


Approved by: Juan Williams, Commissioner	Policy Number: 12-064 (rev. 11/04/20)
Signature: 	Supersedes: 11-014, 95-028, 91-022, 91-021, 88-022, 87-033, 87-032, 87-026, 87-025, 86-022, 85-036, 85-034, 84-030
Application: Executive Branch Agencies and Employees, Human Resource Officers	Effective Date: October 1, 2013
Authority: T.C.A. § 4-3-1703, T.C.A. § 8-30-104, T.C.A. § 8-30-313	Rule: Chapter 1120-05

Performance Management Procedures and Processing

The Performance Management Program is a systematic process used to define the standards of performance, expected work outcomes, and competencies for all employees, provide ongoing performance feedback, offer the employee developmental opportunities, and document an objective and fact-based record of the employee's performance. The purpose of the performance management program is to facilitate the creation and nurturing of a performance-based culture where the individual employee's performance is aligned with the agency and administration's objectives and employees are appropriately rewarded for the results they achieve.

Each covered employee shall have an individual performance plan which details the defined performance standards, expected work outcomes and competency. The individual performance plan shall be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant to the strategic objective of the employee's agency, and time sensitive (SMART).

Performance Management Procedural Steps

The following procedural steps list the *minimum* requirements of the performance management program for each employee, each cycle:

- (1) Individual Performance Plan. An initial discussion between the rater and the employee which details the performance management process and establishes expected performance standards, work outcomes, and competency. The rater must create a new individual performance plan (IPP) and document the discussion of this plan with the employee at the beginning of each performance management cycle.
- (2) Interim Reviews. A minimum of two (2) periodic discussions reviewing the employee's performance of stated expectations, work outcomes, competencies (providing constructive performance feedback/coaching) discussing ways in which the employee can enhance performance and, if appropriate the consequences of "unacceptable" or "needs improvement" performance. These periodic reviews must be recorded in Edison or documented in writing if the employee does not have access to Edison.

DOHR Policy: Performance Management Procedures and Processing	Policy Number: 12-064 (Rev. 11//04/20)
--	--

- (3) Annual Review. A documented annual review of the employee's performance which provides the employee with an opportunity to add their accomplishments. The annual review will provide the employee with a rating of performance for each work outcome, competency and for overall performance. Each rating must be justified by objective, fact-based statements by the supervisor.

Discussions regarding performance during any procedural step may not occur within thirty (30) days of another procedural step within the same review cycle. This allows the employee time to perform to stated expectations.

Performance Management Roles

Rater Role: The rater is the person measuring an employee's performance of the stated performance standards, work outcomes, and competency. Typically, the rater is the employee's direct supervisor or manager. The rater creates the employee's individual performance plan, provides feedback and conducts an annual review discussion with each employee, each review cycle.

Reviewer Role: The reviewer is the person responsible for ensuring that the performance management process is properly conducted. The reviewer's input and approval is required at each of the procedural steps prior to a discussion with the employee.

Reviewers shall review each of the procedural steps to determine that:

- (1) Individual performance plans are written at the "meets expectations" level of performance by stating performance standards and expected work outcomes that are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant to the strategic objective of the employee's agency and time sensitive;
- (2) The review process has been completed as required, to include the initial performance planning discussion, a minimum of two interim reviews, and an annual review of the employee's overall performance with assigned ratings and justifications objectively describing performance and
- (3) Performance feedback is provided to employees during the interim reviews.

The reviewer is the final approver for annual reviews with ratings of "exceeds expectations", "meets expectations", and "needs improvement".

Appointing Authority Role: The appointing authority or designee acting in this role must approve all annual reviews with ratings of "exceptional" or "unacceptable" prior to the discussion with the employee.

DOHR Policy: Performance Management Procedures and Processing	Policy Number: 12-064 (Rev. 11//04/20)
--	--

Periods of Review (Review Cycles)

The standard annual performance review cycle begins on each October 1st and ends September 30th of following year. Raters will use this review cycle for all full-time employees, with the exception of those serving a probationary or flex period.

While the steps included in the review cycle are designed to be completed throughout a twelve (12) month period, it may be necessary to have a reduced review cycle because of probation periods ending within the standard review cycle, periods of extended leave, or alterations to the employee's performance plan. In these instances, the timeframe for completion of all steps shall be one hundred and twenty (120) days from the date of the performance plan with no less than thirty (30) days between each step in the review cycle. This timeframe is designed to allow the employee an opportunity to understand and perform to the stated expectations, receive two (2) interim reviews, and improve performance, if necessary, prior to an annual review.

Employees who complete a probationary or flex performance review period shall transition to the standard annual performance review cycle once the probationary or flex period is complete, even if the transition occurs in the middle of the standard annual performance review cycle. For those employees who complete the probationary or flex period and transition to the standard annual performance review cycle, a valid performance review cycle shall contain all procedural steps with no less than thirty (30) days between each step from the date of the discussion.

An employee who successfully completes a probationary or flex performance review period between October 1st and May 31st of any year will begin a shortened review cycle that covers the time period from the end of the probationary or flex due date through September 30th of that year. This will allow the employee to transition to the standard twelve (12) month review cycle beginning October 1st.

An employee who completes probation prior to May 31st, shall begin a shortened review cycle. All required procedural steps should be completed by September 30th, ensuring there are at least 30 (thirty) days between each step. An employee who completes probation after May 31st may start a new review cycle beginning on October 1st of the standard review cycle.

Forms/Documents

For situations where an employee does not have access to Edison, a paper-based version of this form is available on www.teamtn.gov. After all signatures are secured, the rater will route the paper version of the annual review to the agency performance management coordinator for entry into Edison. When using a paper-based version of any form, the rater must ensure that the reviewer approves the document prior to discussion with the employee. Paper documents must follow all procedural steps.