

EPA REGION 4

Tim Frederick, MPH



R4 LEAD-CONTAMINATED SOIL INVESTIGATIONS

Region 4 has been involved in several large-scale urban lead soil investigations

Drawing from these experiences, we've worked to:

- Develop best practices for collecting soil samples
- Develop, field test, and improve XRF capabilities for analyzing soil
- Better understand urban background conditions
- Document, share, and improve our approaches



FORMER CHATTANOOGA FOUNDRIES

60+ foundries historically located in Chattanooga.

Foundries generated spent sand and baghouse dust over many decades.

FORMER CHATTANOOGA FOUNDRIES



PREVIOUS EPA INVOLVEMENT

- 2011: resident presented at ER with lead poisoning
- 2011: EPA removal assessment
- 2012-2013: EPA removal at 84 residences in Read Avenue area
- Limited geographic area
- Extent of contamination beyond removal areas (if any) unknown



UNFINISHED BUSINESS?

During removal action, EPA became aware that additional areas may be similarly impacted

Recon

Anecdotal

TDEC raised concerns about lead-contaminated foundry waste potentially located in other residential areas

 TDEC data from Brownfields and local development projects, state voluntary oversight program

Tennessee Department of Health data indicating a relatively high % of children with elevated blood lead (*in some neighborhoods compared to surrounding areas*).



QUESTIONS: POTENTIALLY LARGE URBAN LEAD SITE



OBJECTIVES OF THE SITE INVESTIGATION

Establish urban Pb background levels Identify sampling locations Collect data to support decisions: Identify need for time-critical removal

- Determine eligibility for NPL (HRS scoring)
- "Rule in" or "rule out" each area for further response

Utilize SI data for ER, Risk Assessment and future RI (avoid resampling yards)

Use best practices in sample collection, preparation, analysis

Analyzed information from several sources:	TDEC data
EPA data from previous studies	Historical figures; foundries
Department of Health blood lead information	Demographic and census data

SELECTING STUDY AREAS

DOWNTOWN CHATTANOOGA



EXISTING DATA



Historical Information



ADD CENSUS TRACTS



BLOOD LEAD LEVELS BY CENSUS TRACT



RESULT: IDENTIFICATION OF SEVEN PRIORITY AREAS





ESTABLISH CHATTANOOGA URBAN BACKGROUND LEVEL FOR LEAD

- Used SAP/QAPP template from larger R4 urban background study
- 5x5 mile grid; 50 randomly selected cells
- Excluded flood plain areas, study areas, known industry
- Piloted "best practices sampling and analytical" methods

BEST SAMPLING & ANALYTICAL PRACTICES

Sought lessons learned from similar Region 4 sites

Sought lessons learned from other regions

- Region 8 Pueblo Smelter Site
- Region 10 Bunker Hill Site

Considered new OLEM Guidance

- Sieving
- In Vitro Bioavailability

Considered draft Region 4 XRF Field Operations Guide



OLEM LEAD SIEVING DIRECTIVE



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 1 - 2016

OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE OFFICE OF LAND AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

MEMORANDUM

OLEM Directive 9200.1-128

SUBJECT: Recommendations for Sieving Soil and Dust Samples at Lead Sites for Assessment of Incidental Ingestion

Recommendations for Sieving Soil and Dust Samples at Lead Sites for Assessment of Incidental Ingestion

OLEM LEAD SIEVING DIRECTIVE

- •Lead TRW recommends $< 150 \ \mu m$ particle size.
- Incidental ingestion greater for fine particles.
- Dermal adherence greater for fine particles.
- Increased contaminant concentration, mobility, and bioavailability in fine particles.

DERMAL ADHERENCE









SIEVE OF STACKED MESH (#10 AND #100)

DISAGGREGATION AND DRYING





FINE FRACTION <150 MICRONS



FOUNDRY SAND: SIEVED VS UNSIEVED

Unsieved	Sieved
603	1016
837	1832
1434	4021
1245	2300
591	936



FIELD FUME HOOD







SAMPLE COLLECTION: INCREMENTAL SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (ISM)

Why ISM?

Superior method to derive an unbiased estimate of the mean concentration of a given area (i.e. yard)

One ISM sample is collected for each yard (yard = exposure unit)

Each sample is comprised of 30 samesized aliquots, and produces one result that represents the entire yard

Statistically defensible data on which to base decisions

COLLECTING SAMPLES: TIME & EFFORT



One 30-point sample from a residential yard takes about 8 minutes to collect



ANALYSIS: R4 XRF FIELD OPERATING GUIDE (FOG)

Standardized methodology for collecting high-quality field data

Generates real time QA/QC measures

Provides real-time data Multiple readings lead to reproducible results (especially in conjunction with sieving protocols)

https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-4-superfund-x-ray-fluorescence-field-operations-guide







U or Bag ID:	Cell 70 S100	Element:	As								
Replicate			Instrument	Instrument		DU or Bag ID:	Cell 70 S100	Element:	Pb		
Bag	Time	Run Time (sec)	Result	Error (as 1 Std	Note2	Replicate			Instrumen	Instrument	
Dag	11110	Ran Time (Gee)	(0000)	Devi	14005 :	Bag	Time	Run Time (sec)	t Result	Error (as 1Std	N
Readinds			(ppm)	Uev)		Peadings			(pom)	Dev)	
	1120	20			Lop	Deauinua			0		
2	1120	30	12		1000	1	1120	30	257	11	
2	112.0	30	14	°	<u> </u>	2	1123	30	239	10	
4	1132	30	13	8	<u> </u>	3	1129	30	248	11	
5 (ontional)	ING		<u> </u>	- ×	-	4	1132	30	253	11	1
6 (optional)					+	5 (optional)	12:00:00 AM				1
7 (optional)					<u> </u>	6 (optional)	12:00:00 AM				
8 (optional)		#BEF!			-	7 (optional)	12:00:00 AM				
9 (optional)		#BEF!				8 (optional)	12:00:00 AM				
10 (optional)		#BEF!				9 (optional)	12:00:00 AM				
		Mean	13.8			10 (optional)	12:00:00 AM		\sim		
		SD	1.71	Td xBSD	(12.4)			Mean	246.7		1
		0:	~					SD	7.09	Td xRSD	U
		ProLICI distribution -		(ontional)	-			n =	3		
	2.cidad	Samela 95V LLCL -	- 11	(opcona)	<u> </u>			ProUCL distribution =			
	2-sided	Sample 95% FUCL =	16		<u> </u>		-2SD Lower bag limit	Mean - 2 SD +	232.5		
	Leided	Sample 95% t-LCL -	10		<u> </u>		+2 SD Upper bag limit	Mean + 2 SD =	260.9		
	Leided	Sample 95% MICL +	16		<u> </u>		1-sided	Sample 95% t-LCL =	234.7	S	
	Leided Srr	onle 95% Chebushev I CL +			<u> </u>		1-sided	Sample 95% t-UCL =	258.6		
	1-sided Sm	ple 95% Chebushey UCL +	17		-		-10% limit	Mean - 10% =	222.0		
	Parava orti	le etur	mantaure	E0 10	NAM DOD		+10% limit	Mean + 10% =	271.3		L
		instru	ment en or =	98.16	as %m50			instru	ment error =	4.36	as %

QA DATA ENTRY IN FIELD



CORRELATION XRF VS LAB DATA: LEAD













UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAY - 5 2017

OFFICE OF SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

NOW THE OFFICE OF LAND AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Release of Standard Operating Procedure for an In Vitro Bioaccessibility Assay for Lead and Arsenic in Soil and Validation Assessment of the In Vitro Arsenic Bioaccessibility Assay for Predicting Relative Bioavailability of Arsenic in Soils and Soil-like Materials at Superfund Sites

0

SOP FOR IN VITRO LEAD AND ARSENIC TESTING RELEASED DURING PROJECT

UNDERSTANDING BIOAVAILABILITY of ARSENIC and LEAD in Soils at Superfund Sites

Arsenic and lead present in soil must be bioavailable in order to pose a risk to your health.



BIOAVAILABILITY INFORMS RISK & CLEAN-UP GOALS



RESULTS AND OUTCOMES



URBAN LEAD BACKGROUND

REGION 4 URBAN BACKGROUND STUDY

An Inter-Agency Research Project

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and Southeastern states are conducting a collaborative research project. The purpose of the project is to document background concentrations of selected environmental parameters in surface soils of urban areas of the Southeastern United States. Surface soil, the top two inches of the soil profile, is assumed to be the primary human exposure source via ingestion. The selected parameters are chemicals that are typically found in all urban environments.

Because the goal is to collect a database of the background concentrations of these chemicals, the study is purposefully designed to avoid sampling areas of known or suspected contaminant releases. The intended use of the data collected from this project is to inform and provide context to environmental investigations conducted by USEPA and its State and local partners. The data will be especially useful for providing background information for Brownfields redevelopment projects, Superfund projects, and other environmental restoration projects that aim to restore contaminated property to beneficial reuse.

Cities were selected for inclusion in this research project based upon the input and recommendations of representatives from the participating States. Currently data has been collected for eight cities, but there may be additional sampling efforts performed that may add to this database. In each of the cities, potential sampling locations were identified through use of a sampling grid and a random selection process. The potential sample locations were then vetted to the extent possible to ensure that the sampled locations were representative of background urban conditions. If any locations were thought to be unsuitable for the purposes of the project, they were replaced with the next randomly selected grid cell. A summary presentation and the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) can be obtained by clicking on the "Urban Background Study Webinar" hyperlink below. Explanations of the decision process for selecting sampling locations and the methods employed for sample collection are provided in the SAP. All samples are assumed to represent local background conditions and are assumed to not have resulted from releases from contaminated sites.

A map of the Southeast States and sampled cities is provided under the "Locations" worksheet and can be accessed by hyperlink below. From the "Locations" worksheet, one can then access a data summary for "All Cities" or individual city data summaries and sampling grid maps.





Lead Urban Background Results



XRF USE GOING FORWARD

XRF provides reliable, reproducible & defensible data for this project (n = 300+) XRF will be used for decision making at the site going forward with minimal laboratory confirmation

Additional efforts to streamline process tested & implemented

Lessons learned used to revise the FOG

LEAD BIOAVAILABILITY

33 soil samples were analyzed for lead bioavailability

IEUBK default BA = 30%

Chattanooga site soils BA = 29-50%; avg. = 36%

↑BA will ↓health-based remedial level Site specific cleanup levels: < 400 ppm to well below background levels, depending on target blood lead level used in model: 360 ppm ISM has been adopted by the team as the method for sample collection

All samples are dried before analysis by XRF

Developed protocol to determine when sieving is necessary going forward (greatly reduces field effort) SAMPLING COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

PROJECT STATUS

Time-critical removal action to address worst yards first (tiered approach used to prioritize residences);

SI complete;

Determined to be eligible for the National Priority List and listed in 2017

Data was used in the Remedial Investigation and Baseline Risk Assessment

Field methods used in SI refined/improved and continued into RI phase

Historical Sampling (Through Dec 2018)							
Summary Table							
	Sou	uthside Chatt	tanooga Lead Site				
		Sampled	Yards				
Neighborhood	Total	Yards	to Sample	Properties >	Properties >		
	Yards	As of	As of	360 ppm	1200 ppm		
		12/12/18	12/12/18				
Alton Park	566	265	301	70	2		
Cowart Place	232	43	189	15	0		
East Lake	1267	36	1231	8	1		
Highland Park	857	166	691	99	18		
Jefferson Heights	170	123	47	27	16		
Oak Grove	327	49	278	24	0		
Richmond	55	28	27	10	0		
Southside Gardens	145	62	83	20	2		
Totals (To Date)	3619	772	2847	273	39		

SOUTHSIDE CHATTANOOGA TEAM MEMBERS

Cathy Amoroso & Robenson Joseph, RPMs

John Nolen, RPM, TN Coordinator

Perry Gaughan, On-scene Coordinator

Kevin Koporec, Human Health Risk Assessor and Lead TWG

Tim Frederick, Scientific Support Section (XRF FOG; Urban Bkg)

Sydney Chan, Human Health Risk Assessor, XRF FOG, Urban Bkg, and Bioavailability TRW

Glenn Adams, Chief, Scientific Support Section

Stephanie Brown and Ron Tolliver, CICs

Region 4 SESD analytical laboratory

Region 4 START contractor (Tetra Tech, Inc.)

Troy Keith, Tennessee Department of Environment & Conservation

Rebecca Gorham, Tennessee Department of Health

Jennifer Wendel, OSRTI

Matt Jefferson, TIFSD

QUESTIONS

Tim Frederick

frederick.tim@epa.gov

404-562-8598