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Today’s Presentation

• What are some promising practices for communicating assessment results in 2021?

• What are some analyses that may be useful to better understand the impact of learning disruptions on student achievement?
What are the challenges this year?

- Modified Test Content
- Deviation from Standard Administration Conditions
- Changes in OTL
- Changes in Test-Related Motivation
- Reduced Participation
- Group Differences in Participation Rates or any Test/Individual-level Conditions

Individual Score Interpretation and Use
Implications for Reporting

• Using assessments for high-stakes purposes at the individual or summary level is not advised*

• Summary results based on non-representative tested cannot be applied to the full population of students

• Longitudinal comparisons (trend and growth) are influenced by the degree to which data are complete and comparable

• Within-year comparability at multiple levels such as school, district, or student group are also influenced by the degree to which data are complete and comparable
Public Reporting Recommendations (1)

• Review current public reporting initiatives. If conditions do not support a given comparison, *remove problematic features* such as:
  ▪ Data displays or tables that encourage longitudinal comparisons
  ▪ Explicit comparisons within and across reporting levels (school, district, state)

• *Add context and/or caveats* to reports such as:
  ▪ Presenting participation rates with achievement results
  ▪ Include explanations or notes about limits to interpretation (e.g., “not comparable to prior years”)
Public Reporting Recommendations (2)

• Consider *supplemental initiatives* to support appropriate interpretation and use, such as:
  ▪ Support to accompany media release (e.g., media briefing)
  ▪ User-specific guidance on appropriate interpretation and use
  ▪ Parent/caregiver resources
  ▪ Educator webinars
  ▪ Reports of special studies
Analyzing Assessment Data

Some Guiding Principles

• Higher-level analyses are more trustworthy than lower level
• Clarify who is missing and (when possible) provide insights about the likely implications
• Context matters – explore comparisons and interactions when conditions support (adequate participation/ representation)
• Whenever possible, validate potential findings with multiple sources of evidence
Key Questions

Who?
- Which student groups (e.g. SWD, ED, EL)?
- Which academic groups (e.g. lower versus higher achieving)?
- Which schools and districts?

What?
- What content areas?
- What domains?
- What conditions?

How much?
- What was the degree of impact (e.g. changes in percent proficient, mean scores, growth estimates)?
- To what degree do achievement patterns resemble past trends?

What are implications for support?
- What supports are necessary?
- What are the highest priority areas to address?
- How can we monitor recovery?

Baseline
What learning and achievement patterns were typical before 2021?
- Establish historical difference patterns to inform trend interpretation in 2021
- Characterize typical enrollment, student traits, school climate...etc

Descriptive
What do students and their learning and achievement patterns look like now?
- Achievement Status and Trends
- Enrollment and attendance
- Technology access
- Learning mode
- Population demographics

Relational
What do learning and achievement patterns look like in the Pandemic context?
- Achievement and learning modes
- Achievement and technology access
- Achievement and attendance
- Achievement and other OTL information

Cohort
How has student achievement changed from pre-pandemic?
- Within student changes in achievement (growth)
- Summaries of growth overall and by student groups

## Sample Analyses: Status

| Status | 
|---|---|
| **Essential Questions** | Which students participated in the state assessments in 2021 as a proportion of the underlying population? Did this proportion differ for select groups and conditions? 
How did students perform on state measures of academic achievement in 2021? 
How did performance differ for selected groups and conditions? |
| **Potential analyses** | • Descriptive statistics showing performance (e.g., means and proficiency rates) and participation on state tests by district, school, and student groups. 
• Selected comparisons of performance by available variables such as learning model. 
• When rates of missing data are high, estimate range of performance (e.g., confidence intervals to reflect the range of plausible values). |
## Sample Analyses: Progress

### Essential Questions
- For participating examinees, how did students progress on measures of academic achievement?
- How does student progress differ for selected groups and conditions?
- What is the estimated impact of missing data?

### Potential analyses
- ‘Skip-year’ growth estimates: calculate growth in 2021 using 2019 priors
- Compare growth rates pre and post pandemic by student group, district, school etc.
- Account for missing data using methods such as Andrew Ho’s (2021) “Fair Trend” and “Equity Check”

Interpretation and Use

Focus on **what** not **why**

None of the analyses reviewed today are suitable to support causal claims

Prioritize **prospective** over **retrospective**

Consider how the information can be used to inform supports moving forward
Center Resources

Numerous papers, blogs, and toolkits available on our website. www.nciea.org

‘COVID-19 Response Resources’ Page