Organization of the ELP Standards



The 10 ELP Standards are organized according to a schema that represents each standard's importance to EL's participation in the practices called for by college- and career-ready ELA & literacy, mathematics, and science standards (G. Bunch, personal communication, August 15, 2013; Bunch, Kibler, Pimentel, 2013).

Table I. Organization of the ELP Standards in Relation to Participation in Content-Area Practices

1	construct meaning from oral presentations and literary and informational text through grade-appropriate listening, reading, and viewing	
2	participate in grade-appropriate oral and written exchanges of information, ideas, and analyses, responding to peer, audience, or reader comments and questions	Standards 1 through 7 involve the language necessary for ELs to engage in the central content-specific practices associated with ELA & literacy, mathematics, and science. They begin with a focus on extraction of meaning and then progress to engagement in these practices.
3	speak and write about grade-appropriate complex literary and informational text and topics	
4	construct grade-appropriate oral and written claims and support them with reasoning and evidence	
5	conduct research and evaluate and communicate findings to answer questions or solve problems	
6	analyze and critique the arguments of others orally and in writing	
7	adapt language choices to purpose, task, and audience when speaking and writing	
8	determine the meaning of words and phrases in oral presentations and literary and informational text	Standards 8 through 10 hone in on some of the more
9	create clear and coherent grade-appropriate speech and text	micro-level linguistic features that are undoubtedly important to focus on, but
10	make accurate use of standard English to communicate in grade-appropriate speech and writing	only in the service of the other seven standards.

The ELP Standards are interrelated and can be used separately or in combination. (In particular, as shown above, Standards 8–10 support the other seven standards.) The standards do not include curriculum statements, nor do they privilege a single approach to the teaching of social and expressive communication or the teaching or grammar; instead, the standards and descriptors for each proficiency level leave room for teachers, curriculum developers, and states to determine how each ELP Standard and descriptor should be reached and what additional topics should be addressed.

^{*} Council of Chief State School Officers. (2013). English language proficiency (ELP) standards. Washington, DC: CCSSO. Retrieved April 21, 2015, from: https://elpa21.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Final-4 30-ELPA21-Standards 1.pdf.