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## STUDENT READINESS

TENNESSEE PUBLIC SCHOOLS WILL BE EQUIPPED TO SERVE THE ACADEMIC AND NON-ACADEMIC NEEDS OF ALL STUDENTS IN THEIR CAREER PATHWAYS

## ACADEMICS

ALL TENNESSEE STUDENTS WILL HAVE ACCESS TO A HIGH-QUALITY EDUCATION, NO MATTER WHERE THEY LIVE

## EDUGATORS

TENNESSEE WILL SET A NEW PATH FOR THE EDUCATION PROFESSION AND BE THE TOP STATE IN WHICH TO BECOME AND REMAIN A TEACHER AND LEADER FOR ALL


## Agenda

- Tennessee's Initiatives in Early Literacy
- Universal Reading Screener Outcomes
- 2023 Summer Programming
- TN ALL Corps Updates
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade Promotion Pathways
- 2023 Implementation Updates
- $4^{\text {th }}$ grade TCAP Adequate Growth Definition


## Tennessee's Initiatives in Early Literacy

## Major Initiatives in Early Literacy

- Reading 360
- Provides resources and optional grants to districts, teachers, and families to prioritize literacy instruction (e.g., Early Reading Training, Secondary Literacy Training, Literacy Implementation Networks, Reading Decodables, etc.)
- Tennessee Literacy Success Act
- Requires foundational literacy skills instruction, universal reading screeners, home literacy reports, and teacher preparation and support in foundational literacy skills instruction.
- Tennessee Learning Loss Remediation and Student Acceleration Act
- Establishes free summer programming opportunities for rising K-9 students.
- TN ALL Corps
- Establishes high-dosage, low-ratio tutoring model, TN ALL Corps grant program, and tutor training.


DISTRICTS PARTICIPATING...
Literacy Implementation Networks

BEST ${ }_{\text {ALiL }}^{\text {Con }}$

06 Early Literacy
Network


TEACHERS COMPLETED TRAINING, AS OF AUGUST 1, 2023...

405 Early Reading
Training
0102 Secondary Literacy
Training


STUDENTS PARTICIPATED IN...
SUMMER PROGRAMMING

...IN RISING 1ST - 8TH GRADE

## STUDENTS IN

 1ST - 8TH GRADE TUTORED THROUGH TN ALL CORPS...(includes both district and community partner grants)

## STUDENTS SERVED THROUGH...

DISTRICT GRANTS

COMMUNITY PARTNER GRANTS 4,783
began Fall 2022

## Universal Reading Screener Spring 2023 Outcomes

## Universal Reading Screener Reminders

- Students in grades K-3 take a series of short assessments that represent a "screening".
- This screening occurs during three standardized windows through the school year.

| Screening <br> Window | 2022-23 Dates | Data Submission Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall | Aug 1 - Sept 30 | Oct. 14, 2022 |
| Winter | Dec 5 - Feb 3 | Feb. 17, 2023 |
| Spring | April 10 - May 12 | May 26, 2023 |

- Data is calculated in four areas:
- Foundational Literacy Skills - Composite (K-3)
- Fluency (K-3)
- Reading Readiness (K-1)
- Comprehension (2-3)
- Scores are normative


# Spring 2023 Screening Data: State Summary Composite National Percentile Ranks 

| Grade | State Composite Average | National Normed Percentile <br> Rank | Average Range (Low-High) <br> Percentile Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kindergarten Foundational <br> Literacy Skills National <br> Percentile Average | $46^{\text {th }}$ percentile | National Average <br> $40^{\text {th }}-59^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Average Range <br> $\left(23^{\text {rd }}-76^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| First Grade Foundational <br> Literacy Skills National <br> Percentile Average | $43^{\text {rd }}$ percentile | National Average <br> $40^{\text {th }}-59^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Average Range <br> $\left(23^{\text {rd }}-76^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| Second Grade Foundational <br> Literacy Skills National <br> Percentile Average | $46^{\text {th }}$ percentile | National Average <br> $40^{\text {th }}-59^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Average Range <br> $\left(23^{r d}-76^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| Third Grade Foundational <br> Literacy Skills National <br> Percentile Average | $47^{\text {th }}$ percentile | National Average <br> $40^{\text {th }}-59^{\text {th }}$ percentile | Average Range <br> $\left(23^{\text {rd }}-76^{\text {th })}\right.$ |

## FALL TO SPRING SCREENING DATA <br> Kindergarten

## URS Statewide Data

National Percentile Rank


## FALL TO SPRING

## SCREENING DATA

## First Grade

## URS Statewide Data

National Percentile Rank


Isolated skills (readiness) should decrease as students develop automaticity in reading connected text (oral reading fluency)

## FALL TO SPRING SCREENING DATA <br> Second Grade

URS Statewide Data
National Percentile Rank


## FALL TO SPRING SCREENING DATA <br> Third Grade

URS Statewide Data
National Percentile Rank
Fall

## STUDENT GROUPS SPRING 2023 <br> Kindergarten



## STUDENT GROUPS SPRING 2023 <br> First Grade



## ACADEMICS

## STUDENT GROUPS SPRING 2023 <br> Second Grade



## ACADEMICS

## STUDENT GROUPS SPRING 2023 <br> Third Grade



## ACADEMICS

## 2023 Summer Programming

Education

## Summer Programming Overview

- The Tennessee Learning Loss Remediation and Student Acceleration Act, enacted in 2021, established free summer programming opportunities for students in grades K-8.
- Chapter 144 of the Public Acts of 2023 expanded the grade bands for permanent summer programming from rising $4^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade students to rising K-9 th grade students.
- All districts were required to run 3 types of camps:
- Summer Learning: 6-week camp for rising grades K - 3 with 4 hours of ELA/math, 1 hour of intervention, and 1 hour of physical activity
- Learning Loss Bridge: 4-week camp for rising grades 4-9 with 4 hours of ELA/math, 1 hour of intervention, and 1 hour of physical activity
- STREAM Mini-Camps: 1 hour daily of STREAM (science, technology, reading, engineering, the arts, and mathematics) for rising grades K - 3


## Summer 2023 Enrollment \& Attendance

- Widespread participation in summer programs that began in Summer 2021 continued in 2023 with 102,270 total students enrolled across all camps.
- $40.6 \%$ ( $\boldsymbol{n}=41,544$ ) were enrolled in summer learning camps (rising grades K-3) across 568 individual sites.
- $59.3 \%(\boldsymbol{n}=60,651)$ were enrolled in summer learning loss bridge camps (rising grades $4-9$ ) across 730 individual sites.
- Rising $4^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollments accounted for approximately $30 \%$ of all students enrolled, due in part to summer programming as a pathway to $4^{\text {th }}$ grade promotion.
- Note: Grade assignment was unavailable for $0.07 \%$ of students.
- The average attendance rate statewide was 65\% (attended 65\% of the total days of camp offered).
- The average attendance rate was slightly higher among the learning loss bridge camps for rising 4-9 graders (67.4\%), in comparison with the summer learning camps for rising K-3 graders (61.5\%).
- Across all grade levels, rising $4^{\text {th }}$ grade students had the highest average attendance rate ( 71.5 percent) while the rising third grade students had the lowest average attendance rate (60.7 percent).


## Summer Programming 2023 Enrollment

## Enrollment by Student Group

|  | Enrollment <br> (count) | Enrollment Rate (\% of <br> total camp <br> enrollment) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged <br> Students | 61,482 | 60.1 |
| Students with <br> Disabilities | 18,979 | 18.6 |
| English <br> Learners | 11,781 | 11.5 |

[^0]
## Enrollment by Camp Type

|  | Enrollment <br> (count) | Enrollment Rate (\% <br> of total camp <br> enrollment) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Summer Learning <br> Camps (Rising Grades <br> K-3) | 41,544 | 40.6 |
| Learning Loss Bridge <br> Camps <br> (Rising Grades 4-9) | 60,651 | 59.3 |

[^1]
## Summer Programming 2023 Attendance

Average Attendance Rate (\% of camp days attended)
Economically
Disadvantaged Students

Students with
English Learners

| Summer Learning Camps (Rising <br> Grades K-3) | 61.5 | 63.0 | 58.7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Learning Loss Bridge Camps <br> (Rising Grades 4-9) | 67.4 | 65.8 | 65.6 |
| Overall | 65.0 | 66.0 | 62.9 |
| By Grade Level |  |  |  |
| Rising Kindergarten | 69.0 | 63.2 |  |
| Rising Grade 1 | 61.3 | 63.5 | 65.8 |
| Rising Grade 2 | 60.8 | 62.7 | 58.3 |
| Rising Grade 3 | 60.7 | 62.7 | 58.3 |
| Rising Grade 4 | 71.5 | 70.5 | 57.9 |
| Rising Grade 5 | 61.6 | 63.7 | 71.0 |
| Rising Grade 6 | 61.8 | 63.7 | 58.9 |
| Rising Grade 7 | 65.2 | 67.6 | 60.8 |
| Rising Grade 8 | 66.5 | 68.5 | 63.0 |
| Rising Grade 9 | 62.5 | 65.8 | 64.3 |

Note: Grade assignment was unavailable for $0.07 \%$ of students. ED status was unavailable for 1.6 percent of students.

## Summer 2023 Attendance

Percentage of Students meeting at least 90\% attendance rate


## TN ALL Corps

## TN ALL Corps



## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade Promotion Pathways

The following students are exempt from 3rd grade retention:


## Pathways to Promotion: Spring TCAP \& TCAP Retake

## Spring TCAP

- 73,433 3rd graders had valid spring ELA TCAP Results.
- 29,349 or 39.97\% of $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students with valid tests reached proficiency (MEETS EXPECTATIONS or EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS).


## TCAP Retake

- TCAP Retake window was from May $22^{\text {nd }}$ to June $5^{\text {th }}$
- All students who scored BELOW, APPROACHING, or did not have a valid score on the ELA portion of the TCAP were eligible to retake the assessment. 45,393 $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students were eligible.
- 26,205 students participated in the ELA retake opportunity.
- 3,344 of the participants or 4.47\% of total $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders reached proficiency (MEETS EXPECTATIONS or EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS).



## Pathways to Promotion: Adequate Growth (AG) in Summer Programming

- A student who scored APPROACHING on the ELA portion of the TCAP was promoted if they attended summer camp, maintained $90 \%$ attendance at the camp, and achieved AG on the post-test.
- AG is defined as a 5 -percentage point increase on the post-test from the student's baseline score based on either the spring ELA portion of the TCAP or TCAP retake, if applicable.
- 8,592 eligible $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students participated in summer programming and completed AG testing.
- Out of those tested, 1,532 students or $2.05 \%$ of total 3rd grade
 students made AG and the 90\% attendance requirement for the promotion pathway.


## Pathways to Promotion: Adequate Growth (AG) in Summer Programming

## Summer 2023 Performance Distribution

| Growth Performance Category | Percent of students <br> who tested for AG |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students with $15 \%+$ growth | $8.4 \%$ |
| Students with 10 to <15\% growth | $6.7 \%$ |
| Students with 5 to <10\% growth | $10.3 \%$ |
| Students with 0 to <5\% growth | $14.0 \%$ |
| Students with <0 to -5\% growth | $12.2 \%$ |
| Students with less <-5\% to -10\% growth | $12.9 \%$ |
| Students with <-10\% to -15\% growth | $12.5 \%$ |
| Students with <-15\% growth | $22.9 \%$ |

Note: This data reflects the distribution of student performance after removing students who were promoted through another promotion pathway (e.g. appeals).

## Pathways to Promotion: Parent Appeals

- The Department administered an appeals process for students who scored APPROACHING on the ELA TCAP.
- The parent appeals window was open between May 30th and June $30^{\text {th. }}$
- The Department processed parent appeals from 9,054 unique students.
- 7,146 or $9.56 \%$ of 3 rd grade students were promoted based on the parent appeal pathway.



## Pathways to Promotion: Exemptions

$3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students who scored BELOW or APPROACHING on the ELA TCAP and met certain conditions were exempt from the retention requirements. These students were promoted without having to participate in one of the promotion pathways.

| Exemption Type | Student Count | Percent of $3^{\text {rd }}$ Graders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student has a disability or suspected disability that impacts reading. | 10,620 | 14.21\% |
| Student is an English Learner with less than 2 years of instruction. | 2,712 | 3.63\% |
| Student was previously retained. | 2,119 | 2.84\% |
| Student met other exemptions determined locally. | 2,314 | 3.10\% |

## Pathways to Promotion: Tutoring in $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade

Some $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students qualified for promotion with required tutoring in ELA and require $4^{\text {th }}$ grade TCAP AG testing at the conclusion of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade.

| Qualifications for Tutoring Pathway | Student Count | Percent of 3 3rd <br> Graders |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scored APPROACHING on TCAP or TCAP ELA <br> Retake | 8,086 | $10.82 \%$ |
| Scored BELOW on TCAP AND maintained a <br> $90 \%$ attendance rate in summer programming | 3,970 | $5.31 \%$ |
| Scored BELOW on TCAP; attendance evidence <br> not yet reported for summer programming | 297 | $0.40 \%$ |



## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade Data Overview

| Total $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade Students |  |  |  | 74,742 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade Students with Valid Spring TCAP Results |  |  |  | 73,433 |
|  | hway | Evidence | Count | Percent of Valid Tests |
|  | OMOTED ing TCAP | Scored Proficient on Spring TCAP | 29,349 | 39.97\% |
| Students At Risk of Retention - Did not Score Proficient on Spring TCAP |  |  |  |  |
| Pathway |  | Evidence | Count | Percent of $3^{\text {rc }}$ Graders |
| u | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade TCAP Retake | Scored Proficient on TCAP ELA Retake | 3,344 | 4.47\% |
|  | Adequate Growth (AG) in Summer Programming | Scored Approaching Expectations on TCAP or TCAP ELA Retake; met 90\% Attendance Rate and achieved AG in Summer Programming | 1,532 | 2.05\% |
|  | Parent Appeal | Parent Appeal Approved | 7,146 | 9.56\% |
|  | Exempt | Student has a disability or suspected disability that impacts reading | 10,620 | 14.21\% |
| $\stackrel{5}{ \pm}$ | Exempt | Student is an English Learner with less than 2 years of ELA instruction | 2,712 | 3.63\% |
| $\bigcirc$ | Exempt | Student was previously retained | 2,119 | 2.84\% |
|  | Other | Student met other exemptions determined locally | 2,314 | 3.10\% |
|  | Tutoring in $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Scored APPROACHING Expectations on TCAP or TCAP ELA Retake. Student will test for AG at conclusion of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade tutoring | 8,086 | 10.82\% |
|  | Tutoring in $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Scored BELOW Expectations on TCAP; met 90\% Attendance Rate in Summer Programming. Student will test for AG at conclusion of 4th Grade tutoring | 3,970 | 5.31\% |
|  | Tutoring in $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Attendance evidence not yet reported for Summer Programming. Student will test for AG at conclusion of $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade tutoring | 297 | 0.40\% |
| RETAINED |  | Retained | 898 | 1.20\% |
| NOT ENROLLED |  | Not Enrolled | 2,296 | 3.07\% |
| NOT REPORTED |  | Not Reported | 59 | 0.08\% |

## Data Notes

- All presented data is based on districtsubmitted data as of 9/13/23 and state assessment data prior to the application of accountability protocols.
- Some $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students qualified for multiple promotion pathways. Each student was counted in the first available pathway that they qualified for based on the order shown in the table. For example, if a student had an approved parent appeal and qualified for an exemption, the student was counted in the Promoted- Parent Appeal pathway.


## $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade TCAP Adequate Growth

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade TCAP Adequate Growth

- Students promoted to the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade through ELA tutoring must demonstrate adequate growth on the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade ELA portion of the TCAP to be promoted to the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade.
- Based on district-reported data, this reflects approximately 12,000 students.
- The department's goal in proposing a definition of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade TCAP adequate growth is to strike the best possible balance between precision and interpretability.
- Use the most accurate and familiar metrics and methods as possible.


## Proposed Definition

- Students demonstrate $4^{\text {th }}$ grade adequate growth by increasing the probability of being proficient in ELA on a future TCAP.
- Students demonstrate adequate growth by exceeding an individual target for how much they'll increase the probability of being proficient in ELA on a future TCAP.
- Students who score proficient on the 4th grade ELA portion of the TCAP also demonstrate adequate growth for promotion to the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade.



## Proposed Definition

- Step 1: The department will use the ELA portion of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade TCAP results and TVAAS growth expectations to compute the probability that the student will be proficient in ELA in the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade.
- Step 2: A student's probability of being proficient in ELA in the 4th grade will be subtracted from $50 \%$, which is the probability of a student scoring at the proficiency cut score.
- Step 3: The remainder in Step 2 is then divided by 8 to
 determine the student's individual 4th grade adequate growth target.
- The remainder is divided by 8 because students participate in statewide assessments for ELA from 3rd grade to 10th grade, or 8 years.


## Method - Core Metric

## Simple Case:

- Based on $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade scores, a student is assigned a 25\% chance of being proficient on the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade TCAP
- Based on $4^{\text {th }}$ grade scores, this student is assigned a 35\% chance of being proficient on the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade TCAP
- This student increased their probability of being proficient on a future TCAP by 10\%

This student makes adequate growth if their growth target is 9\% or less.

## Method - Metric Explained

## Projected Scores:

Regression (Prior Performance and 'Typical' growth)


## Method - Metric Explained



## Method - Metric Explained



## Method - Metric Explained



## Method - Goal Setting

Reduce the gap to intended performance over the span of the TCAP program (8 years).

Reduce gap to probability associated with scoring at proficiency cut (50\% probability) by $10^{\text {th }}$ grade.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AG formula }=\frac{(50 \% \text { Probability }- \text { Student's } 4 \text { th Grade ELA Probability })}{8 \text { years }} \\
& \text { AG Target at } 25 \%=\frac{(50 \% \text { Probability }-25 \% \text { Probability })}{8 \text { years }} \\
& \text { AG Target }=25 \%+3.1 \%=28.1 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Method - Example Student

- $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade scale score: 345
- $4^{\text {th }}$ grade probability estimate: $20 \%$
- Adequate growth target: (50\%-20\%)/8 = 3.8\%
- Student must demonstrate a $23.8 \%$ or greater probability of being proficient in $5^{\text {th }}$ grade to be promoted to the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade.



## Preliminary Model Results

- Caveats
- Promoted population of students depends upon local data.
- The impact of tutoring can only be estimated based on research findings.
- Updated model results
- 34\% promoted to 60\% promoted
- Additional effect size adjustments around . 25



# Thank You! 

## Contact Info or Questions?


[^0]:    Note: Students are counted within multiple subgroups so the enrollment counts listed will not equal the total number of students enrolled in summer programming.

[^1]:    Note: Grade assignment was unavailable for $0.07 \%$ of students, so the enrollment counts by camp type listed will not equal the total number of students enrolled in summer programming.

