CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

Policy Sections:

- I. Purpose
- II. Authorizer Evaluation Cohorts and Timeline
- III. Authorizer Evaluation Evidence
- IV. Evaluation Ratings and Corresponding Follow-Up Actions
- **Purpose**. T.C.A. § 49-13-145 requires the State Board of Education ("State Board") to ensure the effective operation of authorizers and evaluate authorizer quality through periodic evaluations of authorizers.

State Board Rule 0520-14-01-.08 contains the evaluation process, authorizer ratings and follow-up actions, and when the State Board may determine a reduction of the authorizer's authorizer fee under certain circumstances.

This policy provides further explanation and detail regarding authorizer evaluation cohorts and timeline, the evidence considered in an evaluation, and the evaluation ratings and corresponding follow-up actions to ensure authorizer compliance with the requirements of state law, the rules and regulations of the State Board, and the State Board Quality Charter Authorizing Standards Policy 6.111.

- II. Authorizer Evaluation Cohorts and Timeline. State Board staff shall assign authorizers to an evaluation cohort. Authorizers in the same cohort are evaluated at least every other year. If an authorizer receives an Exemplary rating for two (2) consecutive evaluations, the authorizer is exempted from undergoing an evaluation during the next evaluation year. If an authorizer receives an Unsatisfactory/Incomplete rating during an evaluation, the authorizer shall participate in another authorizer evaluation in the school year immediately following the Unsatisfactory/Incomplete rating. An exemption or required additional evaluation does not change an authorizer's assigned evaluation cohort.
 - (a) During an evaluation year, the timeline is as follows:
 - 1. Authorizers attend an orientation in August;
 - 2. Document submission window opens in September and closes in October;
 - 3. Authorizer evaluations occur between October and December;

Revised: 05/19/2023 Page 1 of 4

CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

- 4. Draft authorizer evaluation report shared with authorizer by January;
- 5. Final authorizer evaluation report shared with the authorizer in January;
- 6. Final evaluation ratings presented to the State Board for approval at its first quarterly or special called board meeting following release of the final evaluation report to the authorizer;
- 7. Upon State Board approval, written notification of approval is sent to the authorizer and final authorizer evaluation reports are posted to the State Board's website;
- 8. Authorizers receiving a rating of Approaching Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory/
 Incomplete shall acknowledge receipt of the written notification and any required
 follow-up actions no later than ten (10) business days after the written
 notification is sent to the authorizer; and
- 9. Authorizers receiving a rating of Approaching Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory/ Incomplete shall develop a corrective action plan, as outlined in section four (4) of this policy.
- (b) During a non-evaluation year, the authorizer shall submit to the State Board:
 - 1. Completed self-assessment using the State Board's template; and
 - Information and evidence demonstrating completion of a corrective action plan, if required by the State Board as a result of the authorizer's most recent evaluation report.
 - i. If an authorizer is required to participate in another authorizer evaluation in the school year immediately following an Unsatisfactory/Incomplete rating, the completed corrective action plan shall be submitted as part of the authorizer's documentation during the document submission window as outlined in section two (2), paragraph (a) of this policy.
 - 3. The self-assessment and corrective action plan shall be reviewed by the Executive Director of the State Board or designee to monitor an authorizer's resolution of any identified deficiencies.

Revised: 05/19/2023 Page 2 of 4

CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

- **III. Authorizer Evaluation Evidence.** An authorizer's evaluation shall be based on the following evidence:
 - (a) Documentation submitted by the authorizer during the submission window defined in section two (2) of this policy;
 - (b) An interview with school leaders from the authorizer's charter schools;
 - (c) A meeting with the authorizer about the submitted documentation; and
 - (d) The authorizer's appeal history, if applicable, which shall include any findings and recommendation report(s) issued by the Executive Director of the appeals body and the final decision by the appeals body for any appeals that occurred within the two (2) years prior to the authorizer's first evaluation or within the review term immediately following the authorizers' most recent evaluation.

IV. Evaluation Ratings and Corresponding Follow-Up Actions.

- (a) As defined in State Board Rule 0520-14-01-.08, authorizers shall be assigned a score on a scale of zero (0) to four (4), or not applicable, indicating the degree to which an authorizer has met each standard of the rubric (Appendix A) and shall be assigned an overall rating category in compliance with thresholds defined in this policy. Overall rating categories shall be based on the average of the standard scores. State Board shall review and adjust, as needed, the evaluation score ranges at the conclusion of a two-year evaluation cycle.
- (b) The overall rating categories are defined in Table 1.

Table 1. Overall Ratings

Score	Rating
3.5 – 4.0	Exemplary
3.0 – 3.49	Commendable
2.0 – 2.99	Satisfactory
1.0 – 1.99	Approaching Satisfactory
0 – 0.99	Unsatisfactory/Incomplete

(c) An authorizer that receives a rating of Approaching Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory/ Incomplete shall be required to create and submit to State Board staff a corrective action

Revised: 05/19/2023 Page 3 of 4

CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

plan including any specific follow-up actions identified in the evaluation report. The corrective action plan shall be approved by the Executive Director of the State Board or designee prior to implementation and shall be submitted on the State Board's template.

- (d) Upon approval of the corrective action plan, the authorizer shall complete the requirements outlined in the corrective action plan and submit evidence of completion by the deadlines set forth in the approved corrective action plan.
- (e) If an authorizer fails to complete the requirements outlined in the authorizer's corrective action plan, the State Board may reduce the authorizer fee as outlined in State Board Rule 0520-14-01-.08.

Revised: 05/19/2023 Page 4 of 4

TENNESSEE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION	
CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS	6.113

APPENDIX A

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation Rubric

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Overall Ratings Summary:

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

Standa	rd	Rating (0 4)	Average
1 a	Planning and Commitment to Excellence		
1b	Human Resources		
1 c	Financial Resources		
2 a	Proposal Information, Questions, and Guidance		
2b	Fair, Transparent, Quality-Focused Process		
2c	Rigorous Approval Criteria		
2d	Rigorous Decision Making		
3 a	Charter Agreement Negotiation and Execution		
3b	Terms, Rights and Responsibilities		
3c	Performance Standards		
3d	Provisions for Educational Service Provider (ESP) (if applicable)		
4a	Performance Evaluation and Compliance Monitoring		
4b	Respecting School Autonomy		
4c	Protecting Student Rights		
4d	School Intervention		
4e	Public Reporting		
5a	Amendments to the Charter Agreement		
5b	Renewal Process		
5c	Renewal Decisions Based on Merit and Inclusive Evidence		
5d	Revocation		
5e	Closure		
	Overall Rating		

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

	ard 1a Planning and Commitment to Excellence andards	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Ensures that the authorizer's decision-makers, leadership, and staff understand and are committed to these quality charter authorizing principles and standards.					
ii.	Ensures external relationships, funding structures, and lines of authority to protect its authorizing functions from conflicts of interest and political influence that might compromise its judgement in charter approval and accountability decision-making.					
ii.	States a clear mission for quality authorizing and develops goals and timely plans for improvement in response to regular evaluation of its work against national and state authorizing standards.					
V.	Implements policies, processes, and practices that streamline and systematize its work toward stated goals and executes its duties efficiently.					
V.	Makes authorizing decisions that are likely to result in positive student outcomes, based on an accumulation of evidence, data, and expertise, and in accordance with state law.					
				Sta	indard Rating	
alua	tive Comments:	-	-	-		

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

ndard 1b Human Resources o standards		Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHOR			
Standards	0	1	2	3	4
Through intra- or inter-agency collaborations, contractual relationships and/o staff, enlists competent staff with relevant expertise for all areas essential to charter school oversight—including, but not limited to, education leadership instruction and assessment; local community needs; special education, Englis learners, and other diverse learning needs; performance management an accountability; law; finance; facilities; and nonprofit governance an management.	o; ; n				
. Demonstrates an on-going commitment to developing and retaining authorizin staff members and provides regular professional development for th authorizer's leadership and staff to achieve and maintain high standards of professional authorizing practice and to enable continual agency improvement.	9				
 Ensures authorizing is visible and the people responsible for day-to-day authorizing functions have clear opportunities to provide input with leadership and decision-makers and input is given significant weight in decision-making. 					
			Sta	andard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

tandard 1c Financial Resources	·			_	
ub standards	0	1	2	3	4
i. Determines the financial needs of the authorizing office and devotes financial resources to fulfill its authorizing responsibilities in accordance with national and state standards, commensurate with the scale of the charter school portfolio, and in accordance with Tennessee law, including all relevant requirements for use of the authorizer fee.					
ii. Deploys funds effectively, transparently, and efficiently with the public and student interests in mind.					
iii. Annually reports the authorizing obligations fulfilled using the authorizer fee in accordance with state law.					
			Sta	ndard Rating	
aluative Comments:					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

standards Develop proposa	ps and issues a charter application information packet or request for	0	1	2		
propos					3	4
b) Pro	als (RFP) that: ates the authorizer's mission and any chartering priorities the authorizer ay have established; ovides the state's required comprehensive application and rubric to elicit e information needed for rigorous evaluation of applicants' plans and					
c) Col	pacities; and mmunicates clear guidance, requirements and timelines with prospective verators regarding the application content and format, while explaining aluation criteria.					
				Staı	ndard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER

ndard 2b Fair, Transparent, Quality focused Process standards	0	1	2	3	4
i. Implements-Establishes a charter application process that is defined in policy, open, well-publicized, and transparent, and is organized around clear, realistic timelines.					
Allows sufficient time for each stage of the application and school pre-opening process to be carried out with quality and integrity and explains how each stage of the application process is conducted and evaluated.					
Engages, for both written application reviews and applicant interviews, highly competent teams of internal and external evaluators with relevant academic, organizational (governance and management), financial, and legal expertise, as well as thorough understanding of the essential principles of charter school autonomy and accountability.					
Provides orientation or training to application evaluators (including interviewers) to ensure consistent evaluation of standards, practices, and the fair and unbiased treatment of all applicants.					
			Sta	indard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]	ion [YEAR] Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]				
Standard 2c Rigorous Approval Criteria Sub standards	0	1	2	3	4
 i. Utilizes the state's required application and rubric, which: a) Requires all applicants to present a clear and compelling mission, a quality educational program, a demonstration of community support, a solvent and sustainable budget and contingency financial plans, a clear demonstration of the effectiveness of the model for the target student population and students with diverse needs, effective governance and management structures and systems, founding team members demonstrating diverse and necessary capabilities in all phases of the school's development; b) Establishes distinct requirements and criteria for applicants who are existing school operators or replicators, including: 1. Clear evidence of their capacity to operate new schools successfully while maintaining quality in existing schools; 2. Documentation of their educational, organizational, and financial performance records based on all existing schools; 3. Explanation for any never-opened, closed, revoked, or nonrenewed schools (including closed, revoked or non-renewed third-party contracts to operate schools); 4. Presentation of their growth plan, business plan, and most recent financial audits; 					
 5. Evidence of meeting high standards of academic, organizational, and financial success to earn approval for replication; and 6. Document any current or past litigation and the resolution of such litigation. c) Establishes distinct requirements and criteria for applicants proposing to contract with educational service providers (ESPs), including charter management organizations by requiring applicants to provide: Evidence of the service provider's educational and management success; 					
2. A description of the process for selecting the ESP;					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	satisfies more than 50% of the standard	satisfies 100% of the standard

CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]	Authorizer: [NAME OF A	UTHORIZER
3. A draft (or existing) service/management contract that sets forth proposed key terms, including roles and responsibilities of the school governing board, the school staff, and the service provider; the services and resources to be provided; performance-evaluation measures and mechanisms; detailed explanation of compensation to be paid to the provider; financial controls and oversight; investment disclosure; methods of contract oversight and enforcement; and conditions for contract renewal and termination; and 4. Disclosure and explanation of any existing or potential conflicts of interest between the school governing board and proposed service provider or any affiliated business entities. d) Considers diverse educational philosophies and approaches. e) Requires applicants to demonstrate capacity to serve students with diverse needs, including students with disabilities or learning exceptionalities, English learners, at-risk students, and gifted students. ii. Requires all applicants to participate in a capacity interview to elicit evidence-based explanations that which allow the applicant to demonstrate clear evidence of capacity to execute its plan successfully.		
	Standard Ratin	g
Evaluative Comments:		

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

Documentation addresses and

satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [Y	/EAR]
---	-------

No explanation or documentation

Authorizor, [NAME OF ALITHODIZED]

	harter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR] Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTH					J I HORIZEI
	ord 2d Rigorous Decision Making andards	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Grants charters only to applicants that have demonstrated competence and					
	capacity to succeed in all aspects of their particular charter school model,					
	consistent with the stated approval criteria.					
ii.	Rigorously evaluates each application through <u>a</u> thorough review of the written					
	proposal, a substantive in-person interview with each qualified applicant, and all					
	appropriate due diligence to examine the applicant's experience and capacity.					
iii.	Ensures that the application-review process and decision making are free of					
	conflicts of interest and requires full disclosure of any potential or perceived					
	conflicts of interest between applicants, the reviewers, and the decision makers.					
iv.	Promptly notifies applicants of its application decision, explaining in writing any					
	available rights of legal or administrative appeal through which a school may					
	challenge the authorizer's decision and including written explanation of the					
	evidence-based factors that determined the decision so that applicants can					
	decide if they wish to revise their plans based in part on that information and resubmit in the future.					
.,						
٧.	Does not make application decisions on the basis of political or community					
	pressure.					
				.	d d Bartar	
				St	andard Rating	
valua	tive Comments:					
vaiua	tive comments.					

Revised May 2023 10

Documentation addresses most

but satisfies 50% or less of the

standard

Documentation addresses and

satisfies more than 50% of the

standard

Narrative and/or documentation

addresses and satisfies less than

50% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

Standard Sub stand	3a Charter Agreement Negotiation, and Execution ards	0	1	2	3	4
	secutes a charter agreement between the authorizer and a legally incorporated overning board independent of the authorizer.					
	rsures mutual understanding and acceptance of the terms of the charter greement by the school's governing board.					
				Sta	ndard Rating	
Evaluative	e Comments:					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

	arter School Authorizer Evaluation [TEAK]					JIIIONIZENJ	
Standa Sub st		b Terms, Rights, and Responsibilities rds	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Gra	ints charter agreements for an initial term of ten operating years, with the					
	aut	horizer conducting a high-stakes review every five years and producing					
	ann	nual performance reports.					
ii.		licitly defines material terms of the charter agreement.					
iii.		ows – and requires charter agreement amendments for – occasional material					
		nges to a school's plans but does not require a formal amendment process					
		modifications to non-material terms of the charter agreement.					
iv.	Exe	cutes charter agreements that clearly:					
	a.	State the rights and responsibilities of the school and the authorizer;					
	b.	State and respect the autonomies to which schools are entitled—based on					
		statute, waiver, or authorizer policy—including those relating to the					
		school's authority over educational programming, staffing, budgeting, and					
		scheduling;					
	c.	Define performance standards, criteria, and conditions for renewal,					
		intervention, revocation, and non-renewal, while establishing the					
		consequences for meeting or not meeting standards or conditions;					
	d.	State the statutory, regulatory, and procedural terms and conditions for					
		the school's operation;					
	e.	State reasonable pre-opening requirements or conditions for new schools					
		to ensure that they meet all health, safety, and other legal requirements					
	f.	prior to opening and are prepared to open smoothly;					
	١.	State the responsibility and commitment of the school to adhere to essential public-education obligations, including admitting and serving all					
		eligible students so long as space is available, and not expelling or					
		counseling out students except pursuant to a legal discipline policy; and					
	g.	State the responsibilities of the school and the authorizer in the event of					
	g.	school closures.					
V.	Fns	sures that purchasing any fee-based services that the authorizer provides is					
٧.		olicitly not a condition of charter approval, continuation, or renewal.					
	СУР	inclusing not a condition of charter approval, continuation, or reflewal.					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Chart	er School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]	Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZ	[ER
vi.	For any fee-based services that the authorizer provides, the authorizer develops a separate services agreement that respects charter school autonomy and treats the charter school equitably compared to district schools, if applicable.		
		Standard Rating	
Evalu	ative Comments:		

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR] Authorizer: [NAIVIE OF AUTHOR					JIHUKIZEKJ			
Standa Sub sta			nance Standards	0	1	2	3	4
i.								
		he agreement, commonly as an attachment, that plainly establishes the						
	stand	andards under which schools will be evaluated and includes:						
	a.	Objective and verifiable measures of student achievement as the primary						
		measure of school quality, in accordance with state law;						
	b.							
		performance standards and targets that the school must meet as a						
		condition	of renewal, including but not limited to state and federal					
		measures	s; (See Paragraph ii., Performance standards detailed information.)					
	C.	Expectati	ons for appropriate access, education, support services, and					
		outcomes	s for students with disabilities;					
ii.	The _l	performa	nce framework includes clearly defined and measurable indicators,					
	mea	measures, metrics, and targets that:						
	a) Academic Performance							
		1.	Define the sources of academic data that will form the evidence					
			base for ongoing and renewal evaluation;					
		2.	Set expectations for student academic achievement status or					
			proficiency, including comparative proficiency;					
		3.	Set expectations for student academic growth, including					
			adequacy of growth toward state standards;					
		4.	Incorporate state and federal accountability systems, including					
			state grading and/or rating systems;					
		5.	Set expectations for postsecondary readiness, including					
			graduation rates (for high schools); and					
		6.	Provide schools an option to incorporate mission-specific					
			performance measures for which the school has presented valid,					
			reliable, and rigorous means of assessment approved by the					
			authorizer.					
	b)	Financ	cial Performance					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter Sch	ool A	uthorizer Evaluation [YEAR]	Au	thorizer: [NAME OF AUT	THORIZER _.
	1.	Define the sources of financial data that will form the evidence base for ongoing and renewal evaluation, including the school's annual financial audit conducted by a qualified independent auditor;			
	2.	Enable the authorizer to monitor and evaluate the school's financial stability and viability based on short-term performance; and			
	3.	Enable the authorizer to monitor and evaluate the school's long- term financial sustainability.			
c)	Orga	anizational Performance			
	1.	Define the sources of organizational data that will form the evidence base for ongoing and renewal evaluation;			
	2.	Define the essential elements of the educational program for which the authorizer will hold the school accountable;			
	3.	Define financial management and oversight standards based on generally accepted accounting principles;			
	4.	Hold school governing boards accountable for meeting statutory and board-established operating and reporting requirements;			
	5.	Ensure school compliance with student and employee rights and obligations; and			
	6.	Establish expectations related to the school environment, including health and safety, transportation, facilities, and appropriate handling of records.			
				Standard Rating	
Evaluative Co	mmen	ts·			

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]
_



Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

arter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR] Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORI					JIHOKIZEKJ
Standard 3d Provisions for Educational Service Provider (ESP) (if applicable) Sub standards	0	1	2	3	4
 i. Includes, for any school that contracts with an ESP provider for the management of its educational program, finances, or school operations, provisions within the charter agreement that:¹ a. Clearly establish the primacy of the charter agreement over the ESP contract; b. Clearly identify the school governing board as the party ultimately responsible for the success or failure of the school, and clearly define the ESP as a vendor of services; c. Prohibit the ESP from selecting, approving, employing, compensating, or serving as school governing board members; d. Provide for sufficient transparency around the spending of public monies; and e. Require all instructional materials, furnishings, and equipment purchased or developed with public funds to be the property of the school, not the ESP, in compliance with state law. 					
 ii. Reviews the proposed ESP contract with the charter school's governing board to ensure that it is consistent with applicable law, authorizer policy and the public interest, and requires, prior to contract execution, the contract between the ESP and the charter school's governing board to articulate: a. The roles and responsibilities of the school governing board and the ESP, including all services to be provided under the contract; b. The performance measures, consequences, and mechanisms by which the school governing board will hold the SEP accountable for performance, aligned with the performance measures in the charter agreement; c. All compensation to be paid by the ESP, including all fees, bonuses, and what such compensation includes or requires; d. Terms of any facility agreement that may be part of the relationship; 					

¹ The standard does not apply to contracts with ESPs that do not have substantial responsibility for education, operational, and financial operations such as for payroll, textbooks, curriculum, etc.

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter Sc	hool Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]	Authorizer: [N/	AME OF AU	'THORIZER]
e.	Financial reporting requirements and provisions for the school governing board's financial oversight;			
f.	All other financial terms of the contract, including disclosure and documentation of all loans or investments by the ESP to the school, and provision for the disposition of assets in accordance with law;			
g.	Assurances that the school governing board, at all times, maintains independent fiduciary oversight and authority over the school budget and ultimate responsibility for the school's performance;			
h.	Provisions for contract termination; and			
i.	Respective responsibilities of the governing board and ESP in the event of			
	school closure, including transparency in the school's revenues and expenditures, as well as those managed by the ESP.			
		Sta	ndard Rating	
Evaluative (Comments:			

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR] Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHO					JIHORIZEKJ	
	ard 4a Performance Evaluation and Compliance Monitoring and and ards	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Implements a comprehensive performance accountability and compliance monitoring system that is defined by the charter agreement, outlined in policy, and provides the information necessary to make rigorous and standards-based renewal, revocation, and intervention decisions.					
ii.	Defines and communicates to schools the process, methods, and timing of gathering and reporting school performance and compliance data.					
iii.	Implements an accountability system that effectively streamlines federal, state, and local performance expectations and compliance requirements while protecting schools' legally entitled autonomy and minimizing schools' administrative and reporting burdens.					
iv.	Solicits feedback from its schools and utilizes the results to provide clear technical guidance to schools as needed to ensure timely compliance with applicable rules and regulations.					
V.	Conducts at least one formal on-site review <u>at to</u> each school annually, uses the evidence collected to inform the school's annual evaluation, and provides schools with a report summarizing the review.					
vi.	Proactively communicates the purpose of any proposed on-site visit and ensures visits are used for collecting data that cannot be obtained otherwise and in accordance with the contract, while ensuring that the frequency, purposes, and methods of such visits respect school autonomy, minimize administrative burdens, and avoid operational interference.					
vii.	Evaluates each school annually on its performance and progress toward meeting the standards and targets stated in the charter agreement, including essential compliance requirements, and clearly communicates evaluation results to the school's governing board and leadership.					
				Sta	andard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Evaluative Comments:

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

	1 School Authorizer Evaluation [TEAK]		Au	tiiorizer. [iv	AIVIL OI AO	THORIZ
	rd 4b Respecting School Autonomy Indards	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Respects the school's authority over its day-to-day operations by refraining from directing or participating in educational decision or choices that are within a school's purview under the charter law or contract and does not conflict with the authorizer's additional responsibilities as the local education agency.					
ii.	Collects information from the school in a manner that minimizes administrative burdens on the school, while ensuring that performance and compliance information is collected with sufficient detail and timeliness to protect student and public interests.					
ii.	Regularly reviews compliance requirements and evaluates the potential to increase school autonomy based on flexibility in the law, streamlining requirements, demonstrated school performance, or other considerations.					
				Sta	ındard Rating	
				5 ta		
alua	tive Comments:					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

i. Ensures that schools admit students through a random selection process that is open to all students, is publicly verifiable, and does not establish undue barriers to application (such as mandatory information meetings, mandated volunteer service, or parent contracts) that exclude students as provided by federal, state, and local law. ii. Ensures that schools provide equitable access and inclusive services to all students as required by applicable federal and state law, including, but not limited to, students with disabilities, English learners, homeless students, students in foster care, migrant students, at-risk students, and gifted students. iii. Ensures clarity in the roles and responsibilities of all parties involved in serving students with disabilities. iv. Ensures that schools' student discipline policies and actions are legal, fair, and equitable and that no student is suspended, expelled, or counseled out of a school outside of that process, and that schools have a clear process for addressing parent/community grievances.
 i. Ensures that schools admit students through a random selection process that is open to all students, is publicly verifiable, and does not establish undue barriers to application (such as mandatory information meetings, mandated volunteer service, or parent contracts) that exclude students as provided by federal, state, and local law. ii. Ensures that schools provide equitable access and inclusive services to all students as required by applicable federal and state law, including, but not limited to, students with disabilities, English learners, homeless students, students in foster care, migrant students, at-risk students, and gifted students. iii. Ensures clarity in the roles and responsibilities of all parties involved in serving students with disabilities. iv. Ensures that schools' student discipline policies and actions are legal, fair, and equitable and that no student is suspended, expelled, or counseled out of a school outside of that process, and that schools have a clear process for
students as required by applicable federal and state law, including, but not limited to, students with disabilities, English learners, homeless students, students in foster care, migrant students, at-risk students, and gifted students. iii. Ensures clarity in the roles and responsibilities of all parties involved in serving students with disabilities. iv. Ensures that schools' student discipline policies and actions are legal, fair, and equitable and that no student is suspended, expelled, or counseled out of a school outside of that process, and that schools have a clear process for
iv. Ensures that schools' student discipline policies and actions are legal, fair, and equitable and that no student is suspended, expelled, or counseled out of a school outside of that process, and that schools have a clear process for
equitable and that no student is suspended, expelled, or counseled out of a school outside of that process, and that schools have a clear process for
Standard Rating

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

HURIZI
4

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

i. Produces and communicates to its decision-makers, charter school governing boards and leadership, and the public an annual authorizer report that: a. Provides clear, accurate evaluation outcomes for each charter school it oversees, including school performance and progress toward meeting the standards and targets stated in the charter agreement data for the charter schools it oversees; b. Reports on individual school and overall portfolio performance according to the framework set forth in the charter agreement in	i. Produces boards an a. Produces	and communicates to its decision-makers, charter school governing and leadership, and the public an annual authorizer report that: rovides clear, accurate evaluation outcomes for each charter school it versees, including school performance and progress toward meeting	0	1	2	3	4
boards and leadership, and the public an annual authorizer report that: a. Provides clear, accurate evaluation outcomes for each charter school it oversees, including school performance and progress toward meeting the standards and targets stated in the charter agreement data for the charter schools it oversees; b. Reports on individual school and overall portfolio performance	boards an a. Pi ov <u>t</u> h	d leadership, and the public an annual authorizer report that: rovides clear, accurate evaluation outcomes for each charter school it versees, including school performance and progress toward meeting					
accordance with state law; and c. Reports on the authorizer's performance in meeting its goals.	b. Ro ao ao	narter schools it oversees; eports on individual school and overall portfolio performance ccording to the framework set forth in the charter agreement in ccordance with state law; and					
					Stan	dard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

 i. Establishes and clearly communicates to schools an amendment process that's aligned to the charter agreement and state law, utilizes the state's required application, and follows the state's required timeline and approval criteria. ii. Promptly notifies a school of its amendment decision, including written explanation of the evidence-based reasons for the decision and explains in writing any available rights of legal or administrative appeal through which a school may challenge the authorizer's decision. 				
challenge the authorizer's decision.				
ii. Grants amendments only to schools with a petition that demonstrates alignment to the school's mission and goals and provides specific, evidence-based information that shows thorough preparation and viability of the plan. v. Does not make revocation decisions on the basis of political or community pressure.				
aluative Comments:		Sta	ndard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

i. Conducts a high-stakes interim review of each school in the fifth year of the current charter term and clearly communicates the results to the school in accordance with Department of Education state guidelines. ii. Provides to each school, in advance of the renewal decision using the timeline specified in state law, a cumulative performance report that: a. Summarizes the school's performance record over the charter term; and b. States the authorizer's summative findings concerning the school's performance and its prospects for renewal. iii. Allows the school meaningful opportunity and reasonable time to respond to the cumulative report; to correct the record, if needed; and to present additional evidence regarding its performance. iv. Requires any school seeking renewal to complete the state's renewal application and follows the renewal application timeline required in state law.
b. States the authorizer's summative findings concerning the school's performance and its prospects for renewal. iii. Allows the school meaningful opportunity and reasonable time to respond to the cumulative report; to correct the record, if needed; and to present additional evidence regarding its performance. iv. Requires any school seeking renewal to complete the state's renewal application
the cumulative report; to correct the record, if needed; and to present additional evidence regarding its performance. iv. Requires any school seeking renewal to complete the state's renewal application
Standard Rating

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

	ard 5c Renewal Decisions Based on Merit and Inclusive Evidence andards	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Establishes and clearly communicates a renewal policy that which requires the thorough analysis of a school's comprehensive body of objective evidence defined by the performance framework and consistent with the charter agreement and state law, including any policy changes thereto.					
ii.	Defines and communicates with its schools the criteria for renewal and non-renewal decisions that are consistent with the charter agreement.					
iii.	Grants renewal only to schools that have achieved the standards and targets stated in the charter agreement, are organizationally and fiscally viable, and have been faithful to the terms of the contract and applicable law.					
iv.	Promptly notifies a school of its renewal decision, including <u>a</u> written explanation of the evidence-based reasons for the decision and any available rights of legal or administrative appeal through which a school may challenge the authorizer's decision.					
V.	Promptly communicates renewal decisions to the school community and public within a time frame that allows parents and students to exercise choices for the upcoming school year.					
vi.	Does not make renewal decisions on the basis of political or community pressure or solely on promises of future improvement.					
				Sta	andard Rating	

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]	Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]



CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER EVALUATIONS

6.113

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAK] Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHO						
	ard 5d Revocation andards	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Establishes and clearly communicates a revocation policy with criteria for charter revocation decisions that are consistent with the charter agreement and state law, including any policy changes thereto.					
ii.	Revokes a charter during the charter term only if there is clear violation in accordance with the reasons set forth in state law.					
iii.	Promptly notifies each school of its revocation decision, including <u>a</u> written explanation of the reasons for the decision and any available rights of legal or administrative appeal through which a school may challenge the authorizer's decision.					
iv.	Promptly communicates revocation decisions to the school community and public within a timeframe that allows parents and students to exercise choices for the upcoming school year.					
V.	Does not make revocation decisions on the basis of political or community pressure.					
				Sta	ndard Rating	
Evalua	ative Comments:					

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation addresses and satisfies less than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses most but satisfies 50% or less of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies more than 50% of the standard	Documentation addresses and satisfies 100% of the standard

Charter School Authorizer Evaluation [YEAR]

Authorizer: [NAME OF AUTHORIZER]

Standard 5e Closure Sub standards i. Establishes and clearly communicates to schools a closure policy or procedure that is consistent with the charter agreement and requires the authorizer to oversee and work with the school governing board and leadership in carrying out a detailed closure protocol that ensures timely notification to parents;
that is consistent with the charter agreement and requires the authorizer to oversee and work with the school governing board and leadership in carrying
orderly transition of students and student records to new schools; and disposition of school funds, property, and assets in accordance with law.
students and student records to new schools; and

0	1	2	3	4
No explanation or documentation	Narrative and/or documentation	Documentation addresses most	Documentation addresses and	Documentation addresses and
	addresses and satisfies less than	but satisfies 50% or less of the	satisfies more than 50% of the	satisfies 100% of the standard
	50% of the standard	standard	standard	