Committee Minutes	EMS Education Committee
Date	December 5, 2023
Time	9:00 am CST
Location	Iris Room 665 Mainstream Drive Nashville, Tennessee
Participants	Members Present: Greg Johnson (Chairperson); William Andrews; Katie Hall; Mike Terrill; John Reeves; Jason
	Fox; Kirk Harris; Mike Alberson; Frank Miller; Mary Mattox; January Bukowitz; Mark Edison; Kenneth Oxford;
	Mark Walker; Kevin King; Aaron Carl; Paula Todd; Dana McDonald; Shannon Morphis; Erik Jesse; Chris Davis;
	Curt Auckerman
	Central Office: Brandon Ward, State EMS Director; Chip Cook, Assistant State EMS Director; Russell Gupton
	Members Absent: Baker McCool; Houston Austin

Overall Lead	Topic	Summary/Decisions	Assignments / Next Steps	Responsible Person	Time Frame
	Roll Call/Introduction	Meeting was called to order. Roll Call (results are listed above): a quorum was deemed present. Nominations open for a new Secretary: Dana McDonald nominated by Shannon Morphis, Seconded by Mark Walker. Approved by	N/A	N/A	N/A
		unanimous vote. Nominations for Clinical Issues Committee Representative: Jason Fox nominated by John Reeves, Seconded by Kirk Harris. Approved by unanimous vote.			
		Upcoming meeting dates discussed with planned start time of 10am. Motion made to start meetings at 10am by Erik Jesse, seconded by Kaite Hall, no further discussion, motion passed via roll call vote.			
	Minute Approval	Initial education meeting minutes- motion to approve made by Jason Fox , Seconded by	N/A	N/A	N/A

		1	
	Katie Hall, no further discussion, approved by group vote.		
	Minutes will need to be approved from the		
	last continuing education committee meeting.		
	Secretary McDonald will receive a copy of		
	the recording for transcription and approval at		
	the next meeting.		
School Approvals	• None		
Old Business			
	• None		
	1 tone		
New Business	Open Floor, no new business from		
	committee		
	• Existing Topics of New Business:		
	1. The suggestion was made to expand		
	the request previously made by		
	1 1 1		
	Vanderbilt to allow medics that		
	complete an onboarding process that		
	equals or exceeds the criteria of the		
	state critical care paramedic guidelines		
	to be able to file for a course approval		
	number and challenge the state test.		
	Extensive discussion was held as to		
	what types of services would be		
	allowed to utilize this pathway		
	(ground vs. air, what constitutes an		
	approved critical care service).		
	Currently, the language is written in a		
	manner that it looks like it is only for		

	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	
	Vanderbilt, but we need to reword this	
	to be more inclusive to other	
	flight/approved services.	
	Formal motion made by Mark Edison	
	for a statement to be added to the	
	existing language "Organizations with	
	a state approved critical care	
	paramedic program can have	
	employees sit for the state of	
	Tennessee critical care paramedic	
	board exam following their orientation	
	completion provided the orientation	
	process meets or exceeds the standard	
	set for the critical care paramedic	
	program and following verification	
	from the state consultant through a	
	course request." Seconded by Kirk	
	Harris. Discussion: can an	
	amendment be added to include	
	"healthcare entities". Motion to	
	amend made by Mark Walker,	
	Seconded by Kevin King	
	"Healthcare entities with a state	
	approved critical care paramedic	
	program can have employees sit for	
	the state of Tennessee critical care	
	board exam following their orientation	
	completion providing the orientation	
	process meets or exceeds the standard	
	set for the critical care paramedic	
	program and following verification by	
	the regional consultant through a	
	course request."	
	Motion made by Aaron Carl to amend	
	the first motion made by Mark Walker	
L L	·	

LINIS LDGC/TTGTC COMINTT TEL INTICTES				
to change the verbiage from				
Organization to Healthcare I				
Seconded by Kevin King. M				
Edison and Kirk Harris are a	agreeable greeable			
to this. No further discussion	n. Motion			
Carries via Roll Call Vote.				
Per Director Ward, Morra	ris			
McDaniel is currently in				
process of re-writing the				
exam and is due to be de				
according to contract exp				
2. Extensive discussion was he	ld			
regarding students from out				
training programs coming to				
clinicals in the state of TN. I				
was voted down by the board				
it back to initial education. T				
beginning of a process was of				
apply for clinical access price				
new board being seated. Ess				
the burden of proof/need lies				
student/ school to prove that				
our standards- the programs				
students must be approved b				
board, but the committee do				
want to jeopardize the existi				
and colleges here. The state				
have any regulatory authorit				
problem arise, no disciplinar				
would be possible outside of				
reported to the home state. T				
recommendation would be for				
school to have to individuall	у			

LINE EDUCATION COMMITTEE WING IES				
approach the board and				
favorable recommendati	on to proceed.			
Motion made by Ma	rk Walker to			
limit TN clinical site	es to TN board			
approved training pr	ograms only.			
Seconded by Jason I	Fox.			
Discussion- The boa	rd did not			
favor an all or nothing	ng approach,			
thus the idea of eval	uating on a			
case-by-case basis. V	Would it make			
more sense to limit s	site access to			
boarder states? Mark	x Walker			
rescinds the motion.				
Greg Johnson shared	I the			
application that was				
Blevins, himself, and				
drafted for programs				
to have student do cl				
state of TN.				
Additional Question	s: Could an			
out of state institution				
contract/ MOU relat	ionship with a			
school to utilize clin	±			
Would institutions h				
authority to say no, e				
was going to hurt an				
program?				
Motion made by Ma	rk Walker to			
require any out of sta				
enter an agreement/s				
with a Tennessee ap				
training program to s				
space and both the s				
institution and applie				
complete an applicat				
1 Sempress un appress				

EMB EDUCATION COMMITTEE MINUTES					
		appear in front of the committee			
		for approval. Seconded by Erik			
		Jesse. Discussion- The current			
		language could open this up to any			
		teaching entity. Would it be			
		advisable to limit it to any			
		approved higher education			
		institution? Katie Hall makes a			
		motion to amend the language to			
		"Tennessee approved higher			
		education program." Seconded by			
		Erik Jessee and Mark Walker is			
		agreeable. Discussion- Sponsoring			
		institutions must be limited to			
		higher educational institutes &			
		must be presented by an applicant			
		and sponsor. Motion passed by			
		roll call vote.			
		3. EMT to Paramedic Process			
		 Extensive conversation was held 			
		regarding the presentation of this			
		to the board for approval.			
		 Initially, this was sent back from 			
		the previous board for the			
		following reason: Current program			
		did not meet the curriculum			
		requirements for entry directly into			
		a paramedic program. The board			
		requested entry and exit points be			
		designated for an existing AEMT			
		or someone in the program.			

Drew Hooker presented on the
EMT to Medic Process- A gap
analysis demonstrated the biggest
short coming was the missing skill
of IV access, initial suggested exit
point would be eligibility to sit for
the AEMT exam passing
requirements plus clinical time,
but again this was heavily debated
due the way the current curriculum
is structured over the course of the
semesters. IV's, though, are now
included in the student minimum
competencies matrix- we would
have to emphasize that this was
taught.
taugnt.
Weith Davids and help of
Keith Douglas present on behalf of TASA - TAS
TASA expressed TASA's full
support.
Questions: Would a pilot program
be allowed even with the standing
rule that AEMT is required first?
Yes, but a final rule change would
be needed to make it official.
Would exit points be allowed at
the risk of creating an expensive
AEMT program?
A formal motion was made by
Kirk Harris to accept EMT to
Paramedic with the same entrance
requirements of the AEMT or
Paramedic requirements making

	 Would it be possible to do these at the school level vs. the state level? A motion was made by Jason Fox for a workgroup of volunteers to be established on practical testing to report back to the next meeting on March 19th Seconded by Katie Hall. Discussion: Is 90 days enough time to sort out this matter? It is agreed upon those 90 days is enough. Motion carries via roll call vote. Workgroup: Katie Hall, Mike Terrill, Jason Fox, Erik Jesse, Chris Davis, Greg Johnson, and John Reeves. 	Establish a workgroup of volunteers regarding the psychomotor exam	Volunteers: Workgroup: Katie Hall, Mike Terrill, Jason Fox, Erik Jesse, Chris Davis, Greg Johnson, and John Reeves.	Report back by March 19 th Meeting
Closing Remarks & Adjournment	Thank you to all who participated today, please remember we have a 50% participation requirement. Motion by Kirk Harris and a Second by			