



TENNESSEE TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

OVERALL PERFORMANCE




PERFORMANCE CATEGORY

51.2% OF POINTS EARNED

38.4 POINTS EARNED

10.9 PERCENTAGE POINTS INCREASE FROM 2016

DOMAIN SUMMARY



OVERALL PERFORMANCE OVER TIME

2016-17

51.2% of points earned

38.4 out of **75** points

2) Performance Category

2015-16

40.3% of points earned

30.2 out of **75** points


2 Performance Category

HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The Teacher Preparation Report Card contains four (4) domains: Candidate Profile, Employment, Satisfaction, and Provider Impact. Each domain is comprised of multiple metrics. To date, data has not been collected for the Satisfaction domain, so it will be unscored this year. A provider must have at least ten total completers and must generate a score on at least one half of the metrics in each domain in order to generate an overall performance category rating. For more information, please refer to the technical guide.

Providers are awarded a performance category based on their ability to successfully prepare Tennessee educators. This is calculated using the percentage of points earned across all metrics. Category 1 represents the lowest performance, and Category 4 represents the highest performance.

The 2017 Teacher Preparation Report Card will include data on three cohorts of completers (2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16). Performance on each metric is displayed in the format shown in the graphic on the right.



The score of **77.2** earned this EPP **1.7** of **3** possible points on this metric. This score increased **8.6** percentage points from 2016.

- 1 Scores in this range are below the scored range and earn an EPP no points.
- 2 This is the scored range. Scores in this range earn an EPP partial points proportionate to their score.
- This range is above the target score. Values in this range earn an EPP maximum points.

ABOUT THIS PROVIDER

Website

https://www.tntech.edu/education/

Associate Dean

Dr. Julie C. Baker


Completer Placement Across Tennessee




With an enrollment of more than 10,000 students, Tennessee Tech is located in the Upper Cumberland. The educator preparation provider (EPP) at Tennessee Tech is in the College of Education (COEd) which includes the Departments of Counseling and Psychology, Curriculum and Instruction, and Exercise Science. The mission of the COEd is to provide unique and rigorous, learner-centered academic experiences for students to achieve their highest potential as life-long learners, professionals, and citizens. The college's vision is to be an empowering force in education. Programs prepare effective, engaging professionals through a year-long, clinically-rich residency program with a network of over 50 district partnerships across the state. In addition to a variety of non-licensure programs, Tennessee Tech's EPP offers 32 initial and 5 advanced licensure programs including a cluster of secondary education programs all uniquely housed within the College of Education.

COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS

Number of Completers




Percent of Total State Completers



Enrollment by Ethnicity


| Asian | | 0.6% |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------|
| Hispanic | | 1.6% |
| Pacific Islander | | 0.0% |
| White | | 95.8% |
| Black | | 0.9% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ı | 0.4% |
| Multiracial | | 0.8% |

Percent of Completers by State of Residency




COMPLETER CHARACTERISTICS CONTINUED

Completers by Type of Initial Licensure



Completers by Type of Clinical Practice




Percent of Admissions Based on*:

| SAT | 0.2% |
|------------------|-------|
| Miller Analogies | 4.2% |
| ACT | 47.8% |
| Praxis Core | 7.6% |
| GRE | 0.2% |

^{*}Providers often consider multiple assessments in the admission process; some candidates were admitted using a former version of the Praxis assessment

Praxis Principles of Learning and Teaching Passage Rate



CANDIDATE PROFILE



PERFORMANCE CATEGORY


18.5% OF POINTS EARNED 3.7 OUT OF 20 POINTS

0.0 PERCENTAGE POINTS INCREASE FROM 2016

Percentage of completers who scored at or above an ACT score of 21 or an SAT score of 1020

This measure reports the percentage of completers who received an ACT score at or above 21 or an SAT score at or above 1020.

N-Size: 904




The score of 63.6 earned this EPP 0.8 of 3 possible points on this metric. This score decreased 1.6 percentage points from 2016.

Percentage of high-demand endorsements

This measure reports the percentage of all endorsements issued in the area of English as a Second Language, Secondary Math, Secondary Science (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics), Spanish, and Special Education (Modified, Comprehensive, and Interventionist).

N-Size: 1208




The score of 13.2 earned this EPP 2.6 of 10 possible points on this metric. This score decreased 0.4 percentage points from 2016.

Percentage of racially diverse completers

This breakdown reports the racial demographics of the undergraduate student body at the EPP's institution overall.

N-Size: 1043



The score of 4.2 earned this EPP 0.3 of 7 possible points on this metric. This score increased 1.1 percentage points from 2016.

SEE HOW THE CANDIDATE PROFILE METRICS ARE CALCULATED

EMPLOYMENT




PERFORMANCE CATEGORY 66.7% of points earned 10.0 out of 15 points 12.0 percentage points increase from 2016

First year placement rate

This measure reports the rate at which completers were placed in Tennessee public schools within one year of receiving their initial

N-Size: 1043



The score of **66.4** earned this EPP **2.9** of **6** possible points on this metric. This score increased 2.9 percentage points from 2016.


Three year placement rate

This measure reports the rate at which completers were placed in Tennessee public schools within three years of receiving their initial Not yet available

Beyond year one retention rate*

This measure reports the percentage of completers who were placed and remain teaching in Tennessee public schools the following year.

N-Size: 489



The score of 91.8 earned this EPP 7.1 of 9 possible points on this metric. This score increased 2.4 percentage points from 2016.

SEE HOW THE EMPLOYMENT METRICS ARE CALCULATED

PROVIDER IMPACT




PERFORMANCE CATEGORY 61.8% of points earned 24.7 out of 40 points

16.0 PERCENTAGE POINTS INCREASE FROM 2016

Percentage of completers whose observation scores are Level 3 or above

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned an Observation score of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

N-Size: 768




The score of **96** earned this EPP **6.0** of **6** possible points on this metric. This score increased 2.3 percentage points from 2016.

Percentage of completers whose observation scores are Levels 4-5

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned an Observation score of 4 or 5 ("Above Expectations" or "Significantly Above Expectations").

N-Size: 768




The score of **56** earned this EPP **6.3** of **9** possible points on this metric. This score increased 6.1 percentage points from 2016.

Percentage of completers whose TVAAS* scores are Level 3 or above

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned a TVAAS score of at least a 3 ("At Expectations").

N-Size: 351




The score of **56.1** earned this EPP **4.3** of **10** possible points on this metric. This score increased 1.1 percentage points from 2016.

Percentage of completers whose TVAAS scores are Levels 4-5

This measure reports the percentage of completers who earned a TVAAS score of 4 or 5 ("Above Expectations" or "Significantly Above Expectations").

N-Size: 351



The score of **24.5** earned this EPP **8.1** of **15** possible points on this metric. This score increased 6.4 percentage points from 2016.

SEE HOW THE PROVIDER IMPACT METRICS ARE CALCULATED

*The Tennessee Value Added Assessment System (TVAAS) reports the impact teachers have on their students' academic progress. TVAAS measure student growth, not student achievement.