

STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

REGION 3 ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY DIVISION REGION 3 ANNEX 6630 CENTENNIAL BLVD. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37209 (615) 557-4502

BUTCH ELEY DEPUTY GOVERNOR & COMMISSIONER OF TRANSPORTATION BILL LEE GOVERNOR

September 18, 2024

Re: ADDENDUM #1 Contract No. PD2401 County: Davidson

To Whom it May Concern:

This addendum revises the Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Table in Section 3 to reflect the language in section 2.5.3.2. Attached are the revised sheets.

You must acknowledge this addendum and all the receipts of any addenda to RFP in the Introductory Letter and Statement of Interest as indicated in Section 2.5.2 (5), and by acknowledging it on form C (attached).

Sincerely,

g Will. in ber

Kimberly Welch, P.E. TDOT Manager Alternative Delivery



TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Request for Proposals

Progressive Design Build (PDB) Services

Interstate 65

Bridge Over the Cumberland River and Cowan Street Between LM 10.05 – 10.65 Davidson County, Tennessee

> Project Identification Number (PIN): **130874.02** State Project Number: **TBD** Federal Project Number: **N/A** PDB Contract# **PD2401**

> > September 2024

Addendum #1 September 18, 2024

3 EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

The method to select a DBT considers the following evaluation factors and assigned, weighted multipliers (i.e., the RFP scoring matrix) to arrive at an aggregate (total) score and selection of a Proposer.

Section	Weighting/Scoring	Section Reference/Comment	
Proposal	60% Overall Weight		
Statement of Interest ¹	Not scored	Section 2.5.1; 1 page max	
Mandatory Proposal Attachments ¹	Pass/Fail	Section 2.5.2; no page limits	
Proposal	Max. 100 Points		
Eval. Factor #1: Project Team Qualification	40 of 100 points		
Subfactor #1: Proposer Project Team Description	30% ²	Section 2.5.3; (30 pages max)	
Subfactor #2: Key Individual Biographies (Bios)	10%		
Subfactor #3: Project Descriptions	50%		
Subfactor #4: Safety Information	10%		
Eval. Factor #2: Project Approach	30 of 100 points		
Subfactor #1: Preliminary			
Design/Preconstruction Approach and Final	40% 80%		
Design/Construction Approach			
Subfactor #2: Construction Approach	40%	-	
Subfactor #3 #2: Subcontracting Approach	20%		
Eval. Factor #3: Cost Estimating Approach	30 of 100 points		
Proposal Attachments ¹	Not scored	Section 2.5.4; (Resumes + 10- page limit)	
Oral Interviews	40% Overall Weight		
Presentation	40 Points	Section 2.6.1	
Questions & Answer Session	40 Points	Section 2.6.2	
Team Challenge	20 Points	Section 2.6.3	

¹ No evaluation points will be assigned for this information; *however, the Proposal may be rejected as non-responsive if the required information is not attached/provided.*

Notably for the Proposer's design and preconstruction hourly/unit rates listed on the Hourly Rates form, TDOT determines if the hourly/unit rates are reasonable.

² TDOT assigns weightings and Selection Committee members score each subfactor based on its percentage of the overall evaluation factor.

3.1 Proposal Evaluation Criteria

Each Selection Committee member individually reviews and evaluates each responsive Proposal, assigning a rating for each sub-factor listed in Section 2.5.3 according to the descriptions below.

Note: The primary focus of the evaluation is on the Proposer's qualifications compared to the listed requirements of Section 2.5.3 and how its approach meets or exceeds the project goals listed in Section 1.2.

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FORM C: Addendum Acknowledgment Form Progressive Design Build Preliminary Design/ Preconstruction Services

Date	Contract Number	Project Tit	Project Title	
Firm Name:	ge receipt of Addendums No	No		
	go receipt of / laconaumo rici			
Applicant or Corpor	ate Officer Signature	Date	Title	
Applicant or Corpor	ate Officer Signature	Date	Title	
Applicant or Corpor	ate Officer Signature	Date	Title	