Form QR RFP Question Request

Project & DB Contract: <u>Timber Bridge Bundle One (DB2506)</u>

RFP Book No. and Section ID	Question	TDOT Response
RFP Book 3 Section 7.2.1	Addendum 1 revised the language regarding the revision of Contract Documents to include any MIS work per Standard Specification 109.04. Standard Specification 109.04 references Standard Specification 104.02 regarding Extra Work to be performed by the Contractor that is not in the Contract (in this case utility relocations). Standard Specification 104.02 references Standard Specification 108.07 regarding additional time to complete Extra Work. Please confirm this understanding of TDOT Specifications is correct and that this is how it will be applied to MIS work for this Contract.	TDOT will only adjust the Contract Price, if needed, to accommodate any identified MIS work. Book 3 takes precedence over the Standard Specifications, as detailed in Section 7.2.1 of Book 3.
RFP Book 3 Section 7.4	Table 10: Railroad Timelines and Section 7.4.3 were added to Book 3 per Addendum 1. Are there sections 7.4.1 and 7.4.2 to be added to Book 3?	Yes. See revised pages and the addendum cover letter for further details.
Book 3, Sec. 4.2, page 22	Based on hydraulic design's that follow the RFP, adding an extra foot to the 10 and 50 year design floods exceed the 100 year flood elevation. The latest addendum requires the "higher" of those calculations, further raising the low girder elevation from the previous "or" condition. Would TDOT consider following the Design Frequency Criteria found in TDOT's Design Procedures for Hydraulic Structures (Section 3, page 17) that states "The minimum "design flood" magnitude for stream crossings on State Routes is the 10 year frequency runoff and for Interstates and other 4 or more lane routes it is the 100 year frequency runoff based on land development expected 20 years hence."?	See revisions to Section 4.2 in Addendum No. 2.
R4 Timber Bridges_GrpTEER, pg 4	In the TEER report provided on 11/3, Bridges 30, 41, and 49 are showing the proposed improvement to be "Rehab". Is it TDOT intention that the Design Builder does not replace those bridges but instead only rehabilitates those bridges. If so, what level of rehabilitation is required?	As noted in Book 3, the Project requires full replacement of the noted bridges.