



**STATE OF TENNESSEE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
**ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY DIVISION**  
REGION 3  
6601 CENTENNIAL BLVD  
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243

**WILL REID**  
COMMISSIONER OF  
TRANSPORTATION

**BILL LEE**  
GOVERNOR

**January 26, 2026**

**Re: ADDENDUM #1**  
**Contract No. DB2602**  
**County: Davidson**

To Whom it May Concern:

This addendum revises the RFQ sections as noted in the revised sheets. The Proposer must acknowledge this addendum by completing Form C.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Miller Bernhardt".

Miller Bernhardt  
TDOT Manager  
Alternative Delivery – Region 3



TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Design-Build**

**Request for Qualifications**

**Marine Drive Extension**

From Cowan Street under I-24 to SR-11 (US-41, Dickerson Pike)  
Davidson County, Tennessee

Project Identification Number (PIN): **131513.00**

State Project Number: **19LCIT-S0-004**

Federal Project Number: **NH/STP-M-9312(124)**

DB Contract# **DB2602**

January 2026

[Addendum No. 1: January 2026](#)

## 1.8 Organizational Conflicts and Ineligible Firms

As defined in TDOT Rule 1680-05-04-.02(19), “organizational conflict of interest” means that because of other activities or relationships with other persons or entities, a Proposer is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to TDOT, or the Proposer’s objectivity in performing the contract work is or might be otherwise impaired, or the Proposer has an unfair competitive advantage. As a general rule, no person or firm that has assisted TDOT in preparing the RFQ or RFP will be allowed to participate as a Proposer or in any capacity on a Proposer’s team; provided, however, TDOT may determine that there is not an organizational conflict of interest where (i) the role of a person or firm was limited to the provision of preliminary design, reports, or similar “low level” documents that may be incorporated into the RFP but did not include assistance in the development of the instructions to proposers or evaluation criteria; or (ii) all documents and reports delivered to TDOT by the person or firm are made available to all potential design-builders.

Further, if a person or firm has participated in preparing the NEPA or TEER document for the Project, such person or firm shall not be allowed to respond to the RFQ or RFP for the Project if (i) the NEPA or TEER document for the Project is not complete prior to the date on which the RFQ or RFP has been advertised; or (ii) the person or firm has any continuing decision-making responsibilities with respect to the NEPA or TEER process for the Project after the date on which the RFQ or RFP has been advertised.

As such, the Proposer is prohibited from teaming with, receiving any advice from, or discussing any aspect relating to the Project or the procurement of the Project with any person or entity with an organizational conflict of interest, including:

1. HNTB Corporation;
2. American Structurepoint, Inc.;
3. Gresham Smith and Partners;
4. HMB Professional Engineers;
5. Terracon Consultants, Inc.;
6. Horrocks Engineering;
7. Contract Land Services;
8. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.;
9. Avenue Consultants, Inc.;
10. [HDR Engineer, Inc.](#);
11. [Thomas & Hutton Engineering Co.](#); and
12. [Affiliates](#) (including parent companies, subsidiary companies, Persons under common ownership, JV members and partners, and other financially liable parties for a Person) of any of the above.

Such persons and entities are also prohibited from participating on a Proposer team as a contractor, subcontractor, consultant, or subconsultant.

The Proposer is to provide information concerning organizational conflicts of interest and disclose all relevant facts concerning any past, present, or currently planned interests that may present an organizational conflict of interest, as further detailed in Section 2.5.2 below.

## 2 STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (SOQ) SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

All Proposers are required to meet the SOQ submittal requirements identified in Section 2.1 below. Failure to meet these deadlines may result in the SOQ being considered non-responsive and rejected. TDOT retains the right to waive any minor irregularity or modify any requirement should it be judged to be in the best interest of TDOT.

### 2.1 Key Events Schedule

The table below identifies the schedule for key events, including SOQ submittal requirements, for this RFQ process. TDOT reserves the right to revise this schedule upon providing public notice in writing or by addendum to the RFQ.

Event	Date
RFQ Release	January 8, 2026
Mandatory Pre-SOQ Meeting	January 21, 2026 1:00 pm CST
Proposer's Last Submission of Questions/Requested Clarifications	<del>February 4</del> <del>January 28</del> , 2026 11:00 am CST
TDOT's Final RFQ Addendum	<del>February 18</del> <del>February 4</del> , 2026
Proposer's SOQ Submittal Due Date	<del>March 25</del> <del>February 18</del> , 2026 11:00 am CST
Shortlist Notification	<del>April</del> <del>March</del> , 2026

After shortlisting, the Project's schedule includes the following major milestones.

Event	Date
TDOT's Release of the Draft RFP	April 2026
TDOT's Release of the Final RFP	October 2026
Proposer's Technical and Price Proposal due date	December 2026
Award of design-build contract	February 2027

### 2.2 Mandatory Pre-SOQ Meeting

A **mandatory** pre-SOQ meeting is to be held at the following location at the date and time listed in Section 2.1.

Region 3 Auditorium  
6601 Centennial Blvd.  
Nashville, TN, 37243

This meeting introduces all potential Proposers to the design-build contract delivery method, provides an overall Project introduction, and enables the potential Proposers to ask and TDOT to answer questions about the Project, the procurement process, or design-build delivery method.