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Operational Compliance
Inspection Consistency

• COVID-19
• Quality Assurance
• Quality Control
Operational Compliance
Inspection Consistency (cont’d)

TRAINING

- EPA Certified Inspectors, Supervisors & Managers
- Standardized Inspection Manual & New Rules Proficiency Series
- Third–Party Webinars
- Individual Development
- Statewide Staff Meeting 1 Hour at a Time

The Current Rulemaking Process

1. When the Division identifies the need for a new rule or amendments to existing rules, the Division should contact the Rulemaking Coordinator to discuss the proposed change. Important questions are:
   (a) Is there a rulemaking further along the process that the new rulemaking may impact in an unintended way?
   (b) What is the potential stakeholder interest in the rulemaking to determine how broadly to draft amendments?
   (c) Will the rulemaking impact any existing cross references?
2. The Division should obtain a Word version of the currently effective rule from the Rulemaking Coordinator and indicate the desired amendments or additions using the Track Changes function. Then, the Division can send the marked-up copy to the Rulemaking Coordinator for the development of a draft redline version of the rulemaking.
3. The Rulemaking Coordinator will work with the OGC Point of Contact and the Division to finalize the new rule or amendment language. Once the Division and OGC approve the rule language, the next step is to develop the Governor’s Office’s Prospective Rulemaking Review Responses. This is one of the steps that has recently been expanded. There are now 24 questions that are required to be answered as part of this step, as opposed to six questions under the previous justification.
4. The draft rulemaking and the Prospective Rulemaking Review Responses must then be reviewed and approved by the Commissioner and appropriate Executive Leadership Team member. OGC will schedule a meeting to review the documents with the Commissioner, who must determine that the rules are in the best interest of the state. This formal approval process is another change in the rulemaking process. Once approved by the Commissioner, the rulemaking documents are forwarded to the Governor’s Office for review. OGC and the Division will together resolve any follow up questions the Governor’s Office requires.
5. Once approved by the Governor’s Office to file a rulemaking hearing notice, the Rulemaking Coordinator and the Division will complete Form SS-7037 as required by the Secretary of State and the Governor’s Office. During the pandemic, the Governor’s Office has instructed that rulemakings be preferably held in-person if it is considered safe with Webex as an alternative method. The rulemaking hearing will be by both methods simultaneously. If the Division is unable to have an in-person hearing, then the rulemaking hearing may continue to be held by Webex only.
6. The rulemaking hearing notice must be filed with the Secretary of State at least 52 days prior to the scheduled hearing date.
7. After the rulemaking hearing has been completed and the comment period closed, the Division will receive Form SS-7039 from the Rulemaking Coordinator for completion. In addition, the Division must provide the answers to nine questions in the information submitted to the Government Operations Committee ("Committee") at the request of the Chair of the House Government Operations Committee.
8. When Form SS-7039 has been completed and returned to the Rulemaking Coordinator, the Division’s OGC Point of Contact will conduct a legal review of the rulemaking, followed by review by the Deputy General Counsel or a designated OGC Team Leader.
Operational Compliance Inspection Consistency (cont’d)

JOB PLANS

• Staff

• Managers

• Senior Management
Outreach & Education

- State Infrastructure Projects
- New Rules Pamphlet
- Inspections
- Tennessee Tank Talk
- Tank School
- Toolbox
- Webinars
  - Contracts / Vendors
  - Owners / Operators
- By Request
- Open House
# Standardized Inspection Manual (SIM) Revisions

- **2015 SIM 1st Edition**
- **Purpose**
- **Audience**
- **Team**
- **Values**
- **Process**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter Subject</th>
<th>Team Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>Don Taylor, Mac Pointer, Kevin Bruce, Ben Rowsey, Nigel Luther</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical Systems</td>
<td>David Stone, Ben Rowsey, Mark Mashburn, Kate Harper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodic Protection</td>
<td>John Wright, Bruce Rohrbaugh, David Groce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Toolbox</td>
<td>Carl Carlson, Mark Braswell, Don Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formatting / Fillable Forms</td>
<td>Mark Braswell, Jo McCrary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual Tank Gauging</td>
<td>Bruce Rohrbaugh, Ben Rowsey, Mark Braswell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressurized Piping</td>
<td>Don Taylor, Dustin Turner, Mark Brinton, Todd Bixler, Paige Ottenfeld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Containment/Interstitial Monitoring</td>
<td>Don Taylor, Ronda Johnson, Steve McMahan, Holly Marlowe, Nigel Luther</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIR</td>
<td>David Stone, David Groce, Mitzie Berry, Casey Norris, Rob Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spill/Overfill</td>
<td>Ronda Johnson, Dustin Turner, Donene Beckett, Mitzie Berry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suction Piping</td>
<td>Ronda Johnson, Mark Mashburn, Kyle Beverly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank Tightness Testing</td>
<td>Ben Rowsey, Mark Braswell, Mark Mashburn, Rick Huchison, Ethan Ralph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UST Reference Guide and Owners Checklist</td>
<td>Mark Braswell, Rhonda Key</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Standardized Inspection Manual (SIM) Revisions (cont’d)

- All tests pass
- Alarm histories are not required to be submitted but if the O/O provides the information voluntarily and an alarm is indicated, evaluate the reason for alarm to determine if additional review is needed (i.e. probe out)
  - Test meets third party certification requirements

Continuous In-Tank Leak Detection System (CITLDS) - Do records provide the following information:
  - Summary page with monthly results including facility information
  - CITLDS Vendor
  - CITLDS Method
  - Summary of monthly product throughput to insure method is viable
  - Tank capacity limitations
  - Last twelve months of records available
  - Manufacturer name and model # of ATG
  - Test measures to 0.2 gph monthly
  - All tests pass

Interstitial Monitoring - (tanks and piping are listed separately in order to address situations in which interstitial monitoring (IM) is used on only tanks or piping. See Technical Chapter 3.4) Ensure records provide the following information and are submitted on the standardized forms (unless an alternative form that contains the same information as recorded on the standardized form and is approved by the Division prior to use):
  - Monitoring of interstitial space—electronic only (manual or visual monitoring is no longer allowed if IM is the RD method selected for systems installed prior to 7/24/07)
  - Type of monitoring device (liquid, pressure, discriminating)
  - Monitoring device is certified by third party (on NWGLDE list)

Continuous In-Tank Leak Detection System (CITLDS)
- Do records provide the following information?
  - Summary page with monthly results including facility information
  - CITLDS Vendor
  - CITLDS Method
  - Summary of monthly product throughput to ensure method is in accordance with the NWGLDE listing
  - Tank capacity limitations
  - Last twelve months of records available
  - Manufacturer name and model # of ATG
  - Test measures to at least 0.2 gph monthly
  - Record test results in GasLog MIA

Interstitial Monitoring
- (Tanks and piping are listed separately in Technical Chapter 3.4 in order to address situations in which interstitial monitoring (IM) is used on only tanks or only piping. Ensure records provide the following information and are submitted on the standardized forms (unless an alternative form that contains the same information as recorded on the standardized form is pre-approved by the Division)
  - Monitoring of interstitial space—electronic only (manual or visual monitoring is no longer allowed if IM is the RD method selected for systems installed prior to 7/24/07)
  - Type of monitoring device (fluid, pressure, discriminating)
  - Monitoring device is certified by third party (on NWGLDE list)
  - Last twelve months of sensor status reports available
  - Last twelve months of alarm history reports available
  - Record test results in GasLog MIA
Standardized Inspection Manual (SIM) Revisions (cont’d)

• Encourage & Invite Public Participation Open to April 9, 2020
  ▫ Board
  ▫ Staff
  ▫ UST Stakeholders

• Respond to Comments

• Finalize Summer 2021

• Final Board Approval

Submit Written Comments to:

Tanks.UST@tn.gov
QUESTIONS?

Mark Braswell
Deputy Director of Field Office Operations
Mark.Braswell@tn.gov | 423-621-0062 cell