

Building Tennessee's Tomorrow: Anticipating the State's Infrastructure Needs

July 2003 through June 2008

Appendix D: Reported Infrastructure Needs by County

Table D-1a	Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County.....	75
Table D-1b	Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development.....	77
Table D-2a	Transportation Projects by County	80
Table D-2b	Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	82
Table D-3a	Other Utility Projects by County	85
Table D-3b	Other Utility Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	86
Table D-4a	Navigation Projects by County.....	88
Table D-4b	Navigation Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	88
Table D-5a	Telecommunication Projects by County	89
Table D-5b	Telecommunication Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	90
Table D-6	Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County	91
Table D-7a	New Public School Construction Projects by County.....	93
Table D-7b	New Public School Construction Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	95
Table D-8a	Non-K-12 Education Projects by County.....	97
Table D-8b	Non-K-12 Education Projects by County and by Stage of Development	98
Table D-9a	School System-wide Needs Projects by County.....	100

Table D-9b	Public School System-wide Needs Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	101
Table D-10a	Water and Wastewater Projects by County	102
Table D-10b	Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	104
Table D-11a	Law Enforcement Projects by County	107
Table D-11b	Law Enforcement Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	109
Table D-12a	Storm Water Projects by County	111
Table D-12b	Storm Water Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	112
Table D-13a	Solid Waste Projects by County.....	114
Table D-13b	Solid Waste Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	115
Table D-14a	Fire Protection Projects by County.....	117
Table D-14b	Fire Protection Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	119
Table D-15a	Public Health Facility Projects by County	121
Table D-15b	Public Health Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	122
Table D-16a	Housing Projects by County	124
Table D-16b	Housing Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	125
Table D-17a	Recreation Projects by County	126
Table D-17b	Recreation Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	128
Table D-18a	Library and Museum Projects by County.....	131
Table D-18b	Library and Museum Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	133
Table D-19a	Community Development Projects by County.....	135

Table D-19b	Community Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	137
Table D-20a	Business District Development Projects by County	139
Table D-20b	Business District Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	140
Table D-21a	Industrial Site and Park Projects by County.....	141
Table D-21b	Industrial Site and Park Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	143
Table D-22a	Public Building Projects by County	146
Table D-22b	Public Building Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	148
Table D-23a	Other Facility Projects by County.....	150
Table D-23b	Other Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	151
Table D-24a	Property Acquisition Projects by County.....	152
Table D-24b	Property Acquisition Projects by County and by Stage of Development.....	152

Table D-1a. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita	2003 Population
Anderson	114	\$ 126,905,093	0.5%	\$ 3,153	71,904
Bedford	74	192,017,642	0.8%	4,770	40,253
Benton	21	7,782,199	0.0%	472	16,500
Bledsoe	31	88,250,000	0.4%	7,029	12,556
Blount	128	\$ 300,104,210	1.2%	2,691	111,510
Bradley	122	193,074,553	0.8%	2,139	90,264
Campbell	57	91,159,952	0.4%	2,272	40,125
Cannon	35	32,061,728	0.1%	2,428	13,204
Carroll	73	\$ 36,806,016	0.2%	1,254	29,342
Carter	79	116,251,880	0.5%	1,991	58,394
Cheatham	72	141,957,920	0.6%	3,799	37,364
Chester	36	49,049,000	0.2%	3,096	15,842
Claiborne	48	\$ 144,369,834	0.6%	4,747	30,415
Clay	22	60,040,000	0.2%	7,555	7,947
Cocke	50	54,187,000	0.2%	1,578	34,329
Coffee	73	214,783,812	0.9%	4,327	49,643
Crockett	34	\$ 24,898,225	0.1%	1,718	14,491
Cumberland	69	295,449,162	1.2%	5,982	49,391
Davidson	584	3,826,623,878	15.7%	6,715	569,842
Decatur	38	50,788,392	0.2%	4,375	11,610
DeKalb	56	\$ 122,349,400	0.5%	6,783	18,037
Dickson	59	379,594,724	1.6%	8,448	44,935
Dyer	61	86,409,784	0.4%	2,316	37,308
Fayette	52	81,359,200	0.3%	2,520	32,289
Fentress	32	\$ 57,355,512	0.2%	3,387	16,935
Franklin	51	83,868,210	0.3%	2,070	40,512
Gibson	98	87,672,304	0.4%	1,829	47,922
Giles	50	90,449,157	0.4%	3,078	29,390
Grainger	32	\$ 52,593,085	0.2%	2,452	21,445
Greene	95	114,493,669	0.5%	1,789	63,991
Grundy	43	42,422,600	0.2%	2,948	14,389
Hamblen	57	110,452,258	0.5%	1,877	58,851
Hamilton	286	\$ 1,001,131,499	4.1%	3,235	309,510
Hancock	23	9,051,888	0.0%	1,351	6,702
Hardeman	78	126,724,745	0.5%	4,498	28,174
Hardin	55	155,636,338	0.6%	6,003	25,927
Hawkins	97	\$ 147,663,278	0.6%	2,683	55,037
Haywood	35	46,920,500	0.2%	2,391	19,626
Henderson	76	133,627,759	0.5%	5,159	25,900
Henry	36	28,377,243	0.1%	910	31,185
Hickman	36	\$ 172,136,071	0.7%	7,371	23,352
Houston	36	70,176,700	0.3%	8,680	8,085
Humphreys	47	133,525,999	0.5%	7,368	18,123
Jackson	47	120,788,400	0.5%	10,777	11,208
Jefferson	55	\$ 73,460,441	0.3%	1,566	46,919
Johnson	47	41,347,450	0.2%	2,304	17,948
Knox	331	1,207,346,907	4.9%	3,072	392,995
Lake	23	51,141,714	0.2%	6,537	7,824

Table D-1a. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita	2003 Population
Lauderdale	19	\$ 27,627,000	0.1%	\$ 1,020	27,077
Lawrence	61	95,307,197	0.4%	2,341	40,704
Lewis	24	29,670,000	0.1%	2,594	11,438
Lincoln	46	47,524,000	0.2%	1,496	31,773
Loudon	66	\$ 124,581,351	0.5%	2,993	41,624
McMinn	80	237,764,920	1.0%	4,696	50,632
McNairy	95	132,964,752	0.5%	5,332	24,938
Macon	41	83,227,000	0.3%	3,959	21,023
Madison	196	\$ 471,021,236	1.9%	5,018	93,873
Marion	55	81,050,717	0.3%	2,907	27,880
Marshall	73	85,178,000	0.3%	3,093	27,537
Maurry	92	164,419,214	0.7%	2,246	73,198
Meigs	27	\$ 74,107,359	0.3%	6,484	11,430
Monroe	52	50,068,800	0.2%	1,220	41,051
Montgomery	206	580,171,715	2.4%	4,113	141,064
Moore	10	27,851,000	0.1%	4,712	5,911
Morgan	35	\$ 38,121,000	0.2%	1,898	20,080
Obion	65	43,642,159	0.2%	1,348	32,386
Overton	29	37,227,413	0.2%	1,847	20,151
Perry	17	19,032,000	0.1%	2,495	7,627
Pickett	14	\$ 11,017,000	0.0%	2,201	5,006
Polk	36	783,112,250	3.2%	48,427	16,171
Putnam	91	239,973,632	1.0%	3,693	64,973
Rhea	39	41,568,900	0.2%	1,419	29,286
Roane	98	\$ 132,539,845	0.5%	2,528	52,424
Robertson	76	230,497,648	0.9%	3,962	58,181
Rutherford	226	991,054,730	4.1%	4,899	202,310
Scott	41	71,578,117	0.3%	3,302	21,675
Sequatchie	25	\$ 66,021,250	0.3%	5,521	11,958
Sevier	146	440,909,861	1.8%	5,840	75,503
Shelby	900	4,435,911,376	18.2%	4,895	906,178
Smith	57	84,522,500	0.3%	4,638	18,225
Stewart	29	\$ 49,005,900	0.2%	3,815	12,847
Sullivan	236	349,809,873	1.4%	2,286	153,050
Sumner	201	593,064,263	2.4%	4,274	138,752
Tipton	65	88,452,112	0.4%	1,632	54,184
Trousdale	24	\$ 39,265,000	0.2%	5,273	7,447
Unicoi	71	64,679,467	0.3%	3,652	17,709
Union	25	47,670,615	0.2%	2,532	18,830
Van Buren	19	40,261,000	0.2%	7,350	5,478
Warren	50	\$ 86,268,900	0.4%	2,205	39,129
Washington	148	678,903,244	2.8%	6,167	110,078
Wayne	52	30,827,426	0.1%	1,819	16,947
Weakley	68	47,115,559	0.2%	1,373	34,314
White	24	\$ 26,911,000	0.1%	1,141	23,584
Williamson	282	774,958,182	3.2%	5,484	141,301
Wilson	92	527,633,776	2.2%	5,533	95,366
Areawide/Statewide	26	134,093,282	0.5%	23	5,841,748
Statewide Total	8,204	\$ 24,432,820,872	100.0%	\$ 4,182	5,841,748

Table D-1b. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	31	27.7	21	52.1	34	34.6	34	30.2%	
Bedford	33	69.8	27	93.8	12	13.3	12	7.5%	
Benton	3	1.2	6	2.3	4	3.6	4	51.3%	
Bledsoe	19	36.7	6	28.1	3	20.1	3	23.6%	
Blount	35	153.1	29	49.1	42	85.3	42	29.7%	
Bradley	33	30.1	58	96.8	10	38.5	10	23.3%	
Campbell	20	43.4	24	38.0	9	9.0	9	9.9%	
Cannon	5	1.0	8	3.7	16	23.7	16	83.3%	
Carroll	16	7.7	35	21.6	10	4.4	10	13.1%	
Carter	32	61.6	26	44.5	15	9.1	15	7.9%	
Cheatham	30	75.7	19	43.2	15	22.9	15	16.2%	
Chester	10	14.1	9	3.6	14	31.2	14	63.9%	
Claiborne	12	27.1	11	36.5	21	80.3	21	55.8%	
Clay	10	23.7	7	26.8	3	9.6	3	15.9%	
Cocke	12	19.1	14	19.4	21	13.4	21	25.8%	
Coffee	21	80.0	20	26.8	14	80.9	14	43.1%	
Crockett	9	4.4	13	6.9	7	11.8	7	51.2%	
Cumberland	9	13.5	32	190.8	19	89.9	19	30.6%	
Davidson	107	647.0	111	932.5	243	1,883.8	243	54.4%	
Decatur	15	10.5	13	27.5	9	12.7	9	25.0%	
DeKalb	27	36.3	11	5.8	13	78.8	13	65.2%	
Dickson	30	275.3	18	58.8	11	45.5	11	12.0%	
Dyer	26	39.6	13	18.4	14	26.5	14	31.4%	
Fayette	34	51.3	4	5.8	6	24.1	6	29.7%	
Fentress	9	6.9	10	21.7	6	26.6	6	48.2%	
Franklin	15	35.5	18	7.3	17	39.4	17	47.9%	
Gibson	36	27.6	30	35.5	18	21.3	18	25.2%	
Giles	14	52.1	17	17.5	19	20.8	19	23.0%	
Grainger	13	34.9	7	12.7	6	4.1	6	8.0%	
Greene	34	62.5	24	14.2	15	36.1	15	32.0%	
Grundy	17	18.1	16	14.4	3	2.3	3	6.5%	
Hamblen	17	51.4	10	7.0	14	50.5	14	46.4%	
Hamilton	68	223.0	129	622.6	20	115.6	20	12.0%	
Hancock	11	3.2	6	2.8	6	3.1	6	33.7%	
Hardeman	27	12.4	36	96.1	14	18.1	14	14.3%	
Hardin	17	6.3	18	115.3	16	32.4	16	21.0%	

Table D-1b. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%
Hawkins	47	98.2	72.0%	16	15.5	19.5%	19	22.6	23.2%
Haywood	8	13.2	30.5%	14	18.5	42.4%	11	11.4	33.3%
Henderson	17	17.0	12.9%	32	58.1	45.7%	21	56.8	30.0%
Henry	11	8.9	33.0%	11	5.7	36.7%	8	12.5	26.7%
Hickman	21	157.9	91.7%	11	8.3	30.6%	4	5.9	11.1%
Houston	23	67.1	95.6%	9	2.1	25.7%	3	1.0	8.6%
Humphreys	22	87.2	65.6%	17	44.3	40.5%	3	1.5	7.1%
Jackson	16	96.6	80.7%	18	13.9	41.9%	9	9.2	20.9%
Jefferson	20	40.8	55.7%	14	19.8	26.9%	18	12.6	34.6%
Johnson	27	25.7	63.3%	10	7.9	23.3%	6	7.0	14.0%
Knox	103	459.9	43.6%	58	305.2	23.8%	83	288.6	34.0%
Lake	13	17.9	52.7%	5	14.8	25.0%	2	1.3	10.0%
Lauderdale	11	16.5	72.1%	6	6.3	33.3%	1	0.1	5.6%
Lawrence	20	50.4	53.9%	24	26.0	40.7%	15	17.1	25.4%
Lewis	19	26.8	90.5%	2	1.3	8.3%	3	1.5	12.5%
Lincoln	16	12.2	25.8%	15	17.7	33.3%	14	17.6	31.1%
Loudon	24	31.1	25.2%	18	35.7	29.0%	20	56.7	32.3%
McMinn	38	155.2	69.1%	21	55.7	29.2%	13	13.9	18.1%
McNairy	37	23.2	17.6%	38	37.8	40.9%	18	71.2	19.4%
Macon	13	14.8	19.9%	8	39.0	23.5%	13	20.6	38.2%
Madison	68	301.1	64.6%	45	55.9	25.9%	61	108.9	35.1%
Marion	22	28.3	41.2%	19	23.1	39.6%	7	17.3	14.6%
Marshall	23	37.6	44.7%	26	23.1	39.4%	17	23.3	25.8%
Maury	33	79.5	48.4%	33	43.8	36.3%	25	41.0	27.5%
Meigs	6	11.8	16.1%	13	19.9	56.5%	4	41.6	17.4%
Monroe	14	9.8	19.9%	16	17.7	39.0%	11	21.9	26.8%
Montgomery	60	209.1	37.5%	57	116.9	28.5%	83	231.3	41.5%
Moore	8	27.4	98.4%	2	0.4	20.0%	0	-	0.0%
Morgan	14	7.0	18.4%	4	17.7	14.3%	10	13.2	35.7%
Obion	21	9.6	25.1%	29	13.4	46.8%	12	15.2	19.4%
Overton	10	5.6	15.3%	6	7.0	27.3%	6	24.2	27.3%
Perry	8	7.1	37.2%	4	5.8	23.5%	5	6.2	29.4%
Pickett	8	2.4	25.2%	2	5.7	16.7%	2	1.6	16.7%
Polk	15	9.0	1.2%	12	762.0	40.0%	3	9.1	10.0%
Putnam	17	49.4	22.0%	37	86.2	49.3%	21	89.3	38.3%
Rhea	16	21.9	59.1%	11	5.9	32.4%	7	9.3	15.8%

Table D-1b. Total Public Infrastructure Needs by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%
Roane	34	37.0	29.5%	17	33.6	26.8%	34	54.9	43.7%
Robertson	32	163.4	70.9%	25	36.1	15.7%	19	30.9	13.4%
Rutherford	80	590.1	60.7%	50	164.2	16.9%	60	218.4	22.5%
Scott	8	10.7	19.1%	9	10.0	17.9%	17	35.4	63.0%
Sequatchie	12	5.9	9.3%	8	7.6	11.9%	3	50.1	78.8%
Sevier	52	229.2	53.3%	35	119.3	27.7%	39	81.6	19.0%
Shelby	121	495.6	14.5%	315	1,390.6	40.8%	238	1,523.1	44.7%
Smith	9	7.3	8.7%	21	20.1	24.0%	19	56.5	67.4%
Stewart	14	21.3	45.4%	11	25.2	53.7%	2	0.4	0.8%
Sullivan	93	123.6	39.3%	53	85.7	27.3%	47	105.1	33.4%
Sumner	82	321.6	55.1%	44	145.4	24.9%	41	116.4	19.9%
Tipton	42	55.7	67.2%	7	25.6	30.9%	3	1.6	1.9%
Trousdale	13	19.6	50.1%	6	8.0	20.4%	3	11.6	29.5%
Unicoi	34	31.3	49.6%	15	12.0	19.0%	16	19.9	31.4%
Union	8	32.6	73.3%	4	10.3	23.1%	7	1.6	3.5%
Van Buren	1	0.4	1.0%	11	31.3	77.8%	6	8.5	21.2%
Warren	5	5.8	7.1%	21	32.5	39.7%	14	43.7	53.3%
Washington	65	514.8	77.0%	40	123.1	18.4%	21	30.7	4.6%
Wayne	18	12.6	43.0%	13	4.2	14.3%	14	12.5	42.7%
Weakley	26	20.6	50.0%	27	10.0	24.2%	9	10.6	25.8%
White	2	20.3	78.0%	8	4.0	15.5%	7	1.7	6.5%
Williamson	121	411.5	54.2%	60	175.2	23.1%	66	172.9	22.8%
Wilson	33	224.9	42.8%	21	130.9	24.9%	28	170.3	32.4%
Areawide/Statewide	21	132.8	99.0%	2	0.5	0.4%	3	0.8	0.6%
Statewide Totals	2,632	\$ 8,009.9	35.7%	2,342	\$ 7,343.6	32.8%	1,993	\$ 7,064.5	31.5%

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	15	\$ 41,944,279	0.4%	93.8%	\$ 583
Bedford	17	77,615,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,928
Benton	4	971,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 59
Bledsoe	6	45,340,000	0.5%	88.2%	\$ 3,611
Blount	42	51,848,792	0.6%	53.2%	\$ 465
Bradley	40	74,025,000	0.8%	33.6%	\$ 820
Campbell	11	26,444,300	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 659
Cannon	12	2,637,800	0.0%	5.7%	\$ 200
Carroll	24	10,969,192	0.1%	4.6%	\$ 374
Carter	20	40,997,880	0.4%	71.5%	\$ 702
Cheatham	24	90,308,000	1.0%	2.1%	\$ 2,417
Chester	13	21,792,000	0.2%	76.2%	\$ 1,376
Claiborne	9	44,923,591	0.5%	11.2%	\$ 1,477
Clay	10	32,830,000	0.3%	21.9%	\$ 4,131
Cocke	22	21,459,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 625
Coffee	9	51,440,000	0.5%	1.9%	\$ 1,036
Crockett	9	4,928,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 340
Cumberland	23	127,065,000	1.4%	84.5%	\$ 2,573
Davidson	145	1,010,302,845	10.7%	98.0%	\$ 1,773
Decatur	12	26,778,392	0.3%	23.9%	\$ 2,306
DeKalb	12	74,004,000	0.8%	67.6%	\$ 4,103
Dickson	26	306,608,000	3.3%	0.0%	\$ 6,823
Dyer	16	7,185,000	0.1%	27.8%	\$ 193
Fayette	20	12,862,500	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 398
Fentress	12	44,425,000	0.5%	95.7%	\$ 2,623
Franklin	6	4,992,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 123
Gibson	35	39,035,863	0.4%	70.4%	\$ 815
Giles	11	10,791,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 367
Grainger	1	1,000,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 47
Greene	17	36,156,702	0.4%	3.3%	\$ 565
Grundy	12	11,952,200	0.1%	1.1%	\$ 831
Hamblen	9	4,596,608	0.0%	32.5%	\$ 78
Hamilton	104	344,364,878	3.7%	77.5%	\$ 1,113
Hancock	7	3,233,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 482
Hardeman	29	65,856,640	0.7%	64.9%	\$ 2,337
Hardin	23	123,364,213	1.3%	0.5%	\$ 4,758
Hawkins	25	88,826,800	0.9%	2.6%	\$ 1,614
Haywood	12	28,108,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,432
Henderson	33	90,711,519	1.0%	17.3%	\$ 3,502
Henry	9	2,961,000	0.0%	39.2%	\$ 95
Hickman	15	127,340,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 5,453
Houston	9	56,695,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 7,012
Humphreys	15	90,744,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 5,007
Jackson	18	94,281,000	1.0%	96.6%	\$ 8,412
Jefferson	10	19,557,000	0.2%	2.4%	\$ 417
Johnson	5	3,389,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 189
Knox	55	141,609,826	1.5%	66.1%	\$ 360
Lake	4	14,905,958	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,905

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Lauderdale	5	1,694,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 63
Lawrence	18	18,548,863	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 456
Lewis	8	4,020,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 351
Lincoln	8	5,005,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 158
Loudon	9	23,376,000	0.2%	15.4%	\$ 562
McMinn	23	165,238,692	1.8%	64.3%	\$ 3,264
McNairy	31	95,311,926	1.0%	16.8%	\$ 3,822
Macon	17	39,313,000	0.4%	93.0%	\$ 1,870
Madison	63	294,360,249	3.1%	81.2%	\$ 3,136
Marion	12	29,740,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,067
Marshall	7	7,605,000	0.1%	3.3%	\$ 276
Maurry	25	24,105,014	0.3%	59.5%	\$ 329
Meigs	10	60,058,984	0.6%	14.2%	\$ 5,255
Monroe	7	3,845,192	0.0%	2.7%	\$ 94
Montgomery	45	102,245,862	1.1%	94.7%	\$ 725
Morgan	6	2,347,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 117
Obion	23	8,994,159	0.1%	5.6%	\$ 278
Overton	13	13,236,413	0.1%	66.1%	\$ 657
Perry	5	10,292,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1,349
Pickett	6	1,327,000	0.0%	56.5%	\$ 265
Polk	5	751,382,000	8.0%	0.0%	\$ 46,465
Putnam	27	126,692,199	1.3%	96.9%	\$ 1,950
Rhea	10	6,327,700	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 216
Roane	16	26,201,990	0.3%	1.0%	\$ 500
Robertson	20	119,748,648	1.3%	2.9%	\$ 2,058
Rutherford	69	209,427,352	2.2%	70.3%	\$ 1,035
Scott	4	5,582,000	0.1%	71.7%	\$ 258
Sequatchie	6	51,305,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 4,290
Sevier	34	169,107,348	1.8%	45.1%	\$ 2,240
Shelby	243	1,668,212,424	17.7%	88.9%	\$ 1,841
Smith	16	31,272,000	0.3%	83.1%	\$ 1,716
Stewart	4	21,450,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,670
Sullivan	52	77,500,900	0.8%	74.5%	\$ 506
Sumner	59	326,066,244	3.5%	0.1%	\$ 2,350
Tipton	27	28,733,600	0.3%	1.4%	\$ 530
Trousdale	2	3,250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 436
Unicoi	12	33,007,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 1,864
Union	6	10,082,000	0.1%	2.5%	\$ 535
Van Buren	7	12,085,000	0.1%	88.5%	\$ 2,206
Warren	16	49,328,000	0.5%	82.2%	\$ 1,261
Washington	27	360,692,175	3.8%	96.8%	\$ 3,277
Wayne	16	10,421,996	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 615
Weakley	29	6,411,607	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 187
White	5	1,025,000	0.0%	48.8%	\$ 43
Williamson	71	382,831,879	4.1%	44.7%	\$ 2,709
Wilson	30	345,954,688	3.7%	18.2%	\$ 3,628
Areawide/Statewide	13	6,488,048	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 1
Statewide Total	2,184	9,405,427,930	100.0%	53.4%	\$ 1,610

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction				
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]			
Anderson	3	1.8	4.2%	5	33.3%	30.3	72.3%	7	46.7%	9.8	23.5%
Bedford	6	21.6	27.8%	10	58.8%	55.7	71.8%	1	5.9%	0.4	0.5%
Benton	0	0.0	0.0%	4	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Bledsoe	1	4.0	8.8%	4	66.7%	26.3	58.1%	1	16.7%	15.0	33.1%
Blount	19	37.6	72.6%	15	35.7%	13.1	25.3%	8	19.0%	1.1	2.1%
Bradley	5	1.6	2.1%	31	77.5%	71.1	96.1%	4	10.0%	1.3	1.8%
Campbell	3	9.1	34.3%	7	63.6%	16.5	62.3%	1	9.1%	0.9	3.4%
Cannon	2	0.6	22.7%	4	33.3%	1.4	52.6%	6	50.0%	0.7	24.7%
Carroll	3	1.7	15.7%	19	79.2%	8.7	79.5%	2	8.3%	0.5	4.7%
Carter	4	1.3	3.0%	11	55.0%	38.0	92.8%	5	25.0%	1.7	4.2%
Cheatham	10	51.8	57.4%	10	41.7%	29.8	33.0%	4	16.7%	8.7	9.6%
Chester	1	0.7	3.2%	3	23.1%	0.9	4.1%	9	69.2%	20.2	92.7%
Claiborne	0	0.0	0.0%	3	33.3%	17.5	39.0%	6	66.7%	27.4	61.0%
Clay	4	0.4	1.1%	4	40.0%	25.4	77.5%	2	20.0%	7.1	21.5%
Cocke	3	5.3	24.5%	9	40.9%	12.5	58.3%	10	45.5%	3.7	17.1%
Coffee	4	3.3	6.5%	3	33.3%	2.9	5.7%	2	22.2%	45.2	87.8%
Crockett	3	0.9	18.3%	3	33.3%	2.1	41.8%	3	33.3%	2.0	39.9%
Cumberland	4	7.3	5.7%	10	43.5%	91.2	71.8%	9	39.1%	28.5	22.4%
Davidson	15	116.2	11.5%	62	42.8%	267.3	26.5%	68	46.9%	626.7	62.0%
Decatur	2	0.7	2.7%	4	33.3%	16.9	63.1%	6	50.0%	9.2	34.3%
DeKalb	6	13.7	18.5%	2	16.7%	1.0	1.4%	4	33.3%	59.3	80.1%
Dickson	15	258.5	84.3%	9	34.6%	43.8	14.3%	2	7.7%	4.3	1.4%
Dyer	3	0.7	10.1%	6	37.5%	4.0	55.1%	7	43.8%	2.5	34.8%
Fayette	19	11.2	87.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	5.0%	1.7	12.8%
Fentress	4	3.0	6.8%	4	33.3%	15.3	34.4%	4	33.3%	26.1	58.8%
Franklin	0	0.0	0.0%	3	50.0%	0.9	18.5%	3	50.0%	4.1	81.5%
Gibson	7	3.3	8.5%	24	68.6%	30.3	77.6%	4	11.4%	5.5	14.0%
Giles	2	0.2	1.9%	6	54.5%	9.9	91.4%	3	27.3%	0.7	6.8%
Grainger	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Greene	3	12.5	34.6%	11	64.7%	7.2	19.9%	3	17.6%	16.5	45.6%
Grundy	3	1.8	14.9%	8	66.7%	10.1	84.5%	1	8.3%	0.1	0.6%
Hamblen	3	1.5	32.6%	3	33.3%	1.4	31.0%	3	33.3%	1.7	36.4%

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Hamilton	21	76.6	72	213.9	11	53.9
Hancock	3	0.6	2	1.1	2	1.6
Hardeman	5	1.9	17	51.4	7	12.6
Hardin	5	1.8	11	101.2	7	20.4
Hawkins	12	69.1	8	7.1	5	12.7
Haywood	5	11.9	6	13.0	1	3.2
Henderson	4	1.1	19	48.6	10	41.0
Henry	5	1.5	3	1.4	1	0.1
Hickman	8	123.5	7	3.8	0	0.0
Houston	4	55.5	4	0.9	1	0.3
Humphreys	7	56.5	8	34.3	0	0.0
Jackson	8	91.8	8	1.4	2	1.0
Jefferson	1	5.6	4	10.9	5	3.1
Johnson	2	2.1	3	1.3	0	0.0
Knox	19	45.5	17	59.0	19	37.1
Lake	2	1.5	2	13.4	0	0.0
Lauderdale	5	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lawrence	5	7.0	8	7.2	5	4.4
Lewis	6	3.5	1	0.3	1	0.2
Lincoln	1	0.3	3	1.5	4	3.2
Loudon	2	2.2	3	4.0	4	17.2
McMinn	12	118.7	7	45.0	4	1.5
McNairy	10	6.0	13	25.3	8	64.0
Macon	5	1.3	5	26.0	7	12.0
Madison	12	205.1	31	41.8	20	47.4
Marion	5	0.8	4	13.7	3	15.3
Marshall	3	0.4	2	3.2	2	4.0
Maury	5	5.6	12	15.2	8	3.4
Meigs	1	8.5	8	11.6	1	40.0
Monroe	3	0.7	3	3.1	1	0.1
Montgomery	9	19.5	8	22.9	28	59.9
Morgan	3	1.0	0	0.0	3	1.3

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Obion	5	21.7%	2.2	24.1%	17	73.9%	6.0	66.7%	1	4.3%	0.8	9.2%
Overton	5	38.5%	0.5	3.8%	5	38.5%	5.5	41.7%	3	23.1%	7.2	54.5%
Perry	2	40.0%	0.8	7.5%	2	40.0%	5.1	49.9%	1	20.0%	4.4	42.6%
Pickett	5	83.3%	1.3	96.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0.1	3.8%
Polk	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	80.0%	751.3	100.0%	1	20.0%	0.1	0.0%
Putnam	7	25.9%	15.6	12.3%	10	37.0%	71.2	56.2%	10	37.0%	40.0	31.5%
Rhea	3	30.0%	4.6	72.7%	6	60.0%	1.7	26.5%	1	10.0%	0.1	0.8%
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	7	43.8%	13.1	50.1%	9	56.3%	13.1	49.9%
Robertson	11	55.0%	108.0	90.1%	5	25.0%	3.0	2.5%	4	20.0%	8.8	7.3%
Rutherford	13	18.8%	42.9	20.5%	31	44.9%	111.2	53.1%	25	36.2%	55.3	26.4%
Scott	1	25.0%	0.5	9.5%	1	25.0%	0.3	5.4%	2	50.0%	4.8	85.1%
Sequatchie	1	16.7%	0.3	0.6%	2	33.3%	0.9	1.7%	3	50.0%	50.1	97.7%
Sevier	12	35.3%	114.2	67.6%	11	32.4%	17.2	10.1%	11	32.4%	37.7	22.3%
Shelby	38	15.6%	206.8	12.4%	134	55.1%	831.5	49.8%	71	29.2%	629.9	37.8%
Smith	4	25.0%	4.4	14.0%	10	62.5%	11.8	37.8%	2	12.5%	15.1	48.2%
Stewart	1	25.0%	8.4	39.0%	2	50.0%	13.0	60.6%	1	25.0%	0.1	0.4%
Sullivan	21	40.4%	16.4	21.1%	20	38.5%	48.8	63.0%	11	21.2%	12.3	15.9%
Sumner	32	54.2%	206.3	63.3%	14	23.7%	101.3	31.1%	13	22.0%	18.5	5.7%
Tipton	25	92.6%	13.4	46.8%	2	7.4%	15.3	53.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Trousdale	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	3.2	98.5%	1	50.0%	0.1	1.5%
Unicoi	6	50.0%	9.7	29.2%	3	25.0%	8.8	26.5%	3	25.0%	14.6	44.2%
Union	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	50.0%	9.7	96.5%	3	50.0%	0.4	3.5%
Van Buren	1	14.3%	0.4	3.3%	3	42.9%	11.2	93.0%	3	42.9%	0.4	3.6%
Warren	3	18.8%	1.5	3.0%	9	56.3%	10.2	20.6%	4	25.0%	37.7	76.4%
Washington	4	14.8%	282.0	78.2%	16	59.3%	72.3	20.1%	7	25.9%	6.4	1.8%
Wayne	9	56.3%	3.4	33.1%	3	18.8%	0.6	6.2%	4	25.0%	6.3	60.8%
Weakley	9	31.0%	2.1	32.8%	18	62.1%	3.8	59.5%	2	6.9%	0.5	7.6%
White	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	40.0%	0.9	85.4%	3	60.0%	0.2	14.6%
Williamson	31	43.7%	225.1	58.8%	21	29.6%	113.4	29.6%	19	26.8%	44.4	11.6%
Wilson	11	36.7%	158.6	45.9%	9	30.0%	79.2	22.9%	10	33.3%	108.1	31.2%
Areawide/Statewide	9	69.2%	5.6	86.2%	1	7.7%	0.1	1.5%	3	23.1%	0.8	12.3%
Statewide Total	624	28.6%	\$ 2,936.0	31.2%	968	44.3%	\$ 3,957.8	42.1%	592	27.1%	\$ 2,511.6	26.7%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3a. Other Utility Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	5	\$ 4,984,760	0.8%	93.5%	\$ 69
Bedford	2	3,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 75
Benton	1	817,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 50
Bledsoe	2	5,200,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 414
Blount	8	13,450,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 121
Carroll	1	979,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 33
Carter	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Chester	2	650,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 41
Clay	2	22,000,000	3.6%	90.9%	\$ 2,768
Cocke	11	16,795,000	2.8%	100.0%	\$ 489
Davidson	1	429,110,000	71.0%	100.0%	\$ 753
Decatur	1	1,250,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 108
Fayette	1	1,100,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 34
Franklin	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 25
Greene	4	1,975,000	0.3%	44.3%	\$ 31
Hancock	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 60
Hawkins	2	835,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 15
Henderson	2	1,650,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 64
Jackson	1	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 67
Lauderdale	1	3,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 129
Lawrence	3	2,374,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 58
Lincoln	1	3,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 110
Loudon	4	7,665,000	1.3%	19.6%	\$ 184
McNairy	2	1,400,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 56
Marion	2	844,600	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 30
Meigs	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 22
Montgomery	7	19,650,000	3.3%	100.0%	\$ 139
Putnam	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 15
Roane	4	2,895,000	0.5%	96.5%	\$ 55
Robertson	4	3,478,900	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 60
Rutherford	3	2,001,692	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 10
Sevier	1	37,800,000	6.3%	100.0%	\$ 501
Shelby	3	3,369,136	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 4
Stewart	1	2,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 156
Sumner	2	585,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 4
Tipton	1	1,400,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 26
Unicoi	3	1,300,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 73
Washington	2	1,388,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 13
Wayne	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 32
Williamson	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Wilson	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 10
Statewide Total	99	\$ 604,097,088	100.0%	94.3%	\$ 103

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3b. Other Utility Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Anderson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0.2	4.0%	4	80.0%	4.8	96.0%
Bedford	1	50.0%	1.5	50.0%	1	50.0%	1.5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Benton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%
Bledsoe	1	50.0%	0.2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	5.0	96.2%
Blount	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	25.0%	6.5	48.3%	6	75.0%	7.0	51.7%
Carroll	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Carter	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Chester	2	100.0%	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Clay	2	100.0%	22.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cocke	5	45.5%	8.0	47.3%	3	27.3%	5.1	30.5%	3	27.3%	3.7	22.1%
Davidson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	429.1	100.0%
Decatur	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.3	100.0%
Fayette	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.1	100.0%
Franklin	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Greene	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1.2	59.5%	1	25.0%	0.8	40.5%
Hancock	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%
Hawkins	1	50.0%	0.1	10.2%	1	50.0%	0.8	89.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henderson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.7	100.0%
Jackson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lauderdale	1	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lawrence	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1.1	47.3%	1	33.3%	1.3	52.7%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Loudon	2	50.0%	4.4	56.9%	1	25.0%	1.5	19.6%	1	25.0%	1.8	23.5%
McNairy	1	50.0%	0.4	28.6%	1	50.0%	1.0	71.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Marion	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Meigs	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	7	100.0%	19.7	100.0%
Putnam	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Roane	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0.1	3.5%	3	75.0%	2.8	96.5%
Robertson	1	25.0%	1.3	37.4%	1	25.0%	0.4	10.8%	2	50.0%	1.8	51.9%
Rutherford	3	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	37.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-3b. Other Utility Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Shelby	0	0.0	1	0.7	2	2.7
Stewart	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sumner	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tipton	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.4
Unicoi	3	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Washington	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.4
Wayne	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
Williamson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Wilson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.0
Statewide Total	30	\$ 49.4	24	\$ 63.2	45	\$ 491.4
			8.2%	10.5%	45.5%	81.3%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-4a. Navigation Projects by County
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP**
*Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Decatur	1	\$ 4,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 345
Hamilton	1	300,000,000	84.0%	100.0%	\$ 969
Lake	1	14,200,000	4.0%	0.0%	\$ 1,815
Shelby	1	38,929,977	10.9%	100.0%	\$ 43
Smith	1	200,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 11
Statewide Total	5	\$ 357,329,977	100.0%	94.9%	\$ 61

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

** Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-4b. Navigation Projects by County and by Stage of Development
*Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Decatur	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Smith	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide Total	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%
		\$ 18.4		\$ 300.0		\$ 38.9
		5.1%		84.0%		10.9%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-5a. Telecommunication Projects by County
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Cannon	2	\$ 200,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 15
Carter	1	750,000	2.1%	100.0%	\$ 13
Cumberland	2	500,000	1.4%	100.0%	\$ 10
Dyer	1	500,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 13
Fentress	2	800,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 47
Hamblen	1	1,500,000	4.2%	100.0%	\$ 25
Johnson	1	275,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 15
Lincoln	1	3,000,000	8.4%	0.0%	\$ 94
Macon	1	300,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 14
Madison	1	414,000	1.2%	100.0%	\$ 4
Montgomery	1	225,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 2
Pickett	1	600,000	1.7%	100.0%	\$ 120
Putnam	4	5,700,000	15.9%	100.0%	\$ 88
Shelby	7	18,623,675	52.0%	100.0%	\$ 21
Smith	4	800,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 44
Sullivan	1	185,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 1
Warren	4	1,100,000	3.1%	100.0%	\$ 28
Washington	1	160,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 1
White	1	200,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 8
Statewide Total	37	\$ 35,832,675	100.0%	88.5%	\$ 6

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-5b. Telecommunication Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Cannon	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Carter	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cumberland	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Dyer	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Fentress	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Johnson	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Macon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Madison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Pickett	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%
Shelby	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%
Smith	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
Sullivan	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Warren	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%
Washington	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide Total	10	27.0%	16	43.2%	11	29.7%
		\$ 8.1		\$ 15.3		\$ 12.5
				42.7%		34.8%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-6. Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County*Number and Estimated Cost**Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Schools with Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	28	\$ 12,504,702	0.6%	\$ 174
Bedford	2	15,165,000	0.8%	\$ 377
Benton	8	695,372	0.0%	\$ 42
Bledsoe	3	3,380,000	0.2%	\$ 269
Blount	22	12,587,410	0.6%	\$ 113
Bradley	21	27,801,300	1.4%	\$ 308
Campbell	4	797,000	0.0%	\$ 20
Cannon	6	3,657,346	0.2%	\$ 277
Carroll	12	3,112,824	0.2%	\$ 106
Carter	6	965,000	0.0%	\$ 17
Cheatham	8	84,000	0.0%	\$ 2
Chester	3	200,000	0.0%	\$ 13
Claiborne	4	420,000	0.0%	\$ 14
Clay	2	20,000	0.0%	\$ 3
Cocke	3	2,255,000	0.1%	\$ 66
Coffee	18	27,126,700	1.3%	\$ 546
Crockett	5	1,803,000	0.1%	\$ 124
Cumberland	9	1,329,500	0.1%	\$ 27
Davidson	123	363,313,200	18.0%	\$ 638
Decatur	1	50,000	0.0%	\$ 4
DeKalb	5	1,425,400	0.1%	\$ 79
Dickson	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Dyer	8	1,900,584	0.1%	\$ 51
Fayette	8	266,700	0.0%	\$ 8
Fentress	7	2,300,000	0.1%	\$ 136
Franklin	1	1,600,000	0.1%	\$ 39
Gibson	14	3,310,600	0.2%	\$ 69
Giles	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Grainger	6	915,000	0.0%	\$ 43
Greene	22	1,801,370	0.1%	\$ 28
Grundy	7	7,597,400	0.4%	\$ 528
Hamblen	16	1,561,556	0.1%	\$ 27
Hamilton	69	39,911,800	2.0%	\$ 129
Hancock	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Hardeman	1	100,000	0.0%	\$ 4
Hardin	4	1,650,000	0.1%	\$ 64
Hawkins	15	11,341,528	0.6%	\$ 206
Haywood	2	3,825,000	0.2%	\$ 195
Henderson	6	1,740,000	0.1%	\$ 67
Henry	6	1,305,000	0.1%	\$ 42
Hickman	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Houston	1	45,000	0.0%	\$ 6
Humphreys	5	455,000	0.0%	\$ 25
Jackson	4	1,099,400	0.1%	\$ 98
Jefferson	3	204,000	0.0%	\$ 4
Johnson	4	697,250	0.0%	\$ 39
Knox	87	153,667,150	7.6%	\$ 391
Lake	3	17,163,756	0.9%	\$ 2,194

Table D-6. Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County *(continued)*

County	Number of Schools with Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita
Lauderdale	1	4,800,000	0.2%	\$ 177
Lawrence	2	1,800,000	0.1%	\$ 44
Lewis	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Lincoln	1	50,000	0.0%	\$ 2
Loudon	4	980,000	0.0%	\$ 24
McMinn	8	12,952,915	0.6%	\$ 256
McNairy	2	710,000	0.0%	\$ 28
Macon	7	8,779,000	0.4%	\$ 418
Madison	22	5,063,950	0.3%	\$ 54
Marion	7	12,357,200	0.6%	\$ 443
Marshall	7	1,100,000	0.1%	\$ 40
Maury	1	100,000	0.0%	\$ 1
Meigs	4	807,000	0.0%	\$ 71
Monroe	11	641,500	0.0%	\$ 16
Montgomery	6	22,839,200	1.1%	\$ 162
Moore	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Morgan	7	210,000	0.0%	\$ 10
Obion	3	5,372,000	0.3%	\$ 166
Overton	7	311,000	0.0%	\$ 15
Perry	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Pickett	2	1,320,000	0.1%	\$ 264
Polk	6	2,990,000	0.1%	\$ 185
Putnam	16	15,050,733	0.7%	\$ 232
Rhea	5	4,500,000	0.2%	\$ 154
Roane	13	7,066,000	0.4%	\$ 135
Robertson	0	0	0.0%	\$ 0
Rutherford	36	18,362,946	0.9%	\$ 91
Scott	7	15,422,851	0.8%	\$ 712
Sequatchie	2	2,466,000	0.1%	\$ 206
Sevier	20	10,849,916	0.5%	\$ 144
Shelby	226	1,026,697,020	51.0%	\$ 1,133
Smith	8	643,000	0.0%	\$ 35
Stewart	2	2,180,000	0.1%	\$ 170
Sullivan	43	35,403,050	1.8%	\$ 231
Sumner	34	9,601,400	0.5%	\$ 69
Tipton	13	5,515,632	0.3%	\$ 102
Trousdale	2	120,000	0.0%	\$ 16
Unicoi	6	1,472,050	0.1%	\$ 83
Union	6	3,263,615	0.2%	\$ 173
Van Buren	1	5,000	0.0%	\$ 1
Warren	10	4,265,800	0.2%	\$ 109
Washington	22	10,300,440	0.5%	\$ 94
Wayne	7	1,460,000	0.1%	\$ 86
Weakley	6	5,970,000	0.3%	\$ 174
White	7	937,000	0.0%	\$ 40
Williamson	35	15,371,725	0.8%	\$ 109
Wilson	10	1,521,000	0.1%	\$ 16
Statewide Total	1,237	\$ 2,014,779,791	100.0%	\$ 345

*Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7a. New Public School Construction Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	3	\$ 1,245,000	0.1%	67.9%	\$ 17
Bedford	6	43,800,000	2.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,088
Blount	10	95,920,000	5.7%	71.1%	\$ 860
Bradley	1	12,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 133
Campbell	4	17,500,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 436
Cannon	2	20,657,035	1.2%	67.3%	\$ 1,564
Claiborne	2	36,000,000	2.1%	0.0%	\$ 1,184
Clay	2	2,660,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 335
Coffee	2	25,200,000	1.5%	68.3%	\$ 508
Crockett	2	9,000,000	0.5%	50.0%	\$ 621
Cumberland	2	36,210,000	2.1%	100.0%	\$ 733
Davidson	15	123,789,000	7.3%	100.0%	\$ 217
Dickson	2	8,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 178
Dyer	1	11,000,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 295
Fayette	1	14,500,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 449
Franklin	2	25,300,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 625
Gibson	2	10,500,000	0.6%	81.0%	\$ 219
Giles	1	5,889,280	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 200
Grainger	1	20,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 933
Greene	1	13,500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 211
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 425
Hamilton	1	11,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 36
Henderson	3	15,000,000	0.9%	76.7%	\$ 579
Henry	1	9,400,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 301
Hickman	1	18,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 771
Johnson	2	350,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 20
Knox	11	128,713,132	7.6%	73.6%	\$ 328
Loudon	1	2,100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 50
Macon	1	8,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 381
Madison	6	32,300,000	1.9%	81.4%	\$ 344
Marion	1	14,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 520
Marshall	3	20,800,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 755
Maury	3	37,233,000	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 509
Monroe	4	22,432,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 546
Montgomery	6	31,105,840	1.8%	100.0%	\$ 221
Moore	1	2,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 338
Morgan	1	5,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 264
Obion	1	1,600,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 49
Overton	1	14,500,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 720
Polk	1	8,500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 526
Putnam	1	33,000,000	2.0%	100.0%	\$ 508
Rhea	2	6,240,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 213
Roane	5	13,700,000	0.8%	25.5%	\$ 261
Robertson	8	34,620,000	2.0%	100.0%	\$ 595

Table D-7a. New Public School Construction Projects by County *(continued)*

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Rutherford	16	227,982,202	13.5%	69.7%	\$ 1,127
Scott	4	12,600,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 581
Sevier	15	42,405,000	2.5%	100.0%	\$ 562
Shelby	8	41,864,526	2.5%	76.8%	\$ 46
Smith	3	27,476,500	1.6%	100.0%	\$ 1,508
Stewart	1	7,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 545
Sumner	7	80,216,585	4.7%	73.5%	\$ 578
Tipton	3	25,000,000	1.5%	32.0%	\$ 461
Trousdale	1	8,500,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 1,141
Warren	1	1,500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 38
Washington	3	54,000,000	3.2%	0.0%	\$ 491
Williamson	10	118,500,000	7.0%	20.9%	\$ 839
Wilson	2	15,350,000	0.9%	47.9%	\$ 161
Statewide Total	202	\$ 1,690,459,100	100.0%	55.0%	\$ 289

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7b. New Public School Construction Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$
Anderson	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Bedford	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%
Blount	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%
Bradley	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Campbell	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cannon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Claiborne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Clay	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Coffee	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Crockett	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Cumberland	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	5	33.3%	2	13.3%	8	53.3%
Dickson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Dyer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Fayette	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Franklin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Gibson	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Giles	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Grainger	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Hamblen	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Henderson	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Henry	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Hickman	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Knox	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%
Loudon	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Macon	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Madison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Marion	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%

Table D-7b. New Public School Construction Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Maury	2	26.0	1	11.2	0	0.0
Monroe	0	0.0	1	6.4	3	16.0
Montgomery	2	15.5	4	15.6	0	0.0
Moore	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Morgan	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.3
Obion	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.6
Overton	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.5
Polk	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.5
Putnam	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.0
Rhea	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	6.2
Roane	1	4.0	0	0.0	4	9.7
Robertson	5	20.3	1	3.3	2	11.0
Rutherford	10	147.5	1	11.5	5	69.0
Scott	3	8.4	1	4.2	0	0.0
Sevier	8	25.6	3	8.2	4	8.6
Shelby	1	9.5	1	1.6	6	30.8
Smith	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	27.5
Stewart	0	0.0	1	7.0	0	0.0
Sumner	2	19.7	1	11.0	4	49.5
Tipton	2	17.0	1	8.0	0	0.0
Trousdale	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.5
Warren	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Washington	3	54.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Williamson	4	42.5	1	8.5	5	67.5
Wilson	0	0.0	1	8.0	1	7.4
Statewide Total	81	\$ 746.8	34	\$ 255.1	87	\$ 688.6

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-8a. Non-K-12 Education Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Blount	2	\$ 21,020,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 189
Bradley	2	340,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Campbell	1	4,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 100
Clay	1	160,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 20
Cumberland	2	5,410,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 110
Davidson	15	60,217,408	4.0%	0.0%	\$ 106
Dickson	1	6,610,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 147
Dyer	12	33,189,200	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 890
Franklin	2	8,050,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 199
Grainger	1	850,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 40
Greene	1	495,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 8
Hamblen	9	22,529,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 383
Hamilton	14	113,280,000	7.5%	0.0%	\$ 366
Henry	2	1,603,318	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 51
Humphreys	1	20,000,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,104
Knox	42	245,146,427	16.2%	0.0%	\$ 624
Lawrence	1	1,400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 34
Lincoln	1	5,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 167
Madison	6	21,890,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 233
Marion	1	200,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Marshall	1	1,200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 44
Maury	5	25,610,000	1.7%	0.0%	\$ 350
Montgomery	14	89,515,000	5.9%	0.0%	\$ 635
Moore	3	15,405,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 2,606
Putnam	6	24,795,700	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 382
Roane	3	3,237,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 62
Rutherford	18	343,292,136	22.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,697
Shelby	27	239,897,440	15.8%	1.3%	\$ 265
Sullivan	10	57,500,000	3.8%	1.9%	\$ 376
Sumner	5	20,675,000	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 149
Tipton	1	5,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 102
Trousdale	1	3,870,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 520
Warren	1	2,830,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 72
Washington	9	24,180,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 220
Weakley	7	13,790,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 402
Williamson	1	18,330,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 130
Areawide/Statewide	7	56,215,234	3.7%	0.0%	\$ 10
Statewide Total	236	\$ 1,517,532,863	100.0%	0.3%	\$ 260

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9a. School System-wide Needs Projects by County
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP**
*Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 6,500,000	18.5%	0.0%	\$ 90
Davidson	5	3,943,000	11.2%	93.3%	\$ 7
Fentress	1	1,690,512	4.8%	0.0%	\$ 100
Gibson	2	680,000	1.9%	41.2%	\$ 14
Hamblen	1	400,000	1.1%	100.0%	\$ 7
Henry	1	200,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 6
Jackson	1	1,500,000	4.3%	100.0%	\$ 134
Johnson	1	225,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 13
Knox	2	4,450,000	12.6%	0.0%	\$ 11
McMinn	1	250,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 5
Macon	1	500,000	1.4%	100.0%	\$ 24
Madison	2	1,665,000	4.7%	0.0%	\$ 18
Maury	1	5,000,000	14.2%	0.0%	\$ 68
Meigs	1	85,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 7
Moore	1	2,500,000	7.1%	0.0%	\$ 423
Roane	1	780,855	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 15
Rutherford	1	180,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 1
Sequatchie	2	1,100,000	3.1%	0.0%	\$ 92
Sevier	1	200,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 3
Sullivan	1	2,500,000	7.1%	100.0%	\$ 16
Van Buren	1	861,000	2.4%	0.0%	\$ 157
Statewide Total	29	\$ 35,210,367	100.0%	28.5%	\$ 6

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10a. Water and Wastewater Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	20	\$ 29,962,000	0.9%	72.3%	\$ 417
Bedford	22	32,979,427	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 819
Benton	5	4,031,000	0.1%	24.8%	\$ 244
Bledsoe	10	12,320,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 981
Blount	16	67,701,817	2.0%	48.7%	\$ 607
Bradley	41	29,212,756	0.9%	85.7%	\$ 324
Campbell	17	17,455,000	0.5%	48.5%	\$ 435
Cannon	2	1,500,000	0.0%	33.3%	\$ 114
Carroll	10	7,785,000	0.2%	10.9%	\$ 265
Carter	34	54,975,000	1.6%	66.0%	\$ 941
Cheatham	14	17,574,376	0.5%	4.6%	\$ 470
Chester	6	3,400,000	0.1%	94.1%	\$ 215
Claiborne	17	24,397,375	0.7%	31.9%	\$ 802
Clay	2	1,150,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 145
Cocke	8	4,278,000	0.1%	45.1%	\$ 125
Coffee	28	38,141,112	1.1%	15.6%	\$ 768
Crockett	10	6,097,225	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 421
Cumberland	7	100,800,000	3.0%	100.0%	\$ 2,041
Davidson	81	407,741,000	12.2%	84.3%	\$ 716
Decatur	7	7,380,000	0.2%	68.4%	\$ 636
DeKalb	16	22,800,000	0.7%	85.7%	\$ 1,264
Dickson	8	35,929,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 800
Dyer	7	6,280,000	0.2%	42.5%	\$ 168
Fayette	10	34,670,000	1.0%	22.5%	\$ 1,074
Fentress	2	3,250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 192
Franklin	18	32,833,555	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 810
Gibson	15	11,858,241	0.4%	4.2%	\$ 247
Giles	20	62,127,550	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 2,114
Grainger	12	17,210,000	0.5%	28.2%	\$ 803
Greene	24	39,417,597	1.2%	44.9%	\$ 616
Grundy	14	18,763,000	0.6%	16.0%	\$ 1,304
Hamblen	8	21,530,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 366
Hamilton	23	23,800,931	0.7%	15.8%	\$ 77
Hancock	7	3,754,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 560
Hardeman	12	9,265,000	0.3%	86.5%	\$ 329
Hardin	12	14,792,525	0.4%	93.4%	\$ 571
Hawkins	30	29,811,450	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 542
Haywood	9	8,625,000	0.3%	14.1%	\$ 439
Henderson	18	16,982,000	0.5%	96.0%	\$ 656
Henry	4	3,282,925	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 105
Hickman	9	8,981,071	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 385
Houston	13	10,695,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 1,323
Humphreys	8	6,875,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 379
Jackson	7	10,353,000	0.3%	85.5%	\$ 924
Jefferson	23	23,095,441	0.7%	63.3%	\$ 492
Johnson	18	15,841,200	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 883
Knox	48	159,320,508	4.8%	96.8%	\$ 405
Lake	8	3,442,000	0.1%	14.5%	\$ 440

Table D-10a. Water and Wastewater Projects by County (continued)

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Lauderdale	4	9,540,000	0.3%	21.4%	\$ 352
Lawrence	21	33,776,530	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 830
Lewis	6	7,500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 656
Lincoln	25	20,469,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 644
Loudon	27	52,987,000	1.6%	56.6%	\$ 1,273
McMinn	18	15,673,313	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 310
McNairy	23	22,160,000	0.7%	71.5%	\$ 889
Macon	5	18,275,000	0.5%	96.2%	\$ 869
Madison	71	71,256,550	2.1%	98.7%	\$ 759
Marion	19	20,061,602	0.6%	15.0%	\$ 720
Marshall	39	26,790,000	0.8%	56.2%	\$ 973
Mauzy	21	47,476,000	1.4%	72.8%	\$ 649
Meigs	6	4,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 350
Monroe	13	9,256,608	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 225
Montgomery	59	153,445,000	4.6%	90.3%	\$ 1,088
Moore	3	6,866,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,162
Morgan	10	25,623,000	0.8%	13.2%	\$ 1,276
Obion	13	15,335,000	0.5%	2.6%	\$ 474
Overton	2	3,500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 174
Perry	5	3,390,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 444
Pickett	1	1,500,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 300
Polk	15	11,774,250	0.4%	16.1%	\$ 728
Putnam	10	12,900,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 199
Rhea	12	11,966,200	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 409
Roane	28	46,924,000	1.4%	43.9%	\$ 895
Robertson	20	53,279,500	1.6%	76.8%	\$ 916
Rutherford	46	130,776,552	3.9%	69.1%	\$ 646
Scott	10	17,350,000	0.5%	37.8%	\$ 800
Sequatchie	11	10,350,250	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 866
Sevier	48	100,705,843	3.0%	31.6%	\$ 1,334
Shelby	32	135,654,098	4.1%	100.0%	\$ 150
Smith	9	10,170,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 558
Stewart	11	10,600,000	0.3%	21.5%	\$ 825
Sullivan	71	120,753,261	3.6%	80.5%	\$ 789
Sumner	46	89,399,502	2.7%	19.3%	\$ 644
Tipton	15	18,152,880	0.5%	77.6%	\$ 335
Trousdale	10	13,520,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 1,815
Unicoi	28	12,814,617	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 724
Union	3	28,045,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 1,489
Van Buren	2	15,500,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 2,829
Warren	9	10,906,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 279
Washington	47	133,254,500	4.0%	77.2%	\$ 1,211
Wayne	7	3,082,400	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 182
Weakley	12	12,605,952	0.4%	29.2%	\$ 367
White	3	22,000,000	0.7%	9.1%	\$ 933
Williamson	90	112,214,390	3.4%	82.2%	\$ 794
Wilson	27	95,898,306	2.9%	17.3%	\$ 1,006
Statewide Total	1,773	3,333,945,186	100.0%	58.8%	\$ 571

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10b. Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Anderson	5	25.0%	4.8	16.0%	6	30.0%	17.4	58.1%	9	45.0%	7.8	25.9%
Bedford	7	31.8%	14.0	42.5%	8	36.4%	6.5	19.7%	7	31.8%	12.5	37.8%
Benton	1	20.0%	0.5	12.4%	2	40.0%	1.3	32.3%	2	40.0%	2.2	55.3%
Bledsoe	8	80.0%	10.5	85.4%	2	20.0%	1.8	14.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Blount	2	12.5%	15.5	22.9%	3	18.8%	24.3	35.9%	11	68.8%	27.9	41.2%
Bradley	20	48.8%	8.3	28.4%	18	43.9%	6.2	21.1%	3	7.3%	14.7	50.5%
Campbell	3	17.6%	1.9	10.9%	9	52.9%	8.9	50.7%	5	29.4%	6.7	38.4%
Cannon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.5	100.0%
Carroll	4	40.0%	3.4	44.1%	4	40.0%	3.7	47.2%	2	20.0%	0.7	8.7%
Carter	12	35.3%	42.1	76.6%	13	38.2%	5.8	10.5%	9	26.5%	7.1	12.9%
Cheatham	8	57.1%	5.6	31.9%	1	7.1%	2.0	11.4%	5	35.7%	10.0	56.7%
Chester	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	5	83.3%	2.6	76.5%	1	16.7%	0.8	23.5%
Claiborne	4	23.5%	11.7	48.0%	6	35.3%	3.5	14.4%	7	41.2%	9.2	37.6%
Clay	1	50.0%	0.2	13.0%	1	50.0%	1.0	87.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cocke	1	12.5%	1.5	35.1%	1	12.5%	0.6	14.0%	6	75.0%	2.2	50.9%
Coffee	10	35.7%	11.2	29.4%	10	35.7%	6.3	16.6%	8	28.6%	20.6	54.0%
Crockett	3	30.0%	2.8	45.9%	6	60.0%	2.8	45.9%	1	10.0%	0.5	8.2%
Cumberland	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	42.9%	46.5	46.1%	4	57.1%	54.3	53.9%
Davidson	17	21.0%	81.0	19.9%	8	9.9%	46.8	11.5%	56	69.1%	279.9	68.7%
Decatur	1	14.3%	0.5	6.8%	4	57.1%	4.6	62.3%	2	28.6%	2.3	30.9%
DeKalb	1	6.3%	0.3	1.1%	7	43.8%	3.5	15.4%	8	50.0%	19.1	83.6%
Dickson	3	37.5%	3.2	8.8%	2	25.0%	1.5	4.2%	3	37.5%	31.3	87.0%
Dyer	3	42.9%	1.0	15.9%	1	14.3%	1.0	15.8%	3	42.9%	4.3	68.3%
Fayette	6	60.0%	22.7	65.4%	2	20.0%	5.5	15.9%	2	20.0%	6.5	18.7%
Fentress	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	3.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Franklin	5	27.8%	21.0	63.8%	8	44.4%	5.3	16.1%	5	27.8%	6.6	20.1%
Gibson	8	53.3%	3.3	27.6%	2	13.3%	3.8	32.0%	5	33.3%	4.8	40.3%
Giles	6	30.0%	47.7	76.8%	5	25.0%	5.7	9.2%	9	45.0%	8.7	14.0%
Grainger	8	66.7%	10.9	63.0%	2	16.7%	4.5	26.1%	2	16.7%	1.9	10.8%
Greene	11	45.8%	29.3	74.2%	6	25.0%	5.2	13.3%	7	29.2%	4.9	12.5%
Grundy	8	57.1%	15.3	81.6%	6	42.9%	3.5	18.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0.5	2.3%	7	87.5%	21.0	97.7%

Table D-10b. Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Hamilton	10	4.8	8	9.1	5	9.8
Hancock	3	1.7	2	1.1	2	1.0
Hardeman	2	1.0	6	4.5	4	3.8
Hardin	4	2.9	0	0.0	8	11.9
Hawkins	17	15.6	5	6.3	8	7.9
Haywood	2	1.1	2	0.7	5	6.9
Henderson	3	5.1	8	6.7	7	5.2
Henry	0	0.0	2	2.1	2	1.2
Hickman	3	1.3	2	1.8	4	5.9
Houston	10	9.4	1	0.6	2	0.7
Humphreys	4	3.1	4	3.8	0	0.0
Jackson	1	1.5	3	2.8	3	6.1
Jefferson	7	7.7	6	8.3	10	7.1
Johnson	11	11.2	5	3.6	2	1.0
Knox	4	4.5	15	48.9	29	105.9
Lake	6	1.7	1	0.6	1	1.2
Lauderdale	1	6.0	3	3.5	0	0.0
Lawrence	5	20.9	10	11.9	6	1.1
Lewis	4	5.5	1	1.0	1	1.0
Lincoln	11	9.7	8	3.9	6	6.8
Loudon	11	15.1	6	20.9	10	17.1
McMinn	9	8.3	7	6.2	2	1.3
McNairy	8	11.1	10	7.6	5	3.5
Macon	1	0.7	2	10.1	2	7.5
Madison	40	51.4	5	3.3	26	16.6
Marion	7	10.3	8	7.7	4	2.1
Marshall	11	9.8	19	13.0	9	3.9
Mauzy	5	4.8	7	10.9	9	31.8
Meigs	2	2.3	2	0.6	2	1.1
Monroe	1	0.6	6	3.7	6	5.0
Montgomery	12	43.3	29	53.7	18	56.4
Moore	2	6.5	1	0.4	0	0.0

Table D-10b. Water and Wastewater Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)

Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Morgan	4	40.0%	3.3	12.9%	3	30.0%	17.2	67.3%	3	30.0%	5.1	19.8%
Obion	2	15.4%	0.4	2.6%	5	38.5%	5.5	36.1%	6	46.2%	9.4	61.3%
Overton	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1.5	42.9%	1	50.0%	2.0	57.1%
Perry	1	20.0%	1.5	44.2%	1	20.0%	0.6	16.8%	3	60.0%	1.3	38.9%
Pickett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.5	100.0%
Polk	11	73.3%	8.1	68.9%	3	20.0%	3.1	26.1%	1	6.7%	0.6	5.0%
Putnam	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	6	60.0%	1.4	10.9%	4	40.0%	11.5	89.1%
Rhea	7	58.3%	7.0	58.6%	3	25.0%	3.9	32.2%	2	16.7%	1.1	9.2%
Roane	12	42.9%	17.6	37.6%	4	14.3%	14.0	29.7%	12	42.9%	15.3	32.7%
Robertson	6	30.0%	28.4	53.3%	9	45.0%	19.1	35.8%	5	25.0%	5.8	10.8%
Rutherford	14	30.4%	27.8	21.3%	10	21.7%	20.2	15.4%	22	47.8%	82.8	63.3%
Scott	2	20.0%	1.3	7.2%	3	30.0%	4.5	25.6%	5	50.0%	11.7	67.1%
Sequatchie	7	63.6%	4.4	42.8%	4	36.4%	5.9	57.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	17	35.4%	18.3	18.2%	17	35.4%	55.3	54.9%	14	29.2%	27.1	26.9%
Shelby	4	12.5%	10.7	7.9%	13	40.6%	35.0	25.8%	15	46.9%	90.0	66.3%
Smith	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	33.3%	6.0	59.0%	6	66.7%	4.2	41.0%
Stewart	6	54.5%	6.6	61.8%	5	45.5%	4.1	38.2%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Sullivan	28	39.4%	18.0	14.9%	20	28.2%	30.2	25.0%	23	32.4%	72.6	60.1%
Sumner	16	34.8%	33.2	37.1%	12	26.1%	14.9	16.6%	18	39.1%	41.4	46.3%
Tipton	11	73.3%	16.0	88.4%	3	20.0%	2.0	11.2%	1	6.7%	0.1	0.5%
Trousdale	7	70.0%	9.2	68.2%	2	20.0%	1.3	9.6%	1	10.0%	3.0	22.2%
Unicoi	16	57.1%	7.6	59.5%	6	21.4%	1.9	15.0%	6	21.4%	3.3	25.5%
Union	1	33.3%	27.0	96.3%	1	33.3%	0.5	1.9%	1	33.3%	0.5	1.8%
Van Buren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	8.0	51.6%	1	50.0%	7.5	48.4%
Warren	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	4	44.4%	6.2	56.4%	5	55.6%	4.8	43.6%
Washington	28	59.6%	85.1	63.8%	14	29.8%	34.2	25.6%	5	10.6%	14.1	10.5%
Wayne	1	14.3%	0.6	17.8%	4	57.1%	2.3	74.0%	2	28.6%	0.3	8.1%
Weakley	3	25.0%	1.1	8.3%	4	33.3%	1.9	15.3%	5	41.7%	9.6	76.4%
White	1	33.3%	20.0	90.9%	2	66.7%	2.0	9.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Williamson	50	55.6%	51.9	46.2%	19	21.1%	34.9	31.1%	21	23.3%	25.4	22.7%
Wilson	10	37.0%	34.0	35.5%	4	14.8%	9.0	9.4%	13	48.1%	52.9	55.1%
Statewide Total	648	36.5%	\$ 1,087.7	32.6%	534	30.1%	\$ 841.3	25.2%	591	33.3%	\$ 1,405.0	42.1%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-11a. Law Enforcement Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Bledsoe	4	\$ 5,150,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 410
Blount	1	5,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 45
Bradley	4	24,908,000	2.6%	89.5%	\$ 276
Campbell	1	9,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 224
Carroll	2	1,590,000	0.2%	94.3%	\$ 54
Carter	3	8,060,000	0.9%	24.8%	\$ 138
Cheatham	2	2,500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 67
Chester	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 126
Claiborne	3	23,473,000	2.5%	0.0%	\$ 772
Cocke	1	3,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 87
Coffee	5	48,140,800	5.1%	0.0%	\$ 970
Cumberland	1	90,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Davidson	28	85,024,425	9.0%	31.9%	\$ 149
Decatur	1	400,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 34
Dickson	2	9,000,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 200
Dyer	3	8,820,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 236
Fayette	4	13,830,000	1.5%	94.0%	\$ 428
Fentress	1	2,500,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 148
Franklin	3	2,750,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 68
Gibson	4	3,750,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 78
Grainger	1	6,500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 303
Greene	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 31
Hamblen	1	1,000,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 17
Hamilton	6	13,093,530	1.4%	0.0%	\$ 42
Hardeman	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 71
Hardin	2	7,080,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 273
Hawkins	2	1,350,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Haywood	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 102
Henderson	1	800,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 31
Hickman	5	11,005,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 471
Jackson	1	5,500,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 491
Jefferson	6	24,510,000	2.6%	0.0%	\$ 522
Johnson	4	7,540,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 420
Knox	3	49,768,598	5.3%	100.0%	\$ 127
Lauderdale	1	370,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 14
Lawrence	3	19,719,989	2.1%	0.0%	\$ 484
Lewis	1	700,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 61
Loudon	1	3,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 72
McMinn	5	5,140,000	0.5%	3.9%	\$ 102
McNairy	1	75,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 3
Madison	1	2,675,003	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 28
Marion	1	85,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 3
Marshall	2	2,900,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 105
Maury	3	2,094,700	0.2%	45.3%	\$ 29

Table D-11a. Law Enforcement Projects by County (continued)
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP**
*Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Monroe	2	371,000	0.0%	48.2%	\$ 9
Montgomery	7	2,660,000	0.3%	54.5%	\$ 19
Morgan	3	2,315,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 115
Obion	1	1,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 31
Perry	3	3,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 433
Pickett	1	5,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 999
Polk	1	5,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 309
Putnam	1	50,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 1
Rhea	2	5,080,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 173
Roane	1	5,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 95
Rutherford	5	2,560,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 13
Sevier	5	3,299,754	0.3%	69.7%	\$ 44
Shelby	50	320,206,745	33.8%	99.7%	\$ 353
Smith	2	7,650,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 420
Stewart	2	3,200,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 249
Sullivan	3	10,725,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 70
Sumner	2	1,200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
Union	2	2,650,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 141
Van Buren	1	7,900,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 1,442
Warren	1	14,000,000	1.5%	100.0%	\$ 358
Washington	3	7,000,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 64
Wayne	1	4,750,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 280
White	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 11
Williamson	6	13,210,000	1.4%	100.0%	\$ 93
Wilson	3	17,897,170	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 188
Areawide/Statewide	3	70,625,000	7.5%	0.0%	\$ 12
Statewide Total	240	\$ 946,792,714	100.0%	54.7%	\$ 162

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-11b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%
		\$			\$			\$	
Bledsoe	4	5.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Blount	1	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Bradley	1	0.3	1.3%	2	50.0%	40.5%	1	25.0%	58.2%
Campbell	1	9.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Carroll	0	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Carter	3	8.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cheatham	1	0.5	20.0%	1	50.0%	80.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Chester	1	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Claiborne	2	11.5	48.9%	1	33.3%	51.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cocke	1	3.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Coffee	5	48.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Cumberland	1	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Davidson	17	47.9	56.4%	5	17.9%	22.5%	6	21.4%	21.1%
Decatur	1	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Dickson	1	1.0	11.1%	1	50.0%	88.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Dyer	2	0.3	3.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	33.3%	96.4%
Fayette	4	13.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Fentress	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Franklin	2	2.7	98.2%	1	33.3%	1.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Gibson	3	3.6	96.0%	1	25.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Grainger	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Greene	1	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Hamblen	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%
Hamilton	3	1.2	9.3%	3	50.0%	90.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Hardeman	1	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Hardin	0	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Hawkins	1	0.3	18.5%	1	50.0%	81.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Haywood	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Henderson	1	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Hickman	5	11.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Jackson	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Jefferson	6	24.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Johnson	3	2.3	31.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	1	25.0%	69.0%
Knox	1	1.1	2.2%	1	33.3%	2.9%	1	33.3%	94.9%
Lauderdale	1	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Lawrence	3	19.7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%

Table D-11b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Lewis	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Loudon	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
McMinn	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
McNairy	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Madison	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Maury	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Monroe	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%
Morgan	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Obion	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Perry	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pickett	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Rhea	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Rutherford	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sevier	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	7	14.0%	16.6	5.2%	22	44.0%
Smith	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stewart	2	100.0%	3.2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Sullivan	1	33.3%	3.7	34.7%	1	33.3%
Sumner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Union	1	50.0%	2.5	94.3%	0	0.0%
Van Buren	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Warren	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Washington	2	66.7%	5.5	78.6%	1	33.3%
Wayne	1	100.0%	4.8	100.0%	0	0.0%
White	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%
Williamson	3	50.0%	2.4	18.2%	2	33.3%
Wilson	1	33.3%	0.2	1.1%	2	66.7%
Areawide/Statewide	2	66.7%	70.2	99.4%	1	33.3%
Statewide Total	128	53.3%	\$ 364.4	38.5%	68	28.3%
			\$ 327.2	34.6%	44	18.3%
						\$ 255.2
						27.0%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-12a. Storm Water Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*

Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	2	\$ 2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 28
Blount	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 0
Bradley	3	5,510,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 61
Campbell	2	1,600,680	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 40
Carroll	3	900,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 31
Carter	1	500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 9
Cheatham	1	600,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 16
Coffee	1	100,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 2
Crockett	1	1,500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 104
Cumberland	1	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Davidson	21	112,685,000	26.3%	100.0%	\$ 198
Decatur	1	500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 43
Franklin	4	2,445,000	0.6%	39.9%	\$ 60
Gibson	1	5,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 104
Greene	2	575,000	0.1%	13.0%	\$ 9
Hamilton	6	40,260,000	9.4%	100.0%	\$ 130
Hardeman	1	1,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 35
Haywood	3	460,000	0.1%	67.4%	\$ 23
Humphreys	1	1,250,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 69
Jefferson	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Johnson	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 14
Knox	5	20,934,434	4.9%	100.0%	\$ 53
Lake	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 19
Lawrence	2	8,022,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 197
Loudon	2	1,320,000	0.3%	94.7%	\$ 32
McMinn	4	11,535,000	2.7%	1.2%	\$ 228
McNairy	1	800,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 32
Madison	1	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 3
Maury	3	1,510,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 21
Montgomery	8	13,875,000	3.2%	100.0%	\$ 98
Morgan	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 50
Obion	4	750,000	0.2%	6.7%	\$ 23
Polk	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 31
Putnam	1	50,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 1
Robertson	2	1,363,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 23
Rutherford	1	250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 1
Sevier	2	150,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 2
Shelby	34	125,844,693	29.3%	100.0%	\$ 139
Sullivan	2	325,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Sumner	2	1,330,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 10
Tipton	2	350,000	0.1%	28.6%	\$ 6
Unicoi	1	5,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 282
Washington	3	41,300,000	9.6%	96.9%	\$ 375
Wayne	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 15
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 29
Williamson	10	13,810,000	3.2%	96.4%	\$ 98
Statewide Total	153	\$ 429,254,807	100.0%	89.1%	\$ 73

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-12b. Storm Water Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction						
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]					
		\$		\$		\$		\$					
Anderson	1	50.0%	1.0	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1.0	50.0%	
Blount	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Bradley	2	66.7%	2.0	36.3%	1	33.3%	1	3.5	63.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Campbell	1	50.0%	1.0	62.5%	1	50.0%	1	0.6	37.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Carroll	1	33.3%	0.4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0.5	55.6%
Carter	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Cheatham	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Coffee	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Crockett	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Cumberland	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Davidson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	0.7	0.6%	20	95.2%	112.0	99.4%
Decatur	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Franklin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	0.4	17.2%	3	75.0%	2.0	82.8%
Gibson	1	100.0%	5.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Greene	1	50.0%	0.5	87.0%	1	50.0%	1	0.1	13.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Hamilton	2	33.3%	1.9	4.6%	4	66.7%	4	38.4	95.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Hardeman	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Haywood	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	2	0.4	87.0%	1	33.3%	0.1	13.0%
Humphreys	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.3	100.0%
Jefferson	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Johnson	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Knox	1	20.0%	14.7	70.0%	1	20.0%	1	0.6	2.9%	3	60.0%	5.7	27.1%
Lake	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Lawrence	1	50.0%	0.5	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	7.5	93.5%
Loudon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	1.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
McMinn	2	50.0%	10.1	87.3%	1	25.0%	1	0.1	0.5%	1	25.0%	1.4	12.1%
McNairy	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Madison	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%
Maury	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	3	37.5%	3	7.2	51.5%	5	62.5%	6.7	48.5%
Morgan	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Obion	2	50.0%	0.1	13.3%	1	25.0%	1	0.2	20.0%	1	25.0%	0.5	66.7%

Table D-12b. Storm Water Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Polk	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
Putnam	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
Robertson	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.4
Rutherford	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
Sevier	0	0.0	1	0.1	1	0.1
Shelby	4	1.6	16	22.2	14	102.0
Sullivan	0	0.0	1	0.2	1	0.1
Sumner	1	1.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
Tipton	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.1
Unicoi	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Washington	2	40.3	1	1.0	0	0.0
Wayne	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Weakley	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Williamson	4	2.7	3	9.4	3	1.8
Statewide Total	38	93.1	52	91.6	63	244.6
		24.8%	21.7%	34.0%	21.3%	41.2%
						57.0%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-13a. Solid Waste Projects by County
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 2,000,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 28
Bedford	2	450,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 11
Bledsoe	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Campbell	1	1,100,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 27
Cannon	2	150,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 11
Carroll	1	900,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 31
Carter	1	600,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 10
Cheatham	1	100,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 3
Cumberland	2	115,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Davidson	7	20,006,000	12.2%	100.0%	\$ 35
DeKalb	2	3,250,000	2.0%	0.0%	\$ 180
Dyer	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Fentress	2	105,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Grundy	1	1,000,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 69
Hamilton	3	7,015,000	4.3%	100.0%	\$ 23
Hardeman	2	950,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 34
Hawkins	3	430,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 8
Henderson	1	210,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 8
Houston	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 12
Jackson	1	50,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 4
Knox	3	3,630,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 9
McMinn	2	5,150,000	3.1%	0.0%	\$ 102
Madison	1	750,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 8
Mauzy	1	120,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Meigs	1	250,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 22
Monroe	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Montgomery	2	300,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 2
Putnam	3	275,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 4
Roane	2	245,000	0.1%	51.0%	\$ 5
Robertson	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Scott	1	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 23
Shelby	16	90,207,707	55.1%	100.0%	\$ 100
Smith	2	180,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 10
Sullivan	1	400,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 3
Sumner	3	8,000,000	4.9%	0.0%	\$ 58
Warren	1	90,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 2
Washington	3	5,575,000	3.4%	0.0%	\$ 51
Williamson	8	7,625,000	4.7%	99.1%	\$ 54
Wilson	3	1,600,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 17
Statewide Total	91	\$ 163,703,707	100.0%	81.0%	\$ 28

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-13b. Solid Waste Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Anderson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
Bedford	2	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bledsoe	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Campbell	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.1
Cannon	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.2
Carroll	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0
Carter	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cheatham	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Cumberland	0	0.0	2	0.1	0	0.0
Davidson	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	20.0
DeKalb	2	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dyer	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fentress	0	0.0	2	0.1	0	0.0
Grundy	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.0
Hamilton	0	0.0	3	7.0	0	0.0
Hardeman	1	0.8	1	0.2	0	0.0
Hawkins	3	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Henderson	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
Houston	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Jackson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Knox	1	1.1	0	0.0	2	2.5
McMinn	2	5.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Madison	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8
Mauzy	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Meigs	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
Monroe	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
Montgomery	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.3
Putnam	1	0.1	2	0.2	0	0.0
Roane	2	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Robertson	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
Scott	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
Shelby	1	0.2	7	18.6	8	71.4

Table D-14a. Fire Protection Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	2	\$ 2,750,000	1.6%	72.7%	\$ 38
Bedford	1	550,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 14
Blount	3	417,000	0.2%	48.0%	\$ 4
Bradley	1	800,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 9
Campbell	3	600,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 15
Carroll	5	436,000	0.3%	22.9%	\$ 15
Cheatham	5	4,185,000	2.4%	25.9%	\$ 112
Chester	2	600,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 38
Crockett	3	510,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 35
Cumberland	1	1,200,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 24
Davidson	16	28,163,000	16.3%	86.9%	\$ 49
Decatur	1	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 43
DeKalb	2	3,000,000	1.7%	0.0%	\$ 166
Dickson	3	2,600,000	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 58
Dyer	5	1,380,000	0.8%	65.2%	\$ 37
Fayette	3	550,000	0.3%	36.4%	\$ 17
Gibson	2	450,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 9
Giles	1	750,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 26
Greene	3	4,500,000	2.6%	0.0%	\$ 70
Grundy	1	325,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 23
Hamblen	2	1,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 25
Hamilton	2	4,600,000	2.7%	8.7%	\$ 15
Hancock	2	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 75
Hardeman	5	1,450,000	0.8%	75.9%	\$ 51
Hawkins	3	425,500	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 8
Haywood	1	300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 15
Henderson	2	975,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 38
Houston	3	530,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 66
Jefferson	1	50,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 1
Johnson	2	1,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 84
Knox	2	4,800,000	2.8%	100.0%	\$ 12
Lake	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
Lauderdale	1	300,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 11
Lawrence	1	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 12
Lincoln	1	300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 9
Loudon	1	1,530,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 37
McMinn	2	1,750,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 35
McNairy	6	670,000	0.4%	35.1%	\$ 27
Madison	1	260,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 3
Marshall	2	545,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 20
Maury	4	1,975,000	1.1%	50.6%	\$ 27
Monroe	1	500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 12
Montgomery	9	10,800,000	6.3%	100.0%	\$ 77
Obion	3	600,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 19

Table D-14a. Fire Protection Projects by County (continued)
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Putnam	2	500,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 8
Rhea	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
Roane	1	100,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Robertson	5	2,185,000	1.3%	68.6%	\$ 38
Rutherford	3	5,660,000	3.3%	100.0%	\$ 28
Scott	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Sevier	4	3,810,000	2.2%	100.0%	\$ 50
Shelby	19	39,987,379	23.2%	100.0%	\$ 44
Stewart	1	300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 23
Sullivan	3	2,080,000	1.2%	100.0%	\$ 14
Sumner	6	4,630,000	2.7%	0.0%	\$ 33
Tipton	1	300,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 6
Unicoi	2	900,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 51
Warren	1	350,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 9
Washington	7	4,035,000	2.3%	85.1%	\$ 37
Wayne	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 12
Weakley	2	1,300,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 38
Williamson	15	13,913,987	8.1%	87.9%	\$ 98
Wilson	2	1,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 16
Statewide Total	198	\$ 172,727,866	100.0%	70.5%	\$ 30

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-14b. Fire Protection Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Anderson	1	\$ 2.0	1	\$ 0.8	0	\$ 0.0
Bedford	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blount	2	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.2
Bradley	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0
Campbell	2	0.4	1	0.2	0	0.0
Carroll	4	0.3	1	0.1	0	0.0
Cheatham	1	1.5	1	0.1	3	2.6
Chester	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Crockett	1	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.4
Cumberland	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2
Davidson	1	0.2	4	14.0	11	14.0
Decatur	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
DeKalb	2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dickson	2	1.4	1	1.2	0	0.0
Dyer	3	0.5	2	0.9	0	0.0
Fayette	1	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.3
Gibson	1	0.3	1	0.2	0	0.0
Giles	1	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Greene	3	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grundy	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hamblen	1	0.8	1	0.8	0	0.0
Hamilton	1	0.4	1	4.2	0	0.0
Hancock	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
Hardeman	2	1.0	2	0.4	1	0.2
Hawkins	1	0.1	1	0.3	1	0.1
Haywood	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
Henderson	1	0.8	1	0.2	0	0.0
Houston	3	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Jefferson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Johnson	2	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Knox	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	4.0
Lake	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0

Table D-14b. Fire Protection Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Lauderdale	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Loudon	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McMinn	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
McNairy	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%
Madison	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Marshall	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Maury	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Monroe	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%
Obion	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Putnam	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Rhea	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Robertson	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Rutherford	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Scott	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Sevier	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	2	10.5%	12	63.2%	5	26.3%
Stewart	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Sullivan	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Sumner	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Tipton	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Unicoi	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Warren	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%
Wayne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Weakley	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Williamson	10	66.7%	0	0.0%	5	33.3%
Wilson	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Statewide Total	99	50.0%	53	26.8%	46	23.2%
		\$ 67.4		\$ 58.8		\$ 46.5
		39.0%		34.1%		26.9%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-15a. Public Health Facility Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 1,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 21
Benton	1	587,827	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 36
Bledsoe	1	1,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 80
Cannon	2	210,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 16
Carroll	1	724,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 25
Chester	1	1,500,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 95
Claiborne	1	6,000,000	2.3%	0.0%	\$ 197
Coffee	1	340,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Crockett	1	360,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Cumberland	2	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Davidson	17	6,674,000	2.6%	59.0%	\$ 12
Dyer	1	625,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 17
Greene	7	4,473,000	1.7%	0.0%	\$ 70
Grundy	1	240,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 17
Hamilton	4	1,459,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 5
Hardeman	8	39,434,000	15.4%	0.0%	\$ 1,400
Hardin	1	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 12
Henderson	1	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 12
Hickman	1	400,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 17
Houston	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Knox	19	99,607,000	38.8%	0.0%	\$ 253
Lauderdale	1	1,200,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 44
Lewis	1	350,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 31
Loudon	1	1,100,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 26
Madison	3	12,900,000	5.0%	77.5%	\$ 137
Maury	2	2,130,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 29
Monroe	1	1,415,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 34
Montgomery	1	4,250,000	1.7%	100.0%	\$ 30
Morgan	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 15
Obion	1	750,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 23
Overton	1	530,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 26
Polk	1	310,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 19
Putnam	5	8,015,000	3.1%	5.6%	\$ 123
Roane	2	1,700,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 32
Robertson	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 3
Rutherford	7	6,510,000	2.5%	0.0%	\$ 32
Scott	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 14
Shelby	30	42,547,000	16.6%	78.6%	\$ 47
Smith	3	450,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 25
Sullivan	2	330,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Sumner	1	500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 4
Union	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
Van Buren	1	250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 46
Warren	1	450,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 12
Wayne	1	2,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 118
Weakley	1	260,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 8
White	1	150,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Wilson	2	1,240,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 13
Statewide Total	147	\$ 256,620,827	100.0%	22.2%	\$ 44

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-15b. Public Health Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$
Anderson	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Bledsoe	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cannon	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Carrall	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Chester	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Claiborne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Coffee	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Crockett	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Cumberland	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Davidson	9	52.9%	0	0.0%	8	47.1%
Dyer	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Greene	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grundy	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hamilton	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hardeman	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
Hardin	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Henderson	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hickman	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Houston	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Knox	19	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lauderdale	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lewis	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Loudon	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Madison	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Maury	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Monroe	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Morgan	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Obion	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Overton	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Polk	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%

Table D-15b. Public Health Facility Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Putnam	2	7.6	2	0.3	1	0.2
Roane	0	0.0	1	0.2	1	1.5
Robertson	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
Rutherford	7	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Scott	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
Shelby	18	9.5	7	1.8	5	31.2
Smith	0	0.0	2	0.3	1	0.2
Sullivan	2	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sumner	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Union	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Van Buren	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
Warren	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
Wayne	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
Weakley	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
White	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
Wilson	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	0.2
Statewide Total	88	\$ 141.1	34	\$ 58.4	25	\$ 57.0

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-16a. Housing Projects by County
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP**
*Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Cannon	1	\$ 500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 38
Carroll	3	1,500,000	2.4%	0.0%	\$ 51
Cheatham	1	1,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 27
Clay	1	220,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 28
Cumberland	2	775,000	1.2%	100.0%	\$ 16
Davidson	1	40,000,000	63.1%	100.0%	\$ 70
DeKalb	1	500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 28
Gibson	1	1,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 21
Haywood	1	540,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 28
Humphreys	2	1,930,000	3.0%	0.0%	\$ 106
Jackson	5	3,580,000	5.6%	58.1%	\$ 319
Macon	1	1,200,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 57
Obion	1	100,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 3
Overton	2	1,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 50
Perry	2	1,500,000	2.4%	0.0%	\$ 197
Putnam	2	4,650,000	7.3%	100.0%	\$ 72
Wayne	2	2,943,000	4.6%	0.0%	\$ 174
White	1	500,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 21
Statewide Total	30	\$ 63,438,000	100.0%	75.7%	\$ 11

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-16b. Housing Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$
Cannon	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Carroll	1	0.5	2	66.7%	0	0.0
Cheatham	1	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Clay	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Cumberland	0	0.0	1	50.0%	1	0.3
Davidson	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	40.0
DeKalb	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	0.5
Gibson	1	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Haywood	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	0.5
Humphreys	2	1.9	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Jackson	2	1.0	2	40.0%	1	0.5
Macon	1	1.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Obion	1	0.1	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Overton	2	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Perry	1	1.0	0	0.0%	1	0.5
Putnam	0	0.0	1	50.0%	1	3.0
Wayne	0	0.0	1	50.0%	1	2.5
White	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Statewide Total	12	8.7	9	13.8%	9	48.3

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-17a. Recreation Projects by County

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*

Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	26	\$ 9,519,152	0.8%	67.3%	\$ 132
Bedford	15	3,423,575	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 85
Benton	2	680,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 41
Bledsoe	2	14,060,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,120
Blount	11	4,457,303	0.4%	40.0%	\$ 40
Bradley	2	1,796,497	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 20
Campbell	8	8,482,972	0.7%	75.1%	\$ 211
Cannon	1	75,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 6
Carroll	5	3,685,000	0.3%	35.3%	\$ 126
Carter	8	7,254,000	0.6%	7.9%	\$ 124
Cheatham	6	8,506,544	0.7%	15.3%	\$ 228
Chester	3	8,575,000	0.7%	0.9%	\$ 541
Claiborne	8	4,340,868	0.4%	8.5%	\$ 143
Coffee	3	7,315,200	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 147
Crockett	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Cumberland	5	2,684,662	0.2%	5.6%	\$ 54
Davidson	59	358,002,000	30.4%	99.9%	\$ 628
Decatur	4	1,000,000	0.1%	60.0%	\$ 86
DeKalb	4	4,070,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 226
Dickson	6	3,027,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 67
Dyer	2	13,500,000	1.1%	55.6%	\$ 362
Fayette	1	500,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 15
Fentress	2	1,710,000	0.1%	8.8%	\$ 101
Franklin	5	2,562,510	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 63
Gibson	13	5,937,600	0.5%	44.6%	\$ 124
Giles	9	1,036,327	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 35
Grainger	4	2,348,485	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 110
Greene	4	1,075,000	0.1%	86.0%	\$ 17
Grundy	4	480,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 33
Hamblen	5	8,335,094	0.7%	78.0%	\$ 142
Hamilton	42	18,151,360	1.5%	0.0%	\$ 59
Hancock	4	664,888	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 99
Hardeman	7	862,316	0.1%	5.8%	\$ 31
Hardin	8	1,649,600	0.1%	87.0%	\$ 64
Hawkins	6	1,153,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 21
Haywood	3	607,500	0.1%	49.4%	\$ 31
Henderson	3	3,029,000	0.3%	11.6%	\$ 117
Henry	9	6,325,000	0.5%	4.0%	\$ 203
Hickman	1	160,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Houston	3	380,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 47
Humphreys	4	1,274,999	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 70
Jefferson	5	2,719,000	0.2%	39.3%	\$ 58
Johnson	5	9,630,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 537
Knox	30	96,122,865	8.2%	43.1%	\$ 245
Lake	3	400,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 51
Lauderdale	2	4,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 148
Lawrence	6	1,715,815	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 42
Lewis	4	3,800,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 332

Table D-17a. Recreation Projects by County *(continued)*

Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*

Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Lincoln	3	1,900,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 60
Loudon	7	14,587,925	1.2%	97.4%	\$ 350
McMinn	8	5,325,000	0.5%	60.6%	\$ 105
McNairy	14	5,946,826	0.5%	20.4%	\$ 238
Macon	3	3,560,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 169
Madison	5	11,876,484	1.0%	99.4%	\$ 127
Marion	3	300,315	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 11
Marshall	8	5,038,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 183
Maury	8	4,175,500	0.4%	77.8%	\$ 57
Meigs	1	1,956,375	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 171
Monroe	4	2,782,500	0.2%	61.1%	\$ 68
Montgomery	31	52,411,696	4.4%	85.0%	\$ 372
Moore	1	80,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 14
Morgan	4	476,000	0.0%	52.5%	\$ 24
Obion	6	1,616,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 50
Overton	1	150,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 7
Pickett	1	220,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 44
Polk	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 5
Putnam	5	2,445,000	0.2%	26.6%	\$ 38
Rhea	1	250,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 9
Roane	15	9,130,000	0.8%	4.2%	\$ 174
Robertson	9	11,047,600	0.9%	80.7%	\$ 190
Rutherford	16	26,831,850	2.3%	96.8%	\$ 133
Scott	6	4,812,640	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 222
Sequatchie	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 13
Sevier	8	2,610,500	0.2%	64.4%	\$ 35
Shelby	107	233,213,270	19.8%	98.4%	\$ 257
Smith	2	1,200,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 66
Stewart	4	1,604,000	0.1%	41.7%	\$ 125
Sullivan	29	19,834,980	1.7%	82.7%	\$ 130
Sumner	16	21,561,732	1.8%	8.3%	\$ 155
Tipton	1	1,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 18
Unicoi	12	2,800,800	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 158
Union	2	1,118,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 59
Van Buren	2	2,110,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 385
Warren	4	1,149,100	0.1%	20.0%	\$ 29
Washington	15	17,519,729	1.5%	54.9%	\$ 159
Wayne	4	1,252,700	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 74
Weakley	5	1,178,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 34
White	1	300,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 13
Williamson	25	38,912,201	3.3%	43.8%	\$ 275
Wilson	7	22,760,000	1.9%	2.1%	\$ 239
Areawide/Statewide	2	665,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 0
Statewide Total	781	\$ 1,179,119,855	100.0%	71.4%	\$ 202

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-17b. Recreation Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction			
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]		
Anderson	14	4.3	45.1%	6	1.3	14.1%	6	23.1%	3.9	40.8%
Bedford	9	2.7	77.4%	3	0.5	13.9%	3	20.0%	0.3	8.6%
Benton	2	0.7	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Bledsoe	2	14.1	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Blount	2	0.8	17.1%	2	0.2	18.2%	7	63.6%	3.5	78.4%
Bradley	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.9	50.9%	1	50.0%	0.9	49.1%
Campbell	4	1.8	21.5%	2	6.4	75.1%	2	25.0%	0.3	3.4%
Cannon	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%
Carroll	2	0.3	7.5%	2	2.1	57.3%	1	20.0%	1.3	35.3%
Carter	6	6.7	92.1%	1	0.2	3.0%	1	12.5%	0.4	5.0%
Cheatham	2	5.0	58.8%	2	2.0	23.0%	2	33.3%	1.6	18.2%
Chester	2	8.5	99.1%	1	0.1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Claiborne	4	2.7	62.2%	0	0.0	0.0%	4	50.0%	1.6	37.8%
Coffee	0	0.0	0.0%	2	0.7	9.8%	1	33.3%	6.6	90.2%
Crockett	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cumberland	1	0.4	15.6%	4	2.3	84.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Davidson	6	122.2	34.1%	13	52.5	14.7%	40	67.8%	183.3	51.2%
Decatur	3	0.8	75.0%	1	0.3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
DeKalb	4	4.1	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dickson	2	1.1	36.1%	1	1.5	49.6%	3	50.0%	0.4	14.4%
Dyer	1	6.0	44.4%	1	7.5	55.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fayette	1	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fentress	1	1.6	91.2%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0.2	8.8%
Franklin	2	2.0	79.6%	2	0.3	13.6%	1	20.0%	0.2	6.8%
Gibson	6	3.9	64.8%	1	0.2	2.7%	6	46.2%	1.9	32.5%
Giles	0	0.0	0.0%	4	0.5	49.8%	5	55.6%	0.5	50.2%
Grainger	1	1.8	77.9%	2	0.4	17.8%	1	25.0%	0.1	4.3%
Greene	2	0.7	60.5%	2	0.4	39.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Grundy	4	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamblien	2	1.2	14.4%	2	0.6	7.6%	1	20.0%	6.5	78.0%
Hamilton	9	7.3	40.3%	30	9.0	49.3%	3	7.1%	1.9	10.4%
Hancock	2	0.2	34.6%	1	0.4	53.4%	1	25.0%	0.1	12.0%

Table D-17b. Recreation Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Hardeman	4	57.1%	0.5	61.2%	3	42.9%	0.3	38.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardin	6	75.0%	1.4	86.7%	1	12.5%	0.1	8.2%	1	12.5%	0.1	5.2%
Hawkins	4	66.7%	0.9	79.4%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0.2	20.6%
Haywood	1	33.3%	0.2	28.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0.4	71.2%
Henderson	1	33.3%	0.4	11.6%	1	33.3%	1.7	55.8%	1	33.3%	1.0	32.7%
Henry	4	44.4%	5.5	86.8%	3	33.3%	0.5	7.7%	2	22.2%	0.4	5.5%
Hickman	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Houston	2	66.7%	0.2	63.2%	1	33.3%	0.1	36.8%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Humphreys	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1.0	78.4%	2	50.0%	0.3	21.6%
Jefferson	1	20.0%	0.2	5.5%	2	40.0%	0.2	6.2%	2	40.0%	2.4	88.3%
Johnson	3	60.0%	6.4	65.9%	1	20.0%	2.8	29.4%	1	20.0%	0.5	4.7%
Knox	7	23.3%	10.1	10.5%	7	23.3%	53.4	55.6%	16	53.3%	32.6	33.9%
Lake	3	100.0%	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lauderdale	1	50.0%	3.5	87.5%	1	50.0%	0.5	12.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lawrence	4	66.7%	1.0	60.0%	2	33.3%	0.7	40.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lewis	4	100.0%	3.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lincoln	2	66.7%	1.7	89.5%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0.2	10.5%
Loudon	2	28.6%	3.1	21.1%	2	28.6%	0.4	2.6%	3	42.9%	11.1	76.3%
McMinn	6	75.0%	4.7	88.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	25.0%	0.6	11.7%
McNairy	6	42.9%	2.8	47.8%	7	50.0%	2.9	48.0%	1	7.1%	0.3	4.2%
Macon	1	33.3%	0.1	1.7%	1	33.3%	3.0	84.3%	1	33.3%	0.5	14.0%
Madison	2	40.0%	11.2	94.3%	1	20.0%	0.4	3.4%	2	40.0%	0.3	2.3%
Marion	1	33.3%	0.1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0.2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Marshall	5	62.5%	1.4	26.8%	2	25.0%	3.6	71.5%	1	12.5%	0.1	1.7%
Maury	3	37.5%	0.5	12.0%	4	50.0%	2.3	55.6%	1	12.5%	1.4	32.3%
Meigs	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Monroe	3	75.0%	2.6	94.9%	1	25.0%	0.1	5.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Montgomery	12	38.7%	17.2	32.9%	6	19.4%	9.5	18.2%	13	41.9%	25.6	48.9%
Moore	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Morgan	2	50.0%	0.1	26.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0.4	73.7%
Obion	3	50.0%	1.3	82.3%	2	33.3%	0.2	14.6%	1	16.7%	0.1	3.1%
Overton	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-17b. Recreation Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	
Pickett	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Polk	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Putnam	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	2	24.5%	1	20.0%	
Rhea	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	
Roane	11	73.3%	1	6.7%	1	2.2%	3	20.0%	
Robertson	3	33.3%	5	55.6%	5	82.1%	1	11.1%	
Rutherford	6	37.5%	6	44.8%	6	37.5%	4	25.0%	
Scott	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	2	5.8%	4	66.7%	
Sequatchie	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	
Sevier	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	2	29.9%	3	37.5%	
Shelby	11	10.3%	59	7.6%	59	53.9%	37	34.6%	
Smith	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	83.3%	1	50.0%	
Stewart	2	50.0%	2	34.9%	2	65.1%	0	0.0%	
Sullivan	19	65.5%	5	17.2%	5	15.4%	5	17.2%	
Sumner	5	31.3%	7	43.8%	7	47.1%	4	25.0%	
Tipton	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Unicoi	2	16.7%	4	33.3%	4	30.7%	6	50.0%	
Union	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Van Buren	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	
Warren	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2	48.7%	2	50.0%	
Washington	7	46.7%	3	20.0%	3	46.1%	5	33.3%	
Wayne	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	
Weakley	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	3	78.8%	1	20.0%	
White	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	
Williamson	14	56.0%	7	28.0%	7	9.3%	4	16.0%	
Wilson	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	3	69.2%	1	14.3%	
Areawide/Statewide	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Statewide Total	288	36.9%	260	33.3%	233	31.5%	29.8%	35.5%	
		\$ 388.7		\$ 371.8		\$ 418.6			

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-18a. Library and Museum Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 480,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Bedford	1	4,500,000	1.3%	0.0%	\$ 112
Blount	2	1,206,888	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 11
Campbell	1	600,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 15
Cannon	1	75,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
Cheatham	1	60,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 2
Chester	1	100,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 6
Cumberland	3	2,475,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 50
Davidson	15	202,479,000	57.2%	54.8%	\$ 355
Decatur	1	180,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 16
DeKalb	2	600,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 33
Dickson	5	4,175,724	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 93
Fentress	2	475,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 28
Franklin	2	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Grainger	1	369,600	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 17
Greene	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Grundy	1	85,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
Hamblen	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 5
Hamilton	2	2,100,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 7
Hardeman	4	1,081,789	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 38
Henderson	3	930,240	0.3%	26.9%	\$ 36
Hickman	1	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 32
Humphreys	3	3,462,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 191
Jackson	2	1,400,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 125
Johnson	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 11
Knox	9	26,490,893	7.5%	95.6%	\$ 67
Lauderdale	1	1,090,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 40
Lewis	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Lincoln	1	950,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 30
Loudon	1	750,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 18
McMinn	1	1,600,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 32
McNairy	2	644,000	0.2%	21.7%	\$ 26
Macon	1	200,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 10
Madison	2	1,500,000	0.4%	28.0%	\$ 16
Marion	3	902,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 32
Maury	3	890,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 12
Meigs	1	5,500,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 481
Monroe	2	2,000,000	0.6%	50.0%	\$ 49
Morgan	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 5
Overton	1	2,000,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 99
Pickett	1	700,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 140
Polk	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Roane	3	1,060,000	0.3%	5.7%	\$ 20
Robertson	2	2,150,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 37

Table D-18a. Library and Museum Projects by County (counties)
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Rutherford	1	3,500,000	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 17
Scott	1	291,916	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
Sevier	1	2,500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 33
Shelby	16	50,980,445	14.4%	100.0%	\$ 56
Smith	2	350,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 19
Stewart	1	71,900	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 6
Sullivan	3	6,360,000	1.8%	95.3%	\$ 42
Sumner	3	2,450,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 18
Union	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 21
Washington	1	1,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 9
Wayne	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 9
White	2	699,000	0.2%	42.9%	\$ 30
Williamson	2	6,670,000	1.9%	82.5%	\$ 47
Wilson	1	662,612	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 7
Statewide Total	131	\$ 353,698,007	100.0%	61.1%	\$ 61

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-18b. Library and Museum Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$				
Anderson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.5	100.0%
Bedford	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Blount	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Campbell	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cannon	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%
Cheatham	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Chester	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Cumberland	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	66.7%	2.4	94.9%	1	33.3%	0.1	5.1%
Davidson	10	66.7%	104.8	51.7%	3	20.0%	11.5	5.7%	2	13.3%	86.2	42.6%
Decatur	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
DeKalb	1	50.0%	0.3	50.0%	1	50.0%	0.3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Dickson	3	60.0%	2.2	51.5%	1	20.0%	0.5	12.6%	1	20.0%	1.5	35.9%
Fentress	2	100.0%	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Franklin	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Grainger	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.4	100.0%
Greene	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Grundy	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hamblen	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.3	100.0%
Hamilton	2	100.0%	2.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hardeman	1	25.0%	0.2	17.0%	3	75.0%	0.9	83.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Henderson	1	33.3%	0.3	26.9%	2	66.7%	0.7	73.1%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hickman	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Humphreys	2	66.7%	1.4	40.4%	1	33.3%	2.1	59.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Jackson	1	50.0%	1.0	71.4%	1	50.0%	0.4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Johnson	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Knox	3	33.3%	2.5	9.3%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	6	66.7%	24.0	90.7%
Lauderdale	1	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lewis	1	100.0%	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.0	100.0%
Loudon	1	100.0%	0.8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
McMinn	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
McNairy	2	100.0%	0.6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%

Table D-18b. Library and Museum Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Macon	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0%
Madison	1	0.4	1	28.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	2	0.7	1	72.1%	0	0.0%
Maury	1	0.4	1	39.3%	1	33.3%
Meigs	0	0.0	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Monroe	2	2.0	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Morgan	1	0.1	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Overton	1	2.0	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Pickett	0	0.0	1	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	1	0.4	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Roane	1	0.1	2	5.7%	0	94.3%
Robertson	1	2.0	0	93.0%	1	0.0%
Rutherford	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Scott	0	0.0	1	0.0%	0	100.0%
Sevier	1	2.5	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Shelby	0	0.0	7	0.0%	9	59.0%
Smith	1	0.2	1	57.1%	0	42.9%
Stewart	0	0.0	1	0.0%	0	100.0%
Sullivan	2	6.3	0	99.1%	1	0.0%
Sumner	2	2.3	1	93.9%	0	6.1%
Union	1	0.4	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	1	1.0	0	100.0%	0	0.0%
Wayne	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
White	0	0.0	1	0.0%	1	42.9%
Williamson	1	1.2	0	17.5%	1	0.0%
Wilson	0	0.0	1	0.0%	0	100.0%
Statewide Total	56	\$ 140.9	43	39.8%	32	19.1%
				\$ 67.5		\$ 145.3
						41.1%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19a. Community Development Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Bedford	2	\$ 234,640	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Bradley	2	9,500,000	3.9%	0.0%	\$ 105
Cannon	1	199,547	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 15
Carter	2	550,000	0.2%	45.5%	\$ 9
Cheatham	3	7,300,000	3.0%	0.0%	\$ 195
Crockett	1	500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 35
Cumberland	3	695,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 14
Davidson	10	8,822,000	3.7%	92.7%	\$ 15
Decatur	1	150,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 13
DeKalb	5	8,400,000	3.5%	35.7%	\$ 466
Dickson	1	250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 6
Fentress	1	100,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 6
Gibson	1	500,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 10
Giles	3	5,305,000	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 181
Grainger	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
Greene	4	1,875,000	0.8%	5.3%	\$ 29
Hamilton	3	3,825,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 12
Hancock	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 30
Hardin	2	6,100,000	2.5%	0.0%	\$ 235
Hawkins	5	5,350,000	2.2%	0.0%	\$ 97
Henry	1	800,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 26
Houston	2	231,700	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 29
Humphreys	1	135,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Jackson	4	1,400,000	0.6%	64.3%	\$ 125
Jefferson	1	125,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 3
Knox	1	1,000,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 3
Lewis	1	12,500,000	5.2%	0.0%	\$ 1,093
Loudon	1	485,426	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 12
McMinn	1	1,000,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 20
McNairy	4	580,000	0.2%	86.2%	\$ 23
Macon	1	500,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 24
Madison	4	4,230,000	1.8%	85.8%	\$ 45
Marshall	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Maury	1	2,000,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 27
Meigs	1	700,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 61
Monroe	1	775,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 19
Montgomery	2	10,897,649	4.5%	91.8%	\$ 77
Obion	1	350,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 11
Perry	2	550,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 72
Putnam	1	100,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 2
Robertson	2	550,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 9
Rutherford	1	320,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Scott	1	2,500,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 115
Sevier	1	1,500,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 20

Table D-19a. Community Development Projects by County (counties)
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP**
*Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Shelby	28	75,336,192	31.3%	100.0%	\$ 83
Smith	2	631,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 35
Stewart	2	600,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 47
Sullivan	1	1,242,682	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 8
Sumner	6	16,782,800	7.0%	0.0%	\$ 121
Trousdale	2	220,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 30
Unicoi	2	2,300,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 130
Union	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 5
Van Buren	2	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 55
Washington	2	12,198,400	5.1%	100.0%	\$ 111
Wayne	4	842,330	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 50
Weakley	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
White	2	850,000	0.4%	11.8%	\$ 36
Williamson	3	25,564,000	10.6%	1.1%	\$ 181
Statewide Total	147	\$ 240,753,366	100.0%	50.1%	\$ 41

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19b. Community Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development (counties)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Marshall	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2
Maury	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Meigs	1	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Monroe	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.8
Montgomery	2	10.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Obion	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.4
Perry	1	0.5	1	0.1	0	0.0
Putnam	0	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
Robertson	1	0.4	1	0.2	0	0.0
Rutherford	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
Scott	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.5
Sevier	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.5
Shelby	4	4.1	11	28.9	13	42.3
Smith	0	0.0	2	0.6	0	0.0
Stewart	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sullivan	0	0.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
Sumner	2	10.8	3	5.5	1	0.5
Trousdale	2	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unicoi	1	2.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
Union	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Van Buren	0	0.0	1	0.2	1	0.1
Washington	2	12.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wayne	1	0.5	3	0.3	0	0.0
Weakley	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
White	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.9
Williamson	1	25.0	1	0.3	1	0.3
Statewide Total	60	\$ 115.0	50	\$ 61.0	37	\$ 64.8
			40.8%	47.8%	25.3%	26.9%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-20a. Business District Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Blount	1	\$ 2,500,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 22
Claiborne	1	750,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 25
Clay	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 63
Coffee	1	3,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 71
Cumberland	1	6,000,000	0.7%	100.0%	\$ 121
Davidson	4	487,144,000	57.3%	100.0%	\$ 855
Dyer	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 1
Fayette	1	350,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 11
Hamblen	1	200,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 3
Hamilton	3	71,800,000	8.4%	69.6%	\$ 232
Hardin	2	200,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 8
Hawkins	1	920,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 17
Haywood	1	240,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 12
Houston	1	300,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 37
Knox	3	47,650,000	5.6%	100.0%	\$ 121
McMinn	2	7,250,000	0.9%	91.0%	\$ 143
McNairy	3	1,132,000	0.1%	39.8%	\$ 45
Madison	1	4,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 43
Marion	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 18
Maury	3	5,100,000	0.6%	60.8%	\$ 70
Montgomery	1	17,079,000	2.0%	100.0%	\$ 121
Obion	1	600,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 19
Putnam	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 31
Rutherford	1	3,000,000	0.4%	100.0%	\$ 15
Sevier	2	60,000,000	7.1%	81.7%	\$ 795
Shelby	4	118,073,769	13.9%	100.0%	\$ 130
Sullivan	2	360,000	0.0%	100.0%	\$ 2
Unicoi	1	1,000,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 56
Washington	2	5,300,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 48
Wayne	2	225,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 13
Williamson	1	2,000,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 14
Statewide Total	51	\$ 849,723,769	100.0%	94.4%	\$ 145

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-20b. Business District Development Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Blount	0	0.0	1	2.5	0	0.0
Claiborne	1	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Clay	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Coffee	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.5
Cumberland	0	0.0	1	6.0	0	0.0
Davidson	1	70.0	2	417.0	1	0.2
Dyer	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
Fayette	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hamblen	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
Hamilton	1	11.0	1	10.8	1	50.0
Hardin	2	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hawkins	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.9
Haywood	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
Houston	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Knox	0	0.0	3	47.7	0	0.0
McMinn	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	6.6
McNairy	1	0.1	1	0.4	1	0.7
Madison	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marion	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mauzy	2	5.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
Montgomery	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	17.1
Obion	1	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Putnam	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rutherford	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.0
Sevier	2	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Shelby	0	0.0	3	7.8	1	110.3
Sullivan	1	0.3	1	0.1	0	0.0
Unicoi	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Washington	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	5.0
Wayne	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.2
Williamson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
Statewide Total	20	\$ 157.2	17	\$ 493.0	14	\$ 199.5

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21a. Industrial Site and Park Projects by County*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP***Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	4	\$ 6,850,000	1.8%	16.1%	\$ 95
Bedford	4	10,300,000	2.8%	0.0%	\$ 256
Bledsoe	1	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 119
Blount	3	2,320,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 21
Bradley	3	4,031,000	1.1%	3.1%	\$ 45
Campbell	4	3,580,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 89
Cannon	1	2,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 151
Carroll	2	2,100,000	0.6%	52.4%	\$ 72
Carter	2	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 26
Cheatham	2	2,200,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 59
Chester	1	650,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 41
Claiborne	1	3,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 115
Clay	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 63
Cocke	2	4,200,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 122
Coffee	5	13,480,000	3.6%	0.0%	\$ 272
Crockett	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 7
Cumberland	2	4,500,000	1.2%	100.0%	\$ 91
Decatur	3	2,700,000	0.7%	44.4%	\$ 233
DeKalb	6	3,800,000	1.0%	52.6%	\$ 211
Dickson	4	2,895,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 64
Dyer	1	180,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 5
Fayette	2	2,500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 77
Franklin	3	685,145	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 17
Gibson	3	3,250,000	0.9%	76.9%	\$ 68
Giles	2	3,000,000	0.8%	0.0%	\$ 102
Grainger	3	2,200,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 103
Greene	1	6,000,000	1.6%	0.0%	\$ 94
Grundy	2	1,980,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 138
Hamblen	1	20,000,000	5.4%	0.0%	\$ 340
Hamilton	2	5,850,000	1.6%	100.0%	\$ 19
Hancock	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 45
Hardeman	5	3,725,000	1.0%	86.6%	\$ 132
Hardin	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 19
Hawkins	4	7,000,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 127
Haywood	2	2,215,000	0.6%	67.7%	\$ 113
Henderson	1	250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 10
Henry	3	2,500,000	0.7%	74.0%	\$ 80
Hickman	2	4,000,000	1.1%	0.0%	\$ 171
Houston	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 62
Humphreys	6	6,900,000	1.9%	0.0%	\$ 381
Jackson	1	250,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 22
Jefferson	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 43
Johnson	2	1,150,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 64
Knox	4	10,045,000	2.7%	100.0%	\$ 26

Table D-21a. Industrial Site and Park Projects by County *(continued)*
*Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP**
*Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008***

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Lake	2	830,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 106
Lawrence	3	7,300,000	2.0%	0.0%	\$ 179
Lewis	2	750,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 66
Lincoln	3	6,850,000	1.8%	0.0%	\$ 216
Loudon	2	8,000,000	2.2%	18.8%	\$ 192
McMinn	3	3,000,000	0.8%	66.7%	\$ 59
McNairy	3	3,065,000	0.8%	75.0%	\$ 123
Madison	1	1,680,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 18
Marion	2	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 18
Marshall	3	19,000,000	5.1%	0.0%	\$ 690
Mauzy	2	2,900,000	0.8%	69.0%	\$ 40
Meigs	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 44
Monroe	4	4,450,000	1.2%	0.0%	\$ 108
Montgomery	5	46,972,468	12.7%	100.0%	\$ 333
Moore	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 169
Morgan	1	450,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 22
Obion	2	3,400,000	0.9%	100.0%	\$ 105
Pickett	1	350,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 70
Polk	4	2,181,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 135
Putnam	2	2,250,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 35
Rhea	3	3,505,000	0.9%	21.5%	\$ 120
Roane	1	11,000,000	3.0%	0.0%	\$ 210
Robertson	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 9
Rutherford	1	10,000,000	2.7%	0.0%	\$ 49
Scott	4	12,118,710	3.3%	82.5%	\$ 559
Sequatchie	2	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 42
Sevier	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 26
Shelby	3	5,477,000	1.5%	56.6%	\$ 6
Smith	1	1,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 55
Sullivan	5	8,900,000	2.4%	13.5%	\$ 58
Sumner	2	1,000,000	0.3%	50.0%	\$ 7
Trousdale	6	9,785,000	2.6%	0.0%	\$ 1,314
Unicoi	2	3,500,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 198
Union	2	1,572,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 83
Van Buren	1	750,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 137
Warren	1	300,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 8
Washington	1	1,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 9
Wayne	3	2,700,000	0.7%	18.5%	\$ 159
Weakley	2	550,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 16
Wilson	2	20,000,000	5.4%	0.0%	\$ 210
Statewide Total	197	\$ 371,272,323	100.0%	32.2%	\$ 64

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21b. Industrial Site and Park Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$	Number	Cost [in millions] \$
Anderson	1	5.0	1	0.6	2	1.3
Bedford	4	10.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bledsoe	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blount	0	0.0	1	0.5	2	1.8
Bradley	1	3.0	2	1.0	0	0.0
Campbell	2	2.7	2	0.9	0	0.0
Cannon	0	0.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
Carroll	1	1.0	1	1.1	0	0.0
Carter	2	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cheatham	2	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Chester	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.7
Claiborne	0	0.0	1	3.5	0	0.0
Clay	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cooke	1	1.2	0	0.0	1	3.0
Coffee	0	0.0	3	8.5	2	5.0
Crockett	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cumberland	0	0.0	2	4.5	0	0.0
Decatur	2	2.0	1	0.7	0	0.0
DeKalb	5	2.8	1	1.0	0	0.0
Dickson	1	0.6	3	2.3	0	0.0
Dyer	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2
Fayette	2	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Franklin	1	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.5
Gibson	3	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Giles	2	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grainger	1	1.0	1	0.4	1	0.8
Greene	1	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grundy	0	0.0	1	0.8	1	1.2
Hamblen	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
Hamilton	1	3.1	1	2.8	0	0.0
Hancock	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hardeman	2	1.5	1	0.7	2	1.6

Table D-21b. Industrial Site and Park Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-Year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Hardin	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
Hawkins	3	6.3	0	0.0	1	0.7
Haywood	0	0.0	2	2.2	0	0.0
Henderson	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Henry	1	0.7	1	0.7	1	1.2
Hickman	1	1.5	1	2.5	0	0.0
Houston	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Humphreys	5	4.2	1	2.7	0	0.0
Jackson	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Jefferson	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Johnson	2	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Knox	0	0.0	2	5.4	2	4.6
Lake	0	0.0	1	0.7	1	0.1
Lawrence	1	0.8	1	5.0	1	1.5
Lewis	2	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lincoln	0	0.0	2	5.8	1	1.1
Loudon	0	0.0	1	1.5	1	6.5
McMinn	0	0.0	2	1.0	1	2.0
McNairy	1	0.3	0	0.0	2	2.8
Madison	1	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marion	1	0.4	1	0.2	0	0.0
Marshall	3	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Maury	1	2.0	0	0.0	1	0.9
Meigs	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Monroe	2	1.8	2	2.7	0	0.0
Montgomery	1	3.8	1	1.2	3	42.0
Moore	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Morgan	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
Obion	1	3.0	1	0.4	0	0.0
Pickett	1	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Polk	2	0.4	2	1.8	0	0.0
Putnam	0	0.0	2	2.3	0	0.0

Table D-21b. Industrial Site and Park Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Rhea	2	2.3	0	0.0	1	1.3
Roane	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.0
Robertson	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.5
Rutherford	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
Scott	1	0.5	0	0.0	3	11.6
Sequatchie	2	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sevier	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
Shelby	2	2.4	0	0.0	1	3.1
Smith	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.0
Sullivan	4	8.7	0	0.0	1	0.2
Sumner	2	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Trousdale	3	6.3	3	3.5	0	0.0
Unicoi	2	3.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Union	1	1.2	0	0.0	1	0.4
Van Buren	0	0.0	1	0.8	0	0.0
Warren	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
Washington	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wayne	2	2.5	1	0.2	0	0.0
Weakley	2	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wilson	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Statewide Total	99	\$ 157.5	55	\$ 82.6	43	\$ 131.2
		50.3%		27.9%		21.8%
		42.4%		22.2%		35.3%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-22a. Public Building Projects by County
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	3	\$ 1,550,000	0.4%	12.9%	\$ 22
Bledsoe	1	250,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 20
Blount	6	21,625,000	5.7%	93.6%	\$ 194
Bradley	2	3,150,000	0.8%	95.2%	\$ 35
Cannon	2	200,000	0.1%	75.0%	\$ 15
Carroll	4	2,125,000	0.6%	88.2%	\$ 72
Cheatham	4	7,540,000	2.0%	0.0%	\$ 202
Chester	3	9,582,000	2.5%	42.6%	\$ 605
Claiborne	2	565,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 19
Cocke	3	2,200,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 64
Cumberland	1	5,000,000	1.3%	100.0%	\$ 101
Davidson	19	76,370,000	20.0%	94.5%	\$ 134
Decatur	4	5,900,000	1.5%	83.1%	\$ 508
DeKalb	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 28
Dickson	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 11
Dyer	1	750,000	0.2%	100.0%	\$ 20
Fayette	1	230,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 7
Franklin	3	1,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 32
Gibson	5	2,400,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 50
Giles	3	1,550,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 53
Grainger	1	1,000,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 47
Greene	3	350,000	0.1%	71.4%	\$ 5
Hamblen	1	2,000,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 34
Hamilton	1	620,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 2
Hardeman	3	1,000,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 35
Hawkins	1	220,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 4
Henderson	2	1,050,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 41
Hickman	1	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 64
Houston	1	500,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 62
Humphreys	1	500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 28
Jackson	2	625,000	0.2%	20.0%	\$ 56
Jefferson	4	1,150,000	0.3%	73.9%	\$ 25
Johnson	1	300,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 17
Knox	6	11,391,074	3.0%	92.5%	\$ 29
Lauderdale	2	1,133,000	0.3%	100.0%	\$ 42
Lawrence	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 4
Lincoln	1	200,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 6
Loudon	5	6,700,000	1.8%	67.2%	\$ 161
McMinn	2	1,900,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 38
McNairy	3	470,000	0.1%	74.5%	\$ 19
Macon	3	2,600,000	0.7%	3.8%	\$ 124
Madison	4	3,650,000	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 39
Marion	3	1,060,000	0.3%	70.8%	\$ 38
Mauzy	5	1,825,000	0.5%	83.6%	\$ 25

Table D-22a. Public Building Projects by County (continued)
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Monroe	1	1,500,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 37
Montgomery	2	1,900,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 13
Obion	5	3,175,000	0.8%	7.9%	\$ 98
Overton	1	2,000,000	0.5%	100.0%	\$ 99
Putnam	3	500,000	0.1%	60.0%	\$ 8
Rhea	3	3,450,000	0.9%	0.0%	\$ 118
Roane	3	3,500,000	0.9%	71.4%	\$ 67
Robertson	1	1,300,000	0.3%	0.0%	\$ 22
Rutherford	1	400,000	0.1%	0.0%	\$ 2
Scott	1	50,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 2
Sequatchie	1	150,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 13
Sevier	2	3,881,500	1.0%	100.0%	\$ 51
Shelby	31	143,669,740	37.7%	100.0%	\$ 159
Smith	1	500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 27
Sullivan	6	5,120,000	1.3%	67.2%	\$ 33
Sumner	7	9,066,000	2.4%	11.0%	\$ 65
Tipton	1	2,500,000	0.7%	0.0%	\$ 46
Unicoi	2	585,000	0.2%	0.0%	\$ 33
Union	1	190,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 10
Van Buren	1	500,000	0.1%	100.0%	\$ 91
Weakley	2	3,750,000	1.0%	0.0%	\$ 109
Williamson	4	5,905,000	1.5%	91.5%	\$ 42
Wilson	2	2,250,000	0.6%	0.0%	\$ 24
Areawide/Statewide	1	100,000	0.0%	0.0%	\$ 0
Statewide Total	209	\$ 381,123,314	100.0%	79.8%	\$ 65

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-22b. Public Building Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design		Construction	
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]
Anderson	2	1.4	0	0.0	1	0.2
Bledsoe	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blount	2	0.9	1	16.7%	3	20.4
Bradley	0	0.0	2	100.0%	0	0.0
Cannon	0	0.0	2	100.0%	0	0.0
Carroll	0	0.0	2	50.0%	2	0.4
Cheatham	1	0.7	3	75.0%	0	0.0
Chester	0	0.0	0	0.0%	3	9.6
Claiborne	1	0.5	0	0.0%	1	0.1
Cocke	1	0.2	1	33.3%	1	0.8
Cumberland	0	0.0	0	0.0%	1	5.0
Davidson	9	4.2	4	21.1%	6	9.2
Decatur	1	0.8	3	75.0%	0	0.0
DeKalb	1	0.5	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Dickson	1	0.5	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Dyer	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Fayette	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Franklin	1	0.6	0	0.0%	2	0.7
Gibson	3	1.3	1	20.0%	1	0.1
Giles	2	0.4	1	33.3%	0	0.0
Grainger	1	1.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Greene	0	0.0	1	33.3%	2	0.3
Hamblen	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Hamilton	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Hardeman	1	0.2	2	66.7%	0	0.0
Hawkins	1	0.2	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Henderson	2	1.1	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Hickman	1	1.5	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Houston	1	0.5	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Humphreys	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0
Jackson	1	0.5	0	0.0%	1	0.1
Jefferson	3	0.8	1	25.0%	0	0.0
Johnson	1	0.3	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Knox	3	1.7	2	33.3%	1	5.3
Lauderdale	0	0.0	1	50.0%	1	0.1
Lawrence	0	0.0	1	100.0%	0	0.0

Table D-22b. Public Building Projects by County and by Stage of Development (continued)
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%	Number	Cost [in millions]	%
Lincoln	1	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Loudon	4	3.7	55.2%	1	20.0	20.0%	0	3.0	44.8%
McMinn	1	1.3	65.8%	1	50.0	50.0%	0	0.7	34.2%
McNairy	3	0.5	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Macon	2	2.5	96.2%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Madison	1	0.5	13.7%	3	75.0	75.0%	0	3.2	86.3%
Marion	3	1.1	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Mauzy	0	0.0	0.0%	3	60.0	60.0%	2	0.4	24.1%
Monroe	1	1.5	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Montgomery	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	2	0.0	0.0%
Obion	2	0.3	9.4%	2	40.0	40.0%	1	0.4	11.8%
Overton	1	2.0	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Putnam	1	0.2	40.0%	2	66.7	66.7%	0	0.3	60.0%
Rhea	2	2.8	81.2%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Roane	3	3.5	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Robertson	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Rutherford	1	0.4	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Scott	1	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Sequatchie	1	0.2	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Sevier	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	2	0.0	0.0%
Shelby	2	0.3	0.2%	10	32.3	32.3%	19	40.4	28.1%
Smith	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Sullivan	2	1.7	32.8%	2	33.3	33.3%	2	0.2	4.8%
Sumner	5	8.8	96.7%	2	28.6	28.6%	0	0.3	3.3%
Tipton	1	2.5	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Unicoi	1	0.4	68.4%	1	50.0	50.0%	0	0.2	31.6%
Union	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Van Buren	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Weakley	1	0.8	20.0%	1	50.0	50.0%	0	3.0	80.0%
Williamson	2	3.8	63.5%	0	0.0	0.0%	2	0.0	0.0%
Wilson	1	2.0	88.9%	0	0.0	0.0%	1	0.0	0.0%
Areawide/Statewide	1	0.1	100.0%	0	0.0	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0%
Statewide Total	85	60.8	40.7%	61	146.7	29.2%	63	173.6	45.6%

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-23a. Other Facility Projects by County
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 120,000	0.6%	100.0%	\$ 2
Davidson	1	320,000	1.5%	100.0%	\$ 1
Dyer	1	1,000,000	4.7%	0.0%	\$ 27
Franklin	1	100,000	0.5%	0.0%	\$ 2
Knox	1	3,000,000	14.2%	100.0%	\$ 8
Maury	1	175,000	0.8%	100.0%	\$ 2
Sevier	1	90,000	0.4%	0.0%	\$ 1
Shelby	13	16,069,140	75.9%	100.0%	\$ 18
Sullivan	1	290,000	1.4%	100.0%	\$ 2
Statewide Total	21	\$ 21,164,140	100.0%	94.4%	\$ 4

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-24a. Property Acquisition Projects by County
 Number, Estimated Cost and Percent in CIP*
 Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Percent Cost in CIP	Cost Per Capita
Anderson	1	\$ 2,995,200	34.0%	100.0%	\$ 42
Davidson	1	2,518,000	28.6%	100.0%	\$ 4
Madison	1	250,000	2.8%	100.0%	\$ 3
Shelby	2	1,050,000	11.9%	100.0%	\$ 1
Smith	1	2,000,000	22.7%	0.0%	\$ 110
Statewide Total	6	8,813,200	100.0%	77.3%	\$ 2

* Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

**Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-24b. Property Acquisition Projects by County and by Stage of Development
 Number and Estimated Cost — Five-year Period July 2003 through June 2008*

County	Conceptual			Planning & Design			Construction		
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	0	\$ 0.0	0	\$ 0.0	1	\$ 3.0	100.0%	100.0%	
Davidson	0	0.0	1	2.5	0	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	
Madison	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	
Shelby	1	0.3	1	0.8	0	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	
Smith	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	
Statewide Total	3	\$ 2.5	2	\$ 3.3	1	\$ 3.0	16.7%	34.0%	

* Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.