

Table D-1a. Public Infrastructure Needs by County
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita	7/1/2008 Population
Anderson	92	\$ 190,507,013	0.5 %	\$2,569	74,169
Bedford	62	237,139,380	0.6 %	\$5,306	44,696
Benton	23	41,814,617	0.1 %	\$2,582	16,193
Bledsoe	39	88,446,478	0.2 %	\$6,730	13,142
Blount	114	439,767,716	1.2 %	\$3,619	121,511
Bradley	125	268,716,137	0.7 %	\$2,785	96,472
Campbell	61	165,649,638	0.4 %	\$4,047	40,936
Cannon	45	134,938,223	0.4 %	\$9,775	13,804
Carroll	56	70,398,824	0.2 %	\$2,451	28,719
Carter	101	216,367,161	0.6 %	\$3,637	59,492
Cheatham	116	235,089,665	0.6 %	\$5,967	39,396
Chester	36	39,685,711	0.1 %	\$2,433	16,309
Claiborne	37	194,106,120	0.5 %	\$6,170	31,461
Clay	26	97,704,500	0.3 %	\$12,536	7,794
Cocke	77	265,835,455	0.7 %	\$7,449	35,688
Coffee	76	353,863,052	0.9 %	\$6,788	52,134
Crockett	19	16,591,895	0.0 %	\$1,170	14,186
Cumberland	74	391,441,905	1.0 %	\$7,304	53,590
Davidson	638	4,659,940,337	12.5 %	\$7,442	626,144
Decatur	31	39,032,688	0.1 %	\$3,458	11,288
DeKalb	61	202,316,600	0.5 %	\$10,823	18,694
Dickson	70	355,643,435	1.0 %	\$7,427	47,884
Dyer	42	92,372,134	0.2 %	\$2,457	37,600
Fayette	113	185,609,895	0.5 %	\$4,862	38,173
Fentress	48	808,039,414	2.2 %	\$45,737	17,667
Franklin	57	74,767,838	0.2 %	\$1,816	41,165
Gibson	78	191,918,796	0.5 %	\$3,896	49,257
Giles	60	169,845,152	0.5 %	\$5,820	29,184
Grainger	45	120,703,313	0.3 %	\$5,315	22,708
Greene	148	536,409,580	1.4 %	\$8,108	66,157
Grundy	39	40,895,200	0.1 %	\$2,876	14,220
Hamblen	83	238,379,734	0.6 %	\$3,837	62,132
Hamilton	311	1,255,520,443	3.4 %	\$3,772	332,848
Hancock	27	47,520,736	0.1 %	\$7,100	6,693
Hardeman	66	209,632,471	0.6 %	\$7,528	27,848
Hardin	88	210,228,071	0.6 %	\$8,016	26,227
Hawkins	108	249,453,888	0.7 %	\$4,340	57,477
Haywood	78	172,857,155	0.5 %	\$9,086	19,024
Henderson	68	149,242,999	0.4 %	\$5,545	26,916
Henry	41	69,773,625	0.2 %	\$2,196	31,770
Hickman	58	189,339,584	0.5 %	\$7,942	23,841
Houston	38	74,666,715	0.2 %	\$9,176	8,137
Humphreys	72	201,850,267	0.5 %	\$11,122	18,149
Jackson	41	83,116,086	0.2 %	\$7,663	10,847
Jefferson	57	165,925,274	0.4 %	\$3,249	51,074
Johnson	59	83,593,007	0.2 %	\$4,615	18,112
Knox	482	2,763,944,610	7.4 %	\$6,427	430,019
Lake	30	77,542,122	0.2 %	\$10,589	7,323
Lauderdale	64	102,506,420	0.3 %	\$3,840	26,692
Lawrence	59	211,889,509	0.6 %	\$5,174	40,954

Table D-1a. Public Infrastructure Needs by County
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita	7/1/2008 Population
Lewis	36	24,437,270	0.1 %	\$2,113	11,564
Lincoln	44	129,397,886	0.3 %	\$3,907	33,116
Loudon	70	388,442,339	1.0 %	\$8,363	46,445
McMinn	75	360,811,689	1.0 %	\$6,871	52,511
McNairy	82	167,016,022	0.4 %	\$6,493	25,724
Macon	43	164,168,689	0.4 %	\$7,518	21,838
Madison	176	337,189,046	0.9 %	\$3,499	96,376
Marion	49	65,077,661	0.2 %	\$2,304	28,247
Marshall	49	122,164,733	0.3 %	\$4,109	29,731
Mauzy	69	219,623,878	0.6 %	\$2,680	81,938
Meigs	29	33,575,702	0.1 %	\$2,848	11,790
Monroe	57	134,569,645	0.4 %	\$2,948	45,648
Montgomery	199	994,057,212	2.7 %	\$6,423	154,756
Moore	21	46,187,327	0.1 %	\$7,456	6,195
Morgan	45	195,022,326	0.5 %	\$9,558	20,404
Obion	66	275,003,917	0.7 %	\$8,765	31,375
Overton	54	143,717,125	0.4 %	\$6,852	20,975
Perry	24	137,686,987	0.4 %	\$17,759	7,753
Pickett	27	46,229,097	0.1 %	\$9,629	4,801
Polk	48	289,785,282	0.8 %	\$18,492	15,671
Putnam	123	482,376,173	1.3 %	\$6,779	71,160
Rhea	32	73,244,827	0.2 %	\$2,380	30,781
Roane	89	233,564,524	0.6 %	\$4,371	53,430
Robertson	105	362,472,638	1.0 %	\$5,585	64,898
Rutherford	266	1,606,166,992	4.3 %	\$6,443	249,270
Scott	49	133,124,694	0.4 %	\$6,040	22,039
Sequatchie	27	26,714,043	0.1 %	\$1,967	13,580
Sevier	136	678,949,092	1.8 %	\$8,003	84,835
Shelby	713	5,136,081,257	13.8 %	\$5,664	906,825
Smith	65	81,767,580	0.2 %	\$4,279	19,107
Stewart	32	108,187,000	0.3 %	\$8,180	13,226
Sullivan	252	519,671,327	1.4 %	\$3,377	153,900
Sumner	249	669,862,063	1.8 %	\$4,309	155,474
Tipton	108	262,494,784	0.7 %	\$4,471	58,706
Trousdale	28	108,833,577	0.3 %	\$13,914	7,822
Unicoi	84	105,575,315	0.3 %	\$5,959	17,718
Union	22	91,908,160	0.2 %	\$4,835	19,008
Van Buren	30	138,612,218	0.4 %	\$25,290	5,481
Warren	76	261,649,160	0.7 %	\$6,567	39,842
Washington	211	1,059,376,050	2.8 %	\$8,929	118,639
Wayne	38	164,893,824	0.4 %	\$9,925	16,614
Weakley	74	180,126,522	0.5 %	\$5,397	33,375
White	26	196,102,340	0.5 %	\$7,804	25,129
Williamson	254	1,669,616,629	4.5 %	\$9,738	171,452
Wilson	132	702,020,767	1.9 %	\$6,393	109,803
Multi-county	67	485,853,394	1.3 %	\$78	6,214,888
Grand Total	8,990	\$ 37,295,949,371	100.0 %	\$6,001	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-1b. Public Infrastructure Needs by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	33	47.8 %	\$ 34.2	26.6 %	27	39.1 %	\$ 80.4	62.6 %	9	13.0 %	\$ 13.9	10.8 %
Bedford	21	36.8 %	19.0	10.4 %	29	50.9 %	160.3	87.6 %	7	12.3 %	3.7	2.0 %
Benton	9	60.0 %	30.6	89.4 %	2	13.3 %	1.8	5.3 %	4	26.7 %	1.8	5.3 %
Bledsoe	27	81.8 %	77.0	93.0 %	3	9.1 %	3.3	4.0 %	3	9.1 %	2.5	3.0 %
Blount	43	49.4 %	103.4	30.9 %	33	37.9 %	148.3	44.3 %	11	12.6 %	83.3	24.9 %
Bradley	77	74.8 %	169.6	74.0 %	14	13.6 %	9.4	4.1 %	12	11.7 %	50.1	21.9 %
Campbell	31	53.4 %	82.4	50.7 %	13	22.4 %	63.4	39.1 %	14	24.1 %	16.6	10.2 %
Cannon	37	84.1 %	80.3	59.5 %	5	11.4 %	54.3	40.3 %	2	4.5 %	0.3	0.2 %
Carroll	23	50.0 %	40.2	61.2 %	16	34.8 %	22.8	34.7 %	7	15.2 %	2.7	4.1 %
Carter	52	63.4 %	87.7	48.3 %	12	14.6 %	46.9	25.9 %	18	22.0 %	46.9	25.9 %
Cheatham	90	83.3 %	175.4	87.0 %	10	9.3 %	10.6	5.3 %	8	7.4 %	15.5	7.7 %
Chester	30	83.3 %	28.9	72.8 %	3	8.3 %	2.2	5.7 %	3	8.3 %	8.6	21.6 %
Claiborne	13	38.2 %	37.0	19.1 %	11	32.4 %	78.2	40.4 %	10	29.4 %	78.4	40.5 %
Clay	16	69.6 %	27.3	28.1 %	4	17.4 %	6.4	6.6 %	3	13.0 %	63.3	65.3 %
Cocke	44	68.8 %	79.5	30.7 %	14	21.9 %	165.3	63.7 %	6	9.4 %	14.5	5.6 %
Coffee	26	42.6 %	136.0	56.9 %	29	47.5 %	99.9	41.8 %	6	9.8 %	3.0	1.2 %
Crockett	10	62.5 %	7.3	84.9 %	3	18.8 %	1.0	12.1 %	3	18.8 %	0.3	3.0 %
Cumberland	37	55.2 %	126.0	33.9 %	20	29.9 %	158.2	42.5 %	10	14.9 %	87.8	23.6 %
Davidson	239	44.4 %	1,282.7	30.2 %	176	32.7 %	1,543.3	36.3 %	123	22.9 %	1,420.1	33.4 %
Decatur	15	50.0 %	13.3	34.1 %	10	33.3 %	19.6	50.3 %	5	16.7 %	6.1	15.6 %
DeKalb	41	74.5 %	33.5	21.3 %	9	16.4 %	73.8	46.9 %	5	9.1 %	50.1	31.8 %
Dickson	27	50.0 %	240.7	84.8 %	14	25.9 %	11.1	3.9 %	13	24.1 %	32.2	11.3 %
Dyer	27	73.0 %	67.8	85.5 %	6	16.2 %	2.7	3.4 %	4	10.8 %	8.8	11.1 %
Fayette	83	81.4 %	80.5	47.1 %	13	12.7 %	85.3	49.8 %	6	5.9 %	5.3	3.1 %
Fentress	28	66.7 %	737.1	92.8 %	8	19.0 %	45.9	5.8 %	6	14.3 %	11.6	1.5 %
Franklin	23	45.1 %	47.4	65.9 %	16	31.4 %	10.6	14.8 %	12	23.5 %	13.8	19.2 %
Gibson	44	63.8 %	134.8	88.7 %	13	18.8 %	11.2	7.3 %	12	17.4 %	6.0	4.0 %
Giles	22	38.6 %	72.9	43.2 %	26	45.6 %	47.9	28.4 %	9	15.8 %	47.8	28.3 %
Grainger	25	67.6 %	38.8	32.5 %	8	21.6 %	77.6	64.9 %	4	10.8 %	3.1	2.6 %
Greene	95	77.2 %	446.9	92.5 %	17	13.8 %	27.0	5.6 %	11	8.9 %	9.1	1.9 %
Grundy	21	65.6 %	18.4	55.3 %	9	28.1 %	12.5	37.6 %	2	6.3 %	2.4	7.2 %
Hamblen	31	46.3 %	70.1	33.0 %	27	40.3 %	119.4	56.3 %	9	13.4 %	22.7	10.7 %
Hamilton	176	72.1 %	734.9	60.1 %	48	19.7 %	139.7	11.4 %	20	8.2 %	347.9	28.5 %
Hancock	17	68.0 %	40.2	85.9 %	6	24.0 %	4.7	9.9 %	2	8.0 %	1.9	4.2 %
Hardeman	33	50.8 %	27.2	13.0 %	23	35.4 %	177.2	84.6 %	9	13.8 %	5.1	2.4 %
Hardin	57	70.4 %	53.2	27.0 %	19	23.5 %	127.3	64.5 %	5	6.2 %	16.9	8.6 %

Table D-1b. Public Infrastructure Needs by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	67	73.6 %	141.1	63.3 %	16	17.6 %	52.4	23.5 %	8	8.8 %	29.4	13.2 %
Haywood	64	85.3 %	90.0	63.6 %	11	14.7 %	51.4	36.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	46	76.7 %	32.8	22.4 %	10	16.7 %	94.3	64.5 %	4	6.7 %	19.1	13.1 %
Henry	20	52.6 %	53.4	83.7 %	8	21.1 %	2.9	4.5 %	10	26.3 %	7.5	11.8 %
Hickman	24	44.4 %	121.7	67.5 %	20	37.0 %	39.9	22.1 %	10	18.5 %	18.8	10.4 %
Houston	23	69.7 %	64.8	89.0 %	7	21.2 %	5.3	7.2 %	3	9.1 %	2.7	3.7 %
Humphreys	47	72.3 %	121.0	65.0 %	9	13.8 %	11.2	6.0 %	9	13.8 %	54.0	29.0 %
Jackson	28	73.7 %	74.6	92.9 %	8	21.1 %	5.0	6.2 %	2	5.3 %	0.7	0.9 %
Jefferson	24	50.0 %	30.0	23.9 %	20	41.7 %	91.5	72.7 %	4	8.3 %	4.2	3.4 %
Johnson	38	70.4 %	50.1	61.4 %	7	13.0 %	2.6	3.2 %	9	16.7 %	29.0	35.5 %
Knox	190	47.3 %	1,364.8	51.8 %	130	32.3 %	805.0	30.5 %	82	20.4 %	465.2	17.7 %
Lake	20	74.1 %	48.5	73.4 %	5	18.5 %	17.0	25.7 %	2	7.4 %	0.6	0.9 %
Lauderdale	52	85.2 %	64.2	66.3 %	5	8.2 %	21.3	22.0 %	4	6.6 %	11.4	11.8 %
Lawrence	9	19.1 %	16.7	8.7 %	21	44.7 %	71.1	37.0 %	17	36.2 %	104.3	54.3 %
Lewis	14	38.9 %	6.4	26.0 %	20	55.6 %	17.8	72.8 %	2	5.6 %	0.3	1.2 %
Lincoln	23	54.8 %	10.9	8.5 %	14	33.3 %	4.9	3.8 %	5	11.9 %	113.1	87.7 %
Loudon	17	28.3 %	60.4	15.9 %	24	40.0 %	274.2	72.0 %	19	31.7 %	46.2	12.1 %
McMinn	43	71.7 %	276.6	80.4 %	4	6.7 %	10.0	2.9 %	13	21.7 %	57.3	16.7 %
McNairy	50	64.1 %	42.1	25.4 %	19	24.4 %	65.7	39.6 %	9	11.5 %	58.1	35.0 %
Macon	24	64.9 %	66.5	44.1 %	11	29.7 %	80.6	53.5 %	2	5.4 %	3.5	2.3 %
Madison	100	67.6 %	145.6	45.4 %	38	25.7 %	133.7	41.7 %	10	6.8 %	41.4	12.9 %
Marion	28	65.1 %	20.2	37.6 %	10	23.3 %	30.6	56.9 %	5	11.6 %	3.0	5.6 %
Marshall	20	44.4 %	44.4	50.9 %	23	51.1 %	41.3	47.4 %	2	4.4 %	1.5	1.8 %
Maury	19	30.6 %	41.1	21.9 %	35	56.5 %	134.0	71.4 %	8	12.9 %	12.7	6.8 %
Meigs	17	68.0 %	12.2	37.3 %	3	12.0 %	16.0	49.0 %	5	20.0 %	4.5	13.7 %
Monroe	25	59.5 %	80.8	76.4 %	6	14.3 %	15.6	14.7 %	11	26.2 %	9.4	8.9 %
Montgomery	63	39.1 %	304.1	44.7 %	68	42.2 %	232.3	34.2 %	30	18.6 %	143.7	21.1 %
Moore	15	78.9 %	18.0	51.6 %	2	10.5 %	11.5	33.0 %	2	10.5 %	5.4	15.4 %
Morgan	21	56.8 %	14.6	7.7 %	13	35.1 %	145.0	76.5 %	3	8.1 %	30.1	15.9 %
Obion	37	66.1 %	242.0	92.7 %	13	23.2 %	12.3	4.7 %	6	10.7 %	6.9	2.6 %
Overton	34	70.8 %	57.0	44.7 %	8	16.7 %	58.7	46.1 %	6	12.5 %	11.7	9.2 %
Perry	10	45.5 %	125.4	91.2 %	9	40.9 %	6.3	4.6 %	3	13.6 %	5.7	4.2 %
Pickett	17	68.0 %	22.0	71.2 %	6	24.0 %	5.7	18.4 %	2	8.0 %	3.2	10.4 %
Polk	32	72.7 %	256.5	89.3 %	9	20.5 %	6.6	2.3 %	3	6.8 %	24.0	8.3 %
Putnam	52	48.6 %	158.1	40.6 %	34	31.8 %	144.1	37.0 %	21	19.6 %	87.1	22.4 %
Rhea	19	67.9 %	26.2	36.9 %	3	10.7 %	1.8	2.6 %	6	21.4 %	42.9	60.5 %

Table D-1b. Public Infrastructure Needs by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Roane	39	48.8 %	67.8	30.5 %	28	35.0 %	81.2	36.5 %	13	16.3 %	73.2	32.9 %
Robertson	41	46.6 %	203.7	69.2 %	32	36.4 %	81.7	27.8 %	15	17.0 %	9.0	3.1 %
Rutherford	121	52.8 %	603.6	41.4 %	68	29.7 %	633.6	43.4 %	40	17.5 %	221.9	15.2 %
Scott	26	70.3 %	30.7	31.7 %	9	24.3 %	61.9	63.8 %	2	5.4 %	4.3	4.4 %
Sequatchie	18	75.0 %	8.7	35.8 %	3	12.5 %	0.6	2.7 %	3	12.5 %	14.9	61.5 %
Sevier	48	40.3 %	218.4	33.1 %	53	44.5 %	293.1	44.5 %	18	15.1 %	147.6	22.4 %
Shelby	311	51.4 %	1,761.1	35.9 %	191	31.6 %	2,413.8	49.3 %	103	17.0 %	724.9	14.8 %
Smith	40	65.6 %	44.9	55.9 %	16	26.2 %	26.8	33.4 %	5	8.2 %	8.6	10.8 %
Stewart	10	34.5 %	28.0	25.9 %	11	37.9 %	20.1	18.6 %	8	27.6 %	60.0	55.5 %
Sullivan	126	61.8 %	256.0	56.9 %	45	22.1 %	83.3	18.5 %	33	16.2 %	111.0	24.6 %
Sumner	108	53.5 %	290.0	50.7 %	55	27.2 %	166.2	29.1 %	39	19.3 %	115.8	20.2 %
Tipton	71	74.7 %	141.9	61.6 %	19	20.0 %	75.7	32.9 %	5	5.3 %	12.7	5.5 %
Trousdale	15	55.6 %	36.4	33.4 %	10	37.0 %	71.1	65.4 %	2	7.4 %	1.3	1.2 %
Unicoi	52	65.0 %	60.4	68.9 %	22	27.5 %	20.2	23.1 %	6	7.5 %	7.0	8.0 %
Union	7	38.9 %	30.8	40.1 %	8	44.4 %	43.9	57.2 %	3	16.7 %	2.1	2.7 %
Van Buren	21	72.4 %	93.9	68.5 %	3	10.3 %	7.8	5.7 %	5	17.2 %	35.4	25.8 %
Warren	47	69.1 %	63.9	25.4 %	15	22.1 %	88.7	35.3 %	6	8.8 %	98.8	39.3 %
Washington	118	64.1 %	652.1	70.1 %	43	23.4 %	170.6	18.4 %	23	12.5 %	106.9	11.5 %
Wayne	12	37.5 %	68.0	42.2 %	12	37.5 %	66.7	41.4 %	8	25.0 %	26.4	16.4 %
Weakley	38	60.3 %	111.9	65.2 %	22	34.9 %	40.9	23.8 %	3	4.8 %	18.8	10.9 %
White	12	48.0 %	150.8	76.9 %	4	16.0 %	3.8	2.0 %	9	36.0 %	41.4	21.1 %
Williamson	96	47.5 %	693.4	52.1 %	66	32.7 %	382.6	28.7 %	40	19.8 %	255.4	19.2 %
Wilson	61	58.1 %	326.1	59.9 %	21	20.0 %	72.0	13.2 %	23	21.9 %	146.1	26.8 %
Multi-county	55	82.1 %	471.4	97.0 %	9	13.4 %	10.0	2.1 %	3	4.5 %	4.4	0.9 %
Grand Total	4,448	57.7 %	\$ 16,201.1	48.1 %	2,110	27.4 %	\$ 11,285.7	33.5 %	1,145	14.9 %	\$ 6,210.5	18.4 %

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgegv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	16	\$ 68,407,967	0.4 %	43.0 %	\$922	74,169
Bedford	20	127,417,204	0.7 %	0.1 %	\$2,851	44,696
Benton	9	30,111,417	0.2 %	0.5 %	\$1,860	16,193
Bledsoe	8	12,985,478	0.1 %	77.0 %	\$988	13,142
Blount	48	251,836,502	1.4 %	18.8 %	\$2,073	121,511
Bradley	59	190,646,681	1.0 %	9.2 %	\$1,976	96,472
Campbell	20	121,960,629	0.7 %	0.2 %	\$2,979	40,936
Cannon	33	120,576,968	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$8,735	13,804
Carroll	15	25,231,847	0.1 %	1.9 %	\$879	28,719
Carter	28	88,453,533	0.5 %	75.8 %	\$1,487	59,492
Cheatham	84	140,986,665	0.8 %	0.6 %	\$3,579	39,396
Chester	20	15,590,711	0.1 %	35.0 %	\$956	16,309
Claiborne	13	156,008,886	0.9 %	2.8 %	\$4,959	31,461
Clay	15	71,536,000	0.4 %	7.4 %	\$9,178	7,794
Cocke	41	223,877,585	1.2 %	0.1 %	\$6,273	35,688
Coffee	28	134,164,794	0.7 %	2.4 %	\$2,573	52,134
Crockett	5	2,653,670	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$187	14,186
Cumberland	34	220,364,000	1.2 %	20.9 %	\$4,112	53,590
Davidson	198	1,217,934,903	6.7 %	90.2 %	\$1,945	626,144
Decatur	15	24,585,688	0.1 %	16.3 %	\$2,178	11,288
DeKalb	26	129,655,000	0.7 %	19.3 %	\$6,936	18,694
Dickson	22	208,031,423	1.1 %	5.8 %	\$4,344	47,884
Dyer	7	46,256,490	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,230	37,600
Fayette	82	147,062,101	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$3,853	38,173
Fentress	21	755,395,608	4.1 %	0.1 %	\$42,757	17,667
Franklin	20	24,118,880	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$586	41,165
Gibson	32	113,031,576	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$2,295	49,257
Giles	23	85,656,622	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$2,935	29,184
Grainger	20	99,288,600	0.5 %	0.1 %	\$4,372	22,708
Greene	28	290,315,900	1.6 %	5.3 %	\$4,388	66,157
Grundy	16	15,752,000	0.1 %	4.1 %	\$1,108	14,220
Hamblen	20	81,808,650	0.4 %	0.7 %	\$1,317	62,132
Hamilton	111	738,254,773	4.0 %	57.9 %	\$2,218	332,848
Hancock	7	23,711,300	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$3,543	6,693
Hardeman	38	156,169,000	0.9 %	24.3 %	\$5,608	27,848
Hardin	49	150,294,271	0.8 %	0.8 %	\$5,731	26,227
Hawkins	26	141,467,329	0.8 %	2.5 %	\$2,461	57,477
Haywood	64	104,364,725	0.6 %	1.1 %	\$5,486	19,024
Henderson	38	113,172,000	0.6 %	41.5 %	\$4,205	26,916
Henry	19	45,370,205	0.2 %	8.2 %	\$1,428	31,770
Hickman	29	126,648,722	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$5,312	23,841
Houston	10	55,821,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$6,860	8,137
Humphreys	22	148,718,591	0.8 %	0.9 %	\$8,194	18,149
Jackson	22	70,316,086	0.4 %	0.4 %	\$6,483	10,847
Jefferson	20	82,360,421	0.5 %	0.7 %	\$1,613	51,074
Johnson	18	24,639,164	0.1 %	2.4 %	\$1,360	18,112
Knox	117	703,760,858	3.8 %	13.3 %	\$1,637	430,019
Lake	8	44,904,922	0.2 %	32.0 %	\$6,132	7,323
Lauderdale	44	56,145,420	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$2,103	26,692
Lawrence	17	125,078,225	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$3,054	40,954

Table D-2a. Transportation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Lewis	18	9,353,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$809	11,564
Lincoln	16	115,837,403	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$3,498	33,116
Loudon	20	278,180,925	1.5 %	3.1 %	\$5,989	46,445
McMinn	33	255,059,714	1.4 %	31.3 %	\$4,857	52,511
McNairy	35	127,715,812	0.7 %	20.5 %	\$4,965	25,724
Macon	24	123,224,000	0.7 %	22.7 %	\$5,643	21,838
Madison	62	152,023,844	0.8 %	58.9 %	\$1,577	96,376
Marion	19	33,392,307	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,182	28,247
Marshall	15	39,174,050	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,318	29,731
Mauzy	22	68,335,878	0.4 %	13.8 %	\$834	81,938
Meigs	10	19,340,480	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1,640	11,790
Monroe	19	45,971,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,007	45,648
Montgomery	36	308,232,764	1.7 %	80.6 %	\$1,992	154,756
Moore	6	1,947,327	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$314	6,195
Morgan	20	177,759,066	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$8,712	20,404
Obion	25	244,963,899	1.3 %	1.7 %	\$7,808	31,375
Overton	28	88,039,625	0.5 %	9.0 %	\$4,197	20,975
Perry	13	133,246,500	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$17,186	7,753
Pickett	9	14,961,597	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$3,116	4,801
Polk	26	251,086,346	1.4 %	0.1 %	\$16,022	15,671
Putnam	43	175,897,673	1.0 %	60.7 %	\$2,472	71,160
Rhea	9	47,248,627	0.3 %	0.6 %	\$1,535	30,781
Roane	20	130,289,135	0.7 %	0.2 %	\$2,439	53,430
Robertson	32	181,542,758	1.0 %	4.5 %	\$2,797	64,898
Rutherford	66	382,266,274	2.1 %	64.1 %	\$1,534	249,270
Scott	19	85,089,225	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$3,861	22,039
Sequatchie	9	15,953,043	0.1 %	1.0 %	\$1,175	13,580
Sevier	44	323,652,395	1.8 %	39.2 %	\$3,815	84,835
Shelby	330	3,136,233,776	17.2 %	24.3 %	\$3,458	906,825
Smith	35	45,380,388	0.2 %	2.2 %	\$2,375	19,107
Stewart	8	64,433,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$4,872	13,226
Sullivan	94	201,971,519	1.1 %	51.9 %	\$1,312	153,900
Sumner	68	281,524,851	1.5 %	27.4 %	\$1,811	155,474
Tipton	74	185,388,284	1.0 %	0.1 %	\$3,158	58,706
Trousdale	5	72,900,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$9,320	7,822
Unicoi	23	30,666,480	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,731	17,718
Union	8	71,657,160	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$3,770	19,008
Van Buren	5	42,400,000	0.2 %	27.1 %	\$7,736	5,481
Warren	39	142,414,160	0.8 %	20.7 %	\$3,574	39,842
Washington	37	463,862,926	2.5 %	80.5 %	\$3,910	118,639
Wayne	15	92,601,624	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$5,574	16,614
Weakley	8	3,424,644	0.0 %	4.1 %	\$103	33,375
White	12	153,087,000	0.8 %	6.2 %	\$6,092	25,129
Williamson	78	924,738,112	5.1 %	38.4 %	\$5,394	171,452
Wilson	46	362,625,510	2.0 %	11.1 %	\$3,303	109,803
Multi-county	30	28,397,810	0.2 %	41.6 %	\$5	6,214,888
Grand Total	3,298	\$ 18,286,392,901	100.0 %	26.2 %	\$2,942	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Transportation
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	4	25.0 %	\$ 2.0	3.0 %	12	75.0 %	\$ 66.4	97.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	8	40.0 %	6.5	5.1 %	11	55.0 %	118.6	93.1 %	1	5.0 %	2.3	1.8 %
Benton	5	55.6 %	27.6	91.7 %	1	11.1 %	1.3	4.3 %	3	33.3 %	1.2	3.9 %
Bledsoe	6	75.0 %	12.7	97.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	25.0 %	0.3	2.3 %
Blount	23	47.9 %	54.8	21.8 %	20	41.7 %	123.6	49.1 %	5	10.4 %	73.5	29.2 %
Bradley	40	67.8 %	136.3	71.5 %	12	20.3 %	8.8	4.6 %	7	11.9 %	45.5	23.9 %
Campbell	9	45.0 %	61.8	50.6 %	4	20.0 %	47.3	38.8 %	7	35.0 %	12.9	10.6 %
Cannon	30	90.9 %	68.6	56.9 %	2	6.1 %	51.9	43.0 %	1	3.0 %	0.1	0.1 %
Carroll	6	40.0 %	19.3	76.4 %	5	33.3 %	5.0	19.6 %	4	26.7 %	1.0	4.0 %
Carter	13	46.4 %	35.6	40.2 %	8	28.6 %	43.3	49.0 %	7	25.0 %	9.5	10.8 %
Cheatham	70	83.3 %	119.2	84.5 %	7	8.3 %	6.6	4.7 %	7	8.3 %	15.2	10.7 %
Chester	18	90.0 %	14.7	94.6 %	1	5.0 %	0.4	2.5 %	1	5.0 %	0.5	2.9 %
Claiborne	1	7.7 %	7.3	4.7 %	7	53.8 %	76.5	49.0 %	5	38.5 %	72.2	46.3 %
Clay	10	66.7 %	3.0	4.2 %	2	13.3 %	5.2	7.3 %	3	20.0 %	63.3	88.5 %
Cocke	27	65.9 %	54.6	24.4 %	11	26.8 %	162.5	72.6 %	3	7.3 %	6.8	3.0 %
Coffee	14	50.0 %	72.5	54.0 %	11	39.3 %	61.4	45.7 %	3	10.7 %	0.3	0.2 %
Crockett	1	20.0 %	1.5	56.7 %	2	40.0 %	0.9	35.5 %	2	40.0 %	0.2	7.8 %
Cumberland	20	58.8 %	106.0	48.1 %	11	32.4 %	107.0	48.5 %	3	8.8 %	7.4	3.4 %
Davidson	75	37.9 %	361.8	29.7 %	61	30.8 %	464.2	38.1 %	62	31.3 %	391.9	32.2 %
Decatur	10	66.7 %	8.2	33.2 %	3	20.0 %	15.1	61.4 %	2	13.3 %	1.3	5.4 %
DeKalb	15	57.7 %	10.4	8.0 %	8	30.8 %	69.9	53.9 %	3	11.5 %	49.5	38.1 %
Dickson	12	54.5 %	198.0	95.2 %	3	13.6 %	0.6	0.3 %	7	31.8 %	9.4	4.5 %
Dyer	4	57.1 %	45.3	97.9 %	3	42.9 %	1.0	2.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	68	82.9 %	62.4	42.4 %	11	13.4 %	82.3	55.9 %	3	3.7 %	2.4	1.6 %
Fentress	16	76.2 %	707.6	93.7 %	2	9.5 %	38.3	5.1 %	3	14.3 %	9.6	1.3 %
Franklin	11	55.0 %	19.6	81.4 %	4	20.0 %	0.8	3.3 %	5	25.0 %	3.7	15.2 %
Gibson	22	68.8 %	104.5	92.4 %	5	15.6 %	6.8	6.0 %	5	15.6 %	1.8	1.6 %
Giles	9	39.1 %	10.6	12.4 %	9	39.1 %	28.6	33.4 %	5	21.7 %	46.4	54.2 %
Grainger	17	85.0 %	30.6	30.8 %	2	10.0 %	68.6	69.1 %	1	5.0 %	0.1	0.1 %
Greene	21	75.0 %	270.0	93.0 %	5	17.9 %	17.1	5.9 %	2	7.1 %	3.2	1.1 %
Grundy	9	56.3 %	4.7	29.9 %	6	37.5 %	9.1	57.5 %	1	6.3 %	2.0	12.6 %
Hamblen	8	40.0 %	1.5	1.8 %	9	45.0 %	79.8	97.6 %	3	15.0 %	0.5	0.6 %
Hamilton	83	74.8 %	356.4	48.3 %	21	18.9 %	69.1	9.4 %	7	6.3 %	312.7	42.4 %
Hancock	4	57.1 %	21.6	90.9 %	2	28.6 %	0.7	2.8 %	1	14.3 %	1.5	6.3 %
Hardeman	18	47.4 %	20.1	12.9 %	14	36.8 %	132.6	84.9 %	6	15.8 %	3.5	2.2 %
Hardin	35	71.4 %	23.7	15.8 %	12	24.5 %	119.4	79.4 %	2	4.1 %	7.2	4.8 %

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Transportation
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	18	69.2 %	95.1	67.2 %	6	23.1 %	42.6	30.1 %	2	7.7 %	3.7	2.6 %
Haywood	56	87.5 %	70.5	67.5 %	8	12.5 %	33.9	32.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	32	84.2 %	16.9	14.9 %	4	10.5 %	77.8	68.8 %	2	5.3 %	18.5	16.3 %
Henry	11	57.9 %	42.1	92.9 %	2	10.5 %	0.4	0.9 %	6	31.6 %	2.8	6.2 %
Hickman	16	55.2 %	112.0	88.4 %	9	31.0 %	9.7	7.7 %	4	13.8 %	5.0	3.9 %
Houston	8	80.0 %	53.5	95.9 %	1	10.0 %	0.3	0.5 %	1	10.0 %	2.0	3.6 %
Humphreys	15	68.2 %	93.4	62.8 %	1	4.5 %	4.0	2.7 %	6	27.3 %	51.4	34.5 %
Jackson	19	86.4 %	68.5	97.4 %	3	13.6 %	1.8	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	8	40.0 %	16.1	19.6 %	10	50.0 %	63.8	77.4 %	2	10.0 %	2.4	3.0 %
Johnson	14	77.8 %	4.2	17.2 %	2	11.1 %	0.4	1.6 %	2	11.1 %	20.0	81.2 %
Knox	46	39.3 %	152.0	21.6 %	36	30.8 %	339.7	48.3 %	35	29.9 %	212.0	30.1 %
Lake	5	62.5 %	30.0	66.7 %	3	37.5 %	14.9	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lauderdale	40	90.9 %	46.1	82.1 %	3	6.8 %	9.6	17.1 %	1	2.3 %	0.5	0.8 %
Lawrence	2	11.8 %	0.9	0.7 %	9	52.9 %	62.2	49.7 %	6	35.3 %	61.9	49.5 %
Lewis	11	61.1 %	5.5	58.4 %	6	33.3 %	3.8	40.9 %	1	5.6 %	0.1	0.7 %
Lincoln	8	50.0 %	1.7	1.5 %	3	18.8 %	1.1	0.9 %	5	31.3 %	113.1	97.6 %
Loudon	4	20.0 %	43.8	15.7 %	11	55.0 %	213.9	76.9 %	5	25.0 %	20.5	7.4 %
McMinn	22	66.7 %	200.9	78.8 %	1	3.0 %	0.1	0.0 %	10	30.3 %	54.0	21.2 %
McNairy	20	57.1 %	19.9	15.6 %	11	31.4 %	55.6	43.6 %	4	11.4 %	52.1	40.8 %
Macon	15	62.5 %	41.0	33.3 %	8	33.3 %	79.2	64.3 %	1	4.2 %	3.0	2.4 %
Madison	45	72.6 %	28.6	18.8 %	11	17.7 %	106.4	70.0 %	6	9.7 %	17.0	11.2 %
Marion	11	57.9 %	3.1	9.4 %	6	31.6 %	28.6	85.6 %	2	10.5 %	1.7	5.0 %
Marshall	9	60.0 %	16.0	40.7 %	6	40.0 %	23.2	59.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	9	40.9 %	8.5	12.4 %	8	36.4 %	51.4	75.2 %	5	22.7 %	8.5	12.4 %
Meigs	8	80.0 %	3.7	19.2 %	2	20.0 %	15.6	80.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	10	52.6 %	41.3	89.8 %	2	10.5 %	0.5	1.1 %	7	36.8 %	4.2	9.1 %
Montgomery	15	41.7 %	121.9	39.5 %	12	33.3 %	126.6	41.1 %	9	25.0 %	59.8	19.4 %
Moore	5	83.3 %	1.4	73.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	16.7 %	0.5	26.4 %
Morgan	7	35.0 %	4.7	2.6 %	11	55.0 %	144.1	81.1 %	2	10.0 %	29.0	16.3 %
Obion	16	64.0 %	233.6	95.4 %	6	24.0 %	8.0	3.3 %	3	12.0 %	3.4	1.4 %
Overton	21	75.0 %	27.0	30.7 %	5	17.9 %	53.8	61.1 %	2	7.1 %	7.2	8.2 %
Perry	7	53.8 %	123.9	93.0 %	4	30.8 %	3.8	2.8 %	2	15.4 %	5.6	4.2 %
Pickett	6	66.7 %	9.8	65.2 %	1	11.1 %	2.0	13.4 %	2	22.2 %	3.2	21.4 %
Polk	18	69.2 %	224.5	89.4 %	5	19.2 %	2.6	1.0 %	3	11.5 %	24.0	9.5 %
Putnam	19	44.2 %	30.8	17.5 %	15	34.9 %	109.2	62.1 %	9	20.9 %	35.8	20.4 %
Rhea	5	55.6 %	6.5	13.7 %	1	11.1 %	1.0	2.1 %	3	33.3 %	39.8	84.2 %

Table D-2b. Transportation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Transportation
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Roane	8	40.0 %	34.9	26.8 %	9	45.0 %	45.4	34.8 %	3	15.0 %	50.0	38.4 %
Robertson	15	46.9 %	148.2	81.7 %	11	34.4 %	30.4	16.8 %	6	18.8 %	2.8	1.6 %
Rutherford	23	34.8 %	62.1	16.2 %	26	39.4 %	204.3	53.4 %	17	25.8 %	115.8	30.3 %
Scott	14	73.7 %	24.4	28.6 %	5	26.3 %	60.7	71.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sequatchie	7	77.8 %	1.9	12.1 %	1	11.1 %	0.1	0.7 %	1	11.1 %	13.9	87.2 %
Sevier	15	34.1 %	119.7	37.0 %	27	61.4 %	175.7	54.3 %	2	4.5 %	28.3	8.7 %
Shelby	177	53.6 %	985.9	31.4 %	120	36.4 %	2,003.3	63.9 %	33	10.0 %	147.1	4.7 %
Smith	23	65.7 %	25.6	56.4 %	8	22.9 %	14.2	31.2 %	4	11.4 %	5.6	12.4 %
Stewart	4	50.0 %	26.0	40.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	50.0 %	38.5	59.7 %
Sullivan	69	73.4 %	165.8	82.1 %	16	17.0 %	18.3	9.1 %	9	9.6 %	17.8	8.8 %
Sumner	29	42.6 %	103.6	36.8 %	23	33.8 %	89.9	31.9 %	16	23.5 %	88.0	31.3 %
Tipton	61	82.4 %	118.0	63.6 %	12	16.2 %	60.6	32.7 %	1	1.4 %	6.8	3.7 %
Trousdale	3	60.0 %	19.5	26.7 %	2	40.0 %	53.4	73.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	16	69.6 %	14.9	48.7 %	7	30.4 %	15.7	51.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Union	4	50.0 %	29.1	40.6 %	4	50.0 %	42.5	59.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	2	40.0 %	13.6	32.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	60.0 %	28.8	67.9 %
Warren	28	71.8 %	19.2	13.5 %	7	17.9 %	25.0	17.5 %	4	10.3 %	98.2	69.0 %
Washington	20	54.1 %	345.1	74.4 %	12	32.4 %	79.9	17.2 %	5	13.5 %	38.8	8.4 %
Wayne	6	40.0 %	22.5	24.3 %	4	26.7 %	54.0	58.3 %	5	33.3 %	16.0	17.3 %
Weakley	5	62.5 %	1.4	39.7 %	2	25.0 %	0.7	21.8 %	1	12.5 %	1.3	38.5 %
White	6	50.0 %	118.7	77.6 %	2	16.7 %	2.2	1.4 %	4	33.3 %	32.1	21.0 %
Williamson	32	41.0 %	547.6	59.2 %	26	33.3 %	222.8	24.1 %	20	25.6 %	154.4	16.7 %
Wilson	23	50.0 %	233.3	64.3 %	9	19.6 %	40.5	11.2 %	14	30.4 %	88.8	24.5 %
Multi-county	22	73.3 %	22.6	79.5 %	5	16.7 %	1.4	4.9 %	3	10.0 %	4.4	15.6 %
Grand Total	1,935	58.7 %	\$ 8,263.9	45.2 %	877	26.6 %	\$ 7,023.6	38.4 %	486	14.7 %	\$ 2,998.9	16.4 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3a. Other Utilities Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regulatory Equipment EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	3	\$ 9,735,000	1.6 %	100.0 %	\$131	74,169
Bedford	1	1,500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$34	44,696
Bledsoe	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$38	13,142
Blount	1	1,250,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$10	121,511
Clay	1	20,000,000	3.4 %	0.0 %	\$2,566	7,794
Cocke	7	13,750,000	2.3 %	100.0 %	\$385	35,688
Coffee	3	363,125	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$7	52,134
Davidson	1	405,000,000	68.5 %	100.0 %	\$647	626,144
Dickson	1	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	47,884
Greene	1	1,500,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$23	66,157
Hamblen	4	11,300,000	1.9 %	0.0 %	\$182	62,132
Hancock	1	491,846	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$73	6,693
Hawkins	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	57,477
Jackson	1	750,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$69	10,847
Knox	1	1,000,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$2	430,019
Lawrence	1	800,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$20	40,954
Loudon	6	6,482,863	1.1 %	43.2 %	\$140	46,445
McNairy	2	2,900,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$113	25,724
Meigs	1	250,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$21	11,790
Monroe	1	3,500,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$77	45,648
Montgomery	3	24,000,000	4.1 %	100.0 %	\$155	154,756
Morgan	1	1,500,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$74	20,404
Putnam	1	600,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	71,160
Roane	5	12,100,000	2.0 %	78.5 %	\$226	53,430
Robertson	1	3,350,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$52	64,898
Rutherford	3	2,300,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$9	249,270
Sevier	2	59,637,500	10.1 %	100.0 %	\$703	84,835
Stewart	1	3,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$227	13,226
Sumner	2	895,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$6	155,474
Unicoi	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	17,718
Van Buren	1	631,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$115	5,481
White	1	638,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$25	25,129
Multi-county	1	1,260,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$0	6,214,888
Grand Total	62	\$ 591,584,334	100.0 %	91.2 %	\$95	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-3b. Other Utilities Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Other Utilities
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	\$ 8.3	85.6 %	1	33.3 %	\$ 1.4	14.4 %
Bedford	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %
Clay	1	100.0 %	20.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cocke	4	57.1 %	8.8	64.0 %	1	14.3 %	1.5	10.9 %	2	28.6 %	3.5	25.1 %
Coffee	1	33.3 %	0.2	42.6 %	2	66.7 %	0.2	57.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	405.0	100.0 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %
Hamblen	3	75.0 %	9.8	86.7 %	1	25.0 %	1.5	13.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hancock	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	3	50.0 %	3.4	51.7 %	1	16.7 %	0.1	0.8 %	2	33.3 %	3.1	47.5 %
McNairy	2	100.0 %	2.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	1	100.0 %	3.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	12.0	50.0 %	2	66.7 %	12.0	50.0 %
Morgan	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	80.0 %	10.6	87.6 %	1	20.0 %	1.5	12.4 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	3	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	50.0 %	0.2	0.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	59.4	99.6 %
Stewart	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	0.4	46.9 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	53.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Van Buren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
White	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	28	45.2 %	\$ 57.1	9.7 %	21	33.9 %	\$ 45.9	7.8 %	13	21.0 %	\$ 488.6	82.6 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-4a. Telecommunications Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regrowth Equivalency	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Blount	1	\$ 6,800,000	22.5 %	0.0 %	\$56	121,511
Davidson	3	6,640,900	22.0 %	100.0 %	\$11	626,144
Hamblen	1	10,000,000	33.1 %	0.0 %	\$161	62,132
McMinn	1	6,500,000	21.5 %	0.0 %	\$124	52,511
Montgomery	1	300,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$2	154,756
Grand Total	7	\$ 30,240,900	100.0 %	23.0 %	\$5	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-4b. Telecommunications Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Telecommunications
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Blount	1	100.0 %	\$ 6.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	1.9	28.0 %	2	66.7 %	4.8	72.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	6.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	3	42.9 %	\$ 13.6	45.0 %	2	28.6 %	\$ 11.9	39.2 %	2	28.6 %	\$ 4.8	15.8 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-5a. Non K-12 Education Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Bedford	4	\$ 600,000	0.0 %	50.0 %	\$13	44,696
Blount	3	30,500,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$251	121,511
Bradley	5	3,930,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$41	96,472
Carroll	1	400,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$14	28,719
Carter	2	1,100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$18	59,492
Chester	1	1,400,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$86	16,309
Claiborne	1	1,500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$48	31,461
Cumberland	2	470,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$9	53,590
Davidson	56	177,157,052	4.4 %	99.1 %	\$283	626,144
DeKalb	1	280,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$15	18,694
Dickson	5	590,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$12	47,884
Dyer	11	12,872,800	0.3 %	94.2 %	\$342	37,600
Fentress	1	5,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$283	17,667
Franklin	6	15,840,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$385	41,165
Gibson	3	6,550,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$133	49,257
Giles	2	260,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$9	29,184
Greene	4	6,970,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$105	66,157
Grundy	1	480,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$34	14,220
Hamblen	16	25,480,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$410	62,132
Hamilton	51	355,470,000	8.9 %	78.3 %	\$1,068	332,848
Hardeman	2	640,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$23	27,848
Hardin	1	110,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	26,227
Henderson	2	1,300,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$48	26,916
Henry	3	1,529,420	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$48	31,770
Knox	162	1,393,187,927	34.7 %	98.7 %	\$3,240	430,019
Lauderdale	3	960,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$36	26,692
Macon	1	3,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$137	21,838
Madison	12	58,780,000	1.5 %	50.9 %	\$610	96,376
Mauzy	9	20,660,000	0.5 %	44.8 %	\$252	81,938
Montgomery	28	138,410,000	3.4 %	94.9 %	\$894	154,756
Moore	7	14,530,000	0.4 %	63.5 %	\$2,345	6,195
Overton	2	720,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$34	20,975
Putnam	28	130,466,000	3.2 %	95.2 %	\$1,833	71,160
Roane	9	3,947,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$74	53,430
Rutherford	43	550,700,000	13.7 %	98.4 %	\$2,209	249,270
Scott	3	600,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$27	22,039
Shelby	86	579,652,152	14.4 %	99.9 %	\$639	906,825
Smith	1	2,000,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$105	19,107
Sullivan	8	33,605,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$218	153,900
Sumner	13	38,957,055	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$251	155,474
Trousdale	4	4,460,000	0.1 %	13.2 %	\$570	7,822
Washington	38	170,464,000	4.2 %	99.3 %	\$1,437	118,639
Weakley	36	158,005,000	3.9 %	98.3 %	\$4,734	33,375
Williamson	1	33,230,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$194	171,452
Multi-county	7	29,360,000	0.7 %	89.5 %	\$5	6,214,888
Grand Total	685	\$ 4,016,123,406	100.0 %	95.2 %	\$646	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-5b. Non K-12 Education Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Non K-12 Education
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	2	50.0 %	\$ 0.2	33.3 %	1	25.0 %	\$ 0.3	50.0 %	1	25.0 %	\$ 0.1	16.7 %
Blount	2	66.7 %	18.5	60.7 %	1	33.3 %	12.0	39.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	3	60.0 %	3.1	77.9 %	1	20.0 %	0.5	12.7 %	1	20.0 %	0.4	9.4 %
Carroll	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Chester	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	50.0 %	0.1	27.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	72.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	40	71.4 %	151.5	85.5 %	7	12.5 %	5.8	3.3 %	9	16.1 %	19.8	11.2 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	4	80.0 %	0.5	83.1 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	16.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	9	81.8 %	6.2	48.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	18.2 %	6.7	52.0 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	5	83.3 %	15.3	96.8 %	1	16.7 %	0.5	3.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	2	66.7 %	6.0	91.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.6	8.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	2	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	50.0 %	3.9	55.5 %	2	50.0 %	3.1	44.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grundy	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	11	68.8 %	9.1	35.6 %	3	18.8 %	9.2	36.1 %	2	12.5 %	7.2	28.3 %
Hamilton	35	68.6 %	292.3	82.2 %	11	21.6 %	44.2	12.4 %	5	9.8 %	19.0	5.4 %
Hardeman	1	50.0 %	0.2	28.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	71.9 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	2	66.7 %	1.4	90.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	9.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	88	54.3 %	958.0	68.8 %	65	40.1 %	365.6	26.2 %	9	5.6 %	69.7	5.0 %
Lauderdale	3	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	9	75.0 %	57.6	97.9 %	3	25.0 %	1.2	2.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	7	77.8 %	18.6	89.9 %	1	11.1 %	0.4	1.9 %	1	11.1 %	1.7	8.1 %
Montgomery	19	67.9 %	110.8	80.1 %	9	32.1 %	27.6	19.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Moore	7	100.0 %	14.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	2	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	20	71.4 %	108.0	82.8 %	3	10.7 %	2.2	1.7 %	5	17.9 %	20.3	15.6 %
Roane	6	66.7 %	3.1	79.7 %	2	22.2 %	0.6	15.2 %	1	11.1 %	0.2	5.1 %
Rutherford	27	62.8 %	241.6	43.9 %	12	27.9 %	282.4	51.3 %	4	9.3 %	26.7	4.9 %
Scott	2	66.7 %	0.5	75.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	25.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-5b. Non K-12 Education Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Non K-12 Education
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Shelby	49	57.0 %	334.1	57.6 %	30	34.9 %	230.2	39.7 %	7	8.1 %	15.4	2.7 %
Smith	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	6	75.0 %	33.4	99.4 %	1	12.5 %	0.1	0.3 %	1	12.5 %	0.1	0.3 %
Sumner	8	61.5 %	34.6	88.8 %	3	23.1 %	3.8	9.8 %	2	15.4 %	0.6	1.4 %
Trousdale	3	75.0 %	4.3	97.3 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	28	73.7 %	161.3	94.6 %	4	10.5 %	3.4	2.0 %	6	15.8 %	5.8	3.4 %
Weakley	24	66.7 %	104.3	66.0 %	11	30.6 %	36.7	23.2 %	1	2.8 %	17.0	10.8 %
Williamson	1	100.0 %	33.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	7	100.0 %	29.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	448	65.4 %	\$ 2,772.4	69.0 %	179	26.1 %	\$ 1,032.7	25.7 %	58	8.5 %	\$ 211.1	5.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-6a. Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County
Number and Estimated Cost for Existing School Improvements
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita	7/1/2008 Population
Anderson	23	\$ 62,021,872	3.2 %	\$836	74,169
Bedford	3	26,047,192	1.4 %	\$583	44,696
Benton	8	7,569,200	0.4 %	\$467	16,193
Bledsoe	6	5,591,000	0.3 %	\$425	13,142
Blount	25	55,778,500	2.9 %	\$459	121,511
Bradley	21	27,536,700	1.4 %	\$285	96,472
Campbell	2	2,255,000	0.1 %	\$55	40,936
Cannon	1	100,000	0.0 %	\$7	13,804
Carroll	10	4,667,091	0.2 %	\$163	28,719
Carter	18	33,829,688	1.8 %	\$569	59,492
Cheatham	5	3,621,000	0.2 %	\$92	39,396
Claiborne	3	444,000	0.0 %	\$14	31,461
Clay	3	734,500	0.0 %	\$94	7,794
Cocke	13	6,445,000	0.3 %	\$181	35,688
Coffee	12	47,460,933	2.5 %	\$910	52,134
Crockett	3	7,950,000	0.4 %	\$560	14,186
Cumberland	6	5,475,000	0.3 %	\$102	53,590
Davidson	99	401,354,645	20.9 %	\$641	626,144
Decatur	1	50,000	0.0 %	\$4	11,288
DeKalb	5	2,901,600	0.2 %	\$155	18,694
Dickson	13	1,711,012	0.1 %	\$36	47,884
Dyer	5	13,079,000	0.7 %	\$348	37,600
Fayette	10	2,524,700	0.1 %	\$66	38,173
Fentress	5	1,525,000	0.1 %	\$86	17,667
Franklin	6	2,960,000	0.2 %	\$72	41,165
Gibson	8	23,487,500	1.2 %	\$477	49,257
Giles	3	1,138,000	0.1 %	\$39	29,184
Grainger	8	1,162,281	0.1 %	\$51	22,708
Greene	23	10,637,760	0.6 %	\$161	66,157
Grundy	7	7,602,400	0.4 %	\$535	14,220
Hamblen	15	1,173,556	0.1 %	\$19	62,132
Hamilton	67	33,023,200	1.7 %	\$99	332,848
Hancock	2	708,400	0.0 %	\$106	6,693
Hardeman	1	100,000	0.0 %	\$4	27,848
Hardin	6	747,200	0.0 %	\$28	26,227
Hawkins	16	16,718,559	0.9 %	\$291	57,477
Haywood	3	31,488,947	1.6 %	\$1,655	19,024
Henderson	8	3,069,800	0.2 %	\$114	26,916
Henry	3	5,929,000	0.3 %	\$187	31,770
Hickman	4	8,950,000	0.5 %	\$375	23,841
Houston	5	1,896,000	0.1 %	\$233	8,137
Humphreys	6	8,655,000	0.5 %	\$477	18,149
Jackson	3	2,805,000	0.1 %	\$259	10,847
Jefferson	8	158,340	0.0 %	\$3	51,074
Johnson	5	1,951,725	0.1 %	\$108	18,112
Knox	80	129,008,187	6.7 %	\$300	430,019
Lake	3	11,407,200	0.6 %	\$1,558	7,323
Lauderdale	3	5,689,000	0.3 %	\$213	26,692
Lawrence	12	19,705,000	1.0 %	\$481	40,954
Lincoln	2	550,000	0.0 %	\$17	33,116

Table D-6a. Improvement Projects at Existing Schools by County
Number and Estimated Cost for Existing School Improvements
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Cost Per Capita	7/1/2008 Population
Loudon	10	7,613,000	0.4 %	\$164	46,445
McMinn	15	16,924,500	0.9 %	\$322	52,511
McNairy	4	1,133,657	0.1 %	\$44	25,724
Macon	5	3,504,689	0.2 %	\$160	21,838
Madison	28	16,525,435	0.9 %	\$171	96,376
Marion	6	11,291,000	0.6 %	\$400	28,247
Marshall	2	7,938,000	0.4 %	\$267	29,731
Maury	6	1,800,000	0.1 %	\$22	81,938
Meigs	4	960,000	0.0 %	\$81	11,790
Monroe	14	16,208,370	0.8 %	\$355	45,648
Montgomery	31	99,899,867	5.2 %	\$646	154,756
Moore	2	11,310,000	0.6 %	\$1,826	6,195
Morgan	8	5,349,000	0.3 %	\$262	20,404
Obion	10	13,860,520	0.7 %	\$442	31,375
Overton	5	1,282,500	0.1 %	\$61	20,975
Perry	2	240,000	0.0 %	\$31	7,753
Pickett	1	387,500	0.0 %	\$81	4,801
Polk	4	2,685,000	0.1 %	\$171	15,671
Putnam	12	40,692,500	2.1 %	\$572	71,160
Rhea	4	2,245,000	0.1 %	\$73	30,781
Roane	8	7,414,000	0.4 %	\$139	53,430
Robertson	16	33,130,600	1.7 %	\$511	64,898
Rutherford	29	17,683,514	0.9 %	\$71	249,270
Scott	10	22,199,119	1.2 %	\$1,007	22,039
Sequatchie	3	2,486,000	0.1 %	\$183	13,580
Sevier	15	9,439,650	0.5 %	\$111	84,835
Shelby	105	196,337,768	10.2 %	\$217	906,825
Smith	4	1,424,800	0.1 %	\$75	19,107
Stewart	3	80,000	0.0 %	\$6	13,226
Sullivan	47	51,998,665	2.7 %	\$338	153,900
Sumner	41	23,223,937	1.2 %	\$149	155,474
Tipton	11	10,731,500	0.6 %	\$183	58,706
Trousdale	1	20,000	0.0 %	\$3	7,822
Unicoi	3	262,050	0.0 %	\$15	17,718
Union	3	1,115,000	0.1 %	\$59	19,008
Van Buren	1	1,515,000	0.1 %	\$276	5,481
Warren	8	10,235,000	0.5 %	\$257	39,842
Washington	24	99,772,664	5.2 %	\$841	118,639
Wayne	6	3,789,000	0.2 %	\$228	16,614
Weakley	11	8,525,000	0.4 %	\$255	33,375
White	1	25,000	0.0 %	\$1	25,129
Williamson	41	62,736,783	3.3 %	\$366	171,452
Wilson	21	45,781,370	2.4 %	\$417	109,803
Grand Total	1,192	\$ 1,923,171,646	100.0 %	\$309	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7a. K-12 New School Construction Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Bedford	2	\$ 28,100,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$629	44,696
Blount	2	49,000,000	2.9 %	0.0 %	\$403	121,511
Bradley	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$124	96,472
Campbell	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,936
Carter	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	59,492
Cheatham	3	30,000,000	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$761	39,396
Coffee	3	67,500,000	4.0 %	100.0 %	\$1,295	52,134
Cumberland	1	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$261	53,590
Davidson	1	12,478,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$20	626,144
DeKalb	1	42,000,000	2.5 %	0.0 %	\$2,247	18,694
Dickson	3	70,000,000	4.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,462	47,884
Fayette	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$314	38,173
Fentress	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$679	17,667
Gibson	1	16,500,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$335	49,257
Greene	2	42,800,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$647	66,157
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$402	62,132
Hardin	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$458	26,227
Hawkins	1	9,807,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$171	57,477
Humphreys	1	7,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$386	18,149
Jefferson	1	40,000,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$783	51,074
Macon	1	10,000,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$458	21,838
Marshall	2	27,000,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$908	29,731
Mauzy	1	30,000,000	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$366	81,938
Monroe	1	12,500,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$274	45,648
Montgomery	7	214,000,000	12.8 %	43.9 %	\$1,383	154,756
Overton	1	15,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$715	20,975
Pickett	1	15,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$3,124	4,801
Putnam	4	52,400,000	3.1 %	0.0 %	\$736	71,160
Roane	1	4,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$75	53,430
Robertson	1	35,000,000	2.1 %	100.0 %	\$539	64,898
Rutherford	8	129,400,000	7.7 %	59.5 %	\$519	249,270
Scott	2	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$635	22,039
Sevier	2	10,350,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$122	84,835
Shelby	3	40,000,000	2.4 %	35.0 %	\$44	906,825
Sullivan	1	17,300,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$112	153,900
Sumner	6	74,636,865	4.5 %	14.0 %	\$480	155,474
Tipton	2	21,500,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$366	58,706
Unicoi	1	17,700,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$999	17,718
Union	1	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$737	19,008
Washington	3	30,000,000	1.8 %	60.0 %	\$253	118,639
Williamson	11	275,500,000	16.4 %	9.1 %	\$1,607	171,452
Wilson	6	112,000,000	6.7 %	0.0 %	\$1,020	109,803
Grand Total	95	\$ 1,675,471,865	100.0 %	24.1 %	\$270	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-7b. K-12 New School Construction Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for K-12 New School Construction
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	1	50.0 %	\$ 12.0	42.7 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	\$ 16.1	57.3 %
Blount	2	100.0 %	49.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	3	100.0 %	30.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	3	100.0 %	67.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	100.0 %	12.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	42.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	2	66.7 %	50.0	71.4 %	1	33.3 %	20.0	28.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	16.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	42.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	1	100.0 %	25.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	9.8	100.0 %
Humphreys	1	100.0 %	7.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	2	100.0 %	27.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	1	100.0 %	30.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.5	100.0 %
Montgomery	5	71.4 %	160.0	74.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	28.6 %	54.0	25.2 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	25.0 %	12.0	22.9 %	1	25.0 %	20.4	38.9 %	2	50.0 %	20.0	38.2 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	35.0	100.0 %
Rutherford	6	75.0 %	118.0	91.2 %	1	12.5 %	10.0	7.7 %	1	12.5 %	1.4	1.1 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	10.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	26.0	65.0 %	1	33.3 %	14.0	35.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	17.3	100.0 %
Sumner	2	33.3 %	27.8	37.2 %	2	33.3 %	26.2	35.1 %	2	33.3 %	20.7	27.7 %

Table D-7b. K-12 New School Construction Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for K-12 New School Construction
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Tipton	1	50.0 %	10.5	48.8 %	1	50.0 %	11.0	51.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	17.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Union	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	2	66.7 %	14.0	46.7 %	1	33.3 %	16.0	53.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	6	54.5 %	138.0	50.1 %	4	36.4 %	113.5	41.2 %	1	9.1 %	24.0	8.7 %
Wilson	5	83.3 %	67.0	59.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	16.7 %	45.0	40.2 %
Grand Total	62	65.3 %	\$ 1,118.0	66.7 %	19	20.0 %	\$ 301.6	18.0 %	14	14.7 %	\$ 255.8	15.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-8a. School System-wide Need Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	1	\$ 4,174,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$56	74,169
Bedford	2	28,100,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$629	44,696
Blount	2	49,000,000	2.8 %	0.0 %	\$403	121,511
Bradley	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$124	96,472
Campbell	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,936
Carter	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	59,492
Cheatham	3	30,000,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$761	39,396
Coffee	3	67,500,000	3.8 %	100.0 %	\$1,295	52,134
Cumberland	1	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$261	53,590
Davidson	10	19,228,000	1.1 %	35.1 %	\$31	626,144
DeKalb	1	42,000,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$2,247	18,694
Dickson	3	70,000,000	3.9 %	0.0 %	\$1,462	47,884
Fayette	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$314	38,173
Fentress	8	19,888,806	1.1 %	39.7 %	\$1,126	17,667
Gibson	1	16,500,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$335	49,257
Giles	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	29,184
Greene	2	42,800,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$647	66,157
Hamblen	1	25,000,000	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$402	62,132
Hardin	1	12,000,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$458	26,227
Hawkins	1	9,807,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$171	57,477
Humphreys	1	7,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$386	18,149
Jefferson	1	40,000,000	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$783	51,074
Johnson	1	500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$28	18,112
Knox	18	64,873,105	3.6 %	100.0 %	\$151	430,019
Macon	1	10,000,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$458	21,838
Madison	3	1,010,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$10	96,376
Marshall	2	27,000,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$908	29,731
Mauzy	2	30,200,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$369	81,938
Meigs	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$13	11,790
Monroe	1	12,500,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$274	45,648
Montgomery	7	214,000,000	12.0 %	43.9 %	\$1,383	154,756
Obion	1	192,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$6	31,375
Overton	1	15,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$715	20,975
Pickett	1	15,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$3,124	4,801
Putnam	4	52,400,000	2.9 %	0.0 %	\$736	71,160
Roane	1	4,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$75	53,430
Robertson	1	35,000,000	2.0 %	100.0 %	\$539	64,898
Rutherford	9	129,580,000	7.3 %	59.6 %	\$520	249,270
Scott	2	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$635	22,039
Sequatchie	2	1,100,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$81	13,580
Sevier	2	10,350,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$122	84,835
Shelby	3	40,000,000	2.2 %	35.0 %	\$44	906,825
Sullivan	1	17,300,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$112	153,900
Sumner	6	74,636,865	4.2 %	14.0 %	\$480	155,474
Tipton	2	21,500,000	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$366	58,706
Unicoi	1	17,700,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$999	17,718
Union	1	14,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$737	19,008
Van Buren	4	5,491,218	0.3 %	84.3 %	\$1,002	5,481
Washington	3	30,000,000	1.7 %	60.0 %	\$253	118,639
Williamson	13	287,500,000	16.2 %	8.7 %	\$1,677	171,452

**Table D-8a. School System-wide Need Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013**

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regrowth Equivalent	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Wilson	6	112,000,000	6.3 %	0.0 %	\$1,020	109,803
Grand Total	147	\$ 1,780,130,994	100.0 %	27.4 %	\$286	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-8b. School System-wide Need Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for School System-wide Need
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	100.0 %	\$ 4.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	1	50.0 %	12.0	42.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	16.1	57.3 %
Blount	2	100.0 %	49.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	3	100.0 %	30.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	3	100.0 %	67.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	5	50.0 %	14.9	77.6 %	4	40.0 %	3.5	18.1 %	1	10.0 %	0.8	4.3 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	42.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	2	66.7 %	50.0	71.4 %	1	33.3 %	20.0	28.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	5	62.5 %	18.3	91.9 %	2	25.0 %	1.3	6.6 %	1	12.5 %	0.3	1.6 %
Gibson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	16.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	42.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	1	100.0 %	25.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	9.8	100.0 %
Humphreys	1	100.0 %	7.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	1	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	12	66.7 %	53.1	81.8 %	6	33.3 %	11.8	18.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	2	66.7 %	0.6	54.5 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	45.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	2	100.0 %	27.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	1	50.0 %	30.0	99.3 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	0.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.5	100.0 %
Montgomery	5	71.4 %	160.0	74.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	28.6 %	54.0	25.2 %
Obion	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	15.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	25.0 %	12.0	22.9 %	1	25.0 %	20.4	38.9 %	2	50.0 %	20.0	38.2 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-8b. School System-wide Need Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for School System-wide Need
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	35.0	100.0 %
Rutherford	7	77.8 %	118.2	91.2 %	1	11.1 %	10.0	7.7 %	1	11.1 %	1.4	1.1 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sequatchie	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	10.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	26.0	65.0 %	1	33.3 %	14.0	35.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	17.3	100.0 %
Sumner	2	33.3 %	27.8	37.2 %	2	33.3 %	26.2	35.1 %	2	33.3 %	20.7	27.7 %
Tipton	1	50.0 %	10.5	48.8 %	1	50.0 %	11.0	51.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	17.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Union	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	4	100.0 %	5.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	2	66.7 %	14.0	46.7 %	1	33.3 %	16.0	53.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	7	53.8 %	146.0	50.8 %	5	38.5 %	117.5	40.9 %	1	7.7 %	24.0	8.3 %
Wilson	5	83.3 %	67.0	59.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	16.7 %	45.0	40.2 %
Grand Total	96	65.3 %	\$ 1,200.2	67.4 %	35	23.8 %	\$ 323.0	18.1 %	16	10.9 %	\$ 256.9	14.4 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9a. Water & Wastewater Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	22	\$ 22,619,500	0.5 %	55.9 %	\$305	74,169
Bedford	16	33,193,828	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$743	44,696
Benton	2	1,134,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$70	16,193
Bledsoe	9	14,980,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$1,140	13,142
Blount	11	17,922,400	0.4 %	16.2 %	\$147	121,511
Bradley	28	14,116,756	0.3 %	44.3 %	\$146	96,472
Campbell	14	19,895,860	0.5 %	8.8 %	\$486	40,936
Cannon	3	7,550,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$547	13,804
Carroll	8	6,402,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$223	28,719
Carter	23	45,279,000	1.1 %	14.5 %	\$761	59,492
Cheatham	6	9,654,000	0.2 %	7.3 %	\$245	39,396
Chester	6	10,450,000	0.3 %	49.8 %	\$641	16,309
Claiborne	11	29,030,833	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$923	31,461
Clay	4	3,334,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$428	7,794
Cocke	11	16,244,000	0.4 %	3.7 %	\$455	35,688
Coffee	12	31,449,000	0.8 %	15.0 %	\$603	52,134
Crockett	4	3,132,225	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$221	14,186
Cumberland	10	113,050,000	2.7 %	47.9 %	\$2,110	53,590
Davidson	48	702,595,165	16.9 %	90.7 %	\$1,122	626,144
Decatur	4	3,852,000	0.1 %	87.0 %	\$341	11,288
DeKalb	13	13,120,000	0.3 %	71.6 %	\$702	18,694
Dickson	4	34,486,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$720	47,884
Dyer	4	2,760,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$73	37,600
Fayette	11	18,443,094	0.4 %	4.2 %	\$483	38,173
Fentress	4	7,200,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$408	17,667
Franklin	11	14,765,958	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$359	41,165
Gibson	15	8,360,670	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$170	49,257
Giles	19	57,001,200	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$1,953	29,184
Grainger	9	15,719,600	0.4 %	11.5 %	\$692	22,708
Greene	35	119,679,920	2.9 %	16.3 %	\$1,809	66,157
Grundy	11	15,999,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$1,125	14,220
Hamblen	16	67,325,000	1.6 %	14.4 %	\$1,084	62,132
Hamilton	21	27,539,500	0.7 %	7.3 %	\$83	332,848
Hancock	12	16,351,180	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$2,443	6,693
Hardeman	6	5,101,155	0.1 %	63.7 %	\$183	27,848
Hardin	6	6,022,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$230	26,227
Hawkins	36	46,691,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$812	57,477
Haywood	4	7,350,000	0.2 %	13.6 %	\$386	19,024
Henderson	10	6,625,000	0.2 %	43.0 %	\$246	26,916
Henry	3	4,700,000	0.1 %	63.8 %	\$148	31,770
Hickman	9	14,760,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$619	23,841
Houston	10	8,435,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,037	8,137
Humphreys	19	21,345,350	0.5 %	30.0 %	\$1,176	18,149
Jackson	4	1,480,000	0.0 %	50.7 %	\$136	10,847
Jefferson	14	26,281,773	0.6 %	57.4 %	\$515	51,074
Johnson	20	30,672,462	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$1,693	18,112
Knox	40	178,732,064	4.3 %	43.0 %	\$416	430,019
Lake	7	1,964,000	0.0 %	25.5 %	\$268	7,323
Lawrence	9	10,559,284	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$258	40,954
Lewis	3	9,900,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$856	11,564

Table D-9a. Water & Wastewater Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Lincoln	16	9,499,756	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$287	33,116
Loudon	20	65,430,000	1.6 %	5.0 %	\$1,409	46,445
McMinn	5	25,725,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$490	52,511
McNairy	17	27,584,781	0.7 %	65.3 %	\$1,072	25,724
Macon	4	17,500,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$801	21,838
Madison	57	69,617,151	1.7 %	68.2 %	\$722	96,376
Marion	14	17,261,238	0.4 %	17.4 %	\$611	28,247
Marshall	12	32,517,500	0.8 %	11.8 %	\$1,094	29,731
Mauzy	5	26,600,000	0.6 %	30.1 %	\$325	81,938
Meigs	8	10,728,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$910	11,790
Monroe	7	23,050,275	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$505	45,648
Montgomery	43	142,156,000	3.4 %	98.6 %	\$919	154,756
Moore	2	15,350,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$2,478	6,195
Morgan	8	4,855,898	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$238	20,404
Obion	10	4,795,815	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$153	31,375
Overton	10	22,275,000	0.5 %	6.7 %	\$1,062	20,975
Perry	1	725,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$94	7,753
Pickett	1	175,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$36	4,801
Polk	9	7,899,250	0.2 %	29.1 %	\$504	15,671
Putnam	18	22,970,000	0.6 %	2.8 %	\$323	71,160
Rhea	9	14,211,200	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$462	30,781
Roane	22	39,251,000	0.9 %	5.1 %	\$735	53,430
Robertson	17	31,003,000	0.7 %	67.4 %	\$478	64,898
Rutherford	56	281,084,748	6.8 %	74.0 %	\$1,128	249,270
Scott	7	4,963,850	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$225	22,039
Sequatchie	12	7,025,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$517	13,580
Sevier	45	143,146,010	3.4 %	35.8 %	\$1,687	84,835
Shelby	42	206,033,647	4.9 %	96.4 %	\$227	906,825
Smith	16	14,362,000	0.3 %	7.0 %	\$752	19,107
Stewart	7	11,335,000	0.3 %	30.4 %	\$857	13,226
Sullivan	40	118,858,516	2.9 %	81.2 %	\$772	153,900
Sumner	58	164,489,951	4.0 %	28.7 %	\$1,058	155,474
Tipton	8	10,000,000	0.2 %	16.0 %	\$170	58,706
Trousdale	6	17,058,608	0.4 %	35.3 %	\$2,181	7,822
Unicoi	37	21,124,450	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$1,192	17,718
Union	4	2,190,000	0.1 %	47.9 %	\$115	19,008
Van Buren	4	44,450,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$8,110	5,481
Warren	18	76,810,000	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$1,928	39,842
Washington	56	163,167,000	3.9 %	82.2 %	\$1,375	118,639
Wayne	5	31,638,200	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$1,904	16,614
Weakley	6	2,994,688	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$90	33,375
White	7	37,450,000	0.9 %	7.7 %	\$1,490	25,129
Williamson	74	159,547,183	3.8 %	80.9 %	\$931	171,452
Wilson	31	103,655,000	2.5 %	8.7 %	\$944	109,803
Multi-county	1	5,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1	6,214,888
Grand Total	1,492	\$ 4,162,819,492	100.0 %	48.9 %	\$670	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-9b. Water & Wastewater Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Water & Wastewater
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	14	63.6 %	\$ 14.5	64.0 %	4	18.2 %	\$ 2.0	9.0 %	4	18.2 %	\$ 6.1	27.0 %
Bedford	3	18.8 %	2.2	6.6 %	10	62.5 %	30.0	90.4 %	3	18.8 %	1.0	3.0 %
Benton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	44.1 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	55.9 %
Bledsoe	7	77.8 %	9.8	65.3 %	1	11.1 %	3.0	20.0 %	1	11.1 %	2.2	14.7 %
Blount	6	54.5 %	9.1	51.0 %	4	36.4 %	7.3	40.6 %	1	9.1 %	1.5	8.4 %
Bradley	24	85.7 %	12.7	90.2 %	1	3.6 %	0.1	0.7 %	3	10.7 %	1.3	9.1 %
Campbell	8	57.1 %	8.2	41.2 %	2	14.3 %	8.3	41.7 %	4	28.6 %	3.4	17.1 %
Cannon	3	100.0 %	7.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	5	62.5 %	4.0	62.1 %	2	25.0 %	1.1	17.8 %	1	12.5 %	1.3	20.1 %
Carter	14	60.9 %	32.6	72.0 %	3	13.0 %	3.1	6.9 %	6	26.1 %	9.5	21.1 %
Cheatham	6	100.0 %	9.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Chester	5	83.3 %	10.0	95.7 %	1	16.7 %	0.5	4.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	9	81.8 %	27.0	92.8 %	1	9.1 %	1.1	3.7 %	1	9.1 %	1.0	3.4 %
Clay	2	50.0 %	2.2	64.5 %	2	50.0 %	1.2	35.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cocke	8	72.7 %	10.6	65.2 %	2	18.2 %	1.4	8.3 %	1	9.1 %	4.3	26.5 %
Coffee	2	16.7 %	19.0	60.4 %	7	58.3 %	9.8	31.0 %	3	25.0 %	2.7	8.6 %
Crockett	4	100.0 %	3.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	2	20.0 %	5.3	4.6 %	4	40.0 %	47.8	42.3 %	4	40.0 %	60.0	53.1 %
Davidson	12	25.0 %	124.7	17.8 %	24	50.0 %	345.2	49.1 %	12	25.0 %	232.7	33.1 %
Decatur	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	75.0 %	3.4	87.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	13.0 %
DeKalb	11	84.6 %	8.7	66.5 %	1	7.7 %	3.9	29.7 %	1	7.7 %	0.5	3.8 %
Dickson	3	75.0 %	33.3	96.5 %	1	25.0 %	1.2	3.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	2	50.0 %	0.7	23.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	2.1	76.4 %
Fayette	8	72.7 %	13.8	74.7 %	1	9.1 %	2.0	10.8 %	2	18.2 %	2.7	14.4 %
Fentress	1	25.0 %	5.0	69.4 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	6.9 %	2	50.0 %	1.7	23.6 %
Franklin	4	36.4 %	8.3	56.4 %	5	45.5 %	5.7	38.6 %	2	18.2 %	0.7	5.0 %
Gibson	10	66.7 %	4.0	48.0 %	2	13.3 %	0.8	9.0 %	3	20.0 %	3.6	43.1 %
Giles	6	31.6 %	41.9	73.4 %	13	68.4 %	15.2	26.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grainger	5	55.6 %	6.6	42.1 %	3	33.3 %	8.1	51.5 %	1	11.1 %	1.0	6.4 %
Greene	25	71.4 %	111.4	93.1 %	7	20.0 %	5.5	4.6 %	3	8.6 %	2.8	2.3 %
Grundy	7	63.6 %	12.2	75.9 %	3	27.3 %	3.4	21.5 %	1	9.1 %	0.4	2.5 %
Hamblen	6	37.5 %	48.1	71.4 %	8	50.0 %	11.3	16.7 %	2	12.5 %	8.0	11.9 %
Hamilton	18	85.7 %	23.5	85.5 %	1	4.8 %	0.9	3.3 %	2	9.5 %	3.1	11.3 %
Hancock	9	75.0 %	13.4	81.7 %	3	25.0 %	3.0	18.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	2	33.3 %	1.0	18.6 %	3	50.0 %	3.4	66.7 %	1	16.7 %	0.8	14.7 %
Hardin	6	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-9b. Water & Wastewater Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Water & Wastewater
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Hawkins	29	80.6 %	28.8	61.7 %	6	16.7 %	5.9	12.6 %	1	2.8 %	12.0	25.7 %
Haywood	4	100.0 %	7.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	5	50.0 %	2.0	29.8 %	4	40.0 %	4.2	62.6 %	1	10.0 %	0.5	7.5 %
Henry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	8.5 %	2	66.7 %	4.3	91.5 %
Hickman	3	33.3 %	2.1	14.0 %	2	22.2 %	4.1	27.8 %	4	44.4 %	8.6	58.3 %
Houston	8	80.0 %	7.3	86.2 %	1	10.0 %	0.6	7.1 %	1	10.0 %	0.6	6.6 %
Humphreys	13	68.4 %	15.0	70.2 %	5	26.3 %	4.4	20.4 %	1	5.3 %	2.0	9.4 %
Jackson	2	50.0 %	0.9	57.4 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	4.1 %	1	25.0 %	0.6	38.5 %
Jefferson	8	57.1 %	11.0	41.9 %	5	35.7 %	14.5	55.0 %	1	7.1 %	0.8	3.0 %
Johnson	11	55.0 %	23.6	77.0 %	4	20.0 %	2.1	6.7 %	5	25.0 %	5.0	16.3 %
Knox	14	35.0 %	41.3	23.1 %	7	17.5 %	14.1	7.9 %	19	47.5 %	123.3	69.0 %
Lake	6	85.7 %	1.4	72.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	14.3 %	0.5	27.7 %
Lawrence	2	22.2 %	1.7	16.2 %	3	33.3 %	0.9	8.7 %	4	44.4 %	7.9	75.1 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	100.0 %	9.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	11	68.8 %	7.3	76.8 %	5	31.3 %	2.2	23.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	5	25.0 %	5.6	8.6 %	7	35.0 %	39.2	59.9 %	8	40.0 %	20.7	31.6 %
McMinn	4	80.0 %	22.0	85.4 %	1	20.0 %	3.8	14.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	12	70.6 %	15.1	54.7 %	3	17.6 %	6.8	24.6 %	2	11.8 %	5.7	20.7 %
Macon	3	75.0 %	16.8	96.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.7	4.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	36	63.2 %	24.1	34.6 %	19	33.3 %	22.6	32.4 %	2	3.5 %	23.0	33.0 %
Marion	9	64.3 %	14.5	83.8 %	3	21.4 %	1.7	9.8 %	2	14.3 %	1.1	6.3 %
Marshall	3	25.0 %	17.1	52.4 %	9	75.0 %	15.5	47.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	5	100.0 %	26.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	4	50.0 %	6.7	62.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	50.0 %	4.0	37.1 %
Monroe	2	28.6 %	5.4	23.4 %	3	42.9 %	14.6	63.3 %	2	28.6 %	3.1	13.3 %
Montgomery	15	34.9 %	53.0	37.3 %	15	34.9 %	28.2	19.8 %	13	30.2 %	60.9	42.9 %
Moore	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	10.5	68.4 %	1	50.0 %	4.9	31.6 %
Morgan	6	75.0 %	3.2	66.4 %	1	12.5 %	0.6	11.3 %	1	12.5 %	1.1	22.3 %
Obion	5	50.0 %	1.5	30.2 %	3	30.0 %	1.8	37.2 %	2	20.0 %	1.6	32.5 %
Overton	6	60.0 %	18.2	81.6 %	2	20.0 %	2.0	8.8 %	2	20.0 %	2.2	9.7 %
Perry	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	7	77.8 %	5.6	71.5 %	2	22.2 %	2.3	28.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	9	50.0 %	9.3	40.3 %	5	27.8 %	2.1	9.3 %	4	22.2 %	11.6	50.4 %
Rhea	6	66.7 %	10.7	75.4 %	1	11.1 %	0.8	5.3 %	2	22.2 %	2.8	19.4 %
Roane	11	50.0 %	16.5	42.0 %	7	31.8 %	16.9	43.1 %	4	18.2 %	5.9	14.9 %

Table D-9b. Water & Wastewater Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Water & Wastewater
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Robertson	7	41.2 %	11.6	37.3 %	6	35.3 %	14.7	47.3 %	4	23.5 %	4.8	15.5 %
Rutherford	28	50.0 %	169.3	60.2 %	13	23.2 %	46.6	16.6 %	15	26.8 %	65.2	23.2 %
Scott	6	85.7 %	4.5	89.9 %	1	14.3 %	0.5	10.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sequatchie	8	66.7 %	5.5	78.3 %	2	16.7 %	0.5	7.5 %	2	16.7 %	1.0	14.2 %
Sevier	20	44.4 %	52.9	37.0 %	12	26.7 %	53.6	37.4 %	13	28.9 %	36.7	25.6 %
Shelby	15	35.7 %	12.1	5.9 %	9	21.4 %	6.5	3.1 %	18	42.9 %	187.4	91.0 %
Smith	9	56.3 %	11.7	81.6 %	7	43.8 %	2.6	18.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	2	28.6 %	0.6	5.5 %	5	71.4 %	10.7	94.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	19	47.5 %	23.3	19.6 %	11	27.5 %	14.3	12.0 %	10	25.0 %	81.3	68.4 %
Sumner	34	58.6 %	101.7	61.8 %	14	24.1 %	54.7	33.2 %	10	17.2 %	8.1	4.9 %
Tipton	2	25.0 %	2.0	20.0 %	3	37.5 %	3.3	33.0 %	3	37.5 %	4.7	47.0 %
Trousdale	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	6	100.0 %	17.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	20	54.1 %	12.9	61.0 %	14	37.8 %	2.8	13.2 %	3	8.1 %	5.5	25.8 %
Union	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	4.6 %	3	75.0 %	2.1	95.4 %
Van Buren	2	50.0 %	35.0	78.7 %	1	25.0 %	4.7	10.6 %	1	25.0 %	4.8	10.7 %
Warren	14	77.8 %	19.1	24.9 %	3	16.7 %	57.3	74.6 %	1	5.6 %	0.4	0.5 %
Washington	37	66.1 %	90.9	55.7 %	15	26.8 %	39.0	23.9 %	4	7.1 %	33.2	20.3 %
Wayne	1	20.0 %	30.0	94.8 %	3	60.0 %	1.6	5.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	0.2 %
Weakley	2	33.3 %	1.5	50.1 %	3	50.0 %	1.0	34.7 %	1	16.7 %	0.5	15.2 %
White	3	42.9 %	29.5	78.8 %	1	14.3 %	1.0	2.7 %	3	42.9 %	7.0	18.6 %
Williamson	38	51.4 %	50.4	31.6 %	24	32.4 %	55.7	34.9 %	12	16.2 %	53.4	33.5 %
Wilson	22	71.0 %	46.9	45.2 %	5	16.1 %	19.8	19.1 %	4	12.9 %	37.0	35.7 %
Multi-county	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	807	54.1 %	\$ 1,761.9	42.3 %	419	28.1 %	\$ 1,198.2	28.8 %	266	17.8 %	\$ 1,202.7	28.9 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10a. Law Enforcement Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Benton	1	\$ 1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$62	16,193
Bledsoe	12	50,890,000	2.6 %	100.0 %	\$3,872	13,142
Blount	2	7,260,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$60	121,511
Bradley	1	600,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$6	96,472
Campbell	2	10,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$244	40,936
Carroll	3	3,690,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$128	28,719
Carter	3	28,560,000	1.4 %	9.0 %	\$480	59,492
Cheatham	2	13,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$330	39,396
Chester	1	8,000,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$491	16,309
Cocke	2	3,750,000	0.2 %	20.0 %	\$105	35,688
Coffee	7	50,070,000	2.5 %	96.4 %	\$960	52,134
Crockett	1	800,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$56	14,186
Cumberland	3	15,225,000	0.8 %	4.9 %	\$284	53,590
Davidson	53	371,922,300	18.8 %	99.5 %	\$594	626,144
Decatur	3	7,850,000	0.4 %	46.5 %	\$695	11,288
Dickson	2	19,200,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$401	47,884
Dyer	2	2,110,000	0.1 %	46.9 %	\$56	37,600
Fayette	4	2,180,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$57	38,173
Fentress	3	9,430,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$534	17,667
Franklin	3	8,150,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$198	41,165
Gibson	5	18,550,000	0.9 %	80.9 %	\$377	49,257
Giles	1	850,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$29	29,184
Greene	2	2,280,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$34	66,157
Hamblen	1	340,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	62,132
Hamilton	8	36,518,999	1.8 %	99.5 %	\$110	332,848
Hardeman	3	19,880,000	1.0 %	95.0 %	\$714	27,848
Hardin	2	10,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$381	26,227
Hawkins	5	15,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$261	57,477
Haywood	2	15,950,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$838	19,024
Henderson	1	12,000,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$446	26,916
Henry	2	1,550,000	0.1 %	35.5 %	\$49	31,770
Hickman	10	36,120,000	1.8 %	97.7 %	\$1,515	23,841
Humphreys	2	1,160,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$64	18,149
Jackson	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$28	10,847
Jefferson	4	2,510,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$49	51,074
Johnson	3	13,945,000	0.7 %	98.6 %	\$770	18,112
Knox	6	22,630,500	1.1 %	100.0 %	\$53	430,019
Lake	4	14,080,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$1,923	7,323
Lauderdale	7	24,950,000	1.3 %	92.8 %	\$935	26,692
Lawrence	3	24,650,000	1.2 %	37.1 %	\$602	40,954
Lewis	2	408,624	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$35	11,564
McMinn	1	3,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$57	52,511
McNairy	1	780,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$30	25,724
Macon	1	590,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$27	21,838
Madison	6	12,420,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$129	96,376
Mauzy	3	24,625,000	1.2 %	6.2 %	\$301	81,938
Monroe	1	12,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$263	45,648
Montgomery	2	864,500	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$6	154,756
Moore	1	750,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$121	6,195
Morgan	3	3,990,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$196	20,404

Table D-10a. Law Enforcement Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regtegv'qh Equv'lp'EKR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Obion	2	1,840,000	0.1 %	34.8 %	\$59	31,375
Pickett	2	5,050,000	0.3 %	99.0 %	\$1,052	4,801
Putnam	4	23,300,000	1.2 %	39.9 %	\$327	71,160
Rhea	3	5,460,000	0.3 %	7.0 %	\$177	30,781
Roane	3	13,750,000	0.7 %	12.7 %	\$257	53,430
Robertson	1	12,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$185	64,898
Rutherford	6	74,080,000	3.7 %	100.0 %	\$297	249,270
Scott	1	600,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$27	22,039
Sevier	1	515,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$6	84,835
Shelby	17	303,841,700	15.3 %	100.0 %	\$335	906,825
Smith	2	10,179,000	0.5 %	98.2 %	\$533	19,107
Stewart	2	3,200,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$242	13,226
Sullivan	6	40,840,000	2.1 %	100.0 %	\$265	153,900
Sumner	2	1,100,000	0.1 %	81.8 %	\$7	155,474
Tipton	1	5,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$85	58,706
Van Buren	1	7,000,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$1,277	5,481
Warren	4	22,600,000	1.1 %	97.3 %	\$567	39,842
Washington	3	27,299,000	1.4 %	1.1 %	\$230	118,639
Wayne	5	31,900,000	1.6 %	68.7 %	\$1,920	16,614
Weakley	2	790,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$24	33,375
White	2	2,130,000	0.1 %	29.6 %	\$85	25,129
Williamson	1	36,514,877	1.8 %	100.0 %	\$213	171,452
Wilson	2	19,500,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$178	109,803
Multi-county	16	385,700,000	19.5 %	99.9 %	\$62	6,214,888
Grand Total	292	\$ 1,980,569,500	100.0 %	85.1 %	\$319	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-10b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Law Enforcement
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Benton	1	100.0 %	\$ 1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	10	83.3 %	50.6	99.3 %	2	16.7 %	0.3	0.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	1	50.0 %	0.9	12.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	6.4	87.6 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	1	50.0 %	5.0	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	5.0	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	2	66.7 %	3.0	81.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.7	18.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	2	66.7 %	2.6	9.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	26.0	91.0 %
Cheatham	2	100.0 %	13.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Chester	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	8.0	100.0 %
Cocke	2	100.0 %	3.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	4	57.1 %	29.9	59.7 %	3	42.9 %	20.2	40.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	2	66.7 %	1.2	8.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	14.0	92.0 %
Davidson	35	66.0 %	296.7	79.8 %	14	26.4 %	65.4	17.6 %	4	7.5 %	9.7	2.6 %
Decatur	2	66.7 %	3.7	46.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	4.2	53.5 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	19.2	100.0 %
Dyer	1	50.0 %	1.0	46.9 %	1	50.0 %	1.1	53.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	4	100.0 %	2.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	2	66.7 %	8.9	94.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.6	6.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	1	33.3 %	0.5	5.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	7.7	94.5 %
Gibson	3	60.0 %	17.0	91.4 %	2	40.0 %	1.6	8.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	5	62.5 %	24.8	67.9 %	2	25.0 %	11.5	31.6 %	1	12.5 %	0.2	0.5 %
Hardeman	2	66.7 %	1.9	9.5 %	1	33.3 %	18.0	90.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	8.0 %	1	50.0 %	9.2	92.0 %
Hawkins	2	40.0 %	0.5	3.3 %	1	20.0 %	2.1	14.0 %	2	40.0 %	12.4	82.7 %
Haywood	1	50.0 %	1.0	6.0 %	1	50.0 %	15.0	94.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	1	50.0 %	1.0	64.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	35.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	4	40.0 %	6.2	17.2 %	5	50.0 %	25.4	70.4 %	1	10.0 %	4.5	12.5 %
Humphreys	2	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	3	75.0 %	1.5	60.6 %	1	25.0 %	1.0	39.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	2	66.7 %	10.2	73.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	3.8	26.9 %

Table D-10b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Law Enforcement
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Knox	3	50.0 %	20.0	88.4 %	1	16.7 %	0.6	2.4 %	2	33.3 %	2.1	9.2 %
Lake	4	100.0 %	14.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lauderdale	5	71.4 %	13.9	55.7 %	1	14.3 %	10.5	42.1 %	1	14.3 %	0.6	2.2 %
Lawrence	2	66.7 %	9.2	37.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	15.5	62.9 %
Lewis	1	50.0 %	0.3	70.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	29.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	5	83.3 %	11.9	96.0 %	1	16.7 %	0.5	4.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	1	33.3 %	1.5	6.2 %	2	66.7 %	23.1	93.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Morgan	3	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	50.0 %	5.0	99.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	2	50.0 %	9.3	39.7 %	2	50.0 %	14.1	60.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	3	100.0 %	5.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	2	66.7 %	1.8	12.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	12.0	87.3 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	12.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	4	66.7 %	13.3	17.9 %	2	33.3 %	60.8	82.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Scott	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	8	47.1 %	196.1	64.5 %	5	29.4 %	88.4	29.1 %	4	23.5 %	19.4	6.4 %
Smith	1	50.0 %	0.2	1.8 %	1	50.0 %	10.0	98.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	1	50.0 %	0.2	6.3 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	93.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	3	50.0 %	19.5	47.8 %	3	50.0 %	21.3	52.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	7.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	3	75.0 %	22.2	98.3 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	1.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	33.3 %	0.3	1.1 %	1	33.3 %	2.0	7.3 %	1	33.3 %	25.0	91.6 %
Wayne	2	40.0 %	12.6	39.5 %	2	40.0 %	9.3	29.2 %	1	20.0 %	10.0	31.3 %
Weakley	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
White	1	50.0 %	1.5	70.4 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	29.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	36.5	100.0 %

Table D-10b. Law Enforcement Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Law Enforcement
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Wilson	1	50.0 %	4.5	23.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	15.0	76.9 %
Multi-county	15	93.8 %	384.7	99.7 %	1	6.3 %	1.0	0.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	190	65.1 %	\$ 1,279.1	64.6 %	70	24.0 %	\$ 440.2	22.2 %	32	11.0 %	\$ 261.3	13.2 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-11a. Public Health Facilities Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EKR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Cannon	3	\$ 1,150,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$83	13,804
Davidson	26	76,123,300	22.3 %	100.0 %	\$122	626,144
Dyer	1	2,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$53	37,600
Gibson	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	49,257
Greene	12	5,980,000	1.7 %	100.0 %	\$90	66,157
Hamilton	6	4,855,000	1.4 %	100.0 %	\$15	332,848
Hardeman	3	22,670,000	6.6 %	100.0 %	\$814	27,848
Hardin	1	300,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$11	26,227
Jackson	1	1,300,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$120	10,847
Knox	9	102,821,169	30.1 %	100.0 %	\$239	430,019
Lawrence	1	200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	40,954
Macon	2	600,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$27	21,838
Madison	1	22,000,000	6.4 %	100.0 %	\$228	96,376
Maury	2	11,988,000	3.5 %	100.0 %	\$146	81,938
Montgomery	3	864,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$6	154,756
Obion	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$16	31,375
Perry	2	846,360	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$109	7,753
Putnam	1	8,400,000	2.5 %	100.0 %	\$118	71,160
Rutherford	1	650,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$3	249,270
Shelby	7	60,580,000	17.7 %	99.6 %	\$67	906,825
Union	2	450,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	19,008
Van Buren	3	10,500,000	3.1 %	23.8 %	\$1,916	5,481
Warren	1	190,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	39,842
Washington	8	5,797,000	1.7 %	100.0 %	\$49	118,639
Wilson	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$9	109,803
Grand Total	99	\$ 342,064,829	100.0 %	94.9 %	\$55	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-11b. Public Health Facilities Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Health Facilities
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Cannon	3	100.0 %	\$ 1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	15	57.7 %	16.5	21.6 %	10	38.5 %	58.9	77.4 %	1	3.8 %	0.7	0.9 %
Dyer	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Greene	11	91.7 %	5.7	95.7 %	1	8.3 %	0.3	4.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	2	33.3 %	0.5	9.8 %	3	50.0 %	2.2	44.9 %	1	16.7 %	2.2	45.3 %
Hardeman	1	33.3 %	0.4	1.6 %	2	66.7 %	22.3	98.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	8	88.9 %	102.6	99.8 %	1	11.1 %	0.2	0.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	2	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	100.0 %	22.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	1	50.0 %	11.8	98.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	2.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	33.3 %	0.3	33.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	27.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	39.4 %
Obion	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	87.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	12.4 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	8.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	5	71.4 %	3.7	6.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	28.6 %	56.9	93.9 %
Union	2	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	2	66.7 %	8.0	76.2 %	1	33.3 %	2.5	23.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %
Washington	8	100.0 %	5.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	66	66.7 %	\$ 184.0	53.8 %	25	25.3 %	\$ 97.3	28.5 %	8	8.1 %	\$ 60.7	17.7 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-12a. Storm Water Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EKR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	2	\$ 1,543,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$21	74,169
Bradley	3	9,510,000	2.8 %	89.5 %	\$99	96,472
Campbell	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,936
Carroll	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	28,719
Carter	1	500,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$8	59,492
Cheatham	1	200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	39,396
Cumberland	1	300,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$6	53,590
Davidson	6	29,126,000	8.6 %	98.1 %	\$47	626,144
Decatur	1	750,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$66	11,288
Gibson	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	49,257
Greene	2	20,500,000	6.0 %	97.6 %	\$310	66,157
Hamilton	4	14,260,000	4.2 %	100.0 %	\$43	332,848
Hardeman	1	300,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$11	27,848
Jefferson	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$1	51,074
Johnson	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$55	18,112
Knox	5	23,768,625	7.0 %	95.4 %	\$55	430,019
Lake	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$20	7,323
Lawrence	1	7,500,000	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$183	40,954
Lincoln	1	805,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$24	33,116
Loudon	2	1,320,000	0.4 %	94.7 %	\$28	46,445
McMinn	2	10,100,000	3.0 %	1.0 %	\$192	52,511
McNairy	1	800,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$31	25,724
Marshall	1	366,160	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$12	29,731
Mauzy	2	1,110,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$14	81,938
Monroe	2	4,500,000	1.3 %	55.6 %	\$99	45,648
Montgomery	2	9,182,000	2.7 %	100.0 %	\$59	154,756
Morgan	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$49	20,404
Obion	2	200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$6	31,375
Putnam	1	50,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$1	71,160
Robertson	3	1,150,000	0.3 %	56.5 %	\$18	64,898
Sevier	4	13,670,590	4.0 %	95.8 %	\$161	84,835
Shelby	16	129,188,875	38.0 %	99.8 %	\$142	906,825
Sullivan	7	1,684,403	0.5 %	70.3 %	\$11	153,900
Sumner	2	3,410,000	1.0 %	88.0 %	\$22	155,474
Washington	1	40,000,000	11.8 %	100.0 %	\$337	118,639
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$30	33,375
Williamson	5	8,871,000	2.6 %	100.0 %	\$52	171,452
Grand Total	90	\$ 339,665,653	100.0 %	90.5 %	\$55	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-12b. Storm Water Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Storm Water
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	50.0 %	\$ 1.0	64.8 %	1	50.0 %	\$ 0.5	35.2 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	3	100.0 %	9.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	2	33.3 %	0.6	1.9 %	1	16.7 %	0.4	1.5 %	3	50.0 %	28.1	96.6 %
Decatur	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	20.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	3	75.0 %	5.9	41.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	8.4	58.9 %
Hardeman	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	20.0 %	3.0	12.6 %	2	40.0 %	2.1	8.8 %	2	40.0 %	18.7	78.5 %
Lake	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	7.5	100.0 %
Lincoln	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.3	94.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	5.3 %
McMinn	1	50.0 %	10.0	99.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	1.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	1	50.0 %	2.5	55.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	2.0	44.4 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	9.2	100.0 %
Morgan	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	33.3 %	0.5	43.5 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	34.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	21.7 %
Sevier	3	75.0 %	2.7	19.5 %	1	25.0 %	11.0	80.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	4	25.0 %	4.0	3.1 %	5	31.3 %	3.0	2.3 %	7	43.8 %	122.2	94.6 %
Sullivan	3	42.9 %	1.1	65.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	57.1 %	0.6	34.7 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	3.0	88.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	12.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	40.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Weakley	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-12b. Storm Water Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Storm Water
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Williamson	1	20.0 %	1.3	14.9 %	2	40.0 %	6.1	68.6 %	2	40.0 %	1.5	16.5 %
Grand Total	42	46.7 %	\$ 73.4	21.6 %	23	25.6 %	\$ 67.8	20.0 %	25	27.8 %	\$ 198.4	58.4 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-13a. Fire Protection Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regtegv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	1	\$ 750,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$10	74,169
Bedford	3	1,900,000	0.9 %	31.6 %	\$43	44,696
Blount	2	267,000	0.1 %	74.9 %	\$2	121,511
Campbell	2	700,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$17	40,936
Carroll	2	400,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$14	28,719
Cheatham	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$25	39,396
Chester	3	575,000	0.3 %	87.0 %	\$35	16,309
Davidson	3	23,961,600	11.8 %	100.0 %	\$38	626,144
Dickson	2	3,000,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$63	47,884
Dyer	4	1,150,000	0.6 %	78.3 %	\$31	37,600
Fayette	1	200,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$5	38,173
Franklin	1	750,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$18	41,165
Gibson	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$20	49,257
Greene	4	6,750,000	3.3 %	85.2 %	\$102	66,157
Hamilton	2	4,600,000	2.3 %	100.0 %	\$14	332,848
Hardeman	3	925,000	0.5 %	37.8 %	\$33	27,848
Hardin	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	26,227
Hawkins	4	1,050,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$18	57,477
Henderson	1	800,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$30	26,916
Hickman	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$13	23,841
Houston	2	600,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$74	8,137
Jefferson	1	800,000	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$16	51,074
Johnson	2	1,400,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$77	18,112
Lauderdale	1	700,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$26	26,692
Lawrence	1	850,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$21	40,954
Lincoln	1	400,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$12	33,116
McMinn	2	2,500,000	1.2 %	0.0 %	\$48	52,511
McNairy	4	295,000	0.1 %	83.1 %	\$11	25,724
Marion	1	210,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$7	28,247
Marshall	1	150,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	29,731
Maury	5	6,430,000	3.2 %	68.1 %	\$78	81,938
Monroe	2	850,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$19	45,648
Montgomery	4	6,200,000	3.1 %	100.0 %	\$40	154,756
Obion	3	556,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$18	31,375
Pickett	2	2,795,000	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$582	4,801
Putnam	1	250,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$4	71,160
Rhea	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$16	30,781
Roane	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	53,430
Robertson	3	1,960,000	1.0 %	84.2 %	\$30	64,898
Rutherford	2	3,250,000	1.6 %	76.9 %	\$13	249,270
Sevier	3	7,149,096	3.5 %	72.0 %	\$84	84,835
Shelby	14	74,989,338	37.0 %	94.8 %	\$83	906,825
Sullivan	6	3,151,000	1.6 %	100.0 %	\$20	153,900
Sumner	5	6,430,000	3.2 %	0.0 %	\$41	155,474
Tipton	2	1,600,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$27	58,706
Unicoi	1	250,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$14	17,718
Warren	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$8	39,842
Washington	8	11,475,000	5.7 %	38.1 %	\$97	118,639
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$30	33,375
Williamson	12	12,394,300	6.1 %	90.7 %	\$72	171,452

Table D-13a. Fire Protection Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regrowth Equip'ER	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Wilson	3	3,250,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$30	109,803
Grand Total	138	\$ 202,913,334	100.0 %	74.2 %	\$33	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-13b. Fire Protection Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Fire Protection
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	2	66.7 %	1.8	94.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	5.3 %
Blount	2	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	2	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	2	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Chester	3	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	33.3 %	13.1	54.7 %	1	33.3 %	3.5	14.6 %	1	33.3 %	7.4	30.7 %
Dickson	1	50.0 %	1.0	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	2.0	66.7 %
Dyer	3	75.0 %	0.7	56.5 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	43.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	4	100.0 %	6.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	1	50.0 %	0.4	8.7 %	1	50.0 %	4.2	91.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	2	66.7 %	0.9	91.9 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	8.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Hawkins	2	50.0 %	0.5	47.6 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	4.8 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	47.6 %
Henderson	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	1	50.0 %	0.1	16.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	83.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	2	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lauderdale	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	50.0 %	2.3	90.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	10.0 %
McNairy	3	75.0 %	0.2	83.1 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	16.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	80.0 %	4.1	64.2 %	1	20.0 %	2.3	35.8 %
Monroe	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	100.0 %	6.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	66.7 %	0.2	37.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	62.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	50.0 %	0.1	3.4 %	1	50.0 %	2.7	96.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-13b. Fire Protection Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Fire Protection
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Rhea	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	33.3 %	1.7	84.2 %	2	66.7 %	0.3	15.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	2	100.0 %	3.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	2	66.7 %	5.6	78.6 %	1	33.3 %	1.5	21.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	10	71.4 %	52.5	69.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	4	28.6 %	22.5	30.1 %
Sullivan	2	33.3 %	1.3	40.4 %	3	50.0 %	0.9	27.9 %	1	16.7 %	1.0	31.7 %
Sumner	4	80.0 %	3.8	59.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	20.0 %	2.6	40.4 %
Tipton	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	6	75.0 %	10.9	94.8 %	1	12.5 %	0.5	4.4 %	1	12.5 %	0.1	0.9 %
Weakley	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	8	66.7 %	9.1	73.7 %	2	16.7 %	0.4	3.5 %	2	16.7 %	2.8	22.8 %
Wilson	2	66.7 %	2.3	69.2 %	1	33.3 %	1.0	30.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	87	63.0 %	\$ 129.9	64.0 %	34	24.6 %	\$ 31.1	15.4 %	17	12.3 %	\$ 41.8	20.6 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-14a. Housing Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regrowth Equivalency	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Franklin	1	\$ 500,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$12	41,165
Hardin	2	550,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$21	26,227
Jackson	2	2,280,000	3.2 %	47.4 %	\$210	10,847
Lewis	2	750,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$65	11,564
Marshall	1	338,023	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$11	29,731
Overton	2	6,000,000	8.5 %	0.0 %	\$286	20,975
Putnam	1	1,650,000	2.3 %	100.0 %	\$23	71,160
Rutherford	1	500,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$2	249,270
Shelby	5	46,894,717	66.6 %	100.0 %	\$52	906,825
Van Buren	1	10,000,000	14.2 %	0.0 %	\$1,824	5,481
Warren	1	1,000,000	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$25	39,842
Grand Total	19	\$ 70,462,740	100.0 %	70.4 %	\$11	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-14b. Housing Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Housing
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Franklin	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	50.0 %	0.1	9.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	90.9 %
Jackson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Overton	2	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Shelby	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	60.0 %	36.9	78.7 %	2	40.0 %	10.0	21.3 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	4	21.1 %	\$ 16.1	22.8 %	10	52.6 %	\$ 43.1	61.1 %	5	26.3 %	\$ 11.3	16.1 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-15a. Solid Waste Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regulatory Equipment EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	2	\$ 4,100,000	8.1 %	0.0 %	\$55	74,169
Cannon	1	250,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$18	13,804
Carter	2	850,000	1.7 %	70.6 %	\$14	59,492
Clay	1	400,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$51	7,794
Davidson	4	8,350,000	16.5 %	100.0 %	\$13	626,144
DeKalb	2	370,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$20	18,694
Dickson	1	3,000,000	5.9 %	0.0 %	\$63	47,884
Dyer	1	50,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1	37,600
Greene	6	1,890,000	3.7 %	19.0 %	\$29	66,157
Hamilton	2	4,700,000	9.3 %	100.0 %	\$14	332,848
Houston	1	100,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$12	8,137
Knox	2	2,930,000	5.8 %	100.0 %	\$7	430,019
Lawrence	2	2,712,000	5.4 %	0.0 %	\$66	40,954
McMinn	2	5,150,000	10.2 %	0.0 %	\$98	52,511
Meigs	1	250,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$21	11,790
Overton	1	2,000,000	4.0 %	0.0 %	\$95	20,975
Pickett	1	100,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$21	4,801
Roane	1	125,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$2	53,430
Robertson	1	75,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$1	64,898
Scott	1	500,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$23	22,039
Shelby	1	3,200,000	6.3 %	100.0 %	\$4	906,825
Smith	2	2,263,000	4.5 %	0.0 %	\$118	19,107
Sumner	1	800,000	1.6 %	100.0 %	\$5	155,474
Unicoi	1	200,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$11	17,718
Washington	1	500,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$4	118,639
Williamson	5	4,382,000	8.7 %	65.5 %	\$26	171,452
Wilson	2	1,300,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$12	109,803
Grand Total	48	\$ 50,547,000	100.0 %	47.4 %	\$8	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-15b. Solid Waste Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Solid Waste
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	50.0 %	\$ 0.1	2.4 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	\$ 4.0	97.6 %
Cannon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	1	50.0 %	0.3	29.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	70.6 %
Clay	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	50.0 %	3.5	41.9 %	2	50.0 %	4.9	58.1 %
DeKalb	1	50.0 %	0.2	54.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	45.9 %
Dickson	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	6	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	4.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	50.0 %	1.1	37.5 %	1	50.0 %	1.8	62.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	2.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	2	100.0 %	5.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Scott	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	1	100.0 %	3.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Smith	2	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	3	60.0 %	1.7	39.5 %	2	40.0 %	2.7	60.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	32	66.7 %	\$ 25.2	49.9 %	11	22.9 %	\$ 15.7	31.1 %	5	10.4 %	\$ 9.6	19.0 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-16a. Recreation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	12	\$ 8,530,674	0.8 %	25.7 %	\$115	74,169
Bedford	8	2,431,156	0.2 %	30.2 %	\$54	44,696
Benton	1	560,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$35	16,193
Bledsoe	1	500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$38	13,142
Blount	13	6,586,102	0.6 %	11.1 %	\$54	121,511
Bradley	1	220,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$2	96,472
Campbell	14	5,164,399	0.5 %	43.9 %	\$126	40,936
Cannon	1	161,255	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$12	13,804
Carroll	10	10,889,770	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$379	28,719
Carter	15	11,469,940	1.0 %	63.4 %	\$193	59,492
Cheatham	9	12,928,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$328	39,396
Chester	5	3,670,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$225	16,309
Claiborne	3	1,889,606	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$60	31,461
Cocke	3	1,768,870	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$50	35,688
Coffee	6	7,175,200	0.6 %	31.1 %	\$138	52,134
Crockett	3	256,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$18	14,186
Cumberland	12	6,957,905	0.6 %	56.3 %	\$130	53,590
Davidson	46	165,836,317	14.6 %	99.1 %	\$265	626,144
Decatur	3	445,000	0.0 %	15.7 %	\$39	11,288
DeKalb	4	4,790,000	0.4 %	54.1 %	\$256	18,694
Dickson	8	8,975,000	0.8 %	72.7 %	\$187	47,884
Dyer	3	7,693,844	0.7 %	97.5 %	\$205	37,600
Fayette	1	500,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$13	38,173
Fentress	2	3,700,000	0.3 %	81.1 %	\$209	17,667
Franklin	6	7,188,000	0.6 %	61.6 %	\$175	41,165
Gibson	8	1,989,050	0.2 %	16.6 %	\$40	49,257
Giles	4	23,119,330	2.0 %	82.2 %	\$792	29,184
Grainger	3	2,132,328	0.2 %	9.5 %	\$94	22,708
Greene	17	8,106,000	0.7 %	71.3 %	\$123	66,157
Grundy	3	928,200	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$65	14,220
Hamblen	6	11,557,528	1.0 %	61.0 %	\$186	62,132
Hamilton	28	19,325,771	1.7 %	79.0 %	\$58	332,848
Hancock	2	793,610	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$119	6,693
Hardeman	4	1,097,316	0.1 %	8.2 %	\$39	27,848
Hardin	15	27,599,600	2.4 %	99.5 %	\$1,052	26,227
Hawkins	7	3,150,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$55	57,477
Haywood	2	1,205,897	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$63	19,024
Henderson	2	3,970,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$147	26,916
Henry	8	9,645,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$304	31,770
Hickman	2	285,862	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$12	23,841
Houston	5	3,014,715	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$370	8,137
Humphreys	16	8,209,326	0.7 %	45.1 %	\$452	18,149
Jefferson	4	2,550,000	0.2 %	39.2 %	\$50	51,074
Johnson	5	7,059,656	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$390	18,112
Knox	27	80,117,734	7.0 %	66.5 %	\$186	430,019
Lake	7	5,036,000	0.4 %	90.3 %	\$688	7,323
Lauderdale	2	1,572,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$59	26,692
Lawrence	6	11,065,000	1.0 %	63.3 %	\$270	40,954
Lewis	2	536,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$46	11,564
Lincoln	5	1,860,834	0.2 %	6.0 %	\$56	33,116

Table D-16a. Recreation Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Loudon	5	13,580,125	1.2 %	95.7 %	\$292	46,445
McMinn	7	14,472,650	1.3 %	85.5 %	\$276	52,511
McNairy	7	1,730,000	0.2 %	92.5 %	\$67	25,724
Macon	1	1,500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$69	21,838
Madison	2	1,135,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$12	96,376
Marion	3	736,116	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$26	28,247
Marshall	11	8,281,000	0.7 %	91.1 %	\$279	29,731
Mauzy	7	21,125,000	1.9 %	47.8 %	\$258	81,938
Meigs	1	50,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	11,790
Monroe	4	11,140,000	1.0 %	3.9 %	\$244	45,648
Montgomery	29	29,085,511	2.6 %	97.1 %	\$188	154,756
Moore	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$161	6,195
Morgan	3	210,862	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$10	20,404
Obion	6	3,723,433	0.3 %	14.2 %	\$119	31,375
Overton	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	20,975
Perry	2	830,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$107	7,753
Pickett	6	4,210,000	0.4 %	88.8 %	\$877	4,801
Polk	3	24,305,000	2.1 %	99.7 %	\$1,551	15,671
Putnam	3	2,220,000	0.2 %	18.0 %	\$31	71,160
Rhea	1	430,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$14	30,781
Roane	11	12,440,589	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$233	53,430
Robertson	16	11,158,600	1.0 %	83.3 %	\$172	64,898
Rutherford	33	72,176,456	6.3 %	26.8 %	\$290	249,270
Scott	3	4,410,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$200	22,039
Sequatchie	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$11	13,580
Sevier	13	55,142,350	4.8 %	54.3 %	\$650	84,835
Shelby	50	102,117,666	9.0 %	96.7 %	\$113	906,825
Smith	2	2,408,392	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$126	19,107
Stewart	6	3,294,000	0.3 %	20.3 %	\$249	13,226
Sullivan	25	31,196,656	2.7 %	89.3 %	\$203	153,900
Sumner	28	33,085,084	2.9 %	26.6 %	\$213	155,474
Tipton	2	1,350,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	58,706
Trousdale	1	300,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$38	7,822
Unicoi	9	4,272,335	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$241	17,718
Union	3	1,296,000	0.1 %	68.3 %	\$68	19,008
Van Buren	6	7,875,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$1,437	5,481
Washington	23	42,846,900	3.8 %	61.0 %	\$361	118,639
Wayne	2	465,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$28	16,614
Weakley	5	1,487,190	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$45	33,375
White	2	772,340	0.1 %	60.2 %	\$31	25,129
Williamson	14	60,518,923	5.3 %	63.1 %	\$353	171,452
Wilson	9	17,546,275	1.5 %	48.1 %	\$160	109,803
Multi-county	5	14,900,000	1.3 %	98.0 %	\$2	6,214,888
Grand Total	752	\$ 1,137,238,748	100.0 %	67.4 %	\$183	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-16b. Recreation Projects by County and Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost for Recreation

Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	5	41.7 %	\$ 5.2	61.5 %	6	50.0 %	\$ 2.3	27.2 %	1	8.3 %	\$ 1.0	11.3 %
Bedford	4	50.0 %	0.8	33.7 %	3	37.5 %	1.5	60.1 %	1	12.5 %	0.2	6.2 %
Benton	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	4	30.8 %	1.0	15.3 %	7	53.8 %	5.1	77.5 %	2	15.4 %	0.5	7.3 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	5	35.7 %	2.0	38.9 %	6	42.9 %	2.8	54.2 %	3	21.4 %	0.4	6.8 %
Cannon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %
Carroll	3	30.0 %	0.8	7.4 %	6	60.0 %	9.7	89.4 %	1	10.0 %	0.4	3.2 %
Carter	12	80.0 %	10.2	89.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	20.0 %	1.3	11.0 %
Cheatham	6	66.7 %	9.6	74.3 %	2	22.2 %	3.0	23.2 %	1	11.1 %	0.3	2.5 %
Chester	4	80.0 %	3.6	97.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	2.7 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.4	23.5 %	2	66.7 %	1.4	76.5 %
Cocke	3	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	2	33.3 %	0.3	3.5 %	4	66.7 %	6.9	96.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	1	33.3 %	0.1	39.1 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	41.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	19.5 %
Cumberland	9	75.0 %	4.2	60.0 %	3	25.0 %	2.8	40.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	17	37.0 %	26.0	15.7 %	18	39.1 %	30.8	18.5 %	11	23.9 %	109.1	65.8 %
Decatur	1	33.3 %	0.2	50.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	33.7 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	15.7 %
DeKalb	4	100.0 %	4.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	3	37.5 %	2.4	26.2 %	5	62.5 %	6.6	73.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	2	66.7 %	7.6	98.5 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	1.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	2	100.0 %	3.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Franklin	2	33.3 %	3.6	50.1 %	2	33.3 %	2.0	28.2 %	2	33.3 %	1.6	21.7 %
Gibson	2	25.0 %	0.2	10.2 %	3	37.5 %	1.5	73.3 %	3	37.5 %	0.3	16.6 %
Giles	1	25.0 %	19.0	82.2 %	2	50.0 %	3.8	16.4 %	1	25.0 %	0.3	1.4 %
Grainger	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	4.7 %	2	66.7 %	2.0	95.3 %
Greene	14	82.4 %	6.5	79.7 %	1	5.9 %	1.0	12.3 %	2	11.8 %	0.6	8.0 %
Grundy	3	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	2	33.3 %	1.5	13.0 %	2	33.3 %	3.0	26.0 %	2	33.3 %	7.1	61.0 %
Hamilton	19	67.9 %	14.5	75.2 %	6	21.4 %	2.5	13.1 %	3	10.7 %	2.3	11.7 %
Hancock	1	50.0 %	0.4	44.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	55.9 %
Hardeman	2	50.0 %	0.4	34.4 %	1	25.0 %	0.3	27.3 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	38.3 %
Hardin	12	80.0 %	22.2	80.3 %	3	20.0 %	5.4	19.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	6	85.7 %	3.0	95.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	14.3 %	0.2	4.8 %

Table D-16b. Recreation Projects by County and Stage of Development

Number and Estimated Cost for Recreation

Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual		Planning & Design				Construction					
	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]	Number	Cost [in millions]				
Haywood	2	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	2	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	6	75.0 %	8.9	92.3 %	1	12.5 %	0.6	5.9 %	1	12.5 %	0.2	1.8 %
Hickman	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	3	60.0 %	2.2	73.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.7	22.4 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	4.6 %
Humphreys	12	75.0 %	6.8	82.4 %	2	12.5 %	0.8	9.9 %	2	12.5 %	0.6	7.6 %
Jefferson	2	50.0 %	0.2	5.9 %	1	25.0 %	1.4	54.9 %	1	25.0 %	1.0	39.2 %
Johnson	4	80.0 %	6.8	97.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.2	3.0 %
Knox	8	29.6 %	5.5	6.9 %	7	25.9 %	55.6	69.3 %	12	44.4 %	19.1	23.8 %
Lake	4	57.1 %	2.9	57.6 %	2	28.6 %	2.1	41.0 %	1	14.3 %	0.1	1.4 %
Lauderdale	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.2	76.3 %	1	50.0 %	0.4	23.7 %
Lawrence	2	33.3 %	4.1	36.8 %	2	33.3 %	2.3	20.9 %	2	33.3 %	4.7	42.3 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	55.9 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	44.1 %
Lincoln	2	40.0 %	1.3	67.7 %	3	60.0 %	0.6	32.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	1	20.0 %	3.0	22.1 %	2	40.0 %	10.3	75.5 %	2	40.0 %	0.3	2.4 %
McMinn	7	100.0 %	14.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	5	71.4 %	1.5	88.4 %	1	14.3 %	0.2	8.7 %	1	14.3 %	0.1	2.9 %
Macon	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	51.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.6	48.5 %
Marion	2	66.7 %	0.4	59.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	40.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	5	45.5 %	5.8	70.0 %	5	45.5 %	1.3	15.4 %	1	9.1 %	1.2	14.6 %
Maury	1	14.3 %	0.8	3.6 %	6	85.7 %	20.4	96.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	3	75.0 %	10.9	98.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.2	1.8 %
Montgomery	8	27.6 %	13.0	44.7 %	19	65.5 %	14.9	51.4 %	2	6.9 %	1.1	3.9 %
Moore	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Morgan	3	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	5	83.3 %	2.6	69.3 %	1	16.7 %	1.1	30.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	4	66.7 %	3.5	82.2 %	2	33.3 %	0.8	17.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	3	100.0 %	24.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	2.1	96.6 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	3.4 %
Rhea	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %
Roane	6	54.5 %	6.4	51.1 %	4	36.4 %	3.1	24.8 %	1	9.1 %	3.0	24.1 %
Robertson	9	56.3 %	4.2	37.8 %	4	25.0 %	5.9	52.9 %	3	18.8 %	1.0	9.3 %

Table D-16b. Recreation Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Recreation
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Rutherford	24	72.7 %	50.7	70.2 %	8	24.2 %	13.5	18.7 %	1	3.0 %	8.0	11.1 %
Scott	1	33.3 %	0.3	6.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	2.5 %	1	33.3 %	4.0	90.7 %
Sequatchie	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	3	23.1 %	3.9	7.1 %	8	61.5 %	27.9	50.7 %	2	15.4 %	23.3	42.3 %
Shelby	27	54.0 %	42.5	41.6 %	14	28.0 %	36.3	35.5 %	9	18.0 %	23.4	22.9 %
Smith	2	100.0 %	2.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	3	50.0 %	1.2	37.2 %	2	33.3 %	0.9	26.4 %	1	16.7 %	1.2	36.4 %
Sullivan	17	68.0 %	6.5	20.9 %	3	12.0 %	17.1	54.7 %	5	20.0 %	7.6	24.4 %
Sumner	17	60.7 %	20.8	63.0 %	5	17.9 %	9.0	27.3 %	6	21.4 %	3.2	9.7 %
Tipton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	8	88.9 %	3.6	83.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	11.1 %	0.7	16.2 %
Union	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	5	83.3 %	6.0	76.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	16.7 %	1.8	23.2 %
Washington	9	39.1 %	33.1	77.3 %	9	39.1 %	5.8	13.5 %	5	21.7 %	3.9	9.2 %
Wayne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	35.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	64.5 %
Weakley	2	40.0 %	0.9	57.2 %	3	60.0 %	0.6	42.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
White	1	50.0 %	0.5	60.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	39.8 %
Williamson	9	64.3 %	30.5	50.4 %	4	28.6 %	28.6	47.3 %	1	7.1 %	1.4	2.3 %
Wilson	4	44.4 %	7.5	42.9 %	4	44.4 %	8.6	49.0 %	1	11.1 %	1.4	8.1 %
Multi-county	4	80.0 %	14.6	98.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.3	2.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	407	54.1 %	\$ 520.8	45.8 %	225	29.9 %	\$ 371.2	32.6 %	120	16.0 %	\$ 245.2	21.6 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-17a. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	1	\$ 600,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$8	74,169
Bedford	1	3,000,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$67	44,696
Benton	1	440,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$27	16,193
Blount	1	1,591,246	0.4 %	100.0 %	\$13	121,511
Bradley	1	600,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$6	96,472
Cannon	1	1,300,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$94	13,804
Carroll	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	28,719
Carter	1	575,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$10	59,492
Cheatham	1	2,000,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$51	39,396
Claiborne	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	31,461
Cumberland	2	6,350,000	1.8 %	100.0 %	\$118	53,590
Davidson	19	242,344,044	67.6 %	100.0 %	\$387	626,144
Dickson	4	2,600,000	0.7 %	56.7 %	\$54	47,884
Fentress	1	400,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$23	17,667
Giles	1	270,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$9	29,184
Grainger	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$22	22,708
Greene	3	5,700,000	1.6 %	87.7 %	\$86	66,157
Hamilton	2	2,100,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$6	332,848
Hawkins	2	800,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$14	57,477
Henderson	2	400,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$15	26,916
Houston	1	1,500,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$184	8,137
Humphreys	1	2,062,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$114	18,149
Jackson	1	1,000,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$92	10,847
Knox	3	2,832,619	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$7	430,019
Lauderdale	3	2,490,000	0.7 %	100.0 %	\$93	26,692
Lewis	1	80,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$7	11,564
McNairy	1	200,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$8	25,724
Madison	1	811,020	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$8	96,376
Marion	2	552,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$20	28,247
Mauzy	2	2,350,000	0.7 %	14.9 %	\$29	81,938
Monroe	2	2,500,000	0.7 %	60.0 %	\$55	45,648
Polk	2	1,628,686	0.5 %	75.4 %	\$104	15,671
Putnam	1	500,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$7	71,160
Rhea	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$5	30,781
Roane	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$9	53,430
Robertson	6	24,115,000	6.7 %	2.7 %	\$372	64,898
Rutherford	1	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$2	249,270
Sevier	2	11,000,000	3.1 %	0.0 %	\$130	84,835
Shelby	3	1,950,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$2	906,825
Sullivan	4	2,079,568	0.6 %	18.9 %	\$14	153,900
Sumner	7	16,078,320	4.5 %	7.8 %	\$103	155,474
Warren	1	100,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	39,842
Washington	2	747,560	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$6	118,639
Williamson	3	10,491,950	2.9 %	100.0 %	\$61	171,452
Wilson	1	662,612	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$6	109,803
Grand Total	100	\$ 358,551,625	100.0 %	79.6 %	\$58	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-17b. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bedford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Benton	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	1	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cannon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	6.4	100.0 %
Davidson	7	36.8 %	201.3	83.1 %	11	57.9 %	35.3	14.6 %	1	5.3 %	5.7	2.4 %
Dickson	1	25.0 %	1.5	56.7 %	2	50.0 %	0.6	23.1 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	20.2 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Grainger	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	3	100.0 %	5.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	2	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	2	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Humphreys	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	2	66.7 %	2.5	89.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	10.6 %
Lauderdale	3	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %
Marion	2	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	2.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Monroe	2	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	2	33.3 %	15.7	64.9 %	3	50.0 %	8.4	34.8 %	1	16.7 %	0.1	0.2 %

Table D-17b. Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Libraries, Museums, & Historic Sites
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Rutherford	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	11.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	1.8	92.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	7.7 %
Sullivan	3	75.0 %	1.0	50.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	1.0	49.8 %
Sumner	2	28.6 %	1.4	8.6 %	3	42.9 %	3.7	23.0 %	2	28.6 %	11.0	68.4 %
Warren	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	2	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	1	33.3 %	1.3	11.9 %	1	33.3 %	4.0	38.5 %	1	33.3 %	5.2	49.6 %
Wilson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	53	53.0 %	\$ 252.3	70.4 %	33	33.0 %	\$ 74.8	20.9 %	14	14.0 %	\$ 31.5	8.8 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-18a. Community Development Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Benton	1	\$ 1,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$62	16,193
Blount	1	9,125,966	2.7 %	0.0 %	\$75	121,511
Bradley	1	2,500,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$26	96,472
Campbell	1	93,750	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	40,936
Carter	2	550,000	0.2 %	45.5 %	\$9	59,492
Cheatham	1	1,600,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$41	39,396
Claiborne	2	332,795	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$11	31,461
Coffee	1	10,000,000	3.0 %	100.0 %	\$192	52,134
Crockett	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$35	14,186
Cumberland	1	250,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	53,590
Davidson	10	46,867,500	14.1 %	97.9 %	\$75	626,144
DeKalb	4	5,100,000	1.5 %	68.6 %	\$273	18,694
Dickson	1	600,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$13	47,884
Fentress	1	300,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$17	17,667
Giles	3	600,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$21	29,184
Grainger	1	108,504	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$5	22,708
Greene	4	1,100,000	0.3 %	77.3 %	\$17	66,157
Grundy	1	133,600	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$9	14,220
Hamblen	1	145,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	62,132
Hamilton	1	1,200,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$4	332,848
Hancock	2	4,455,400	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$666	6,693
Hardin	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$19	26,227
Hawkins	3	5,100,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$89	57,477
Haywood	1	567,586	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$30	19,024
Henderson	1	302,699	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$11	26,916
Jackson	4	1,510,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$139	10,847
Knox	2	1,100,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$3	430,019
Lawrence	1	170,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$4	40,954
Lewis	4	1,759,146	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$152	11,564
Lincoln	2	244,893	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$7	33,116
Loudon	2	1,235,426	0.4 %	39.3 %	\$27	46,445
McMinn	2	5,250,000	1.6 %	81.0 %	\$100	52,511
McNairy	6	3,406,772	1.0 %	93.2 %	\$132	25,724
Macon	1	540,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$25	21,838
Meigs	2	1,347,222	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$114	11,790
Montgomery	1	10,000,000	3.0 %	100.0 %	\$65	154,756
Morgan	1	357,500	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$18	20,404
Perry	2	928,347	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$120	7,753
Robertson	3	1,287,680	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$20	64,898
Rutherford	2	7,016,000	2.1 %	100.0 %	\$28	249,270
Shelby	3	137,079,545	41.2 %	100.0 %	\$151	906,825
Smith	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$26	19,107
Stewart	3	1,800,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$136	13,226
Sullivan	1	1,500,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$10	153,900
Sumner	6	9,841,000	3.0 %	0.0 %	\$63	155,474
Tipton	4	3,225,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$55	58,706
Unicoi	4	21,350,000	6.4 %	0.0 %	\$1,205	17,718
Van Buren	2	1,750,000	0.5 %	14.3 %	\$319	5,481
Washington	1	120,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$1	118,639
Wayne	2	2,300,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$138	16,614

Table D-18a. Community Development Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regtegv'qh Equv'p'EKR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Williamson	2	449,000	0.1 %	36.7 %	\$3	171,452
Wilson	2	17,300,000	5.2 %	0.0 %	\$158	109,803
Multi-county	1	6,000,000	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$1	6,214,888
Grand Total	114	\$ 332,400,331	100.0 %	68.2 %	\$53	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-18b. Community Development Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Community Development
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Benton	1	100.0 %	\$ 1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Blount	1	100.0 %	9.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	1	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	2	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	15.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	84.4 %
Coffee	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	4	40.0 %	36.3	77.4 %	5	50.0 %	8.9	19.0 %	1	10.0 %	1.7	3.6 %
DeKalb	4	100.0 %	5.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	2	66.7 %	0.1	16.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	83.3 %
Grainger	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	25.0 %	0.3	22.7 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	9.1 %	2	50.0 %	0.8	68.2 %
Grundy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamblen	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hancock	2	100.0 %	4.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	3	100.0 %	5.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Haywood	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	3	75.0 %	1.5	96.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.1	4.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	50.0 %	1.0	90.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	9.1 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lewis	1	25.0 %	0.1	5.7 %	3	75.0 %	1.7	94.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	2	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	1	50.0 %	4.3	81.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.0	19.0 %
McNairy	1	16.7 %	0.2	4.4 %	3	50.0 %	3.1	90.3 %	2	33.3 %	0.2	5.3 %
Macon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Meigs	1	50.0 %	1.0	74.2 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	25.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-18b. Community Development Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Community Development
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Morgan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	2	66.7 %	1.1	88.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	11.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	6.5	92.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	7.4 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	110.5	80.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	26.6	19.4 %
Smith	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Stewart	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	1.5	83.3 %	2	66.7 %	0.3	16.7 %
Sullivan	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	5	83.3 %	9.7	98.6 %	1	16.7 %	0.1	1.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	3	75.0 %	2.8	86.8 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	13.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Unicoi	3	75.0 %	20.6	96.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.8	3.7 %
Van Buren	2	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wayne	1	50.0 %	1.5	65.2 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	34.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	1	50.0 %	0.3	63.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	36.7 %
Wilson	1	50.0 %	15.0	86.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	2.3	13.3 %
Multi-county	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	65	57.0 %	\$ 254.2	76.5 %	32	28.1 %	\$ 42.5	12.8 %	17	14.9 %	\$ 35.7	10.7 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19a. Business District Development Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgegv'qh Equ'lp'EER	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Coffee	1	\$ 1,200,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	52,134
Cumberland	2	9,000,000	1.1 %	66.7 %	\$168	53,590
Davidson	6	635,472,900	78.4 %	99.8 %	\$1,015	626,144
Dickson	1	1,500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$31	47,884
Greene	2	5,500,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$83	66,157
Henderson	1	103,500	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$4	26,916
Houston	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$37	8,137
Knox	2	39,850,000	4.9 %	100.0 %	\$93	430,019
Lauderdale	1	10,000,000	1.2 %	100.0 %	\$375	26,692
Lawrence	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$24	40,954
McMinn	2	7,250,000	0.9 %	91.0 %	\$138	52,511
McNairy	1	100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	25,724
Marion	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$18	28,247
Marshall	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$34	29,731
Maury	2	2,100,000	0.3 %	4.8 %	\$26	81,938
Montgomery	1	2,738,120	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$18	154,756
Putnam	2	19,300,000	2.4 %	0.0 %	\$271	71,160
Rutherford	1	3,850,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$15	249,270
Sevier	2	41,000,000	5.1 %	0.0 %	\$483	84,835
Shelby	2	8,000,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$9	906,825
Sullivan	2	4,850,000	0.6 %	100.0 %	\$32	153,900
Sumner	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$6	155,474
Tipton	2	3,700,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$63	58,706
Unicoi	1	1,000,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$56	17,718
Williamson	1	10,000,000	1.2 %	100.0 %	\$58	171,452
Grand Total	40	\$ 810,314,520	100.0 %	89.8 %	\$130	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-19b. Business District Development Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Business District Development
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Coffee	1	100.0 %	\$ 1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Cumberland	2	100.0 %	9.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	1	16.7 %	1.0	0.2 %	3	50.0 %	463.2	72.9 %	2	33.3 %	171.2	26.9 %
Dickson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	2	100.0 %	5.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henderson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Houston	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	1	50.0 %	19.9	49.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	20.0	50.2 %
Lauderdale	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	2	100.0 %	7.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	19.3	100.0 %
Rutherford	1	100.0 %	3.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	1	50.0 %	30.0	73.2 %	1	50.0 %	11.0	26.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	4.0	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	4.0	50.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	4.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	1	50.0 %	2.5	67.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.2	32.4 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	16	40.0 %	\$ 91.8	11.3 %	15	37.5 %	\$ 492.7	60.8 %	9	22.5 %	\$ 225.8	27.9 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-20a. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Bedford	3	\$ 12,800,000	5.5 %	0.0 %	\$286	44,696
Bledsoe	1	1,500,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$114	13,142
Bradley	2	3,906,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$40	96,472
Campbell	4	3,580,000	1.6 %	0.0 %	\$87	40,936
Cannon	1	3,000,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$217	13,804
Carter	3	3,650,000	1.6 %	17.8 %	\$61	59,492
Cheatham	1	2,100,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$53	39,396
Claiborne	1	3,500,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$111	31,461
Clay	2	1,700,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$218	7,794
Coffee	2	1,480,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$28	52,134
Decatur	3	1,400,000	0.6 %	85.7 %	\$124	11,288
DeKalb	4	3,700,000	1.6 %	40.5 %	\$198	18,694
Dyer	1	2,500,000	1.1 %	0.0 %	\$66	37,600
Fayette	1	1,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$26	38,173
Fentress	2	5,200,000	2.3 %	0.0 %	\$294	17,667
Gibson	1	750,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$15	49,257
Grainger	1	592,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$26	22,708
Greene	1	6,000,000	2.6 %	0.0 %	\$91	66,157
Hamilton	2	10,100,000	4.4 %	30.7 %	\$30	332,848
Hancock	1	1,009,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$151	6,693
Hardeman	3	1,950,000	0.8 %	74.4 %	\$70	27,848
Hardin	2	1,300,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$50	26,227
Hawkins	3	6,750,000	2.9 %	0.0 %	\$117	57,477
Haywood	2	11,930,000	5.2 %	0.0 %	\$627	19,024
Hickman	1	1,500,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$63	23,841
Houston	1	1,400,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$172	8,137
Humphreys	5	4,700,000	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$259	18,149
Jackson	1	1,250,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$115	10,847
Johnson	2	2,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$110	18,112
Knox	1	1,840,000	0.8 %	100.0 %	\$4	430,019
Lawrence	3	4,600,000	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$112	40,954
Lewis	2	750,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$65	11,564
McMinn	1	2,000,000	0.9 %	100.0 %	\$38	52,511
McNairy	1	150,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$6	25,724
Macon	2	3,210,000	1.4 %	0.0 %	\$147	21,838
Madison	1	750,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$8	96,376
Marion	1	150,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$5	28,247
Marshall	1	5,000,000	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$168	29,731
Mauzy	1	2,000,000	0.9 %	100.0 %	\$24	81,938
Meigs	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$42	11,790
Monroe	2	1,500,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$33	45,648
Montgomery	1	2,345,000	1.0 %	100.0 %	\$15	154,756
Moore	1	1,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$161	6,195
Obion	3	3,450,000	1.5 %	0.0 %	\$110	31,375
Overton	1	2,200,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$105	20,975
Pickett	2	1,850,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$385	4,801
Polk	4	2,181,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$139	15,671
Rhea	2	2,125,000	0.9 %	29.4 %	\$69	30,781
Roane	2	4,600,000	2.0 %	0.0 %	\$86	53,430
Robertson	1	500,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$8	64,898

Table D-20a. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EKR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Scott	1	400,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$18	22,039
Shelby	1	7,620,000	3.3 %	100.0 %	\$8	906,825
Sullivan	5	7,500,000	3.2 %	13.3 %	\$49	153,900
Sumner	2	1,000,000	0.4 %	50.0 %	\$6	155,474
Tipton	1	10,000,000	4.3 %	0.0 %	\$170	58,706
Trousdale	8	11,250,000	4.9 %	11.1 %	\$1,438	7,822
Unicoi	1	3,000,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$169	17,718
Union	1	1,200,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$63	19,008
Van Buren	1	5,000,000	2.2 %	0.0 %	\$912	5,481
Warren	3	8,000,000	3.5 %	0.0 %	\$201	39,842
Washington	1	1,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$8	118,639
Wayne	2	1,000,000	0.4 %	0.0 %	\$60	16,614
Weakley	3	1,900,000	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$57	33,375
White	1	2,000,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$80	25,129
Williamson	1	14,000,000	6.1 %	100.0 %	\$82	171,452
Wilson	2	11,000,000	4.8 %	0.0 %	\$100	109,803
Grand Total	125	\$ 230,818,000	100.0 %	18.2 %	\$37	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-20b. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Industrial Sites & Parks
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Bedford	1	33.3 %	\$ 6.0	46.9 %	2	66.7 %	\$ 6.8	53.1 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bradley	2	100.0 %	3.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Campbell	4	100.0 %	3.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cannon	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	3	100.0 %	3.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	1	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.5	100.0 %
Clay	2	100.0 %	1.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Decatur	1	33.3 %	0.5	35.7 %	2	66.7 %	0.9	64.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
DeKalb	4	100.0 %	3.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dyer	1	100.0 %	2.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fentress	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	5.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Gibson	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grainger	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	2	100.0 %	10.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hancock	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	2	66.7 %	1.5	74.4 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	25.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	1	50.0 %	0.5	38.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	61.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	1	33.3 %	5.0	74.1 %	2	66.7 %	1.8	25.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Haywood	1	50.0 %	10.0	83.8 %	1	50.0 %	1.9	16.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Houston	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Humphreys	5	100.0 %	4.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	1	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	2	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.8	17.4 %	2	66.7 %	3.8	82.6 %
Lewis	1	50.0 %	0.5	66.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.3	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McMinn	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	1	50.0 %	3.0	93.5 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	6.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-20b. Industrial Sites & Parks Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Industrial Sites & Parks
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Marion	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Meigs	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Monroe	2	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	100.0 %	2.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	66.7 %	1.5	42.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	2.0	58.0 %
Overton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.2	100.0 %
Pickett	2	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Polk	2	50.0 %	0.4	19.5 %	2	50.0 %	1.8	80.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	2	100.0 %	2.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	4.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Robertson	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	7.6	100.0 %
Sullivan	1	20.0 %	0.5	6.7 %	3	60.0 %	5.5	73.3 %	1	20.0 %	1.5	20.0 %
Sumner	1	50.0 %	0.5	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Tipton	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	7	87.5 %	10.8	95.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	12.5 %	0.5	4.4 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Union	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	5.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Warren	1	33.3 %	3.0	37.5 %	2	66.7 %	5.0	62.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wayne	1	50.0 %	0.2	20.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.8	80.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Weakley	3	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
White	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %
Williamson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	14.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	1	50.0 %	10.0	90.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.0	9.1 %
Grand Total	77	61.6 %	\$ 133.2	57.7 %	36	28.8 %	\$ 71.0	30.8 %	12	9.6 %	\$ 26.6	11.5 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21a. Public Buildings Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Rtgepv'qh Equ'lp'EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Anderson	9	\$ 8,025,000	1.3 %	0.0 %	\$108	74,169
Bedford	1	150,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	44,696
Bledsoe	1	1,500,000	0.2 %	100.0 %	\$114	13,142
Blount	4	1,850,000	0.3 %	13.5 %	\$15	121,511
Bradley	2	3,150,000	0.5 %	95.2 %	\$33	96,472
Cannon	1	850,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$62	13,804
Carroll	5	18,168,116	3.0 %	20.6 %	\$633	28,719
Carter	1	200,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$3	59,492
Cheatham	2	18,000,000	3.0 %	0.0 %	\$457	39,396
Claiborne	2	1,250,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$40	31,461
Coffee	1	3,000,000	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$58	52,134
Crockett	2	1,300,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$92	14,186
Davidson	46	122,053,911	20.2 %	100.0 %	\$195	626,144
Decatur	1	100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$9	11,288
DeKalb	1	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$21	18,694
Dickson	3	1,550,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$32	47,884
Dyer	3	1,900,000	0.3 %	39.5 %	\$51	37,600
Fayette	2	1,700,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$45	38,173
Franklin	3	495,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$12	41,165
Gibson	2	1,100,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$22	49,257
Giles	3	800,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$27	29,184
Grainger	2	1,200,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$53	22,708
Greene	2	700,000	0.1 %	28.6 %	\$11	66,157
Hamblen	2	4,250,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$68	62,132
Hamilton	6	3,573,200	0.6 %	86.0 %	\$11	332,848
Hardeman	2	800,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$29	27,848
Hardin	1	755,000	0.1 %	100.0 %	\$29	26,227
Hawkins	4	2,770,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$48	57,477
Henderson	2	7,500,000	1.2 %	100.0 %	\$279	26,916
Henry	2	800,000	0.1 %	62.5 %	\$25	31,770
Hickman	2	775,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$33	23,841
Houston	2	1,600,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$197	8,137
Jackson	1	125,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$12	10,847
Jefferson	2	10,883,740	1.8 %	0.0 %	\$213	51,074
Johnson	2	425,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	18,112
Knox	4	1,614,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$4	430,019
Lawrence	1	3,000,000	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$73	40,954
Lewis	2	900,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$78	11,564
Lincoln	1	200,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$6	33,116
Loudon	5	14,600,000	2.4 %	89.0 %	\$314	46,445
McMinn	1	6,000,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$114	52,511
McNairy	1	100,000	0.0 %	100.0 %	\$4	25,724
Macon	1	500,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$23	21,838
Madison	3	2,116,596	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$22	96,376
Marion	2	985,000	0.2 %	76.1 %	\$35	28,247
Marshall	2	400,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$13	29,731
Mauzy	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$4	81,938
Monroe	2	850,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$19	45,648
Montgomery	4	4,404,450	0.7 %	63.1 %	\$28	154,756
Moore	1	300,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$48	6,195

Table D-21a. Public Buildings Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regulatory Equipment EIR	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Obion	2	450,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$14	31,375
Overton	3	6,150,000	1.0 %	48.8 %	\$293	20,975
Perry	2	870,780	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$112	7,753
Pickett	2	1,700,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$354	4,801
Putnam	3	3,680,000	0.6 %	0.0 %	\$52	71,160
Rhea	2	875,000	0.1 %	0.0 %	\$28	30,781
Roane	5	5,047,800	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$94	53,430
Robertson	4	26,200,000	4.3 %	23.3 %	\$404	64,898
Rutherford	13	80,630,000	13.3 %	36.7 %	\$323	249,270
Scott	1	50,000	0.0 %	0.0 %	\$2	22,039
Sevier	2	2,865,490	0.5 %	100.0 %	\$34	84,835
Shelby	19	87,307,348	14.4 %	100.0 %	\$96	906,825
Smith	2	3,250,000	0.5 %	92.3 %	\$170	19,107
Stewart	2	21,045,000	3.5 %	0.0 %	\$1,591	13,226
Sullivan	5	3,037,000	0.5 %	55.5 %	\$20	153,900
Sumner	7	13,390,000	2.2 %	59.7 %	\$86	155,474
Tipton	1	10,000,000	1.7 %	0.0 %	\$170	58,706
Trousdale	3	2,844,969	0.5 %	0.0 %	\$364	7,822
Unicoi	1	4,000,000	0.7 %	0.0 %	\$226	17,718
Van Buren	1	2,000,000	0.3 %	0.0 %	\$365	5,481
Washington	5	2,324,000	0.4 %	49.4 %	\$20	118,639
Wayne	1	1,200,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$72	16,614
Weakley	1	1,000,000	0.2 %	0.0 %	\$30	33,375
Williamson	3	44,242,501	7.3 %	97.3 %	\$258	171,452
Wilson	5	5,900,000	1.0 %	0.0 %	\$54	109,803
Multi-county	6	15,235,584	2.5 %	100.0 %	\$2	6,214,888
Grand Total	259	\$ 605,264,485	100.0 %	61.1 %	\$97	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

Table D-21b. Public Buildings Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Buildings
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Anderson	5	55.6 %	\$ 5.8	72.6 %	2	22.2 %	\$ 0.8	10.0 %	2	22.2 %	\$ 1.4	17.4 %
Bedford	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Bledsoe	1	100.0 %	1.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Blount	2	50.0 %	1.3	67.6 %	1	25.0 %	0.4	18.9 %	1	25.0 %	0.3	13.5 %
Bradley	1	50.0 %	0.2	4.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	95.2 %
Cannon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carroll	3	60.0 %	11.9	65.4 %	2	40.0 %	6.3	34.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Carter	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Cheatham	2	100.0 %	18.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Claiborne	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Coffee	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Crockett	2	100.0 %	1.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	22	47.8 %	42.7	35.0 %	14	30.4 %	52.7	43.2 %	10	21.7 %	26.6	21.8 %
Decatur	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
DeKalb	1	100.0 %	0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Dickson	1	33.3 %	0.5	32.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	1.1	67.7 %
Dyer	3	100.0 %	1.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Fayette	1	50.0 %	1.5	88.2 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	11.8 %
Franklin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	0.4	74.7 %	1	33.3 %	0.1	25.3 %
Gibson	2	100.0 %	1.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Giles	1	33.3 %	0.3	31.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	31.3 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	37.5 %
Grainger	1	50.0 %	1.0	83.3 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	16.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Greene	1	50.0 %	0.5	71.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	28.6 %
Hamblen	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	4.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hamilton	5	83.3 %	3.3	91.4 %	1	16.7 %	0.3	8.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardeman	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hardin	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hawkins	3	75.0 %	2.2	78.3 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.6	21.7 %
Henderson	2	100.0 %	7.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Henry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Hickman	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	9.7 %	1	50.0 %	0.7	90.3 %
Houston	2	100.0 %	1.6	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jackson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Jefferson	1	50.0 %	0.9	8.1 %	1	50.0 %	10.0	91.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Johnson	1	50.0 %	0.3	70.6 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	29.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	3	75.0 %	1.1	69.0 %	1	25.0 %	0.5	31.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-21b. Public Buildings Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Buildings
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Lawrence	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	3.0	100.0 %
Lewis	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Lincoln	1	100.0 %	0.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Loudon	2	40.0 %	3.5	24.0 %	2	40.0 %	9.5	65.1 %	1	20.0 %	1.6	11.0 %
McMinn	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	6.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Macon	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Madison	1	33.3 %	0.2	11.4 %	2	66.7 %	1.9	88.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marion	2	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Marshall	1	50.0 %	0.2	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	0.2	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Maury	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Monroe	1	50.0 %	0.4	41.2 %	1	50.0 %	0.5	58.8 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	1	25.0 %	1.6	36.9 %	3	75.0 %	2.8	63.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Moore	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Obion	2	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Overton	1	33.3 %	3.0	48.8 %	1	33.3 %	3.0	48.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.2	2.4 %
Perry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Pickett	2	100.0 %	1.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Putnam	1	33.3 %	0.2	4.9 %	2	66.7 %	3.5	95.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rhea	1	50.0 %	0.8	91.4 %	1	50.0 %	0.1	8.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Roane	3	60.0 %	4.4	87.1 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	40.0 %	0.7	12.9 %
Robertson	3	75.0 %	20.2	77.1 %	1	25.0 %	6.0	22.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Rutherford	7	53.8 %	56.7	70.3 %	5	38.5 %	18.8	23.3 %	1	7.7 %	5.2	6.4 %
Scott	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	2	100.0 %	2.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	3	15.8 %	0.5	0.6 %	4	21.1 %	5.3	6.1 %	12	63.2 %	81.5	93.4 %
Smith	1	50.0 %	0.3	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	3.0	92.3 %
Stewart	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	50.0 %	1.0	5.0 %	1	50.0 %	20.0	95.0 %
Sullivan	2	40.0 %	2.0	66.5 %	3	60.0 %	1.0	33.5 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sumner	3	42.9 %	8.5	63.5 %	2	28.6 %	2.7	19.8 %	2	28.6 %	2.2	16.7 %
Tipton	1	100.0 %	10.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Trousdale	1	33.3 %	1.5	52.8 %	1	33.3 %	0.5	19.1 %	1	33.3 %	0.8	28.1 %
Unicoi	1	100.0 %	4.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Van Buren	1	100.0 %	2.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Washington	4	80.0 %	2.2	96.6 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	20.0 %	0.1	3.4 %
Wayne	1	100.0 %	1.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %

Table D-21b. Public Buildings Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Public Buildings
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Weakley	1	100.0 %	1.0	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Williamson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	3	100.0 %	44.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Wilson	4	80.0 %	4.4	74.6 %	1	20.0 %	1.5	25.4 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Multi-county	6	100.0 %	15.2	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	135	52.1 %	\$ 262.6	43.4 %	76	29.3 %	\$ 189.6	31.3 %	48	18.5 %	\$ 153.1	25.3 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-22a. Other Facilities Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regrowth Equivalency	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Carter	1	\$ 350,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$6	59,492
Davidson	3	7,871,800	20.5 %	100.0 %	\$13	626,144
Jefferson	2	331,000	0.9 %	0.0 %	\$6	51,074
Knox	3	13,877,822	36.2 %	100.0 %	\$32	430,019
Scott	1	312,500	0.8 %	0.0 %	\$14	22,039
Shelby	6	13,829,725	36.0 %	100.0 %	\$15	906,825
Sullivan	1	99,000	0.3 %	100.0 %	\$1	153,900
Unicoi	1	1,700,000	4.4 %	0.0 %	\$96	17,718
Grand Total	18	\$ 38,371,847	100.0 %	93.0 %	\$6	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-22b. Other Facilities Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Other Facilities
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Carter	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Davidson	3	100.0 %	7.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Jefferson	2	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Knox	2	66.7 %	3.6	26.1 %	1	33.3 %	10.2	73.9 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Scott	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
Shelby	6	100.0 %	13.8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sullivan	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %
Unicoi	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.7	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Grand Total	14	77.8 %	\$ 26.0	67.8 %	2	11.1 %	\$ 11.9	31.1 %	2	11.1 %	\$ 0.4	1.1 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-23a. Property Acquisition Projects by County
Number, Estimated Cost, and Percent in Capital Improvements Plan
Five-year period July 2008 through 2013

County	Number of Projects	Total Estimated Cost	Percent of Total Cost	Regrowth Equivalency	Cost Per Capita	2008 Population
Davidson	1	\$ 100,000	1.6 %	100.0 %	\$0	626,144
Henry	1	250,000	4.0 %	0.0 %	\$8	31,770
McMinn	1	879,825	14.0 %	0.0 %	\$17	52,511
McNairy	1	120,000	1.9 %	100.0 %	\$5	25,724
Montgomery	3	1,375,000	21.8 %	100.0 %	\$9	154,756
Obion	1	472,250	7.5 %	0.0 %	\$15	31,375
Sevier	1	1,381,011	21.9 %	0.0 %	\$16	84,835
Shelby	3	1,225,000	19.4 %	100.0 %	\$1	906,825
Wilson	1	500,000	7.9 %	0.0 %	\$5	109,803
Grand Total	13	\$ 6,303,086	100.0 %	44.7 %	\$1	6,214,888

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT

Table D-23b. Property Acquisition Projects by County and Stage of Development
Number and Estimated Cost for Property Acquisition
Five-year Period July 2008 through June 2013

County	Conceptual				Planning & Design				Construction			
	Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]		Number		Cost [in millions]	
Davidson	1	100.0 %	\$ 0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	\$ 0.0	0.0 %
Henry	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.3	100.0 %
McMinn	1	100.0 %	0.9	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
McNairy	1	100.0 %	0.1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	1.1	78.2 %	1	33.3 %	0.3	21.8 %
Obion	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Sevier	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	1.4	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %
Shelby	2	66.7 %	0.4	34.7 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0.8	65.3 %
Wilson	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0.0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0.5	100.0 %
Grand Total	5	38.5 %	\$ 1.5	24.2 %	4	30.8 %	\$ 2.9	46.5 %	4	30.8 %	\$ 1.9	29.4 %

Only those counties that reported projects in this category are shown.

DRAFT