


1st WILLIAMSON

Population Under 18: 25.8%





Child Population by Hispanic Ethnicity



Published May 2023

ECONOMIC WELL-BEING 1ST	Rank	Previous Percent/Rate	Previous Rank
Children living in poverty 3.9%	1st	3.6%	1st
Severe housing cost burden 9.4%	42 nd	7.7%	8th
Child care cost burden 11.9%	1st	11.2%	1st
EDUCATION 1ST	Rank	Previous Percent/Rate	Previous Rank
3rd to 8th grade reading proficiency 66.0%	1st	56.9%	1st
3rd to 8th grade math proficiency 66.8%	1st	59.5%	1st
Youth graduating high school on time 97.1%	12th	96.2%	13th
HEALTH 1ST	Rank	Previous Percent/Rate	Previous Rank
HEALTH 1ST Children who are food insecure 0.0%	Rank 1st		
		Percent/Rate	Rank
Children who are food insecure 0.0%	1st	Percent/Rate 2.3%	Rank 1st
Children who are food insecure 0.0% Children who lack health insurance 4.9%	1st 7th	2.3% 3.9%	Rank 1st 2nd
Children who are food insecure 0.0% Children who lack health insurance 4.9% Babies born at a low birthweight 6.2%	1st 7th 3rd	2.3% 3.9% 5.3% Previous	Rank 1st 2nd 5th
Children who are food insecure 0.0% Children who lack health insurance 4.9% Babies born at a low birthweight 6.2% FAMILY & COMMUNITY 1ST	1st 7th 3rd	2.3% 3.9% 5.3% Previous Percent/Rate	Rank 1st 2nd 5th Previous Rank

Williamson County	Number	Rate	Tennessee Rate	County Rate as a percentage of State Rate	County Rank
Demo	graphic		Rate	State Nate	Kalik
otal population (state value is number not rate)	255,745	NA	6,975,170	3.7%	6
opulation under 18 years of age (percent of total population)	65,978	25.8%	22.0%	117.3%	2
Economi	c Well-B	eing			
outh unemployment	447	6.5%	12.9%	50.4%	20
er capita personal income (state value is dollars not rate)	\$107,698	NA	\$56,560	190.4%	1
ledian home sales price (state value is dollars not rate)	\$665,000	NA	\$283,410	234.6%	1
hildren receiving Families First grants (TANF)	86	0.1%	1.5%	8.8%	1
hildren receiving Supplemental Nutrition Assistance (SNAP)	1,657	2.5%	24.0%	10.5%	1
air market rent (percent of monthly median household income)	\$1,587	15.4%	21.1%	73.2%	1
Edu	ıcation				
chool age special education services	4,123	9.3%	12.2%	76.3%	2
EIS participation (percent of children age 0 to 2)	473	6.1%	3.8%	163.2%	93
ohort high school dropouts (percent of class cohort)	33	1.0%	6.7%	15.5%	6
vent high school dropouts (percent of students grade 9 to 12)	66	0.5%	4.0%	12.0%	8
conomically disadvantaged students	1,582	3.6%	30.0%	11.9%	1
chool suspensions	*	0.3%	5.1%	6.4%	1
raduating seniors scoring 21 or better on the ACT at least once	2,423	74.8%	35.3%	211.7%	1
oung adult college enrollment (percent of graduating seniors)	9,473	81.2%	52.8%	153.8%	1
Н	ealth				
eonatal abstinence syndrome (per 1,000 live births)	*	*	6.6	*	*
irths to mothers who smoked during pregnancy	32	1.4%	9.1%	14.9%	1
hildren on TennCare (Medicaid)	10,270	13.4%	55.3%	24.2%	1
otal TennCare (Medicaid) enrollees	16,841	6.6%	24.9%	26.5%	1
irths covered by TennCare (Medicaid)	323	13.6%	55.1%	24.8%	1
hildren qualified for Medicaid/CHIP but uninsured	1,767	16.0%	7.6%	210.5%	95
fant mortality (per 1,000 live births)	*	*	6.2	*	*
eonatal death (per 1,000 live births)	*	*	3.2	*	*
hild deaths (per 100,000 children age 1 to 14)	*	*	20.7	*	*
een violent deaths (per 100,000 youth age 15 to 19)	*	*	69.7	*	*
dequate prenatal care	1,849	79.4%	73.9%	107.4%	40
ediatric physicians (per 100,000 children)	77	116.7	76.5	152.6%	5
ublic school students measured as overweight/obese	NA	36.4%	42.8%	85.1%	5
eens with STDs (per 1,000 youth age 15 to 17)	24	1.9	16.6	11.6%	3
/IC participation (percent of children under 5)	905	6.5%	31.7%	20.6%	1
entists by county (per 100,000 residents)	185	72.3	41.4	174.5%	1
	Commi	ınity			
Family &	Commi	arricy_			
			4.6%	26.9%	1
eported child abuse cases	814	1.2%	4.6% 2.8	26.9% 24.8%	1
eported child abuse cases ommitment to state custody (per 1,000 children)	814 51	1.2% 0.7	2.8	24.8%	5
eported child abuse cases ommitment to state custody (per 1,000 children) emaining in state custody (per 1,000 children)	814 51 80	1.2% 0.7 1.1	2.8 5.3	24.8% 20.6%	5 1
eported child abuse cases ommitment to state custody (per 1,000 children) emaining in state custody (per 1,000 children) venile court referrals	814 51 80 1,126	1.2% 0.7 1.1 1.7%	2.8 5.3 1.8%	24.8% 20.6% 95.3%	5 1 45
eported child abuse cases ommitment to state custody (per 1,000 children) emaining in state custody (per 1,000 children) evenile court referrals ecorded marriages (per 1,000 residents)	814 51 80 1,126 1,077	1.2% 0.7 1.1 1.7% 4.4	2.8 5.3 1.8% 6.6	24.8% 20.6% 95.3% 66.5%	5 1 45 92
eported child abuse cases commitment to state custody (per 1,000 children) emaining in state custody (per 1,000 children) evenile court referrals ecorded marriages (per 1,000 residents) ecorded divorces (per 1,000 residents)	814 51 80 1,126 1,077 602	1.2% 0.7 1.1 1.7% 4.4 2.5	2.8 5.3 1.8% 6.6 3.2	24.8% 20.6% 95.3% 66.5% 76.7%	5 1 45 92 15
eported child abuse cases ommitment to state custody (per 1,000 children) emaining in state custody (per 1,000 children) evenile court referrals ecorded marriages (per 1,000 residents)	814 51 80 1,126 1,077	1.2% 0.7 1.1 1.7% 4.4	2.8 5.3 1.8% 6.6	24.8% 20.6% 95.3% 66.5%	5 1 45 92

Williamson

Overall

At 1st, Williamson County is in the top ten Tennessee counties in child well-being. The county's strongest area was Economic Well-Being.

Strengths

Williamson's strongest indicator is the percent of chlidren living below the federal poverty line, where the county ranks 1st. The county also performs well in the percent of children who scored "On Track" or "Mastered" on TCAP Math and Reading at over 66%.

Opportunities

The county's biggest challenge is the percent of households in the county experiencing a severe housing cost burden, where it ranks 42nd. There are opportunities for improvement in the percent of chronic absenteeism among public school students as well.

Policy/Practice/Program Options to Improve Outcomes

Many of these policies have multiple models for delivery, including public-private partnership, non-profit partners and community engagement.

Pro-active housing policy that helps ensure affordable housing is available for people to live where they work can improve negative outcomes related to high housing costs. These policies might include approving more apartment construction and allowing rental of accessory dwelling units, creating a standard zoning approval process and applying for affordable housing trust fund competitive grants. Improving ease of usage and communication with landlords and renters using Housing Choice Vouchers can increase the number of landlords willing to accept vouchers.

Evidence-based strategies to address chronic absenteeism begin with early warning prevention and intervention systems, identifying students before their absences hit chronic levels and looking for underlying causes. Implementing positive and supportive engagement strategies to improve students' attendance at, connection to and success in school can help, while counselors who address individual students' challenges with regular attendance improve outcomes. Disciplinary measures that take students out of the classroom are a contributor to chronic absenteeism and not a solution.

Indicator Definitions and History

Children living in poverty - Percent of children living in a household below the federal poverty line. Source: US Census Bureau Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates (2021).

Severe housing cost burden - Percent of households spending 50% or more of their income on housing. Source: American Community Survey, 5-year estimates (2017-2021). New to the county profiles, it replaces Fair Market Rent. It is more closely aligned to a KIDS COUNT national indicator, does not apply only to rental housing, and is a rate rather than a dollar value.

Child care cost burden - Child care costs for a household with two children as a percent of median household income. Source: Produced by the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute using The Living Wage Calculator (2022) and Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates (2021). This is new to the rankings and replaces Median Household Income (MHI). We wanted an indicator expressed as a percentage rather than a dollar amount as well as one that related to a common family expense. Income is already somewhat measured in poverty estimates. In addition, this indicator uses MHI in its calculation.

3rd to 8th grade reading proficiency - Percent of third- to eighth-grade students who scored "ontrack" or "mastered" on the Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program (TCAP) reading and language test. Source: Tennessee Department of Education (2021-22).

3rd to 8th grade math proficiency - Percent of third- to eighth-grade students who scored "on-track" or "mastered" on the Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment program (TCAP) math test. Source: Tennessee Department of Education (2021-22).

Youth graduating high school on time - Percent of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years. Source: Tennessee Department of Education (2021-22).

Children who are food insecure - Percent of children who sometimes lack access to adequate food. Source: Map the Meal Gap (2021). This is new to the rankings and replaces child and teen death rates, which, because the Department of Health has tightened its data suppression rules, is no longer available for all counties. We did not have an indicator for all counties to line up with overweight/obesity data in the national ranking, so we searched for an one related to nutrition and healthy eating.

Children who lack health insurance - Percent of children who lack health insurance. Source: US Census Bureau Small Area Health Insurance Estimates (2020).

Babies born at a low birthweight - Percent of live births where baby weighs less than 2,500 grams (5.5 pounds), averaged over three years. Source: Tennessee Department of Health (2019-21), with three counties estimated using American Community Survey 5-year data (2015-19, 2016-20, 2017-21). It has been in our county profiles from the beginning, though it was previously just one-year data. The Department of Health has tightened its data suppression rules, and dozens of counties' data were unavailable with one-year numbers. Three-year numbers still left three counties suppressed. Those were estimated from 5-year American Community Survey data.

Children living in single parent families - Single-parent households as a percent of all households with children. Source: American Community Survey, 5-year estimates (2017-2021). New to the county profiles, it replaces births to unmarried females, which in turn replaced teen births. As the Tennessee Department of Health tightened its data suppression rules, teen birth data was no longer available for all counties. Children born to unmarried females was always an attempt to roughly estimate teen births using different data. In moving from actual counts to ACS 5-year estimates, we decided to use this indicator over teen births as it affects families with parents of all ages.

Children who are chronically absent - Children who are absent 10 percent or more of school days for any reason, including excused/unexcused absences and out-of-school suspensions. Source: Tennessee Department of Education (2021-22). New to the county profiles, it replaces school suspensions, which have suppressed data for many counties.

Victims of abuse or neglect per 1,000 - Child victims of abuse or neglect. In cases with multiple children each child is counted individually. The total is the number of children associated with substantiated cases of severe abuse and of determinations of "Services Court Ordered" or "Services Needed" in cases of non-severe abuse or neglect. Children with multiple cases during the year are counted only once. The rate is per 1,000 children. Source: Tennessee Department of Children's Services (2021). This has been in our county rankings from the beginning, but we have moved to counting children rather than cases.