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INTRODUCTION

The "Fort Blount-Williamsburg Site" was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1974. This is an area of approximately 125 acres located at the east end of Smith Bend in Jackson County, Tennessee. This bend is formed by the Cumberland river (now part of Cordell Hull Lake), which in this part of the state flows in a generally northeast to southwest direction (Figures 1, 2, and 3). The National Register property boundaries (Figure 4) were apparently intended to include at least the following: the old Fort Blount Ferry landing on the west (or north) side of the Cumberland River; a section of the remains of the "Cumberland Road" (also referred to as the Avery Trace, the Cumberland Trace, the North Carolina Military Road, and the Wilderness Road); the site of Fort Blount; the site of the town of Williamsburg (the first planned county seat of Jackson County); and a local cemetery sometimes referred to as the Williamsburg Cemetery. Also included within these boundaries are prehistoric (Indian) and historic period activity areas and building remains that pre- and post-date Fort Blount and Williamsburg. From an archaeological perspective, this area has a great deal of interest and potential for helping us to better understand the kind of material remains that are representative of several important phases of early Tennessee history.

Of the various areas intended to be included in the 1974 National Register nomination, the most elusive was the site of Fort Blount. The assumption that the site where Fort Blount stood was within the boundaries shown on the National Register form was based almost entirely on secondary source information. A careful examination of these same sources reveals that they are very contradictory. Among other things there has been a long running debate concerning on which side of the Cumberland River the post was located. If it was located on the east (or south) side of the river, then its site would certainly be outside the National Register property boundaries. These same boundaries (on the west side of the river) also exclude some portions of the east end of Smith Bend that probably should have been included in the nomination (a suggestion for redefining the National Register boundaries will be discussed later).

During the late 1970s, a reinterpretation of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site was initiated as part of a larger historic period archaeological site survey project conducted by the Division of Archaeology (Smith and Butler 1976; Rogers 1978: 74-76). This included documentary research, a surface reconnaissance of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area, mapping the site, and a systematic collecting of surface artifacts. The general conclusion derived from this work was that there was both clear documentation and good
Figure 1. Map published in 1795 by Scott (1795: 305) showing the Mero District of the Southwest Territory. Shows road from Knoxville to Nashville that crossed the east and west boundaries of the Cherokee Indian Nation then crossed the Cumberland River at the "Crossing of the Cumberland."
Figure 2. Map showing Tennessee as it appeared about 1796. Published by Carey (1814: 22).
Figure 3. Map of Tennessee, 1817, showing the town of Williamsburg on the west bank of the Cumberland River in Jackson County. Map Number 1867, Tennessee State Library and Archives, Nashville.
archaeological remains for the town of Williamsburg, but that the location of the site of Fort Blount was by no means clear.

One of the problems that became apparent during the initial Fort Blount-Williamsburg site research concerned the type of historical information that was available. In modern times, most of what has been written about the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site (especially concerning Fort Blount) is simply not based on primary historical source information. It was felt desirable to begin a systematic collection of all of the primary sources that could be found and to present these separately from the various secondary interpretations that have been given over the years. A considerable amount of such information had been collected by the end of the 1970s, but due to other project commitments, a final report on this research has been delayed until now. In some ways the delay has been a blessing. There is now a much greater volume of source information than there was in the 1970s, and the ready availability of word processors has made some aspects of historic data assembly that would have been nearly impossible then relatively easy now.

The bodies of information pertaining to Fort Blount (ca. 1792-1798), Williamsburg (1807-1819), and the activities of Sampson Williams (b. 1762, d. 1841) are interrelated and entwined. As much time as possible has been spent researching each subject, and as much of the data as possible that relates to site location, former site appearance, or site remains is presented in this report. In keeping with the emphasis on primary source data, the major sections of this report are based on a chronological arrangement of quotations or paraphrased versions of contemporary sources. In addition to sources that are cited by author's name, the following abbreviations are used and are fully referenced in a section near the end of the Bibliography:

CC = Chancery Court Case, Smith County Records
DOS = Department of State, Register of Officers and Agents
DHP(D) = David Henley Papers
JOP = John Overton Papers
JRP = James Robertson Papers
JSP = John Sevier Papers
KG = Knoxville Gazette
M208 = Records of the Cherokee Indian Agency in Tennessee, 1801-1835
M547 = Garland Draper Scrapbook, Tennessee State Library and Archives
M904 = War Department Collection of Post-Revolutionary War Manuscripts
M905 = Compiled Service Records of Volunteer Soldiers, 1784-1811
RG94QM = Quartermaster Accounts and Returns. Record Group 94 of the National Archives
The historical research on Fort Blount and Williamsburg and their various associations is like most such efforts in that there remains, and will probably long remain, some potential for finding additional sources of information that would be important for understanding the physical remains of these occupations. In addition, if any major archaeological work is ever conducted on the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site, this will undoubtedly change many of the thoughts presented as conclusions in this paper. The possibility of some future on-site research has provided one of the strongest motives for completing the present study.
Figure 4. The Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area showing boundaries of the National Register site, as defined in 1974.
HISTORICAL DATA FOR INTERPRETING FORT BLOUNT

Research concerning the post known as Fort Blount has revealed that there were actually two separate phases of military history associated with this location and, evidently, two installations that were used at different times, probably located on opposite sides of the river. The first of these, referred to as a "blockhouse post," was not called Fort Blount by contemporary writers. The second post, which began to be called Fort Blount about a year after its construction, seems to have been a larger facility than the first and was used for a longer period of time. It seems desirable to emphasize these separate histories by dividing this section of the report into two subsections entitled "Pre-Fort Blount Period" and "Fort Blount Period."

Pre-Fort Blount Period

1762

Sampson Williams was born December 2, 1762, in Laurens District, South Carolina (Bates 1974; corresponds to the date given on his tombstone in the family cemetery at the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site).

1779

Permanent Anglo-American settlement of what would later be the Middle Tennessee area began in 1779. The first group of settlers led by James Robertson reached the French Lick (later Nashville) by way of Cumberland Gap and Kentucky (Abernathy 1967: 155); however, according to Myer (1971: 99), there was already in existence an important Indian trail, later called the Cumberland Trace, which crossed the Cumberland River where Fort Blount would be established.

1784

A 640 acre preempted land grant (North Carolina Grant No. 416) was entered March 10, 1784, for Simon Woodard. This was to be located near a salt lick (on an island) in the Cumberland River, near the east end of what is now Smith Bend (see 1793). The salt lick and its environs, at about this time, are described in a deposition made by Edmond Jennings (SCLR), who had been in the area locating land grants and hunting buffalo.

1786

According to Clayton (1880: 370A), Sampson Williams came from South Carolina with his father (Daniel), brothers
(Nimrod, Daniel, Oliver, and Wright), and one sister (Eunice) to settle in or near Nashville during this year. He also appears to have had one other brother named Turner Williams (Smith County Deed Book I, p. 359). Sampson Williams soon became a leader in the military activities carried out by the white settlers against the Indians (Haywood 1891: 256-257).

**1787**

The Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area became part of Sumner County, which was carved out of Davidson County (Davidson County, North Carolina).

**1788**

Abernathy (1967: 155) gives 1788 as the date of completion of a road (the Cumberland or Avery Trace) authorized by the North Carolina legislature in 1787. This road or trail (Figure 1) traversed the Cherokee Indian territory that separated the Knoxville and Nashville area settlements. Until 1799, all overland travel between these two areas (except for those travelers who still used the old Kentucky route) was by way of Southwest Point (on the Clinoh River) to what soon came to be known as the "Crossing of the Cumberland" (the location of Simon Woodard's grant).

**1790**

The year 1790 witnessed the establishment of "The Territory South of the River Ohio," commonly referred to as the "Southwest Territory." A major source of information for the territorial period (1790-1796) is Volume IV of The Territorial Papers of the United States, edited by Clarence E. Carter (1936).

On December 15, Territorial Governor William Blount (who resided in what is now upper East Tennessee until 1792 when he moved to Knoxville) reestablished the "Mero District" of North Carolina as the "District of Mero in the Territory of the United States of America South of the River Ohio." This district was composed of Davidson, Tennessee, and Sumner counties (with the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area located in Sumner). Sampson Williams was appointed sheriff of Davidson County (Carter 1936: 438-440). It is not certain where Williams lived at this time, but his father's residence was about three miles north of Nashville, north of the Cumberland River (Weakley 1965: 104).

**1791**

By early 1791, Sampson Williams had already developed an interest in what would later be referred to as the Fort Blount area. This is indicated by a January 5, 1791, court record granting him the privilege of keeping a ferry for one year "at the upper end of the first bluff on Cumberland River, on the
south side, above the salt lick" (SCM, Vol. 1, p. 35). One of the tracts of land (North Carolina Grant No. 1647, consisting of 228 acres) that would later be issued to Williams (see 1792 and 1793) was located on the south (or east) side of the river at this point, and it appears from the copy of the original warrant that the rights to this tract had already been signed over to him (in 1788).

1792

In a January 2, 1792, letter to James Robertson, Governor William Blount mentions some Cherokees bringing a letter to the "Crossing of the Cumberland" (the terms "Crossing of the Cumberland," "Passing of the Cumberland," and "Ford of the Cumberland" were all used in reference to the area later called Fort Blount). In this same letter (Carter 1936: 109), Governor Blount states:

...I write Sampson Williams by this Conveyance respecting some men which he will be authorized to raise to be stationed at the present crossing of Cumberland for six Month to commence on the 15th March and I intend to order more to be raised and stationed according to the Plan I recommend to the President and if any are raised your son Jonathan will be appointed Ensign.

This appears to have been the beginning of territorial militia activity at this location. Circumstantial evidence suggests that the post established at this time was located on the tract of land on the south (or east) side of the river that was under Sampson Williams' control. On June 23, Williams was in Nashville where he made an entry for this 228 acre tract (North Carolina Grant No. 1647) at the Crossing of the Cumberland, which included "the upper crossing of the River, the way the Road now goes from Bledsoes Lick to Holston." In a later court deposition (SC, p. 2), Williams stated that his ferry landing was located on this tract.

Besides his interest in the militia post and the ferry, Sampson Williams was also involved with land survey in the area during 1792. It does not appear, however, that he was here on a full-time basis, for he was again appointed sheriff of Davidson County, from July 10, 1792 to July 1793 (Carter 1936: 450). Williams' attraction to the area is, nevertheless, evident from the fact that he soon acquired the rights to another land grant, which gave him two tracts adjoining Simon Woodard's 1784 preemption (he also purchased half of the preemption in 1795). Acting in his role as "District Surveyor," he was involved with surveying these grants in mid to late 1792, and into 1793 (information concerning these grants is filed at the Tennessee State Library and Archives, but original copies, which include the surveyor's maps, were obtained from North Carolina's Department of State).
Williams was also speculating in other lands at this time, such as a 640 acre tract (North Carolina Grant No. 2275), surveyed for him November 25, 1792. This was located in the west portion of Sumner County "about three miles from Bledsoe's Lick."

1793

Perhaps the most important of the early land grants in the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area is Simon Woodard's 1784 North Carolina preemption (No. 416), which was not issued until June 27, 1793. This 640 acre tract covered the east end of what is now Smith Bend. It was surveyed on June 23, 1793, and signed by Sampson Williams, District Surveyor and Daniel Smith, Surveyor. The survey notes are as follow:

I have surveyed for Simon Woodard a Preemption of Six Hundred & Forty acres of Land lying in Sumner County formerly Davidson County on the north Side of Cumberland River opposite the big Salt Lick in Said River, Beginning about one Hundred yards below said Lick at a Sugartree white Oak & Beech on the bank of said River running up according to its Several Meanders five Hundred and four poles to a Beech & poplar near the Lower End of the first Bluff above the present Crossing thence West four Hundred & thirty Six poles to three Beeches Thence South three Hundred and Twenty poles to a stake thence East Seventy Seven & a half poles the beginning

It is important to note that this grant, as surveyed by Williams, seems to have included the west landing of the "present Crossing" of the Cumberland River.

Though Sampson Williams eventually owned half of the Simon Woodard grant (the portion shown in Figure 5), the earliest property in the area to be officially his was an adjoining 165 acre tract (North Carolina Grant No. 1629). This was originally awarded to Samuel Johnson, but came into Williams possession and was surveyed for him in October, 1792. It was issued in his name February 23, 1793. The tract is described as:

... lying in the aforesaid County of Sumner the North side of Cumberland River, Beginning at three Beeches on the River bank upper corner to Simon Woodards Preemption running South Ninety Six poles to a Beech in S. Woodards Line thence west one Hundred and ninety four poles to a Stake thence North one Hundred and ninety two poles to an ash the River Bluff thence the river according to its various meanders to the Beginning - Surveyed by [?] Armstrong [also signed by S. Williams as District Surveyor].
Figure 5. The Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area showing approximate boundaries of three land tracts belonging to Sampson Williams by 1795.
While this 185 acre tract seems to have been intended to adjoin the north edge of the Simon Woodard grant, the actual calls for the two grants seem to cause them to overlap (Figure 5). This may not have mattered, or may have somehow been adjusted, once Sampson Williams also became the owner of the east half of the Woodard grant.

North Carolina Grant No. 1647, located across the river from Simon Woodard’s grant, was originally assigned to Spencer Mayfield (or Rayfield), but it appears to have been signed over to Williams on August 31, 1788. It was officially issued to Sampson Williams on April 27, 1793, but it had been surveyed the previous July 13, 1792, by (?) Armstrong (Surveyor), also signed by Sampson Williams (District Surveyor). Armstrong’s notes include the following:

...I have Surveyed for Sampson Williams assignee of Spencer Mayfield Two hundred and twenty eight acres of Land situate on the South Side of Cumberland River Beginning a small distance below the upper crossing of the River the way the Road now goes from Bledsoe’s Lick to Holston, at a Honey locust and Buck, thence running up the river with its various meanders Two hundred and seventy four poles to a Buck and Ash at [Captain Samuel] Parkers Corner, thence East four hundred and thirty six poles, to a Stake, thence South one hundred twenty five poles, to a Stake thence West one hundred and eighty two poles to the Beginning

This tract was later the subject of a claims dispute between Sampson Williams and Thomas Perkins, and its boundaries are additionally defined in Sumner County (SCLR) and Tennessee Supreme Court (SC, pp. 1-2) records. The Supreme Court document states that this tract was the location of Williams’ ferry landing (probably beginning with the ferry he was licensed to operate in 1791).

While there is evidence (see below) to suggest that a guard post was established at the Crossing of the Cumberland as ordered by Governor Blount in early 1782, it seems (based on official correspondence for the period) not to have been in use again until the fall of 1793. Until that time, Sampson Williams was probably still living in the Nashville area, as he was appointed to another term (June, 1793 to July, 1794) as Sheriff of Davidson County (Carter 1936: 456-457).

A March 20, 1793 letter from the Secretary of War to President Washington refers to a Dr. Williamson’s suggestions concerning certain posts that should be established in the Western Country.

The post which he mentions at Cumberland River would properly come under the cognizance of Governor Blount in whose territory it is.... If he should
think proper to establish it, he may do it either with Militia or regular troops ... one Company of which is under his orders (Carter 1936: 243).

The company of federal troops mentioned had arrived in Knoxville on February 27 (RG, March 3, 1793). On May 14, the Secretary of War, in a letter to Governor Blount concerning problems of defense of the Mero District, stated that:

Doctor Williamson has repeatedly spoken of the danger of the communication between Washington and Miro district, and that it would be obviated in a degree by a small post at the crossing of Cumberland river - This however, and all other points of defense must be referred to your judgment (Carter 1936: 257).

During a period of concern about a possible Indian attack on the Mero District, Governor Blount wrote to Daniel Smith (June 17, 1793), in that district, stating:

Had you not best postpone the sending of any part of Kerr’s company to the Passing of Cumberland until the Time advised for the Guard to meet the families and then if nothing is done with Kerr let him and his Irishmen make a part of it - I do not see how any of the Arms can be safely got to Cumberland (Carter 1936: 274).

Apparently this Kerr was the commander of the one company of Federal regulars referred to in the Secretary of War’s letter of March 20. According to Carter (1936: 283) this was Joseph Kerr, Captain 1st U. S. Infantry. His company is mentioned again in a letter written July 19 by Daniel Smith to the Secretary of War.

...I also wrote to Gen. Robertson to cause a contract to be made for supplying part of Capt. Kerr’s company with rations at the Crossing of the Cumberland intending to send them there in October next - At that time tis expected a number of families will remove to Cumberland to whose safety these Regulars may contribute - they will be aided I believe by a small Militia guard from Miro District (Carter 1936: 283).

Though no subsequent mention of Captain Kerr’s company has been found, there is a November 27, 1793, bill from Jason Thompson to the federal military for "boating men & horses across the Cumberland at the Salt Lick" (RG94QM, Box 109) [Captain Kerr resigned on November 12, 1793, and his roll was soon being filled by William Rickard (3rd Regiment of Infantry, in Knoxville) who was promoted to Captain on January 30, 1794 (Heitman 1903: 594 and 829)]. The ferry bill is signed by Sampson Williams as attorney for Jason Thompson. Thompson later sued Williams concerning a tract of land near
the "Great Salt Lick" (Work’s Project Administration 1938: 174), and he is one of the militia soldiers listed below.

On October 19, 1793, Governor Blount issued the following instructions to Militia General James Robertson:

For the better security of the Frontier, you will order out twenty Infantry to perform a three months tour of duty at a Blockhouse on Cumberland River, near the great Salt Lick under the command of Lieut. Sampson Williams, to whom a Lieutenant’s commission is given for that special purpose (Garrett 1898a: 76).

According to a notice concerning pay due the Territorial Militia, Williams was on active duty with the militia from November 5, 1793 to February 5, 1794 (KG, May 22, 1795). The Service Records for Volunteer Soldiers (M905, Roll 32) confirms that his "detachment" was "at the Block House on Cumberland River" during this same period. Instead of 20 men, however, the service records indicate only 7:

from 11/5/1793 to 2/5/1794
Lt. Sampson Williams 3 mos. @ $26 mo.
Corp. Edmond Jennings 3 mos. @ $5 mo.
Pvt. Isaac Crow 3 mos. @ $3 mo.
Pvt. Jacob Crow 3 mos. @ $3 mo.
Pvt. Jason Thompson 3 mos. @ $3 mo.
Pvt. David White 3 mos. @ $3 mo.
Pvt. Thomas Wilcox 3 mos. @ $3 mo.

In connection with the late 1793 stationing of militia troops, and probably at least a few federal regulars, at the Crossing of the Cumberland, the references to a "Blockhouse" are quite specific and indicate that this type of defensive structure was already in place. This strongly implies that a post had been established in 1792, and as discussed above, it seems most likely that it was on the east side of the river.

Early 1794

As indicated by the above cited service record for Lieutenant Sampson Williams’ detachment, the blockhouse post at the Crossing of the Cumberland remained in use by the militia at least through February 5, 1794. Shortly thereafter, various factors made it desirable to replace it with a larger post.

Fort Blount Period

1794

Official correspondence for 1794 clearly defines the establishment of a new military post at the Crossing of the
Cumberland. This seems to have been something larger in scale than the previous blockhouse post and led to the adoption of the name "Fort Blount." Relevant correspondence begins with an April 11 report to the President (Carter 1936: 337), in which the Secretary of War addresses the problem of defense of the Mero District. The district was under considerable threat of Indian attack at this time. Citing a lack of availability of regular troops, he states that Governor Blount should be allowed to call into service until December 1 portions of the militia, and suggests:

A post and garrison to be established at the Ford at the Crossing of Cumberland River of 1 Subaltern 2 Sergeants 2 Corporals and 26 Privates

Governor Blount was given the authority to carry out this recommendation on April 14, 1794 (Carter 1936: 339). On July 28, he wrote to General James Robertson stating in part:

After much difficulty, Major Beard marches today with men to establish the post at the Cumberland River. I hope you will have address enough to induce Volunteers to join him to make up his number (Garrett 1898b: 354-355).

The person mentioned was Hugh Beard, who was several times placed in charge of companies of mounted infantry from the Washington and Hamilton Districts in what was later East Tennessee. His companies had previously been on duty in the Mero District, in the fall of 1792 and the spring of 1793 (KG, October 20, 1792 and April 18, 1793; Haywood 1891: 346, 382-383). The Compiled Service Records of Volunteer Soldiers (M905, Roll 29) identifies him as Lieutenant Hugh Beard and shows that he was in charge of one of three detachments from Hamilton District that were called into service May to July of 1794 and stationed for a few months at "the post on the Cumberland River." The other two of these detachments were under the command of Corporal John Smith (beginning May 24, 1794) and Sergeant Samuel McClellan (beginning July 26, 1794), and one of their stated duties was "to establish a post on Cumberland River." By examining all of the individual service records on Rolls 28-51 of M905, it was possible to develop a list of most of the men who served in these three detachments. This is presented as Table 1.

The Sergeant Evans listed on Table 1 was one of 8 to 10 men left with Hugh Beard's detachment when a Knoxville mounted infantry company commanded by a Captain Evans was ordered to Mero District. This is noted in a September 8, 1794, letter by Governor Blount, which directed that these men were to be left with "Major Beard commanding in the character of Lieutenant at the Post on Cumberland River" (JRP, Box 1, Folder 10). The next month (JRP, Box 1, Folder 10, October 1, 1794), Governor Blount again wrote to Captain Evans telling him to put Sergeant Joseph Evans in command of a small group of mounted infantry "to patrol from Beards's blockhouse to
TABLE 1. MEMBERS OF JOHN SMITH’S, SAMUEL MCCLELLAN’S, AND HUGH BEARD’S MILITIA DETACHMENTS IN 1794.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corp. John Smith</td>
<td>[detachment commander 5/24 to 9/27, 1794]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Allen Burk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Solomon Blaier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Francis Crab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Findleston</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Samuel Gibson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Burke Luallen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgt. Samuel McClellan</td>
<td>[detachment commander 7/26 to 9/8, 1794]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Arbuthnot</td>
<td>&quot;left on command at Cumberland Ford&quot; *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Cowan</td>
<td>&quot;left on command at Cumberland Ford&quot; *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Cox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Hubbard</td>
<td>&quot;left on command at Cumberland Ford&quot; *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Johnson</td>
<td>&quot;left on command at Cumberland Ford&quot; *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Williams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Hugh Beard</td>
<td>[detachment commander 7/26 to 10/25, 1794]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergt. Joseph Evans</td>
<td>&quot;joined Capt. Evans Company 9/13/1794&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. John Beard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. Thomas Smith</td>
<td>[listed only on muster roll of 10/25/1794]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Barley</td>
<td>&quot;killed at Cumberland Ford by Indians&quot; 9/3/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Bladsaw</td>
<td>[Bledsoe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Farrol (Ferrell)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Fowler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Patrick Greenan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Hamilton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Harden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Hathorn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Obediah Hogg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Hogg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Holland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Blackstone Howard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Isbill Hickman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Jones</td>
<td>&quot;deserted 7/26/1794&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Benjamin Lloyd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Henry Morris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Morris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Mussen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Pallet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Penny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. David Rainey</td>
<td>[listed only on muster roll of 10/25/1794]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Elijah Reed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Stewart</td>
<td>[listed only on muster roll of 10/25/1794]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. David White</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* these men were left with Corporal Smith’s detachment from September 8 to September 27
Colonel Winchesters' [Cragfont near Bledsoe's Lick]." While it is possible that "Beard's blockhouse" was used in reference to the previously constructed Crossing of the Cumberland blockhouse, it seems more likely that the governor was referring to a new post that had been built by the men under Beard's command.

The soldier in Lieutenant Beard's detachment who was killed by Indians is also mentioned in an October 24, 1794, letter from Governor Blount to the Secretary of War:

... my last accounts from Mero District are as late as the 20th instant when only 1 man was killed in that District since the 16th ultimo. The person killed was Thomas Bledsoe one of the Soldiers belonging to the post at the ford of Cumberland on the 2nd instant (Carter 1936: 360).

In September of 1794, approximately 550 militia soldiers had participated in the "Nickajack Expedition" ordered by General James Robertson against the Chickamauga Cherokee towns (Caldwell 1968: 73). This caused a devastating effect on the ability of the Cherokees to carry out offensive actions in their struggle against the white settlers, and in November, following a long letter to the Secretary of War concerning the "pleasing prospects of peace with the lower Cherokee Towns" (Carter 1936: 364-367), Governor Blount sent a letter of instruction to James Robertson (November 22, 1794). This letter states that all of the active militia in the Mero District were to be discharged on the last day of December, 1794, but that:

The Post at the ford of Cumberland appears to me an essential one, and if possible under the order of the Secretary, I wish it kept up by the Militia of Mero District, until the first day of April (Carter 1936: 372).

Unfortunately, nothing has been found to clearly indicate what type of post was constructed in the summer of 1794. It seems obvious that it must have been a facility large enough to accommodate at least 40 to 50 soldiers.

It is also not clear to what extent, if any, Sampson Williams was involved with the establishment of this new post. On June 13, 1794, he was appointed Justice of the Peace for Davidson County (Carter 1936: 460). This seems to have been in lieu of reappointment as sheriff. Williams also spent a good portion of his time traveling between Nashville and Knoxville delivering mail or government dispatches, as well as escorting travelers, both whites and Indians (Garrett 1899c: 267). His abilities in this area were highly respected. In the fall of 1794, an individual wishing to travel from Knoxville to Nashville, who had missed the group that he planned to travel with, inquired of Governor Blount if it would be safe to travel the wilderness alone. Governor Blount...
knowing that Williams was in Knoxville and about to return to
the Mero District, is reported to have responded "Sampson
Williams is here and he is as good as twenty common men"

In September of 1794, the General Assembly of the
Southwest Territory had passed "An Act to authorize the
raising a fund, for the purpose of discharging the cost of
cutting and clearing a wagon road from South West Point to the
Settlements on Cumberland River, in Mero district, by lottery"
(Jenkins 1949a: 93). Managers were appointed and 3,000
tickets were to be sold. To the east, this improved version
of the Cumberland Road was to follow a more southerly route
than the 1788 trace, but it would still cross the Cumberland
River at the same place (Abernathy 1967: 156).

1795

During the early part of this year preparations continued
for financing the "Cumberland Road" by lottery. One of the
persons in the Mero District selling the lottery tickets was
"Captain" Sampson Williams (Jenkins 1949b: 15-16; KG, May 22,
1795). It was publicly announced that on the following
October 20:

...the annual escort through the wilderness, for
families, will leave the block houses at South West
Point, for Bledsoe's Lick ... At the same time and
place, the contractor for cutting a wagon road thro
the said wilderness, means to be in readiness to
commence the opening of it, and to proceed with
sufficient clerity for the families to take through
their wagons and baggage to the Cumberland
settlements in safety (KG, May 22, 1795)

The post at the Crossing of the Cumberland was still
garrisoned. On February 27, 1795, Governor Blount wrote to
General Robertson that:

The Post at the ford of Cumberland as permitted by
the order of the Secretary [of War] of the 14th
April appears not only essential to the Safety of
the travellers but also a good advance Post for the
Protection of the Frontiers, you will therefore have
it kept up with Militia as permitted by the order
during the present year unless you should receive an
order to the contrary (Garrett 1899b: 175-176).

The militia troops stationed here were mentioned in
Governor Blount's May 4, 1795, letter to General Robertson
(Garrett 1899c: 261), and a May newspaper report (KG, May 8,
1795) states that a soldier named John Wirow was killed by
Indians on April 6, 1795, while "on duty at the ford of
Cumberland River, two miles from the blockhouse." Only a few
days earlier, John Wirow had been one of the militia soldiers
mustered in the company of Lieutenant William Gillespie. An
examination of the collected manuscripts on National Archives Microcopy 904 (Roll 4, pp. 243, 251, 272, 298, 301, 341, 349, 358, 360, 364, and 367) established the members of Lieutenant Gillespie's company during 1795, as shown in Table 2. For each of the three muster dates shown in Table 2, most of these men were stationed at the post that was becoming known as "Fort Blount."

Interestingly, however, not all of these men were actually in service, as indicated by a letter filed in the Post Revolutionary War Manuscripts (M904, Roll 4, p. 172-173). John Cotton, listed as a Private and later as a Corporal (Table 2) in Lieutenant Gillespie’s company, stated in this September 26, 1797, letter that he was never even in the militia, but that two men:

... came to me as they said by Capt. Gillespie's request that I should give a power of attorney for services as by a written discharge sent to me which I did, not knowing the consequences. The two young men who came to me, made assurances it would be of no harm for me to give a power, that 50 men was allowed to be at Ft. Blount, & they was desirous to draw the pay, for as many as was allowed at that fort, that the pay drawn for those that did no duty might be divided between the Captain & those that did duty at Ft. Blount. I am ready also to assert that I was wounded by the fall from a horse & ... have been excused from military duty.

The full extent of this padding of the Gillespie company muster rolls is unknown, but one soldier who was certainly present at Fort Blount was Corporal Joseph Brown (Table 2). Brown's life story is one of the more interesting ones recorded for the frontier period. When he was a young boy, his family had attempted to travel by river from the Knoxville area to Nashville. They were taken prisoner by Chickamauga Cherokee warriors, and Brown's father and brothers were killed, his mother and sisters taken away. Brown was held captive for many months and several times threatened with death. He was finally sent back to the whites in exchange for some Indian prisoners. In 1794 he returned to the Lower Cherokee towns as one of the leaders of the "Nickajack Expedition." This was said to have fulfilled the prophecy of an old Indian woman who had told his captors that he was old enough to remember the murder of his father and brothers and would someday return with an army to destroy them (Miller 1973; Caldwell 1968: 73). In recounting this period of his life, Brown discussed the "Nickajack Expedition" then noted that "The next year [1795] I was engaged as a spy and guard at Ft. Blount for twelve months" (Brown 1852: 77).

The earliest known use of the term "Fort Blount" is in a July 13, 1795, letter from Governor Blount to General James Robertson:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>4/1/95-6/30/95</th>
<th>7/1/95-9/30/95</th>
<th>10/1/95-12/31/95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt. William Gillespie</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergt. John Dawson</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. Joseph Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Allison</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Samuel Assleek</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. David Beard</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Blakemore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Burgess</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Canady</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Cooper</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Cotton</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$21.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Lazarus Cotton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Hugh Crawford</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Zachariah Dalton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Dawson</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Dobbins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Dougan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Elmore Douglas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Rueben Douglas</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Egnew</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. David Gillespie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Zachariah Green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Hall</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Harp</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Elijah Hays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Hays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Holmes</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Latherdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Latherdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Charles Latimore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Latimore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Latimore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Latimore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Lauderdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Peter Luna (Looney)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Luna (Looney)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>4/1/95-</td>
<td>7/1/95-</td>
<td>10/1/95-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William McAdams</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Spencer Mercer</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Berry Nolen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Penny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Roberts</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Hugh Rogan</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Scoby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Isaac Sevet (?)</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Turney</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Jesse Ward</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Wirow</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of men: 32 23 26
You will reduce the number of Militia stationed at the crossing of the Cumberland, called as I have been informed, Fort Blount, to twenty-five noncommissioned and privates and continue the command in Lieutenant Gallaspie [Gillespie] (Garrett 1838b: 377).

Governor Blount's order may be reflected in the reduction in number of soldiers in Lieutenant Gillespie's company after July, 1795 (Table 2).

While stationed at Fort Blount, William Gillespie was involved with local activities other than the militia. At the beginning of the year (January 6, 1795) he had been authorized by the County Court of Sumner County to keep a ferry and charge 25 cents for each horse and rider (SCM, Vol. II, p. 82). On October 8, 1795, he was allowed:

...to demand at his ferry on Cumberland River at Fort Blount as follows vize, $1 for wagon & team, 75 cents for cart & team, 12 1/2 cents for veal cattle, 4 cents per head for all other kind of stock; This order to continue in force until next term & no longer (SCM, Vol. II, p. 97).

The prices charged at Fort Blount for use of the ferry and for provisions were considered too high by at least one traveler, who wrote to Andrew Jackson on September 2, 1795:

I set out from [Knoxville] about the 20th. of next month. Cant somebody be at fort Blount with corn to sell at less than a dollar a Bushel and Cant the Court of the County rate the ferry at less than 2/- pray my friend inquiere into a regulate this imposition (Smith and Owsley 1980: 72).

By October, relations with the Indians had improved such that Governor Blount informed the public that immigrants to the Nashville area settlements could now "pass the wilderness from South West Point to Bledsoe's lick, without a military escort, without the least apprehension of injuries at the hands of the Cherokees or Creeks" (KG, October 23, 1795). This same issue of the Knoxville Gazette also carried the following notice:

From the 20th inst. to the first of December Emigrants to Meri District will be furnished at the Crossing of the Cumberland, now called FORT BLOUNT with the following articles at the several prices thereto affixed.

Indian corn, at 4 lb per bushel, Virginia money Meal, of Beef three pence per pound Ferriages at one shilling for man and horse

October 4, 1795
According to a December 26, 1795, letter from the Cherokee Indian Agent, Silas Dinsmoor, to General Robertson, Cherokees sometimes came to this location to trade skins, meat, and oil for other goods (Garrett 1899a: 81).

During 1795, Sampson Williams continued to be involved with work on the Knoxville to Nashville road and to make frequent trips along this road carrying dispatches and escorting travelers and Indian prisoners. Much of this activity was carried out for the territorial government, and his expenses for such work were paid by the Military Agent, David Henley (DHP, November 17, 1795; RG94QM, Box 79 and 84).

On December 19, 1795, Sampson Williams (identified as a Deputy Sheriff of Sumner County) obtained the east half of the grant originally made to Simon Woodard (see 1784, 1793, and Figure 5)). The entire transaction was rather complicated: Amos Heaton had been awarded Woodard's grant in settlement of a debt; meanwhile Heaton died leaving the property to his heirs; and in December, William Cage, Sheriff of Sumner County, was empowered to sell the tract for the heirs (Sumner County Will Book 2, p. 13; Sumner County Deed Book 1, p. 190). Williams purchased 320 acres for $200 described as:

... near the Great Salt Lick being 1/2 of a tract originally granted to Simon Woodard, Butted and bounded as follows. Beginning at a poplar & beech near the lower end of the 1st bluff above the present crossing on the bank of the river running down according to its several meanders 420 poles to a stake thence North 288 poles to a stake thence east 286 poles to the beginning as more fully appears by the grant bearing the date June 27, 1793 ... (Sumner County Deed Book 1, p. 191).

While Sampson Williams had spent a considerable amount of time in the area before this purchase, it appears from the court case concerning his tract on the south side of the river (SC, p. 2) that he was only now becoming an actual resident.

1796

On January 6, 1796, the County Court of Sumner County ordered that:

... the Tavern & Ferry at [Fort] Blount be rated as follows vize, Dinner 25 cents, Breakfast or Supper 18 3/4 cents, Brandy pr 1/2 pint 25 cents, whiskey pr 1/2 pint 12 1/2 cents, ferriage for man & horse 12 1/2 cents, " for wagon & 4 horses $1, " for cart & horse 50 cents, " for a single person or horse 4 cents, " pack horses & load 12 1/2 cents, corn per quart 4 cents, oats per quart 3 cents (SCM, Vol. II, p. 99).
This is the first mention of a non-military building (a tavern) at this place. The same court order set rates that were considerably lower for all other taverns and ferries in Sumner County.

On March 1, the French botanist Andre Michaux, traveling from Nashville to the east, arrived at "Fort Blount situated on the Cumberland River." The next day he recorded in his journal that he:

... remained over in order to pull young Shoots of a new Sophora I had remarked in the vicinity of Fleen's [Flynn's] creek about 12 Miles from the Fort. Snow covered the ground and I was unable to get any young Shoots but Captain Williams, the young [officer] stationed in the Fort out down some trees and I found some good seeds (Williams 1928: 340).

Michaux was the first of several travelers who left accounts of their visits to Fort Blount. Unfortunately, none of them ever clearly described the post or even clearly stated on which side of the river the fort was located. The "Captain" Williams mentioned by Michaux was probably Oliver Williams, who was Sampson Williams' brother (Clayton 1880: 370A and JOP, Box 8, Folder 8, May 18, 1817). He was in charge of the militia company stationed at Fort Blount (RG94QM, Box 80) during most of 1796 and early 1797. The structure of this company has been determined from the Post Revolutionary War Manuscripts (M904, Roll 4: pp. 241, 263, 272, 341, 344, 347, 355, 356, 360, 361, 364, and 366). This information is presented in Table 3. Wright Williams, another of Sampson Williams' brothers (JOP, Box 8, Folder 8, September 5, 1800), was a sergeant in this company during most of this period.

On April 5, 1796, the Sumner County Court reaffirmed the rate structure for the ferry at Fort Blount and granted the ferry privilege to Sampson Williams for one year (SCM, Vol II, p. 102). It is obvious that Sampson Williams and his brothers were now the persons most in control of Fort Blount and its immediate surroundings.

The numbers of men indicated in Table 3 are more or less in agreement with comments made by another early traveler. On May 22, 1796, Thomas Dillon wrote that he had visited Tellico Blockhouse, Southwest Point, and Fort Grainger, in the east part of the Territory, and:

... Fort Blount on the Cumberland River. At the respective forts are stationed about 15 men, altho not under regular discipline or subordination as might be expected, many of them being commonly absent, from which a body might be apt to infer that supporting the Garisons were useless & unnecessary; but the fact is otherwise; I think them highly necessary and very proper bariers between the whites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>5/1/96-6/30/96</th>
<th>7/1/96-8/31/96</th>
<th>9/1/96-10/31/96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Oliver Williams</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergt. Wright Williams</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. Edmund Jennings</td>
<td>$14.66</td>
<td>$14.66</td>
<td>$14.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. Curtis Morris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Mathew Anderson</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Uriah Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Barton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Isaac Caldwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Timothy Chandler</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Jerimiah Chapman</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Bristow Clark</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Clark</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Vachart Clark</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Francis Colby</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Desha</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joel Roberts</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. George Farnaman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Fletcher</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Booker Greer</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Greer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Jeremia Hendrick</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Obediah Hendrick</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Elijah Hitchcock</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Henry Hudderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Johnston</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. David McCartney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John McCrory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Zachariah Mangum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Mathews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Miser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Clayborn Morgan</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Morris</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Curtis Morris</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Booker Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Edward Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Soby Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Willeroy Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Richards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Richardson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Roberts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Samuel Sparks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Sparks</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Stuart</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Henry Turner</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of men: 19 19 16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>11/1/96-12/31/96</th>
<th>1/197-3/31/97</th>
<th>4/1/97-6/21/97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Oliver Williams</td>
<td>$52.00</td>
<td>$78.00</td>
<td>$70.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergt. Wright Williams</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$21.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. Edmund Jennings</td>
<td>$14.66</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corp. Curtis Morris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Mathew Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Uriah Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Barton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Isaac Caldwell</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Henry Chambers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Timothy Chandler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Bristow Clark</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Clark</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Vachart Clark</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Francis Colby</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Desha</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joel Echols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. George Fammerman</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Fletcher</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Booker Greer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Greer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Jeremiah Hendrick</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Hendrick</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Obediah Hendrick</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Elijah Hitchcock</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Henry Hudderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Johnston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Jones</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. David McCartney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John McCrory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Zachariah Mangum</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Martin</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Joseph Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Richard Mathews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Clayborn Morgan</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Morinan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Curtis Morris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Booker Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Edward Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Soby Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Willeroy Pate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Richards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. John Richardson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. James Roberts</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td>$19.98</td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Samuel Sparks</td>
<td>$13.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Sparks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Robert Stuart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Thomas Thomson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. William Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvt. Henry Turner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of men: 19 20 21
Indians. These Garisons are very ill supplied with provisions, owing in some measure to the economical ideas of Col. Henley, the agent of Indian affairs at Knoxville (Williams 1928: 360).

Tennessee became a separate state on June 1, 1796, with the Fort Blount area remaining in Sumner County.

On July 20, the first governor of the state of Tennessee, John Sevier, wrote to William Blount (now U. S. Senator Blount) that:

The several posts, block houses & c. supported by Militia in the time of my predecessor will soon be unoccupied, as the time expressed in his last order will soon expire, and being few regulars left in Rickard's company, I shall be under the indispensable necessity of a renewal of similar orders to supply these places, unless I am informed the President's pleasure on the subject (Williams 1929: 113-114).

As indicated by Table 3, one of the posts that was continued by Governor Sevier was Fort Blount. Sampson Williams was also still living at Fort Blount at the close of this year and wrote to Governor Sevier (JSP, Letters Received, December 13, 1796) asking permission to take some men to Cherokee camps near the "Cumberland Road" to retrieve some stolen horses. He argued that the Indians probably would not respond to this intrusion into their territory "knowing men were kept here as a guard to the Travelers."

A surviving Sumner County tax list for 1796 (SCT, p. 171) provides some very interesting information for interpreting the location of Fort Blount. Sampson Williams was taxed on:

- 320 acres - Ft. Blount
- 185 acres - adjoining (ditto)
- 228 acres - South side of Cumberland
- 640 acres - ditto

The 320 acre portion of Simon Woodard's old grant is here clearly identified as the Fort Blount tract, while the two adjoining tracts are given other associations [the 640 acre tract was probably one that Williams purchased in 1795 on Roaring River (Murray 1988: 26)]. This seems to clearly indicate that what was called Fort Blount in 1796 was located near the river crossing on the north (or west) side of the Cumberland River.

1797

At the beginning of 1797, Oliver Williams was still in charge of military activities at Fort Blount (Table 3), while his brother Sampson continued to have various kinds of business dealings with the federal military. By January of
this year the federal government had agreed to pay for provisions for "the guard of Militia stationed at Fort Blount, until relieved by regular troops" (DHPD, John Sevier to David Henley, January 13, 1797), and the contract for supplying these troops was awarded to Sampson Williams (RG94QM, Box 82 and 83). On January 2, the Sumner County Court granted Sampson Williams the privilege of keeping an ordinary (or tavern) at this place, and he was additionally involved during this year with several projects concerned with rebuilding the road from Fort Blount to the Nashville area (SCM, Vol. II, pp. 114, 119, 130, 136, and 139).

On January 17, 1797, Governor John Sevier wrote the Secretary of War informing him that he had "continued the militia guard at fort Blount to remain there until relieved by regular troops" (Williams 1929: 129). At this time preparations were being made for sending a large force of Federal troops to Tennessee to enforced the United States' treaty agreements with the Indians (Banker 1972: 27-51).

In April, 1797, James Hennon (a doctor?) submitted a bill to the federal government for $50 for "Extracting a ball, visits, attendance, medicine & cure of Samuel Sparks [see Table 3], late a private in Capt. Oliver Williams's Militia Company at Fort Blount" (RG94QM, Box 81). This bill was forwarded to Knoxville where it was approved for payment the following year (September 24, 1798) by Edward Wright, Deputy Pay Master and David Henley, Agent for the War Department. A letter that James Hennon later wrote (Hennon to David Henley, April 23, 1798, RG94QM, Box 82) concerning this matter indicates that Private Sparks was "wounded accidentally at Ft. Blount."

In May of 1797, Governor Sevier made what was probably his only trip from Knoxville to the Cumberland settlement. On May 4 he recorded the following in his journal:


On May 5, 1797, the future King of France, Louis Philippe, and his traveling companions crossed the Clinch River at Southwest Point and began their journey across the "Wilderness" (the Cherokee territory that separated East and Middle Tennessee). On May 8, the Duke recorded in his journal that they finished their descent from the Cumberland Mountains, entered the Cumberland River Valley, and:

Three miles from the Cumberland River we came to the house of a man called Anderson, but he had nothing for our horses, so we pushed on as far as the river, where we hoped for better luck. We crossed it on a ferry by old Fort Blount, which they
say is to be rebuilt. There was nothing for our horses but a gallon of corn, which we obtained after many urgent requests. To restore us from the hungers and fatigues of the desert they gave us cornbread, a little milk, and fatback of bear, salted and smoked, which we found impossible to swallow, hungry or no. Beyond Fort Blount we found large cultivated areas, more and more of them as we pushed on (Philippe 1977: 106).

On May 10, 1797, Sampson Williams (who was already sometimes referred to as "Captain" Williams) was made a Captain in the Tennessee Militia, Regiment of Sumner County (McBride and Robinson 1975: 797). He was again placed in charge of the militia at Fort Blount, but not for very long.

On June 8, Governor Sevier wrote to militia General James Winchester that:

By instructions received from the agent of the department of war, I am under the necessity of discharging the guard stationed at Fort Blount. I would much rather [have] continued them longer had it been in my power. I expect a guard for that place will be shortly supplied with regular troops (JSP, Outgoing Correspondence, 6/8/1797).

On the same date, Governor Sevier sent the same basic information to Captain Sampson Williams at Fort Blount, saying that he was:

... sorry that I had it not in my power to continue your guard longer as I much wished to do. I expect that there will be a guard of regulars shortly placed at Fort Blount (JSP, Outgoing Correspondence, 6/8/1797).

The Military Agent for the War Department, mentioned in Sevier's letter to Winchester, was Colonel David Henley, headquartered in Knoxville. Based on an "Abstract of Payments made by David Henley" (RG94QM, Box 109), it is clear that some Federal troops were placed at Fort Blount at this time. This document contains an entry for $24.50 paid Sampson Williams "For furnishing Provisions to a detachment of Captn. William Rickard's Company at Fort Blount from the 23rd June to 6th July, 1797." As previously noted, Captain William Rickard was the commander of a company of the 3rd Regiment of Infantry stationed in Knoxville. Apparently in preparation for this same relocation of Federal regulars from Knoxville to Fort Blount, a Thomas Hicks was paid on July 12, 1797, "for making a pack saddle for the guard going to Fort Blount" (RG94QM, Box 111).

During the summer of 1797 (Banker 1972: 43), the major portion of a large body of Federal soldiers, principally companies of the 4th Regiment of Infantry, under the command
of Lieutenant Colonel Thomas S. Butler, arrived in Nashville, then traveled overland to East Tennessee, where they were soon stationed at Knoxville, Fort Southwest Point, Tellico Blockhouse, and some other posts. Based on two letters (June 24 and 27, 1797, RG94QM, Box 82) that Lieutenant Colonel Butler wrote to Colonel David Henley, while enroute from Nashville to Knoxville, these troops probably reached Fort Blount by the end of June. The length of their stay at Fort Blount is not certain, but the entire command was probably there only a few days. However, when the main body of these troops moved on to the Knoxville area, a detachment of at least 26 men (and probably Captain Rickard's detachment of perhaps 6 men) was left at Fort Blount. Colonel Butler's troops were mustered on August 8, 1797, at a camp near Knoxville, and it is from these muster rolls (RG94MR, Box 64 and 66) that the men of the 4th Regiment who were left "sick" or "on command" at Fort Blount have been determined (Table 4).

At least one other of Captain Joseph Brock's men was sent to Fort Blount, apparently from Knoxville, around the first of July, 1797, but this may have only been for the purpose of returning to his company. He is identified in an abstract of payments for clothing made by David Henley. The payment was to a Knoxville tailor for "making Shirt & Overalls for Wm. Briant, Captn. Joseph Brocks Waiter when going on Command to Fort Blount" (RG94QM, Box 83).

The soldiers left at Fort Blount were not an entirely orderly bunch. This is indicated in a September 6, 1797, letter from Sampson Williams to Colonel Henley (RG94QM, Box 82), which concerns some long overdue ration returns from William's militia service. Williams added these comments:

You may inform Colo Butler the Commissaries stores and his Boats are not as well taken care of as they might be last night the Sergeant [the John Drew on Table 4?] was drunk and lay out part of the night and the Soldiers were in the Store House when they had business or thought proper.

As noted above, a detachment of men from the 3rd Regiment of Infantry in Knoxville was sent to Fort Blount as early as June 23, 1797. The actual number or names of these men is not certain (unless they were the same men still present in early 1798), but by September, Samuel R. Davidson, a Lieutenant in the 3rd Regiment, had also been sent to Fort Blount.

During the first half of 1797, Lieutenant Davidson had served as "Issuing Commissary" for the 3rd Regiment troops constructing a new Fort Southwest Point in East Tennessee (RG94QM, Box 81 and 112). He made a trip to Philadelphia, and upon his return to Knoxville was immediately ordered to Fort Blount, arriving there on September 8, 1797. He wrote at least two letters to Colonel Henley (September 25 and November 29, 1797, RG94QM, Box 82) that are headed Fort Blount. In the first he describes a difficult journey to Fort Blount and
TABLE 4. FEDERAL SOLDIERS AT FORT BLOUNT DURING 1797 AND 1798.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Commander and Muster Period</th>
<th>Soldiers</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
requests that his trunk be given to Captain Wade, at Southwest Point. He comments rather forlornly "I have no news - feel myself a little lonesome but it will not do for a Soldier to complain." In the November letter he notes that "I expect in a short time to be ordered to descend the Cumberland to join my company." He wished to receive his pay and subsistence so that he could settle some debts he owed in Knoxville before leaving the state, but he was unsure how to prepare his account "as there is no Magistrate here before whom I may acknowledge a power of Attorney."

At least some of the federal soldiers stationed at Fort Blount may have served as an escort for the commissioners who were surveying the boundary lines of the Cherokee Nation during 1797. One of the commissioners, James Winchester, requested Lieutenant Colonel Butler to provide ten soldiers to meet the survey party at Fort Blount on October 10 (Durham 1979: 67).

From the available muster rolls in Record Group 94, it appears that most, if not all, of the men of the 4th Regiment of Infantry had rejoined their companies in the Knoxville area by the end of 1797. Presumably only Lieutenant Davidson and a few other soldiers of the 3rd Regiment were still at Fort Blount at the end of this year.

1798

The primary record found for Federal troops at Fort Blount in 1798 is the January muster roll for Captain William Rickard's company of the 3rd Regiment of Infantry. The main company had been moved to Fort Adams on the Mississippi River, but seven of the men were listed as "on command at Fort Blount" (Table 4).

There is one other Federal record concerning Fort Blount that is dated a little later, but it is difficult to interpret. It is contained in an "Abstract of Payments" (RG94QM, Box 85) made by David Henley from January 3, 1797, to June 28, 1798. The entry is for February 17, 1798, for the payment of $24.91 to Lieutenant Samuel R. Davidson "for his expenses coming from Fort Blount to Knoxville to settle his public Accounts, while at Knoxville & returning to Ft. Blount." Unless the date recorded for this payment was after the fact, it probably means that Lieutenant Davidson and Captain Rickard's detachment were still at Fort Blount in February. There is nothing to indicate that the Federal garrison lasted much longer, though the fort may have continued to stand for at least another year.

Sometime during the previous year the road from Knoxville to Nashville had been designated a Federal Post Office route (Abernathy 1967: 158). During 1798, this road, passing whatever remained of Fort Blount, continued to be the
state's main east-west route.

Sampson Williams remained as the best known resident of the Fort Blount area, and continued to head all of his correspondence as from "Fort Blount" (RG94QM, Box 82). For 1798, he was again granted the privilege of operating the ferry and was given a license "to keep an ordinary at his dwelling at Fort Blount." He also continued to be involved with planning a new road from Fort Blount to Nashville (SCM, Vol. II, pp. 142-143), and by September of this year was promoting the idea of establishing some posts or stations for travelers along the Cumberland Road (Williams to Henley, 9/14/1798, RG94QM, Box 82). Eventually an agreement that permitted these establishments was made with the Cherokees.

1799

There is little to suggest any continued military use of Fort Blount by the beginning of 1799. The deposition of James Armstrong (RG94QM, Box 80), discussed below, states that he went to Fort Blount in the spring of 1799 to get help in dealing with the theft of a horse, but the persons who rendered this help are not identified and Fort Blount is not described. By the fall of 1799, there is clear evidence that the Fort Blount garrison no longer existed.

During 1799 an improved postal route was constructed from Knoxville to Nashville. William Walton was employed to build this road, which left the old Fort Blount road near Algood, crossed the Cumberland River farther south at Carthage, and rejoined the old road at Dixon Springs. Travelers now had the option of going by either route, but the latter would eventually supplant the former (Abernathy 1967: 158).

The new road was in use by November 25, 1799, when the Brethren Abraham Steiner and Frederick C. De Schweinitz made their journey from East to Middle Tennessee. The journal of their trip states that:

On the last mountain there is a parting of the ways. The road to the left goes to Caney Fork [River] and the one to the right to Fort Blount. We took the latter ... [and soon crossed] the Cherokee line into the Meri District of the State of Tennessee. Near at hand is the first house where a man by the name of Blackburn dwells. He and his family live, as do the Indians, by the chase, and they make use of their advantageous location to sell provisions and fodder to travellers at high prices, since usually they reach here in great need (Williams 1928: 505-506).

On November 26:

... we came down Flin's creek between two very high hills covered to the top with, high cane and tall
timber.... In this valley we had to ride through Flin's Creek fourteen times....

At noon we came to the Cumberland River, in Sumner County. It has rocky banks but is not broad. We were taken across it at Fort Blount, where during the last Indian war there had been a garrison; now there remains a roomy house. From here we continued steadily westward on a new but very muddy road and turned in, early in the evening, on account of the bad road, at a good-sized plantation belonging to the Widow Young, not far from the river (Williams 1928: 506).

The Widow Young was Sampson Williams' mother-in-law, who lived near the present day community of Pleasant Shade (Carmack Key, former Smith County Historian, personal communication, 1977). The "roomy house" remaining at Fort Blount was, presumably, Sampson Williams' home.

Near the end of 1799, the Fort Blount area became part of Smith County, which was created from part of Sumner County.

Information Concerning the Location of Fort Blount

Though no document has been found that was actually written during the Fort Blount Period and clearly describes or locates Fort Blount, several nearly contemporary items were discovered that do provide its probable location. The first is the 1796 Sumner County tax record, discussed above. This document indicates that Fort Blount was within the 320 acre tract that Sampson Williams owned at the east end of Smith Bend.

A deposition recorded for James Armstrong on August 26, 1800 in Smith County, but filed at the National Archives (RG94QM, Box 80), also places Fort Blount on the west (or north) side of the river. According to the deposition, Armstrong stated that in the spring of 1799 he had borrowed a horse from "Mr. Thomas Heaton who lives near Fort Blount," and that he turned the horse out to graze that night. The next morning he could not find the horse but did find his tracts and "also found the tract of two persons which he supposed was Indians by reason that they went across the river toward the Cherokee Nation." He further stated that:

... he went to Fort Blount in company with Mr. Heaton and got some more company and crossed the river and went down the opposite [side] ... and found where they had come out ... he and the rest of the company then took the horse tract and also the tract of one person ... and followed the trail towards the Nation eight or nine miles and could not follow it any further.
Also found were two maps that support the interpretation that Fort Blount was located near the west bank of the Cumberland River. One of these accompanies the deposition of Edmond Jennings (SCLR) taken "at the courthouse in the Town of Williamsburg" on the first Monday in April, 1812. This is part of the original lawsuit, which later became a Tennessee Supreme Court case (SC), concerning Sampson Williams' tract on the east side of the river. The map filed in Sumner County Loose Records shows this tract in relation to various natural features, with a small square on the west river bank marked "F. Blount." Another early map (circa 1814), filed in the Library of Congress (see "Other Sources Cited"), covers a much larger area, but it shows a fort symbol labeled Fort Blount at this same relative position.

The 1812 and 1814 maps are the earliest examples known that provide a location for Fort Blount, and they are assumed to be more reliable than various later maps that show Fort Blount on the east side of the river. These apparently originated with an 1880s map of the Cherokee lands by C. C. Royce (Royce 1884). As discussed above, the first post at the "Crossing of the Cumberland" probably was on the east side of the river - but not Fort Blount.

HISTORICAL DATA FOR INTERPRETING WILLIAMSBURG AND OTHER PERIODS

In addition to presenting all of the primary source data found for Fort Blount, it is also a major intent of this report to provide data for interpreting the remains of the town of Williamsburg. There were, however, periods before and after the Williamsburg period when activities occurred that must have had a direct effect on the archaeological remains existing at the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site. As in the preceding section, this one is divided into several subsections. In each of these an attempt has been made to present as much as possible of the information available that would be important for site interpretation.

Post-Fort Blount Period (1800-1806)

By the end of the eighteenth century, nothing appears to have remained of the former Fort Blount garrison, but the name Fort Blount continued to be applied to the place where Sampson Williams lived. For example, Moses Fisk, a prominent early Tennessean who often stayed with Sampson Williams, headed a number of his early nineteenth-century letters as from "Ft. Blount" (Williams 1948: 21). In one of these (Fisk to David Henley, 1/18/1800, RG94QM, Box 80) he bemoans the lack of a post office at Fort Blount. The County Court for Smith County was held at "Fort Blount" several times in 1800 and 1801 (SCMB, pp. 21, 22, and 36).
During this early 1800s period, Sampson Williams became increasingly involved with regional politics. Between 1799 and 1813, he served as a representative to three Tennessee General Assemblies (McBride and Robinson 1975: 797). He was also a major land holder in Wilson, Smith, and Jackson counties [this interpretation is based on an issue of the Tennessee Gazette (TG, November 30, 1803, p. 3), an examination of 1799-1830s Smith County deed records, and Jackson County tax records for 1802 and 1803 (the latter are Secretary of State tax records, now in the Tennessee State Library and Archives, which indicate that he owned over 6,000 acres in Jackson County in 1803)].

Frazier (1984: 43) gives April 22, 1800, as the date of establishment of a Fort Blount postoffice, and indicates that it remained in operation until the name was changed to Williamsburg in 1819. He (Frazier 1984: 455) also lists Sampson Williams as the postmaster for this office (unfortunately the original source for this information is not specified).

1800

On October 27, 1800, Bishop Francis Asbury passed through this area on his way east, but his recorded comments are limited to the notation that he:

... travelled through rich forests of beech, with rank undergrowth of cane or reed, and arrived at Ft. Blount: thence pursuing our way up Lynn- [Flynns] Creek, we took the ridge and reached Mrs. Blackburn's, where we lodged, and were well accommodated (Williams 1928: 311).

1801

Jackson County was created during this year (Jenkins 1949c: 121-122), but the Fort Blount area remained in Smith County until a change in the county line in 1803 (Roddy 1984: 18).

1802

In a May, 1802, letter (headed Fort Blount) to John Overton, Sampson Williams refers to a deed that was destroyed "when our first store burnt" (JOP, May 13, 1802). Presumably this was a store at Fort Blount.

On September 9, 1802, F. A. Michaux (the son of an earlier French botanist/traveler), accompanied by Moses Fisk, arrived at the place then called Fort Blount and recorded the following:

Fort Blount was constructed about eighteen years ago, to protect the emigrants who came at that
time to settle in Cumberland, against the attacks of
the natives, who declared a perpetual war against
them, in order to drive them out; but peace having
been concluded with them, and the population being
much increased, they have been reduced to the
impossibility of doing them further harm, and the
Fort has been destroyed. There now exists on this
spot a beautiful plantation, belonging to Captain
Sampson Williams, with whom Mr. Fisk usually
resides. During the two days that we stopped at his
house, I went in a canoe up the river Cumberland for
several miles (Thwaites 1904: 258).

1803

During 1803, Sampson Williams served as clerk of the
Smith County court (Smith and Owseley 1980: 347) and continued
to be involved with planning for the establishment of some way
stations for travelers along the "Wilderness Road" through the
Cherokee territory (Sampson Williams to Colonel Return J.
Meigs, July 6, 1803, M208, Roll 2).

1805

By the Tellico Treaty of 1805 the Cherokee lands
separating East and Middle Tennessee were ceded to the United
States, opening up the entire Upper Cumberland region for
white settlement (Banker 1972: 75-76). During this same year,
the Fort Blount area was shifted from Smith to Jackson County.

1806

The acts passed during the second session of the sixth
Tennessee General Assembly, July, 1806, included an act to
reduce the size of Jackson County and to establish a county
seat town to be called Williamsburg (Jenkins 1949d: 71).

Williamsburg Period (1807-1819)

During Jackson County's first few years, court sessions
were held at several temporary locations, but Williamsburg was
the county's first planned county seat town (McGlasson 1936a).
The absence of most of the early records for Jackson County
(only a few records survive for the period before 1872) makes
it difficult to be sure of many details concerning this town,
but its general history is apparent from several acts passed
by the Tennessee General Assembly. Williamsburg was
established in 1807 and appears to have served as the county
seat until 1819, with court sessions beginning in the new
county seat of Gainesboro by 1820. The approximate location
of Williamsburg has never been in doubt. It is shown on a
number of contemporary maps, one of them ("1st Surveyors
District," 1807-1808, Tennessee State Library and Archives) a
large map drawn about the same time that the town was established.

1807

Though the establishment of Williamsburg was approved in 1806, nothing seems to have been done until the following year (in particular the courthouse appears not to have been completed in 1806 - Acts of Tennessee, 1806: 171). According to the terms of the act that provided for its creation, commissioners were appointed to purchase 60 acres of land near the center of Jackson County, and, within one month:

cause a town to be laid off thereon, to be called and known by the name of Williamsburg ... reserving two acres as near the center thereof, as may be convenient, on which shall be erected the court house, prison, and stocks ... which two acres in the plan of said town, shall be denominated the public square (Jenkins 1949d: 71).

The commissioners were authorized to sell lots on a credit of twelve months, "giving sixty days previous notice in the Tennessee Gazette." The money from the sale of these lots was to be used to construct the courthouse, prison, and stocks. The courthouse was to contain rooms for juries and the prison "two rooms at least." If the sale of lots was not enough to pay for the 60 acres and the public buildings, then the county court was authorized to levy a tax for this purpose (Jenkins 1949d: 72).

The prescribed notice in the Tennessee Gazette was placed in that newspaper in early 1807 (TG, February 7, 1807, p. 3) as follows:

ADVERTISEMENT

The Commissioners appointed by Law to fix on a site for the erection of a courthouse, prison and stocks in Jackson County, have fixed the same at Fort Blount. The sale of the lots for said town, to commence on the second Thursday in April next, and to continue for three days, unless the whole should be sooner sold. Twelve months credit will be given to the purchasers, upon giving bond with approved security.

Thomas Draper
William Sullivan
Ferdinand Hamilton
Commissioners

A deed filed in Smith County records (Deed Book K, p. 425), for several tracts of land sold to Sampson Williams in 1823, includes the listing of seven town lots in Williamsburg "known and distinguished in the plan of said Town" by numbers 23, 49, 69, 88, 73, 77, and 121. If the town was actually no more than 60 acres in size, 121 may have been about the
maximum number of lots that it contained. A possibly similar town called Bledsoesboro, established in 1797 about 20 miles west of the Williamsburg site, also had a two-acre public square and 200 lots of one-half acre each (Roddy 1984: 4). The plan referred to in the deed was probably filed in Jackson County records, and is among numerous Jackson County documents that did not survive one or more courthouse fires. The same 1823 deed describes another tract "adjoining the South boundary of the old Town of Williamsburg" and indicates that the town grid was aligned 45 degrees west of north.

1808

The construction of a courthouse at Williamsburg was evidently carried out by 1808. A document issued on May 4, 1808, in connection with a Chancery Court case brought against Sampson Williams (CC), states that Williams was to appear before "the Justices of our next Court of Pleas and Quarter Sessions to be held for the County of Jackson at the courthouse in the Town of Williamsburg."

1811

In 1811, the Tennessee legislature passed "An Act to Regulate the town of Williamsburg, in the County of Jackson" (Acts of Tennessee 1811: 125-127). This provided for a body of "commissioners" who were to have control over the management of the town. Some of their duties included designating the town lots "by fixing a stone or stake of some lasting wood at the corner of each lot," and they had the authority "to compel all the inhabitants of said town (who are liable to work on public roads) to work on and keep in repair the streets and alleys of said town." An "overseer" was to be appointed to supervise this public work. The commissioners appointed (some reappointed) by this act were: Jacob Baker, Jonas Bedford, Henry Brooks, Nathaniel Haggard, James Raulstone, Nathaniel Ridly, James Roberts, Matthew Rogers, and Nathan Smith. It is interesting to speculate as to whether or not these same individuals actually lived in Williamsburg, but this will be difficult to prove without the county records for this period.

1816

Federal documentation concerning postmasters and post offices (DOS, 1816, p. 62) lists Sampson Williams as the 1816 postmaster for "Fort Blount or Williamsburg."

1817

In a May 1817 letter (JOP, May 18, 1817), Sampson Williams mentions one of his slaves by name, Derry.

1818

In 1817, an act (Acts of Tennessee 1817: 28) was passed
requiring the sheriff of Jackson County to hold an election the following August (1818) to determine if the voters favored moving the county seat from Williamsburg to the center of the county. According to McGlasson (1936a), this had been proposed as early as 1815, but was not voted on until 1818, when the proposal was approved.

1819

In October of 1819, the Jackson County court officials were directed to adjourn their courts to the town of Gainesboro, following their November sessions (Acts of Tennessee 1819: 6). This same act ordered that:

... from and after the adjournment of said court to the Town of Gainesboro, a precinct election shall be held at the house of George White, in Williamsburg, at the times appointed by law, under the same rules and regulations as other general elections are held in this state.

Based on this information, George White’s name can be added to the small list of person for whom there is direct evidence for their having lived in Williamsburg. Besides Sampson Williams, one of the few other names that probably can be included on this list is Francis Shoemaker, who is listed as the 1819 postmaster for the "Williamsburg or Ft. Blount" postoffice (DOS, 1819, p. 199).

Post-Williamsburg Period (1820 - 1842)

The removal of Williamsburg’s county seat status is presumed to have had a substantial negative impact on the population and size of Williamsburg. It is difficult to say what the exact nature of this impact was, but within a short time Williamsburg ceased to be regarded as a town. Again because of the few primary source records available, it is difficult to be sure exactly what was here either before or after 1820.

1820

In 1820, the legislature (Acts of Tennessee 1820: 34) passed an "Act for the Relief of the Owners of Property in the Town of Williamsburg." This states that:

Whereas the seat of Justice of Jackson County has been moved from the town of Williamsburg, and a great number of inhabitants removed therefrom and whereas the lots are owned principally by the citizens of said county of Jackson, who are oppressed with taxes occurring on the lots in said town, for remedy whereof ... that the owners or holders of the lots in the town of Williamsburg, in
Jackson County, be hereafter exempted from payment of the state and county taxes which town lots are subject to ... provided nevertheless that nothing herein contained shall be construed as to release the lots from taxes imposed on the same for the year 1820 ... this act shall take effect ... after the first day of January next.

This document suggests that a true abandonment of the town of Williamsburg was rapidly occurring. Sampson Williams remained as the area's best known resident. According to the 1820 U. S. Census (Tennessee State Library and Archives, Jackson County, p. 576), Williams' household included 4 other men and boys and 7 women and girls. Presumably two of the latter were his wife, Margaret (born 1780), and his daughter, Margaret Y. (born 1818), but the identities of the other persons are not known. He also owned 50 slaves.

1821

The "United States Register" for 1821 (DOS, 1821, pp. 37 and 117), lists both "Fort Blount" and "Williamsburg" as post offices. Seth Bedford is listed as postmaster for the former, Philip Myers for the latter. This probably relates to a change in the post office name rather than indicating two separate offices (see 1823).

1823

As previously noted, in 1823, Sampson Williams bought some property to adjoin lands that he already owned in and around the Williamsburg site, and the record of this transaction has survived because it was recorded in Smith County (Deed Book K, p. 425). The property was purchased from the estate of Sarah Baker, formerly the wife of Jacob Baker. One of the tracts contained 109 1/2 acres, and was the northwest portion of the old Simon Woodard land grant. The southwest corner of this tract touched the north side of the "old Fort Blount Road" and the west bank of the "Poll Bridge branch." The purchase also included seven of Williamsburg's town lots (previously discussed), and a tract of 2 1/2 acres adjoining the south boundary of "the old Town of Williamsburg." This 2 1/2 acre tract began at the "northwest side of a new road as layed out from the end of the Main Street to cross the River at the Salt Lick Island." The same tract's west boundary was along the "Tanyard branch," and it included "the Tan Yard which was willed as aforesaid" [to Sarah Baker].

"Williamsburg" is the only local post office listed for 1823. Philip Myers is again listed as the postmaster (DOS, 1823, P. 114).

1826

Sampson Williams continued to use the heading
Williamsburg in letters written by him (e.g., Sampson Williams to General William Hall, February 20, 1826, loose copy, County Court Records, Smith County).

1827

Following a period of not being listed as a post office, "Williamsburg" was again listed, with Sampson Williams as postmaster (DOS, 1827, p. 150).

1829

By 1829, "Fort Blount" was again being used as the name for the local post office. This office appears to have remained in constant use, with Sampson Williams as the only postmaster, until his death in 1841 (DOS, 1829-1841).

1830

The 1830 U. S. Census (Jackson County) lists Sampson Williams as the head of a household that included 4 other men and boys and 3 women and girls. Two of the latter were probably his wife Margaret and his daughter Margaret Y. The number of slaves owned by Williams had increased to 46, with 17 men and boys and 29 women and girls.

1834

The Tennessee Gazetteer for 1834 (Morris 1834: 157) lists Fort Blount as "a post office, in Jackson County ... (late Williamsburg)." This same source (p. 280) identifies Williamsburg as "The former seat of justice of Jackson county, at Fort Blount."

1840

The 1840 U. S. Census (Jackson County, p. 259) lists Sampson Williams as the head of a household of 7 people, including his wife, 2 daughters(?), 1 other man, and 2 boys. He was also the owner of 31 slaves (14 males and 17 females). Even though the number of slaves owned by Williams had decreased since 1830, an examination of Jackson County slave owners listed on the 1840 census indicates that Williams was the second largest slave owner in the county at this time.

1841

The death of Sampson Williams in February of 1841 marked the passing of at least two major eras of early Tennessee history. At 68, Williams had witnessed and been a major participant in both the initial settlement of the Tennessee frontier and the early statehood period, which saw the establishment of new counties, towns, farms, plantations, and early industries. The few official records of his participation in these eras (e.g., McBride and Robinson 1975: 797) do not do credit to the full and varied rolls he played.
The Williams family remained on Sampson Williams property for many more years. The 1850 U.S. Census (Jackson County, p. 245) shows that the household was now headed by Andrew McClellan (age 45), who was married to Sampson Williams’s daughter Margaret (32). Their children were Sampson W. McClellan (6) and Sarah [Sally] McClellan (4), and the household was shared with Sampson Williams’ widow, Margaret (70), and Daniel Williams (14).

In 1870, Sampson Williams McClellan filed a lengthy Bill of Complaint as part of the documentation for a lawsuit (SCCC) by him and his sister against the estate of their deceased uncle, Sampson McClellan, the brother of Andrew McClellan. This documentation provides some interesting details concerning what had happened to the heirs of Sampson Williams and his former estate.

Andrew McClellan died in 1850, leaving his widow, Margaret Y. McClellan, and their two children, Sampson and Sally, as his only heirs. Margaret McClellan soon moved to DeKalb County, taking her children with her. She died there in 1859.

In 1850, Sampson McClellan was made administrator of his brother’s estate, and according to the Bill of Complaint, he had managed the estate poorly. It is stated in the complaint that, in 1850, Sampson McClellan took possession of all of his brother’s goods and personal effects and:

... took into his possession all the slaves belonging to said Andrews estate, a large number of valuable work slaves and also some time afterward took into his possession the lands belonging to his estate, several fine tracts of land in Jackson County, Tennessee, one called the Fort Blount or Williamsburg tract lying on Cumberland River, containing several hundred acres and a valuable ferry and another tract of land lying on the South side of said river immediately opposite the Fort Blount farm ... [and other tracts elsewhere] ... at the time of the death of said Andrew McClellan he had a large and valuable personal estate besides the land and negroes, consisting of stock of all kinds, wagons, farming implements, and a large amount of good and valuable debts and claims due & coming to him from a great number of persons and a considerable amount of other personal effects and assets (SCCC, Bill of Complaint, pp. 2-3).

When Margaret McClellan died in 1859, Sampson McClellan took possession of her property. There were 27 slaves
belonging to her estate. The Bill of Complaint also charged him with mismanagement of this estate.

In some of the cross examination, Sampson W. McClellan states that about 400 acres of his parents property had been given to his mother by her father, Sampson Williams.

In 1869, Sampson W. McClellan and his sister Sally J. (Perkey ?) and her husband sold 500 acres of their estate to Joseph A. Pruett (Jackson County Deed Book C, p. 17). This seems to have been the major, or perhaps only, remaining portion of what was earlier referred to as the Fort Blount Farm. Joseph A. Pruett lived in a house that was later known as the Fox home, but it is not known if he built it or it was already present (Christine Jones, personal communication, 1988). There is a strong oral tradition that the Fox home was on the site of, and possibly on the foundation of, the building that had earlier been the Williamsburg courthouse.

In 1879, Joseph A. Pruett deeded 168 acres of his 500 acre tract to James F. and Sarah [Sallie] F. Fox (Jackson County Deed Book C, pp. 46-47). In 1890 (Jackson County Deed Book E, pp. 313-314), Pruett deeded an additional 100 acres to "his daughters" Lassaphin Darwin and Sallie F. Fox. James and Sallie Fox eventually lived in the same house where Sallie's father had lived, and the property was later inherited by their son, Ottis Fox (Christine Jones, personal communication, 1988). The "Fox Farm" is the early twentieth-century name for the property where the town of Williamsburg was once located. A small log building said to have been the Williamsburg jail stood next to the Fox home until both buildings were torn down in the early 1970s.

A "Fort Blount" post office operated part of the time during the second half of the nineteenth century, until it was finally discontinued and moved to the community of Granville in March, 1905 (Frazier 1984: 455). Postmasters for this period were: Andrew McClellan (appointed July 1, 1840), Margaret McClellan (September 25, 1850 - November 16, 1852), Patrick H. Myers (February 24, 1857), Rowland C. Williams (September 18, 1866 - May 18, 1868), and Harrie A. Whitefield (December 27, 1901 - March 31, 1905).

The operating of a ferry across the river at the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site seems to have been more or less continuous from 1791 until the 1970s. The earliest topographic quadrangle map available (Gainesboro 1929, 15 minute series, Tennessee Division of Archaeology microfilm copy) labels this the "Fort Blount Ferry," and this name continued to be used until the ferry was closed (Fig. 4).

SOME NOTES ON SECONDARY SOURCES

One of the earliest secondary sources concerning the
The location of Fort Blount is also one of the most incorrect. Putnam's *History of Middle Tennessee* quotes a 1794 letter from James Winchester to James Robertson concerning a possible location for a "station" on the Cumberland River. The place described is about 7 1/2 miles downriver from the Fort Blount area. The letter apparently refers to a location that was being considered for building what became known as Fort Blount, but this same location was clearly not used. Nevertheless, Putnam (1859: 474) appended a note stating that "Here 'Fort Blount' was established."

The chain of confusion has continued since that time with various writers claiming that Fort Blount was located on the east or west side of the river, or sometimes a few miles east of the river. Few of these sources provide any references for their remarks, but many of the comments found in relatively modern sources can be traced back to information collected in the early part of the twentieth century by R. Garland Draper and L. K. Smith. Both of these men were former residents of Jackson County who had become interested in its early history. Much of the information that they collected (a substantial portion of which was published in various forms in several regional newspapers) is contained in Garland Draper's scrapbook (M547).

The one individual found by Draper who appeared to know the most about the Fort Blount area was Leighton F. Myers. In one letter (Myers to Draper, June, 1930, M547) he spoke of seeing the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site in the 1850s and noted that he was last there in 1871. He included a map showing various residences, a hotel, a deer park, a court house, a jail, and two forts, one on each side of the river. The one on the east side of the river is described as covering a square of about 1 1/2 acres, with four blockhouses at each corner, and a "picket of timber" [palisade wall] connecting each blockhouse. The fort on the west or Williamsburg side of the river is not so clearly described, and though he labeled it Number 1, he admitted that he was not sure about the sequence.

In the same June letter, Myers states that:

My great grandfather, William White, kept the first hotel in Williamsburg. Colonel James Smith built the mill at Salt Lick Island. The mill house stood below the sulphur spring channel cut for the race water. The rock wall and top were there in 1864.... The courthouse was built by William White of brick and he also built the old jail.... When the county seat was moved to Gainesboro, Capt. Sampson Williams bought the county property [from] William Burge and lived in the Court House and after his and his wife, Aunt Margaret's death, it went to his daughter, Margaret Williams who married first, her cousin, Daniel Williams .... then married Andy (Andrew) McClellan.
Various of Myer's comments and his map have been reproduced by several later writers. A redrawn version of the map is included in a recent history of Smith County (Nash 1987). Myer's letter is also the earliest written source found concerning the origin of the log building that stood until the early 1970s and was known as the Williamsburg jail. He claimed to have first seen this building in 1855 or 1856.

A 1936 description of the "Williams Graveyard" and the Williamsburg site (McGlasson 1936b) mentions two buildings:

The building used for the drug store, size 12 x 12 x 12 feet, made of whip sawed lumber is still standing.

The old Williamsburg jail is also still standing, only the outside walls, as the inner walls have been torn out the past few years. This is a two room type house, size something near 16 x 20 x 8, built of logs 12 x 12 inches square, of yellow poplar, with a few oak logs ... a small window the size of one log in each room.

McGlasson (1936b) also indicates that the main residence at the time of her writing (the Fox home) was located on the site of the former Williamsburg courthouse. This same statement is made in several other secondary sources.

No other written source concerning a Williamsburg "drugstore" has been found, but a former resident of the Fox Farm (Bill Ragland, personal communication, 1988) remembers that his family dismantled this building around 1939. It was sometimes referred to as a "drugstore," but was also regarded as an "all purpose store." Mr. Ragland also remembers another frame building still standing at this time that was referred to as the "Williamsburg Trustees Office," and in addition to the "jail," another log building, used as a smokehouse, was said to have once been a county office building.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE FORT BLOUNT-WILLIAMSBURG SITE

Modern Interest in the Fort Blount-Williamsburg Site

As indicated by a collection of letters and documents filed at the Tennessee Historical Commission and the Division of Archaeology, modern interest in the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site goes back more than 20 years. In July of 1965, Congressman Joe L. Evans contacted the Tennessee Historical Commission concerning local interest in "having two historic sites, Fort Blount and Williamsburg in Jackson County, Tennessee, restored." By 1968, both the Hull-York Lakeland RC & D Association and the Jackson County Historical Society were involved with seeking funds for a "restoration" of Fort Blount.
In 1969, the Jackson County Historical Society received a small grant from the Tennessee Historical Commission to conduct a feasibility study concerning Fort Blount. On the basis of a recommendation made by National Park Service archaeologist John W. Walker to the Tennessee Historical Commission, Robert S. Neitzel, a private consulting archaeologist from Louisiana, was contracted to carry out this study.

Neitzel made a visit to the site in March of 1970 and completed a short report the following month (Neitzel 1970). He looked on both sides of the river for some evidence of Fort Blount and made a few small test excavations on the Williamsburg site. During his visit, the old Fox home was being torn down. The nearby log "jail" building, which many years before had been converted into a garage by cutting out a large hole in one pen, was without a roof and near the point of falling down. Neitzel's examination of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site area was far too short to allow any meaningful conclusions concerning the location of Fort Blount. Basically he never got beyond the quandary concerning on which side of the river something had been located. He does described in his report the destruction, a short time before his visit, of an area that seemed a likely location for a post on the east side of the river. This was caused by the machine removal of large quantities of dirt for road fill during rebuilding of the road leading to the east ferry landing—work conducted by the Corps of Engineers as part of the completion of Cordell Hull Reservoir.

One action that was proposed during the 1970 investigation of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site was the removal of the "jail" building to Federal property so that it could be restored and used as an interpretive building. No action was taken on this, and within a year or two the remains were destroyed. It was not long after this that the site was listed on the National Register (1974). Photographs of the "jail" building have survived, including the ones shown in Figure 6.

Some Notes on the 1977 and Later Site Surveys and Some Comments on Resource Protection

The 1977 survey work on the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site (mentioned in the Acknowledgements and Introduction sections) was strictly a reconnaissance level project. A main activity was the identification and mapping of all building sites that could be identified within the general site boundaries. The Fort Blount-Williamsburg site was recorded in the state-wide site file maintained by the Division of Archaeology as archaeological site number 40JK125. This covers a larger area than the National Register site (the National Register listing is for 128 acres; the present boundaries of the archaeological site contain approximately 360 acres).
Where possible a more or less complete collection of surface artifacts was made from all of the building sites found within the larger site boundaries, and limited test excavation was conducted on one building site. All of the artifacts collected were subsequently washed and cataloged, and are permanently curated by the Division of Archaeology. This now includes approximately 950 sherds from historic period ceramic vessels, and these broken pieces of chinaware and pottery provide the best indication of the approximate dates of use of the buildings with which they were once associated.

Since 1977, a few additional survey visits have been made to the area, and the total number of building sites now represented by artifact collections is six. A general conclusion derived from all of these survey visits is that the town of Williamsburg was never very large, but that there is a clear reflection of the original town plan in what survives. It may someday be possible to archaeologically redefine this plan in terms of its overall lines and lot boundaries.

While the precise location of Fort Blount is still uncertain, the process of completing this historical background report has led us to develop a much higher level of confidence concerning its probable location. There are several specific hypotheses concerning this location that are now ready for field testing.

Unfortunately, for the sake of site preservation, it is not desirable at this time to further discuss the location of individual sites or site areas. While it is illegal to remove artifacts or other archaeological or historical remains from Federal or private property without specific permission to do so, such activity takes place frequently and has resulted in a tremendous loss of information concerning a wide variety of types of historic period sites in Tennessee. Much of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site is on Federal property controlled by the Corps of Engineers, and it is illegal to remove artifacts from such property without a Federal archaeological permit to do so (such permits are only issued for valid research reasons).

The continued preservation of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site should be of concern to all Tennessee citizens interested in our states history. Few places as important to the early development of Tennessee remain in as unaltered a condition as this one. One of the things that probably should be done to help insure as much protection as possible would be to increase the National Register site boundaries to take in a larger area on the west side of the river. We would recommend that the National Register site include all of the east end of Smith Bend, a tract approaching in size the area that Sampson Williams owned on the west side of the river in the 1790s (Fig. 5).
Figure 6. Copy of a group of photographs taken in 1966 at the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site (courtesy of Ervin Smith). Upper left, view of the rear of the Fox home facing northwest. Upper right, the Fox home (right) and the log building referred to as the "Williamsburg jail" (left), facing south. Lower left, exterior view of the "jail" building, with large cutout made in one pen to convert it into a garage, facing south-southeast. Lower right, interior view of the "jail" building.
It is also strongly recommended that an effort be made to find some means for funding an assessment level archaeological excavation project on the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site. While the general site area has remained relatively well preserved, parts of the site have suffered damage over the years from general farm cultivation and bulldozer activities, and these are likely to continue in the future. The best way to help insure the site's long term preservation is to demonstrate that it does contain important information by carrying out some properly conducted archaeological excavations followed by interpretation. Archaeological work on two other contemporary ("Federal Period") military sites in Tennessee, Tellico Blockhouse (Polhemus 1979) and Fort Southwest Point (Smith 1985), led to a direct interpretation of the former appearance of these installations and presented a clear picture of the day to day activities of the soldiers stationed there. Similarly, archaeological work on an early county seat town site such as Williamsburg offers many interesting possibilities for interpretation (Smith and Davidson 1975) but is a type of research that has rarely been conducted.

CONCLUSIONS

The historical research for the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site that is discussed in this report was conducted in an effort to learn as much as possible about the kinds of events and activities that previously occurred at this location, particularly as these would be expected to reflect themselves in the remaining material record. Though the view taken has been purposefully from the standpoint of historical archaeology, the information will surely be of interest to others.

At the beginning of our research on the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site in 1977, only a faint understanding of what is now seen as a complex interpretive problem was possible. Over the past eleven years the volume of primary source data collected has led to a much better appreciation and understanding of the events that transpired at this site. Whereas previously, Sampson Williams was the only name most writers were able to associate with Fort Blount, we now know the names of almost 200 men who were stationed here at different times. The activities of these same individuals are also now intelligible in terms of the overall history of territorial militia and federal military activity that related to this post. While the information collected concerning the town of Williamsburg does not reflect so dramatic an increase over what was previously known, the understanding of what this area of the site represents is considerably improved.

Fort Blount must now be understood in terms of at least two periods of site history. The first, from early 1792 to mid-1794, is actually a pre-Fort Blount period, during which a
"blockhouse post" was manned at the "Crossing of the Cumberland," primarily by a small body of soldiers commanded by Sampson Williams (appointed Lieutenant in 1793). While most of the soldiers stationed here belonged to the Territorial Militia, a few federal soldiers may also have been present. Circumstantial evidence suggests that the "blockhouse" mentioned in several sources was probably located on a 128 acre tract that belonged to Sampson Williams on the east (or south) side of the river, the same tract identified as the location of the ferry started by Williams in 1791. Additional information concerning the probable location of this early blockhouse post has not been found, and it is simply not known if any archaeological remains are still extant.

In mid-1794, militia troops from the Hamilton District in what is now East Tennessee, under the general command of Lieutenant/Major Hugh Beard, were sent to the Crossing of the Cumberland to establish a new "post and garrison." By mid-1795 this post was being called Fort Blount. Almost no descriptive information has been found concerning the plan or appearance of Fort Blount, but it must have been large enough to accommodate 40 to 50 soldiers. During most of 1795, there were at least 25 to 30 militia soldiers stationed at Fort Blount. The commander for this period was Lieutenant William Gillespie, who also operated the local ferry.

Late in 1795, Sampson Williams purchased the 320 acre tract of land on the west side of the Cumberland River that seems to have contained Fort Blount. Within a short time Williams became a resident of this location, and by 1796 his brothers Oliver and Wright Williams were in charge of the militia troops at Fort Blount. Lieutenant (or Captain) Oliver Williams remained in command of this 20-man garrison until June of 1797. Sampson Williams again operated the Fort Blount ferry in 1796 and a tavern was present by this year. At the close of militia activity, in June of 1797, Sampson Williams, now bearing the rank of Captain, was again indicated to be the commander of the militia "guard" stationed at Fort Blount.

In late June of 1797, Fort Blount began to be garrisoned by Federal soldiers. Initially, this was a small detachment from Captain William Rickard's company of the 3rd Regiment of Infantry, headquartered in Knoxville, but when several companies of the 4th Regiment of Infantry, under the command of Lieutenant Colonel Thomas F. Butler, passed Fort Blount on their way to Knoxville, more men were detached to Fort Blount. Apparently this left a total of over 30 federal soldiers stationed here for a few months. Most of these men had left by the end of 1797, but a few federal soldiers, at least 7 or 8, were still at Fort Blount during early 1798. The garrison seems not to have lasted much longer than this, and Fort Blount was apparently destroyed by late 1799. During the late 1790s, a "store house" was located near the fort, as was Sampson Williams' home. Williams continued to operate the ferry and was licensed to keep an "ordinary at his dwelling."
The probable location of Fort Blount is now reasonably clear and can be expressed in the form of several hypotheses in need of testing by archaeological excavation. If this becomes possible, these hypotheses will be integrated into the plan for such activity. Closely related to learning the exact location of Fort Blount is the question, where was the 1790s home of Sampson Williams? One secondary source suggests that after the decline of Williamsburg he moved into the building that had served as the county courthouse. If this is true, then there must be an earlier house site, as well as sites for at least a few other buildings, that date to the Fort Blount period and may be outside the Williamsburg area.

Following the close of Fort Blount, there was a transitional period during which this general location continued to be called "Fort Blount," specifically in relation to the "plantation" of Sampson Williams. A "Fort Blount" postoffice may have been started by 1800 (though a primary source for this was not found). During this period there is mention of a "store" that was evidently located near Sampson Williams' home. As just stated, it would be very interesting to try to determine the exact location of this first home.

In 1806, the Tennessee Legislature approved an act to establish the town of Williamsburg as Jackson County seat, but the actual establishment of this town did not occur until 1807. Williamsburg was ordered to be laid off on a 60 acre tract, with a 2-acre central courthouse square. The square was to contain a courthouse, stocks, and a "prison" with at least two rooms. One of the few surviving records for Williamsburg indicates that it had at least 121 lots, which may have been about the maximum number of lots that it contained. It is very unlikely that this many lots were ever actually occupied. Besides the public buildings and an unknown number of private homes, the only other building suggested by the primary source documents is a postoffice. Secondary sources refer to a "hotel" and a "drugstore."

The demise of Williamsburg seems to have come soon after the removal of the Jackson County seat to Gainesboro in 1820. While Williamsburg survived as a place name, and perhaps as a small community, for a few more years, the area was soon returned to use as part of the large farming operation of Sampson Williams and his heirs. A post office did continue to operate here periodically until 1905, and after 1829 was again called "Fort Blount." Fort Blount continued as a place name well into the twentieth century, but aside from the ferry, and perhaps a series of country stores, it has remained predominantly a rural agricultural area until today.

Archaeological remains for the town of Williamsburg were identified and mapped in the late 1970s. A building known as the Williamsburg "jail" was still standing until the early 1970s, and may have been the same "two-room prison" ordered built in 1806. The probable site of the courthouse and the
sites of a few other buildings that seems to date to the Williamsburg period are known from the earlier surveys. Surface artifact collections from these individual building sites have been made, but no significant archaeological excavations have ever been conducted.

The need for an archaeological assessment of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site (archaeological site number 40JK125) is now very apparent. Much of the activity that formerly took place at this location would have produced archaeological remains, and a major portion of this archaeological record should still be in a generally good state of preservation. The proper interpretation of this same record is the only means by which many kinds of specific information concerning both Fort Blount and Williamsburg will ever be known. Without this understanding, there will always be a major gap in our knowledge of the frontier and early settlement periods in Middle Tennessee.

Since first becoming involved with this site in 1977, the writers have witnessed eleven years of what seems like an endless acceleration in the loss of cultural resources in Tennessee. While this applies to historic buildings and both prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, the loss of important historic period archaeological sites seems to have been particularly noticeable in the last few years. The surge in development that has recently occurred in Middle Tennessee, has been to a large extent concentrated in those same areas where the earliest non-Indian settlement of this region occurred. At the same time, there has been a tremendous increase in the number of persons engaged in the practice of digging for the purpose of making private collections of historic period artifacts, almost all of this done, unfortunately, in a totally non-scientific, information destroying manner. Sites such as the one being considered here have escaped some of these effects only because of the relative remoteness of their location. This, however, is a steadily decreasing means of protection, as more and more of Middle Tennessee becomes developed in a similar manner. The purpose of this "lament" is to point out to all of us who share an interest in the preservation of the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site that time is truly critical. Only if we find the time in the near future to work toward the goals of site preservation and interpretation is there likely to be, in the long run, anything to interpret. The Fort Blount-Williamsburg site is far too important to an understanding of our past to let it become part of what is already a distressingly large historic site void.
APPENDIX A

TOMBSTONE TRANSCRIPTIONS FOR THE "WILLIAMSBURG CEMETERY"

The cemetery located within the Fort Blount-Williamsburg site, referred to as the "Williamsburg Cemetery" or the "Williams Graveyard," is of interest as a record for some of the former residents of the area. The following transcriptions are based on a published list of inscriptions by McGlasson (1936b) and a list compiled in 1966 by Carmack Key (former Smith County Historian, Carthage, Tennessee). In addition to the 12 stones that Mr. Key was able to transcribe, he estimated (personal communication, 1977) that there were an additional 20 to 25 unmarked graves. This same evidence is no longer clear and the number of visible marked stones is now less than it was in 1966.

Infant son of
B. L. and E. L. Butler
Born and Died June 12, 1925

James F. Fox
Jan. 20, 1840 -
Nov 13, 1916

Sallie F. Fox
Sept. 12, 1849

David K. son of Jas F. & Sallie F. Fox
Aug. 20, 1882
June 16, 1903

Ottis G. Fox
March 6, 1868
April 5, 1938

Rena Apple Fox
June 25, 1880

Maria (or Marcia ?) Hobby
Jan. 30, 1801
Nov. 22, 1843

Andrew McClellan
April 1, 1805
Sept. 7, 1850

Margaret Y. McClellan
July 14, 1818
Aug. 22, 1859

Ida A. Daughter of J. A. and L. Pruett
May 5, 1856
Sept. 26, 1875

Captain Sampson Williams
B. Dec. 2, 1762
D. Feb. 19, 1841

Margaret Williams
Feb. 7, 1750
June 19, 1852
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