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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## A. Purpose of Study

The purpose of this Interchange Justification Study is to determine the feasibility of providing access from Interstate 26 (US 23) to a new Welcome Center in Sullivan County (see Figures 1 and 2).

Five separate locations were studied along Interstate 26. The location selected is approximately 0.67 miles north of the existing State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange, south of Kingsport.

This study considers current and future needs of the area and analyzes traffic operational features for access points at this location. Estimated costs for the proposed interchange have been prepared, functional plans developed and preliminary environmental concerns for the proposed project were identified.

This route is a portion of the Appalachian Development Highway System and therefore falls under the jurisdiction of the Appalachian Region Commission.

## B. Description of Project Location

The proposed interchange location for the Welcome Center is approximately $1.51 \pm$ miles south of the existing State Route 93 separation structure and $0.67 \pm$ miles north of the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange.

Interstate 26 is currently a four-lane, fully-controlled access facility with a depressed grass median through the proposed interchange area. The typical roadway cross-section contains four (4) twelve (12)-foot travel lanes, six (6)-foot inside shoulders, ten (10)-foot outside shoulders, and a 30-foot grass median inside of a variable width right-of-way.


Figure 1
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## Figure 2

## C. Relationship to Other Transportation Improvement Plans \& Classifications

I-26 in the study area is classified as an urban interstate and located just south of the Kingsport City Limits. State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) in this area is an urban minor arterial. The proposed interchange is not anticipated to result in the modification of any existing classification. Due to the interstate designation and design of I-26, no dedicated pedestrian or bicycling features exist.

This proposed Welcome Center on I-26 will be the state's $14^{\text {th }}$ center. This route is a portion of the Appalachian Development Highway System (Tennessee Corridor "B") and therefore falls under the jurisdiction of both the Appalachian Region Commission (ARC) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The original Appalachian Development Act of 1965 designated this portion of US 23 as an APD route and proposed a Welcome Center to be constructed between US 11W and the Virginia State Line (Section B23). A separate investigation of possible sites in this section by TDOT found that construction of a Welcome Center here would require acquisition of more than a dozen residences, detrimental environmental impacts, and high construction costs. Furthermore, estimates of the potential visitation of a Welcome Center at this location predicted only about 600 trips per day.

At the request of the City of Kingsport, investigation was made of relocating the proposed Welcome Center site to other locations along l-26. The current site just north of State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) was chosen over approximately five (5) others due to its size, availability, public support, and access opportunities. One of the other sites considered was just south of the SR 93 interchange, but it was found to have significant subsurface geologic problems.

In June 2001, an Interchange Justification Study was completed by the City of Kingsport in cooperation with TDOT that was later approved by the FHWA. This proposed interchange was located approximately 1.0 mile south of the interchange at State Route 93 and approximately 1.0 mile north of the interchange at State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road). As part of this study, the State Route 93 location was analyzed for a Welcome Center site, but was found to have considerable subsurface geologic problems. TDOT found significant karst terrain in the approved interchange area south of State Route 93. This made the approved interchange location impractical. The entire length of I-26 between State Route 93 and State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) was studied and an area closer to State Route 347 was found to be acceptable. This new location was selected as the location of the proposed Welcome Center.

Estimates found costs and impacts to be substantially lower and visitor usage higher than other locations. Placing a Welcome Center on the west (southbound) side of l-26 would provide typical southbound access, but allow northbound access as well.

## CHAPTER 2

## PRELIMINARY PLANNING DATA

## A. Land Use

The proposed Welcome Center is located just north of the I-26/State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange in Sullivan County. Land use in the immediate vicinity of the interchange location is primarily rural and low to moderate density housing. Heavier commercial, industrial, and residential development exists north of the interchange.

Adjacent existing interchanges are located at State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road), approximately $0.67 \pm$ miles south of the proposed location and at State Route 93, approximately $1.5 \pm$ miles north of the proposed location. Other nearby interchanges include Meadowview Parkway ( $2.0 \pm$ miles north), US $11 \mathrm{~W} /$ Stone Drive ( $5.5 \pm$ miles north), and a directional interchange with $\mathrm{I}-81$ ( $2.8 \pm$ miles south).

## B. Traffic Served

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) furnished traffic data for this study effort. Traffic provided for the existing system shows a 2010 Base Year Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 42,202 vehicles per day on I-26 between the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) and State Route 93 interchanges. Design year (2030) volumes on this section are expected to reach 50,390 vehicles per day. Traffic volumes using the proposed Welcome Center are 3,240 vehicles per day in 2010 and 3,880 in 2030. Base year and design year projected traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3.

## C. Proposed Improvement

Two interchange configurations were analyzed based on the input of local officials and the analysis of the projected traffic. A simple diamond interchange and a trumpet interchange were analyzed. In both cases, an auxiliary lane for weaving is proposed between the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange and the proposed Welcome Center ramps. The two options are shown in Figures 4 and 5.



Figure 4
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Figure 5
Option "B" Sullivan County, Tennessee
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## CHAPTER 3

## ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS

## A. Traffic Operations

An analysis was conducted to determine what impacts the proposed Welcome Center interchange would have on I-26 adjacent to the interchange and to the existing State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange. The traffic operation analysis is contained in the appendix.

## Existing Conditions (No-Build Analysis)

Without the proposed Welcome Center, the analysis shows the existing mainline I26 and the Rock Springs Road interchange operates at acceptable levels of service.

## Proposed Conditions (Options A and B)

With the proposed interchange for the Welcome Center, mainline sections of I-26 are not expected to see any significant increases or decreases in traffic volumes. Therefore, mainline operations would be expected to remain at acceptable levels of service.

Tables 1-3 show a summary of the levels of service for various sections of the study area.

Both Options A and B are expected to have similar levels of service. The weaving maneuver on the proposed auxiliary lane between the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange and the new Welcome Center interchange is expected to operate at a level of service B in both the 2010 Base Year and 2030 Design Year. No significant traffic operational issues are expected to result from the proposed Welcome Center.

Table 1
Levels of Service
No Build

| Direction | Movement | 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PM |  |
| Northbound | Rock Springs <br> Road On Ramp | C | B |
| Southbound | Rock Springs <br> Road Off Ramp | B | B |
| Northbound | Mainline | C | C |
| Southbound | Mainline | C | C |

Table 2
Levels of Service
Option A

| Direction | Movement | 2010 |  | 2030 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rock Springs <br> Road On Ramp | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Welcome Center <br> On Ramp | C | B | C | C |
|  | Weave - Rock <br> Springs Road to <br> Welcome Center | B | B | B | B |
| Southbound | Rock Springs <br> Road Off Ramp | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Welcome Center <br> Off Ramp | C | C | C | C |
|  | Weave - Welcome <br> Center to Rock <br> Springs Road | B | B | B | B |
| Northbound | Mainline | C | C | D | C |
| Southbound | Mainline | C | C | D | D |

Table 3

## Levels of Service

Option B

| Direction | Movement | 2010 |  | 2030 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Northbound | Rock Springs <br> Road On Ramp | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Welcome Center <br> On Ramp | C | B | C | C |
|  | Weave - Rock <br> Springs Road to <br> Welcome Center | B | B | B | B |
|  | Rock Springs <br> Road Off Ramp | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Welcome Center <br> Off Ramp | C | C | C | C |
|  | Weave - Welcome <br> Center to Rock <br> Springs Road | B | B | B | B |
| Northbound | Mainline | C | C | D | C |
| Southbound | Mainline | C | C | D | D |

## B. Cost

The total estimated cost for Option A is $\$ 9,768,360$. This total estimated cost includes $\$ 259,200$ for right-of-way acquisition, $\$ 92,160$ for utility relocations, $\$ 8,287,000$ for construction, and $\$ 1,130,000$ for preliminary engineering. The total estimated cost for Option B is $\$ 8,966,360$. This total estimated cost for Option B includes \$259,200 for right-of-way acquisition, \$92,160 for utility relocations, $\$ 7,581,000$ for construction, and $\$ 1,034,000$ for preliminary engineering. The cost estimates are detailed on the following pages. Worksheets used in developing these cost estimates are contained in the appendix of this report.

## C. Environmental Concerns

While analyzing other locations for the new Welcome Center, TDOT found significant karst terrain in the area south of State Route 93. This terrain has subsequently been identified as three geologic formations: Honaker Formation, Knox Group, and Sevier Shale. In particular, developmental issues such as sensitive sinkholes, caves, and subsurface drainage are associated with the Honaker and Knox conditions and these features were found to be present in the area. Therefore, the proposed site of an interchange for a new Welcome Center is located near State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) to avoid this karst area.

An unnamed but USGS-identified stream is located near the project area, draining into a nearby sinkhole on the west side of I-26. Modifications to the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange could affect Rock Springs Branch and potentially one or more lesser tributary streams flowing into it. At least two other drainage features that empty into nearby sinkholes could be affected as well. Proper permitting and special considerations may be required to minimize impacts to these streams.

| Project:Corridor B Welcome Center: Preferred Site - Option A Length: Addition of Full Interchange Ramps \& Cross-Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |
| Land, Improvements and Damages (Acres) | 9 acres |  | \$243,600.00 |
| Incidentals (5 Tracts) |  |  | \$15,600.00 |
| Relocation Payments (Residentials) |  | 0 | \$0.00 |
| (Businesses) |  | 0 |  |
| (Non-Profit) |  | 0 |  |
| Total Right-of-Way Cost |  |  | \$259,200.00 |
| Utility Relocation |  |  |  |
| Reimbursable. |  |  | \$84,480.00 |
| Non-Reimbursable. |  |  | \$7,680.00 |
| Total Adjustment Cost.. |  |  | \$92,160.00 |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing. |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Earthwork. |  |  | \$2,997,000.00 |
| Pavement Removal. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Drainage. |  |  | \$515,000.00 |
| Major Items | \$182,000.00 |  |  |
| Other Drainage | \$77,000.00 |  |  |
| Erosion Control | \$256,000.00 |  |  |
| Structures.. |  |  | \$1,188,000.00 |
| Railroad Crossing or Separation Structure. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Paving.. |  |  | \$1,210,000.00 |
| Retaining Walls. |  |  | \$45,000.00 |
| Maintenance of Traffic |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Topsoil.. |  |  | \$75,000.00 |
| Seeding. |  |  | \$24,000.00 |
| Sodding. |  |  | \$297,000.00 |
| Signing. |  |  | \$108,000.00 |
| Signalization.. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Fence.. |  |  | \$80,000.00 |
| Guardrail. |  |  | \$11,000.00 |
| Rip-Rap or Slope Protection |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Other Const. Items (8.5\%). |  |  | \$565,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction.. |  |  | \$7,215,000.00 |
| Mobilization.. |  |  | \$319,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction. |  |  | \$7,534,000.00 |
| 10\% Engineering and Contingencies............ ............................ |  |  | \$753,000.00 |
| Total Construction Cost................................... ............................. |  |  | \$8,287,000.00 |
| Preliminary Engineering (15\%)..................................................... |  |  | \$1,130,000.00 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST. |  |  | \$9,768,360.00 |


| Project:Corridor B Welcome Center: Preferred Site - Option B Length: Addition of Full Interchange Ramps \& Cross-Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |
| Land, Improvements and Damages (Acres) | 9 acres |  | \$243,600.00 |
| Incidentals (00 Tracts) |  |  | \$15,600.00 |
| Relocation Payments (Residentials) |  | 0 | \$0.00 |
| (Businesses) |  | 0 |  |
| (Non-Profit) |  | 0 |  |
| Total Right-of-Way Cost |  |  | \$259,200.00 |
| Utility Relocation |  |  |  |
| Reimbursable. |  |  | \$84,480.00 |
| Non-Reimbursable. |  |  | \$7,680.00 |
| Total Adjustment Cost................................ ............................ |  |  | \$92,160.00 |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing. |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Earthwork. |  |  | \$2,583,000.00 |
| Pavement Removal. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Drainage. |  |  | \$504,000.00 |
| Major Items | \$200,000.00 |  |  |
| Other Drainage | \$70,000.00 |  |  |
| Erosion Control | \$234,000.00 |  |  |
| Structures. |  |  | \$1,089,000.00 |
| Railroad Crossing or Separation Structure. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Paving. |  |  | \$1,215,000.00 |
| Retaining Walls. |  |  | \$45,000.00 |
| Maintenance of Traffic |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Topsoil.. |  |  | \$75,000.00 |
| Seeding. |  |  | \$24,000.00 |
| Sodding. |  |  | \$247,000.00 |
| Signing. |  |  | \$108,000.00 |
| Signalization. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Fence.. |  |  | \$80,000.00 |
| Guardrail. |  |  | \$11,000.00 |
| Rip-Rap or Slope Protection. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Other Const. Items (8.5\%). |  |  | \$517,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction.. |  |  | \$6,598,000.00 |
| Mobilization.. |  |  | \$294,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction. |  |  | \$6,892,000.00 |
| 10\% Engineering and Contingencies............ ............................ |  |  | \$689,000.00 |
| Total Construction Cost. |  |  | \$7,581,000.00 |
| Preliminary Engineering (15\%). |  |  | \$1,034,000.00 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST.... |  |  | \$8,966,360.00 |

## CHAPTER 4

## FHWA POLICY REQUIREMENTS

1. The existing interchanges and/or local roads and streets in the corridor can neither provide the necessary access nor be improved to satisfactorily accommodate the design-year traffic demands while at the same time providing the access intended by the proposal.

The existing interchanges and/or local roads and streets within the corridor cannot provide the necessary access or meet the traffic demands that will be associated with the new Welcome Center along I-26. Since a new Welcome Center will be provided, a new interchange will be needed at the proposed location. The Welcome Center is not expected to generate additional traffic along I-26 as it is intended for traffic currently travelling along l-26.
2. All reasonable alternatives for design options, location and transportation system management type improvements (such as ramp metering, mass transit, and HOV facilities) have been assessed and provided for if currently justified, or provisions are included for accommodating such facilities if a future need is identified.

Two interchange configurations were analyzed based on the input of local officials and the analysis of the projected traffic. Based on the proposed traffic volumes, two design configurations were determined to be the most logical - economically and environmentally - to construct. A trumpet interchange (Option A) and a simple diamond interchange (Option B) were analyzed. In both cases, an auxiliary lane for weaving is proposed between the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange and the proposed Welcome Center ramps. The two options are shown in Figures 4 and 5 of this report.

Transportation system management improvement options do not apply to this project since the interchange is not intended to address congestion issues or is it expected to generate any additional traffic (only captured traffic).
3. The proposed access point does not have a significant adverse impact on the safety and operation of the Interstate facility based on an analysis of current and future traffic. The operational analysis for existing conditions shall, particularly in urbanized areas, include analysis of sections of Interstate to and including at least the first adjacent existing or proposed interchange on either side. Crossroads and other roads and streets shall be included in the analysis to the extent necessary to assure their ability to collect and distribute traffic to and from the interchange with new or revised access points.

The proposed interchange is not expected to have any significant adverse impacts on I-26 or other roads in the area. Both Options A and B are expected to have similar levels of service. The weaving maneuver on the proposed auxiliary lane between the State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) interchange and the new Welcome Center interchange is expected to operate at a level of service B in both the 2010 Base Year and 2030 Design Year. No significant traffic operational issues are expected to result from the proposed Welcome Center. See Chapter 3 of this report for details on the operational analyses of the proposed interchange.
4. The proposed access connects to a public road only and will provide for all traffic movements. Less than "full interchanges" for special purposes access for transit vehicles, for HOV's, or into park and ride lots may be considered on a case-by-case basis. The proposed access will be designed to meet or exceed current standards for Federal-aid projects on the Interstate System.

A full diamond interchange is recommended for the new Welcome Center on I-26. Access to the Welcome Center will be a public road and will provide for all traffic movements.
5. The proposal considers and is consistent with local and regional land use and transportation plans.

The preferred option is consistent with existing and proposed plans for development in the area, and would provide direct access to the new Welcome Center. Furthermore, the Kingsport Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) has identified a new interchange and Tennessee Welcome Center along I26 near Rock Springs Road in its 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRP). The new interchange is identified as project number I-1a in the MPO's LRP.
6. In areas where the potential exists for future multiple interchange additions, all requests for new or revised access are supported by a comprehensive Interstate network study with recommendations that address all proposed and desired access within the context of a long-term plan.

The access request for the new Welcome Center on I-26 is in a well-developed area of the interstate. Adjacent existing interchanges are located at State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road), approximately $0.67 \pm$ miles south of the proposed location and at State Route 93, approximately $1.5 \pm$ miles north of the proposed location. Other nearby interchanges include Meadowview Parkway ( $2.0 \pm$ miles north), US 11W/Stone Drive ( $5.5 \pm$ miles north), and a directional interchange with $\mathrm{I}-81(2.8 \pm$ miles south). It is not expected that any future interchanges will be constructed within the area.
7. The request for a new or revised access generated by new or expanded development demonstrates appropriate coordination between the development and related or otherwise required transportation system improvements.

At the request of the City of Kingsport, investigation was made of relocating the proposed Welcome Center site to other locations along I-26. The current site just north of State Route 347 (Rock Springs Road) was chosen over at least five (5) other locations due to its size, availability, public support, and access opportunities. The new Welcome Center is not likely to generate additional traffic along l-26. As a result, additional transportation system improvements are not expected.
8. The request for new or revised access contains information relative to the planning requirements and the status of the environmental processing of the proposal.

An environmental analysis was conducted as part of this IJS. The complete findings of the environmental analysis can be found in Chapter 3 - Section C of this report. Proper permitting and special considerations may be required to minimize impacts to the streams located near the study area. At the time of this report, the status of any specific environmental documents is unknown.

## CHAPTER 5

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The preceding study was conducted to evaluate current and future traffic operations on Appalachian Development Route Interstate 26 near the proposed Welcome Center.

Through the analysis of five (5) Welcome Center sites, the proposed interchange location was selected as the most desirable by local officials and agreed upon by TDOT. All proposed intersections, ramps, weaving areas, and mainline sections have been shown to operate at acceptable levels in both AM and PM peak periods through the 2030 design year.

Both Options A and B are expected to have similar levels of service. However, Option B is recommended for implementation of the proposed interchange. The total cost for Option B is expected to be approximately $\$ 1,145,000$ lower than Option A. Fully developed concept plans for Option B are included in the appendix.

The Design Division Concurrence Letter is included on the following page.

# STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0348

## MEMORANDUM

TO: $\quad$ Steve Allen, Director, Project Planning Division
FROM: M M Michael Agnew, Assistant Director, Design Division
DATE: July 30, 2008 (Revised)
SUBJECT: Pin No. 102241.00
I-26, Welcome Station
Sullivan County
The Design Division has reviewed the functional line sketches for Options "A' \& "B" for the proposed I-26 Welcome Center located north of the Rock Springs Road Interchange. Both options provide for ingress and egress to I-26 from the proposed Welcome Center for both northbound and southbound traffic with Option "A" utilizing a trumpet interchange configuration and Option "B" utilizing a diamond interchange configuration. As requested in your memorandum of May 12, 2008, the Design Division is providing concurrence for the subject project as requested based upon the review of the functional line sketches and study prepared by Neel-Schaffer, Inc. for the Department.

Ramp spacing between the Rock Springs Road Interchange and the proposed Welcome Center interchange was reviewed for both alternates and found to meet minimum weave distance recommended by AASHTO in the 2001 Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. The review also confirmed that both alternates could be adequately signed along with the Rock Springs Road interchange.

Due to the level of detail of the functional line sketches, it was not possible to check controlling elements of design including alignment and profile. Based upon the review of the functional sketches, it appears that if any modifications to the geometry are required to meet design standards during the survey and design phase, the modifications should be minimal and would not change the location of access points, the design concept, or interchange location.

## MA/MA

cc: Mr. Jeff Jones

## APPENDIX

NO BUILD
$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |


|  | Merge |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Type of analysis | 2 |  |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 2096 | vph |
| Volume on freeway |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
40.0 mph

241 vph
920 ft
ft
$f t$

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
v
FO
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2687 | 4700 | No |
| 2687 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, ${\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=20.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.305$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=58.0$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.0$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |

$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
2
65.0 mph

1829 vph

|  | Right |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Side of freeway | 1 |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 40.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 243 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 920 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane |  |  |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
v
FO
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2382 | 4700 | No |
| 2382 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.2 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.290$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=58.3$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.3$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: | 2030 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway

Merge
2
65.0 mph

2512 vph

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 40.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 289 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 920 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
$\stackrel{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{\mathrm{v}}$
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3221 | 4700 | No |
| 3221 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=24.7 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.345$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S_{S}=57.1$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S_{R}=N / A$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=57.1$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: | 2030 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |



Freeway Data $\qquad$

| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 2 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 2193 | vph |


|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Side of freeway | Right |  |
| Number of lanes in ramp | 1 | mph |
| Free-flow speed on ramp | 40.0 | vph |
| Volume on ramp | 292 | ft |
| Length of first accel/decel lane | 920 | ft |
| Length of second accel/decel lane |  |  |

Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
vo
$v^{2}$
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2858 | 4700 | No |
| 2858 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=21.8 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $=0.315$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.7$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=57.7$ | mph |

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 2745 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| $v$ | 2745 | 4400 | No |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $v=v-v$ | 2597 | 4700 | No |
| FO F R |  |  |  |
| $v$ | 148 | 2000 | No |
| R |  |  |  |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=18.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence B

Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.441$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{R}=54.8$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.8$ | mph |

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

| $v_{\text {Fi }}=v_{\text {F }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| v |  |
| 12 |  |
| $\mathrm{v}=$ | v - |
| FO | F |
| v |  |
| R |  |

Actual
2815
2815
2500
315

| Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4700 | No |
| 4400 | No |
| 4700 | No |
| 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=18.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence B
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.456$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{R}=54.5$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.5$ | mph |

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

| $v=v$ |  | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3330 |
| Fi F |  |  |
| v |  | 3330 |
| 12 |  |  |
| v = | v - v | 3152 |
| FO | F R |  |
| v |  | 178 |
| R |  |  |


| Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4700 | No |
| 4400 | No |
| 4700 | No |
| 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad D_{R}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=23.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C

Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.444$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{R}=54.8$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.8$ | mph |

Diverge Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | No Build DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - No Bui |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp
Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp
Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & 3338 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{v}=$ |  |  |  |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| v |  |  | 3338 |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v}=$ | v | v | 2960 |
| FO | F | R |  |
| 378 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4700 | No |
| 4400 | No |
| 4700 | No |
| 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=23.1 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C

Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.462$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S=54.4$ | mph |
| $R$ |  |
| $S^{0}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.4$ | mph |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | No Build DHV AM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - No Build |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2337 | vph | 2387 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 649 |  | 663 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1343 | pcphpl | 1372 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1343 | pcphpl | 1372 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | C |  |
| Density, D | 22.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 22.9 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | No Build DHV PM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - No Build |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | ft | 6.0 | ft |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | ft | 12.0 | ft |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measur |  | Measu |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2072 | vph | 2448 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 576 |  | 680 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1191 | pcphpl | 1407 | pcphpl |
| RESULTS |  |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1191 | pcphpl | 1407 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | C |  |
| Density, D | 19.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 23.5 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - No Build |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | ft | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measu |  | Measu |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2801 | vph | 2896 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 778 |  | 804 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1610 | pcphpl | 1665 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULT |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1610 | pcphpl | 1665 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 59.1 | mph | 58.8 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  | D |  |
| Density, D | 27.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 28.3 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS $\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - No Build |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2485 | vph | 2903 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 690 |  | 806 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1428 | pcphpl | 1669 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1428 | pcphpl | 1669 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 59.9 | mph | 58.8 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | D |  |
| Density, D | 23.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 28.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

OPTION A




$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 2251 |  | 86 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 625 |  | 24 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 7 |  | 7 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
1.00

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$

| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{EQ}}=$ | (Equation 25-2 or 25-3) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P = | 1.000 | Using | Equation | 0 |
| FM |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ | ( P ) | 89 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |

Capacity Checks $\qquad$

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2688 | 4700 | No |
| 2688 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=21.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation $\qquad$

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M$ | $=0.313$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.8$ | mph |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=$ | $N / A$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}$ | $=57.8$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00

99

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$

| $\mathrm{L}=$ |  | (Equation 25-2 or 25-3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{PQ}^{\mathrm{EQ}}=$ | 1.000 | Using Equation 0 |
| FM |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{12}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{FM}}\right)=2284 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}}$ |  |  |

Capacity Checks $\qquad$
v
FO
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2383 | 4700 | No |
| 2383 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.298$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=58.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.2$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB <br> Junction: |
| Jurisdiction: Welcome Center <br> Analysis Year: 2030 <br> Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A  |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
$\stackrel{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{\mathrm{v}}$
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3221 | 4700 | No |
| 3221 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, ${\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation $\qquad$

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.353$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
v
F0
v

R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2857 | 4700 | No |
| 2857 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=22.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.323$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.6$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=N / A$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S_{0}=57.6$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB <br> Junction: |
| Welcome Center  <br> Jurisdiction:  <br> Analysis Year: 2010 <br> Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A  |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 2745 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| v | 2745 | 4400 | No |
| $\underset{F O}{v_{F}}=v_{F}-v_{R}$ | 2639 | 4700 | No |
| $v_{R}$ | 106 | 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad D_{R}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=21.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C

Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.438$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{R}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

Actual
2815
2815
2709
106

| Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4700 | No |
| 4400 | No |
| 4700 | No |
| 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad D_{R}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=22.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.438$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{2}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB <br> Junction: |
| Welcome Center  <br> Jurisdiction:  <br> Analysis Year: 2030 <br> Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A  |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$ Estimation of V12 Diverge Areas


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 3330 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| $v$ | 3330 | 4400 | No |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $v=v-v$ | 3204 | 4700 | No |
| Fo F R |  |  |  |
| v | 126 | 2000 | No |
| R |  |  |  |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=27.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.439$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{2}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB <br> Junction: |
| Welcome Center  <br> Jurisdiction:  <br> Analysis Year: 2030 <br> Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A  |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$ Estimation of V12 Diverge Areas


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 3338 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| $v$ | 3338 | 4400 | No |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{v}$ | 3212 | 4700 | No |
| Fo F R |  |  |  |
| v | 126 | 2000 | No |
| R |  |  |  |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=27.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.439$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{2}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 2200 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.14 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
Driver population adjustment, fP
Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  | Weaving |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V | V | V | V |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |
| 2010 | 0 | 86 | 241 | veh/h |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| 558 | 0 | 24 | 67 | v |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| 2311 | 0 | 98 | 277 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.31 | 0.13 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 57.01 | 63.83 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.74
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 62.78 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 14.26 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6772 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6543 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5889 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | 375 | 2800 | a |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 895 | 2350 | b |
| Volume ratio, VR | 0.14 | 0.45 | c |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.26 | N/A | d |
| Weaving length (ft) | 2200 | 2500 | e |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: $2,800 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 2200 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.16 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 1743 | 0 | 86 | 243 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 484 | 0 | 24 | 68 | V |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 0 | 98 | 279 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.29 | 0.12 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 57.79 | 64.31 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.79
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 63.18 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 12.56 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6686 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6460 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5814 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 377 | 2800 | a |
| Volume ratio, VR | 793 | 2350 | b |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.16 | 0.45 | c |
| Weaving length (ft) | 0.26 | N/A | d |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: $2,800 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 2200 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.14 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
Driver population adjustment, fP
Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  | Weaving |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V | V | V | V |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |
| 2409 | 0 | 103 | 289 | veh/h |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| 669 | 0 | 29 | 80 | V |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| 2770 | 0 | 118 | 332 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.75
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 61.29 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 17.51 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6771 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6542 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5888 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | 450 | 2800 | a |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 1073 | 2350 | b |
| Volume ratio, VR | 0.14 | 0.45 | C |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.26 | N/A | d |
| Weaving length (ft) | 2200 | 2500 | e |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: 2,800 pc/h (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 2200 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.16 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
Driver population adjustment, fP
Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  | Weaving |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V | V | V | V |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |
| 2090 | 0 | 103 | 292 | veh/h |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| 581 | 0 | 29 | 81 | V |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| 2403 | 0 | 118 | 335 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.81
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 61.77 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 15.41 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6684 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6458 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5812 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 | fevel |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.09 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.42 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

| Volume, V factor, PHF | 2166 | 0 | 129 | 92 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour fact volume, v15 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min vuses | 602 | 0 | 36 | 26 | v |
| Trucks and bus | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
a (Exhibit 24-6)
b (Exhibit 24-6)
c (Exhibit 24-6)
d (Exhibit 24-6)
Weaving intensity factor, Wi
Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si
Number of lanes required for
```

| Weaving | Non-Weaving |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.15 | 0.00 |
| 2.20 | 4.00 |
| 0.97 | 1.30 |
| 0.80 | 0.75 |
| 0.35 | 0.13 |
| 55.88 | 63.67 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.56
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 62.86 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 14.55 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6755 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6527 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5874 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 253 | 2800 | a |
| Volume ratio, VR | 914 | 2350 | b |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.09 | 0.45 | c |
| Weaving length (ft) | 0.42 | N/A | d |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: 2,800 pc/h (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.15 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.25 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 2082 | 0 | 274 | 92 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 578 | 0 | 76 | 26 | v |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2394 | 0 | 315 | 105 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.40 | 0.16 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 54.40 | 62.21 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.75
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 60.91 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 15.40 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LoS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6516 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6296 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5666 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 | ft |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 |  |
| Terrain type | Level | \% |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | A | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.09 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR | 0.41 |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 2631 | $\bigcirc$ | 155 | 110 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 731 | 0 | 43 | 31 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, v | 3025 | $\bigcirc$ | 178 | 126 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
a (Exhibit 24-6)
b (Exhibit 24-6)
c (Exhibit 24-6)
d (Exhibit 24-6)
Weaving intensity factor, Wi
Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si
Number of lanes required for
```

Weaving Non-Weaving
0.150 .00
$2.20 \quad 4.00$
$0.97 \quad 1.30$
$0.80 \quad 0.75$
$0.42 \quad 0.17$
$53.84 \quad 62.14$

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.57
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 61.27 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 18.11 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6755 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6527 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5874 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.15 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.25 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 2464 | 0 | 329 | 110 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 684 | 0 | 91 | 31 | v |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2833 | 0 | 378 | 126 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.47 | 0.21 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 52.45 | 60.56 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.76
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 59.18 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 18.80 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6507 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6287 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5658 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |



| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1343 | pcphpl | 1372 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | C |  |
| Density, D | 22.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 22.9 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2072 | vph | 2448 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 576 |  | 680 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1191 | pcphpl | 1407 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1191 | pcphpl | 1407 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | C |  |
| Density, D | 19.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 23.5 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |



| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1610 | pcphpl | 1665 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 59.1 | mph | 58.8 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  | D |  |
| Density, D | 27.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 28.3 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt A |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2485 | vph | 2903 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 690 |  | 806 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1428 | pcphpl | 1669 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1428 | pcphpl | 1669 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 59.9 | mph | 58.8 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | D |  |
| Density, D | 23.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 28.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

OPTION B




$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft

| Junction Components | Freeway |  | Ramp |  | Adjacent <br> Ramp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume, V (vph) | 2251 |  | 86 |  |  | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 625 |  | 24 |  |  | v |
| Trucks and buses | 7 |  | 7 |  |  | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | \% |
| Terrain type: | Level |  | Level |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Length |  | mi |  | mi |  | mi |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |

Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
1.00

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$

| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{EQ}}=$ | (Equation 25-2 or 25-3) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P = | 1.000 | Using | Equation | 0 |
| FM |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ | ( P ) | 89 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |

Capacity Checks $\qquad$

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2688 | 4700 | No |
| 2688 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=21.3 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation $\qquad$

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M$ | $=0.313$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.8$ | mph |  |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=$ | $N / A$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}$ | $=57.8$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 2 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 1986 | vph |
| On Ramp Data |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
40.0 mph
$86 \quad$ vph
820 ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
1.00

99

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$

| $\mathrm{L}=$ |  | (Equation 25-2 or 25-3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{PQ}^{\mathrm{EQ}}=$ | 1.000 | Using Equation 0 |
| FM |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{12}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{FM}}\right)=2284 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}}$ |  |  |

Capacity Checks $\qquad$
v
FO
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2383 | 4700 | No |
| 2383 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=18.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $B$
Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.298$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=58.2$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=58.2$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB <br> Junction: |
| Jurisdiction: Welcome Center <br> Analysis Year: 2030 <br> Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B  |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist? Volume on adjacent Ramp Position of adjacent Ramp Type of adjacent Ramp Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
$\stackrel{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{\mathrm{v}}$
v
R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3221 | 4700 | No |
| 3221 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, ${\underset{R}{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=25.4 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation $\qquad$

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.353$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=56.9$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S^{0}=56.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |


| Type of analysis | Merge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of lanes in freeway | 2 |  |
| Free-flow speed on freeway | 65.0 | mph |
| Volume on freeway | 2382 | vph |
| On Ramp Data |  |  |

Side of freeway
Number of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
1
40.0 mph

103 vph
820 ft
ft

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp

Estimation of V12 Merge Areas $\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$
v
F0
v

R12

| Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2857 | 4700 | No |
| 2857 | 4600 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.475+0.00734 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}+0.0078 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.00627 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{A}}=22.6 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence $C$ Speed Estimation

| Intermediate speed variable, | $M=0.323$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space mean speed in ramp influence area, | $S^{S}=57.6$ | mph |
| Space mean speed in outer lanes, | $S^{R}=N / A$ | mph |
| Space mean speed for all vehicles, | $S_{0}=57.6$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 2745 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| v | 2745 | 4400 | No |
| $\underset{F O}{v_{F}}=v_{F}-v_{R}$ | 2639 | 4700 | No |
| $v_{R}$ | 106 | 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad D_{R}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=21.9 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C

Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.438$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{R}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

Actual
2815
2815
2709
106

| Maximum | LOS F? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4700 | No |
| 4400 | No |
| 4700 | No |
| 2000 | No |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad D_{R}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=22.5 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.438$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{2}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{2}=N / A$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$ Estimation of V12 Diverge Areas


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 3330 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| $v$ | 3330 | 4400 | No |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $v=v-v$ | 3204 | 4700 | No |
| Fo F R |  |  |  |
| v | 126 | 2000 | No |
| R |  |  |  |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=27.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.439$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{2}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

$\qquad$

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date performed: | $7 / 23 / 2007$ |
| Analysis time period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Junction: | Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |



Does adjacent ramp exist?
Volume on adjacent ramp Position of adjacent ramp
Type of adjacent ramp Distance to adjacent ramp

No
vph
ft


Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
0.966
0.966

Driver population factor, fP
Flow rate, vp
$\qquad$ Estimation of V12 Diverge Areas


Capacity Checks $\qquad$

|  | Actual | Maximum | LOS F? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v=v$ | 3338 | 4700 | No |
| Fi F |  |  |  |
| $v$ | 3338 | 4400 | No |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{v}$ | 3212 | 4700 | No |
| Fo F R |  |  |  |
| v | 126 | 2000 | No |
| R |  |  |  |

Level of Service Determination (if not F)
Density, $\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.252+0.0086 \mathrm{v}_{12}-0.009 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{D}}=27.0 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$
Level of service for ramp-freeway junction areas of influence C
Speed Estimation $\qquad$
Intermediate speed variable,
Space mean speed in ramp influence area,
Space mean speed in outer lanes,
Space mean speed for all vehicles,

| $D=0.439$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ |  |
| $S^{2}=54.9$ | mph |
| $S^{R}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | mph |
| $S^{0}=54.9$ | mph |

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1250 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.14 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  | Weaving |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V | V | V | V |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |
| 2010 | 0 | 86 | 241 | veh/h |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| 558 | 0 | 24 | 67 | V |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| 2311 | 0 | 98 | 277 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.49 | 0.19 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 52.01 | 61.09 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.68
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 59.64 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 15.01 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6231 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6020 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5418 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | 375 | 2800 | a |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 895 | 2350 | b |
| Volume ratio, VR | 0.14 | 0.45 | c |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.26 | N/A | d |
| Weaving length (ft) | 1250 | 2500 | e |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: 2,800 pc/h (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1250 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.16 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 1743 | 0 | 86 | 243 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 484 | 0 | 24 | 68 | v |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 0 | 98 | 279 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds

|  | Weaving | Non-Weaving |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.80 | 0.75 |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.45 | 0.18 |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 52.97 | 61.76 |
| Number of lanes required for |  |  |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.72
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 60.18 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 13.19 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6139 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 5931 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5338 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | 377 | 2800 | a |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 793 | 2350 | b |
| Volume ratio, VR | 0.16 | 0.45 | C |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.26 | N/A | d |
| Weaving length (ft) | 1250 | 2500 | e |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: 2,800 pc/h (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2030 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1250 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.14 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 2409 | 0 | 103 | 289 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 669 | 0 | 29 | 80 | v |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2770 | 0 | 118 | 332 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.58 | 0.24 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 49.81 | 59.19 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.69
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 57.67 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 18.61 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6230 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6019 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5417 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 NB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2030 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1250 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.16 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.26 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER
Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV
Driver population adjustment, fP
Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  | Weaving |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V | V | V | V |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |
| 2090 | 0 | 103 | 292 | veh/h |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| 581 | 0 | 29 | 81 | V |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| 2403 | 0 | 118 | 335 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.74
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 58.29 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 16.33 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6138 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 5930 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5337 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.09 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.42 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

| Volume, V factor, PHF | 2166 | 0 | 129 | 92 | veh/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak-hour fact volume, v15 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min vuses | 602 | 0 | 36 | 26 | v |
| Trucks and bus | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | pc/h |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
a (Exhibit 24-6)
b (Exhibit 24-6)
c (Exhibit 24-6)
d (Exhibit 24-6)
Weaving intensity factor, Wi
Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si
Number of lanes required for
```

| Weaving | Non-Weaving |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.15 | 0.00 |
| 2.20 | 4.00 |
| 0.97 | 1.30 |
| 0.80 | 0.75 |
| 0.35 | 0.13 |
| 55.88 | 63.67 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.56
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 62.86 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 14.55 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6755 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6527 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5874 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$

|  |  | If Max Exceeded See Note |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving flow rate, Vw | Analyzed | Maximum | Note |
| Average flow rate (pcphpl) | 253 | 2800 | a |
| Volume ratio, VR | 914 | 2350 | b |
| Weaving ratio, R | 0.09 | 0.45 | c |
| Weaving length (ft) | 0.42 | N/A | d |

## Notes:

a. Weaving segments longer than 2500 ft . are treated as isolated merge and diverge areas using the procedures of Chapter 25, "Ramps and Ramp Junctions".
b. Capacity constrained by basic freeway capacity.
c. Capacity occurs under constrained operating conditions.
d. Three-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.45 . Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
e. Four-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.35. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
f. Capacity constrained by maximum allowable weaving flow rate: 2,800 pc/h (Type A), 4,000 (Type B), 3,500 (Type C).
g. Five-lane Type A segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.20. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
h. Type B weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.80. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.
i. Type C weaving segments do not operate well at volume ratios greater than 0.50. Poor operations and some local queuing are expected in such cases.

HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2010 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.15 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.25 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 2082 | 0 | 274 | 92 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 578 | 0 | 76 | 26 | v |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2394 | 0 | 315 | 105 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.40 | 0.16 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 54.40 | 62.21 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.75
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 60.91 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 15.40 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LoS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6516 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6296 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5666 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2030 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 | ft |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 |  |
| Terrain type | Level | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | A | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.09 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR | 0.41 |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

| Volume, V | 2631 | $\bigcirc$ | 155 | 110 | veh/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-min volume, v15 | 731 | 0 | 43 | 31 | v |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicle PCE, ER | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flow rate, v | 3025 | $\bigcirc$ | 178 | 126 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds $\qquad$

```
a (Exhibit 24-6)
b (Exhibit 24-6)
c (Exhibit 24-6)
d (Exhibit 24-6)
Weaving intensity factor, Wi
Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si
Number of lanes required for
```

Weaving Non-Weaving
0.150 .00
$2.20 \quad 4.00$
$0.97 \quad 1.30$
$0.80 \quad 0.75$
$0.42 \quad 0.17$
$53.84 \quad 62.14$

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.57
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 61.27 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 18.11 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6755 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6527 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5874 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


HCS2000: Freeway Weaving Release 4.1f

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Operational Analysis

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co.: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date Performed: | $7 / 24 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Time Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Freeway/Dir of Travel: | Interstate 26 SB |
| Weaving Location: | Rock Springs to Welcome Center |
| Jurisdiction: | 2030 |
| Analysis Year: |  |
| Description: Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |  |

Inputs $\qquad$

| Freeway free-flow speed, SFF | 65 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving number of lanes, N | 3 |  |
| Weaving segment length, L | 1750 | Level |
| Terrain type |  | $\%$ |
| Grade |  | mi |
| Length | 0.15 | Multilane or C-D |
| Weaving type | 0.25 |  |
| Volume ratio, VR |  |  |

Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions $\qquad$

Volume, V
Peak-hour factor, PHF
Peak 15-min volume, v15
Trucks and buses
Recreational vehicles
Trucks and buses PCE, ET
Recreational vehicle PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV Driver population adjustment, fP Flow rate, v

| Non-Weaving |  |  |  | Weaving |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | V | V | V |  |  |  |  |
| A-C | B-D | A-D | B-C |  |  |  |  |
| 2464 | 0 | 329 | 110 | veh/h |  |  |  |
| 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |
| 684 | 0 | 91 | 31 | v |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 2833 | 0 | 378 | 126 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |

Weaving and Non-Weaving Speeds

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| a (Exhibit 24-6) | Weaving | Non-Weaving |  |
| b (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.15 | 0.00 |  |
| c (Exhibit 24-6) | 2.20 | 4.00 |  |
| d (Exhibit 24-6) | 0.97 | 1.30 |  |
| Weaving intensity factor, Wi | 0.80 | 0.75 |  |
| Weaving and non-weaving speeds, Si | 0.47 | 0.21 |  |
| Number of lanes required for |  | 52.45 | 60.56 |

```
unconstrained operation, Nw (Exhibit 24-7) 0.76
Maximum number of lanes, Nw (max) (Exhibit 24-7) 1.40
Type of operation is Unconstrained
```

$\qquad$ Weaving Segment Speed, Density, Level of Service and Capacity $\qquad$

| Weaving segment speed, S | 59.18 | mph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weaving segment density, D | 18.80 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |
| Level of service, LOS | B |  |
| Capacity of base condition, cb | 6507 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a 15-minute flow rate, c | 6287 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Capacity as a full-hour volume, ch | 5658 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |

Limitations on Weaving Segments $\qquad$


Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2010 DHV AM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2337 | vph | 2387 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 649 |  | 663 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1343 | pcphpl | 1372 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1343 | pcphpl | 1372 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | C |  |
| Density, D | 22.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 22.9 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2010 DHV PM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2010 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2072 | vph | 2448 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 576 |  | 680 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1191 | pcphpl | 1407 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1191 | pcphpl | 1407 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | C |  |
| Density, D | 19.9 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 23.5 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2030 DHV AM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2801 | vph | 2896 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 778 |  | 804 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1610 | pcphpl | 1665 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1610 | pcphpl | 1665 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 59.1 | mph | 58.8 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | D |  | D |  |
| Density, D | 27.2 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 28.3 | pc/mi/ln |

Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

| Analyst: | DCD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co: | Neel-Schaffer |
| Date: | $7 / 26 / 2007$ |
| Analysis Period: | 2030 DHV PM Peak |
| Highway: | Interstate 26 |
| From/To: | north of Rock Springs Road |
| Jurisdiction: |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2030 |
| Project ID: | Kingsport Welcome Center - Opt B |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane width | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Lateral clearance: |  |  |  |  |
| Right edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Left edge | 6.0 | $f t$ | 6.0 | $f t$ |
| Total lateral clearance | 12.0 | $f t$ | 12.0 | $f t$ |
| Access points per mile | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |
| Free-flow speed: | Measured |  | Measured |  |
| FFS or BFFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Lane width adjustment, FLW | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Lateral clearance adjustment, FLC | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Median type adjustment, FM | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Access points adjustment, FA | 0.0 | mph | 0.0 | mph |
| Free-flow speed | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| VOLUME |  |  |  |  |
| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Volume, V | 2485 | vph | 2903 | vph |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |
| Peak 15-minute volume, v15 | 690 |  | 806 |  |
| Trucks and buses | 7 | \% | 7 | \% |
| Recreational vehicles | 0 | \% | 0 | \% |
| Terrain type | Level |  | Level |  |
| Grade | 0.00 | \% | 0.00 | \% |
| Segment length | 0.00 | mi | 0.00 | mi |
| Number of lanes | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| Driver population adjustment, fP | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Trucks and buses PCE, ET | 1.5 |  | 1.5 |  |
| Recreational vehicles PCE, ER | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |
| Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV | 0.966 |  | 0.966 |  |
| Flow rate, vp | 1428 | pcphpl | 1669 | pcphpl |
|  | RESULTS |  |  |  |


| Direction | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow rate, vp | 1428 | pcphpl | 1669 | pcphpl |
| Free-flow speed, FFS | 60.0 | mph | 60.0 | mph |
| Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S | 59.9 | mph | 58.8 | mph |
| Level of service, LOS | C |  | D |  |
| Density, D | 23.8 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 28.4 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ |

COST ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS

| Project:Corridor B Welcome Center: Preferred Site - Option A Length: Addition of Full Interchange Ramps \& Cross-Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |
| Land, Improvements and Damages (Acres) | 9 acres |  | \$243,600.00 |
| Incidentals (5 Tracts) |  |  | \$15,600.00 |
| Relocation Payments (Residentials) |  | 0 | \$0.00 |
| (Businesses) |  | 0 |  |
| (Non-Profit) |  | 0 |  |
| Total Right-of-Way Cost |  |  | \$259,200.00 |
| Utility Relocation |  |  |  |
| Reimbursable. |  |  | \$84,480.00 |
| Non-Reimbursable. |  |  | \$7,680.00 |
| Total Adjustment Cost.. |  |  | \$92,160.00 |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing. |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Earthwork. |  |  | \$2,997,000.00 |
| Pavement Removal. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Drainage. |  |  | \$515,000.00 |
| Major Items | \$182,000.00 |  |  |
| Other Drainage | \$77,000.00 |  |  |
| Erosion Control | \$256,000.00 |  |  |
| Structures.. |  |  | \$1,188,000.00 |
| Railroad Crossing or Separation Structure. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Paving. |  |  | \$1,210,000.00 |
| Retaining Walls. |  |  | \$45,000.00 |
| Maintenance of Traffic |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Topsoil.. |  |  | \$75,000.00 |
| Seeding. |  |  | \$24,000.00 |
| Sodding. |  |  | \$297,000.00 |
| Signing. |  |  | \$108,000.00 |
| Signalization.. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Fence.. |  |  | \$80,000.00 |
| Guardrail. |  |  | \$11,000.00 |
| Rip-Rap or Slope Protection |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Other Const. Items (8.5\%). |  |  | \$565,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction.. |  |  | \$7,215,000.00 |
| Mobilization.. |  |  | \$319,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction. |  |  | \$7,534,000.00 |
| 10\% Engineering and Contingencies............ ............................ |  |  | \$753,000.00 |
| Total Construction Cost................................... ............................. |  |  | \$8,287,000.00 |
| Preliminary Engineering (15\%). |  |  | \$1,130,000.00 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST. |  |  | \$9,768,360.00 |




| Project:Corridor B Welcome Center: Preferred Site - Option B Length: Addition of Full Interchange Ramps \& Cross-Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right-of-Way |  |  |  |
| Land, Improvements and Damages (Acres) | 9 acres |  | \$243,600.00 |
| Incidentals (00 Tracts) |  |  | \$15,600.00 |
| Relocation Payments (Residentials) |  | 0 | \$0.00 |
| (Businesses) |  | 0 |  |
| (Non-Profit) |  | 0 |  |
| Total Right-of-Way Cost |  |  | \$259,200.00 |
| Utility Relocation |  |  |  |
| Reimbursable. |  |  | \$84,480.00 |
| Non-Reimbursable. |  |  | \$7,680.00 |
| Total Adjustment Cost. |  |  | \$92,160.00 |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing. |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Earthwork. |  |  | \$2,583,000.00 |
| Pavement Removal. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Drainage. |  |  | \$504,000.00 |
| Major Items | \$200,000.00 |  |  |
| Other Drainage | \$70,000.00 |  |  |
| Erosion Control | \$234,000.00 |  |  |
| Structures. |  |  | \$1,089,000.00 |
| Railroad Crossing or Separation Structure. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Paving. |  |  | \$1,215,000.00 |
| Retaining Walls. |  |  | \$45,000.00 |
| Maintenance of Traffic |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Topsoil. |  |  | \$75,000.00 |
| Seeding. |  |  | \$24,000.00 |
| Sodding. |  |  | \$247,000.00 |
| Signing. |  |  | \$108,000.00 |
| Signalization.. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Fence........... |  |  | \$80,000.00 |
| Guardrail. |  |  | \$11,000.00 |
| Rip-Rap or Slope Protection. |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Other Const. Items (8.5\%). |  |  | \$517,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction.. |  |  | \$6,598,000.00 |
| Mobilization.. |  |  | \$294,000.00 |
| Sub-Total Construction. |  |  | \$6,892,000.00 |
| 10\% Engineering and Contingencies............ ............................ |  |  | \$689,000.00 |
| Total Construction Cost. |  |  | \$7,581,000.00 |
| Preliminary Engineering (15\%). |  |  | \$1,034,000.00 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST.... |  |  | \$8,966,360.00 |



| 8. Retaining Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sq. Ft. | 1000 | $X$ unit price | \$45.00 |  |  | \$45,000.00 |  |
| Subtotal for Retaining Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,000.00 |
| 9. Maintenance of Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Location | \$0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Location | \$50,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detour | \$0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,000.00 |
| Subtotal for Maintenance of Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Topsoil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Fill | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 0.536 | X Length | 3400 | $X$ unit price*2 | \$12.00 | \$43,737.60 |  |
| Avg. Cut | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 0.383 | X Length | 3400 | X unit price*2 | \$12.00 | \$31,252.80 |  |
| Subtotal for Topsoil |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$75,000.00 |
| 11. Seeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Fill | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 0.058 | X Length | 3400 | X unit price*2 | \$35.00 | \$13,804.00 |  |
| Avg. Cut | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 0.041 | X Length | 3400 | X unit price*2 | \$35.00 | \$9,758.00 |  |
| Subtotal for Seeding |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$24,000.00 |
| 12. Sodding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Fill | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 6.778 | X Length | 2180 | X unit price*2 | \$5.00 | \$147,760.40 |  |
| Avg. Cut | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 4.556 | X Length | 2180 | X unit price*2 | \$5.00 | \$99,320.80 |  |
| Subtotal for Sodding |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$247,000.00 |
| 13. Signing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L (Mi.) | 1.00 | X Cost/Mile | \$3,000.00 |  |  | \$3,000.00 |  |
| No. Int. | 1 | X Cost/Int. | \$5,000.00 |  |  | \$5,000.00 |  |
|  | 2 | $X$ unit price | \$50,000.00 |  |  | \$100,000.00 |  |
| Subtotal for Signing |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,000.00 |
| 14. Signalization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. + signals | 0 | $X$ unit price | \$75,000.00 |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| No. T signals | 0 | $X$ unit price | \$45,000.00 |  |  | \$0.00 |  |
| Subtotal for Signalization |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 15. Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L (ft.) | 4000 | X $2 \times u / p$ | \$5.00 |  |  | \$40,000.00 |  |
| No. int. | 1 | X cost/int. | \$40,000.00 |  |  | \$40,000.00 |  |
| Subtotal for Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$80,000.00 |
| 16. Guardrail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L (ft.) |  | $X$ unit price | \$10.00 |  |  | \$5,000.00 |  |
| \# end treatments | 4 | $X$ unit price | \$1,500.00 |  |  | \$6,000.00 |  |
| Subtotal for Guardrail |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,000.00 |
| 17. Rip/Rap Slope Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L (ft.) | 0 | X slope dist. | 20 | X 0.074 |  |  |  |
| X 2 tons X unit price/ton |  | \$14 |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 18. Subtotal for Items \#1-\#17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,081,000.00 |
| 19. Other Const. Items (Line \#18 $\times$ | 8.5\%) |  |  |  |  |  | \$517,000.00 |
| 20. Sub-Total for Construction (18 | + 19) |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,598,000.00 |
| 21. Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 to 1 million (5\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 5 million \$50,000 + 4.5\% in excess of 1 million \$294,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 to 10 million $\$ 230,000+4.0 \%$ in excess of 5 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 to 20 million $\$ 430,000+3.5 \%$ in excess of 10 million |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| over 20 million $\$ 780,000+3.0 \%$ in excess of 20 million Subtotal for Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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