cost
Clearing \& Grubbing

|  | Length (ft) | Area (ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10.1 |
|  |  | Factor |
| I-55 | 750 | 13.32 |
| Connector Rd. | 660 | 21.32 |
| Ramps | 4,100 | 11.11 |
| I-55 | 600 | 8.89 |
| Riverport Rd. | 1,360 | 9.02 |

$$
\frac{\text { Cost/Acre }}{\$ 1,500}
$$

| $\frac{\text { Total }\left(\mathrm{yd}^{3}\right)}{}$ |
| :---: |
| 9,990 |
| 14,071 |
| 45,551 |
| 5,334 |
| 12,267 |
| 87,213 |

Cost/yd ${ }^{3}$
\$566,887

## Pavement Removal

1 Lane Ramps
2 Lane Ramps
Riverport Rd.

| Length | Width |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2,100 | 26 |
| 700 | 38 |
| 600 | 25 |


| Total (sf) | Cost/sf |
| :---: | :---: |
| 54,600 |  |
| 26,600 |  |
| 15,000 |  |


| 96,200 | $\$ 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |

\$384,800

Total Cost
\$455,000
\$110,000
Erosion Control
$\underline{\text { Cost }}$
$\$ 110,000$
$\$ 130,000$
$\$ 55,000$
$\$ 160,000$
Connector Rd.
Main Line \& Ramps

## Structures

| Width | Length | Area |  | Cost/sf |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| new | 116 | 220 | 25,520 | $\$ 80$ |
| widen | 16,650 | $\$ 80$ |  |  |
| new | 74 | 225 |  |  |
| 15,950 | $\$ 80$ |  |  |  |

Total Cost
\$2,041,600
\$1,332,000
\$1,276,000

Replace Rail: 1200
ft $\quad \$ 100.00$ perft.
\$120,000

Demolition

Mallory
Mallory
Ramp "BC" Br.
Riverport Rd.

| Width | Length |
| :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 160 |
| 46 | 160 |
| 34 | 220 |
| 120 | 100 |


| Area | Cost/sf |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7,520 | \$10 |
| 7,360 | \$10 |
| 7,480 | \$10 |
| 12,000 | \$10 |

[^0]| Fence | Length | Cost |  | \$21,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2,100 | \$10 |  |  |
| Paving |  | Cost | Length | Total Cost |
|  | I-55 4 lane w/ 14' median | \$210 | 750 | \$157,500 |
|  | Connector Rd. | \$185 | 660 | \$122,100 |
|  | Ramps | \$80 | 4,100 | \$328,000 |
|  | Mallory Ave. (overlay) | \$45 | 1,700 | \$76,500 |
|  | Mallory Ave. (widening) | \$40 | 650 | \$26,000 |
|  | Riverport Rd. | \$205 | 1,130 | \$231,650 |
|  | Riverport Rd. (Left Turn \& Right Turn Lane) | \$40 | 410 | \$16,400 |
|  |  |  | tal Pavin | \$958,150 |


| Retaining Walls | Retaining Wall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Height | Length | Area | Cost/sf |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 350 | 1400 | 35 | \$49,000 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 400 | 6000 | 35 | \$210,000 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 450 | 4500 | 35 | \$157,500 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 500 | 10000 | 35 | \$350,000 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 450 | 2250 | 35 | \$78,750 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$845,250 |
| Maintenance of Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$350,000 |
| Topsoil | Length | Factor | $\times 2$ | Total | Cost per |  | \$3,912 |
|  | 350 | 0.765 | 2 | 536 | \$3.00 |  |  |
|  | 850 | 0.452 | 2 | 768 | \$3.00 |  |  |
| Seeding | Length | Factor | $\times 2$ | Total | Cost per |  | \$2,262 |
|  | 350 | 0.083 | 2 | 58 | \$16.00 |  |  |
|  | 850 | 0.049 | 2 | 83 | \$16.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 141 |  |  |  |
| Sodding | Length | Factor | $\times 2$ | Total | Cost per |  |  |
| Connector Rd. | 660 | 2.034 | 2 | 2,685 | \$3.00 |  | \$8,055 |
| Riverport Rd. | 1,330 | 2.034 | 2 | 5,410 | \$3.00 |  | \$16,231 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Sod | \$24,286 |
| Signing |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$150,000 |
| Signalization |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$160,000 |

## Guardrail



Right-of-Way

| Total acreage |  | acres |  | Cost/acre | Cost |  |  | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.7 |  |  | \$75,000 | \$127,500 |  |  |  |
| Slope Easmt. | 0.4 | acres |  | \$25,000 | \$10,000 |  |  |  |
| Const. Easmt. | 0.4 | acres |  | \$25,000 | \$10,000 |  |  |  |
| Damages to business because of loss of parking/access |  |  |  |  | \$225,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$372,500 | Factor | 146\% | \$543,850 |
| No. of Tracts | 6 |  | Cost/tract | \$5,000 |  |  |  | \$30,000 |
| Relocate 0 Businesses |  |  |  | 0 | @ | \$100,000 |  | \$0 |
| Relocate 0 Residences |  |  |  | 0 | @ | \$10,000 |  | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Right-of-Way Cost: |  |  | \$573,850 |

Utilities

Reimbursable

|  | Length (ft) | Cost/ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12" Steel Gas | 0 | \$84 |
| 16" Water | 0 | \$45 |

\$45

Total Cost
\$0
\$0

Total Reimbursable
Non-Reimbursable

|  | Length (ft) | Cost/ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UG Telephone | 0 | \$16 |
| 12" Water | 1,600 | \$36 |
| 12" SS | 1,400 | \$36 |
| Cable | 450 | \$16 |
| 8" Gas | 1,800 | \$50 |


| Cost/each |
| :---: |
| $\$ 3,100$ |
| $\$ 2,000$ |
| $\$ 1,200$ |

Total Cost

Total Non-Reimbursable
\$317,500
\$317,500

## APPENDIX F

FUNCTIONAL PLANS

## SHELBY COUNTY

INTERSTATE 55 AND MALLORY AVENUE


SPECIAL NOTES
 HE REASONABLE COST ANaLYSIS vaLUE.

SCaLE: $1^{\prime \prime}=1$ mile


oesigned by thomas \& miller, Llc
designer thomas m. clinard, p.e. checked by
.


appovev:







## APPENDIX G

ALTERNATIVES FOR IMPROVEMENTS CONSIDERED






[^0]:    Total Cost
    \$75,200
    \$73,600
    \$74,800
    \$120,000
    \$343,600

