

	NOTES				
STEP	STUDENT A	STUDENT B	STUDENT C		
DEFINE					
ANALYZE					
IMPLEMENT					
Data Team					
Decision?					
EVALUATE					
Next Steps?					



Student A, B, and C Data Profile

Define

Universal Reading Screener

Most Recent: 28th percentile overall composite

- Oral Reading Fluency 30th percentile, 80% accuracy
- Vocabulary 45th percentile
- Reading Comprehension 20th percentile
- Current attendance rate 93%

Historical: Past four (4) URS overall percentile scores have ranged from 33rd percentile to 42nd percentile

Math Universal Screener

Average range with a decline from a high of 43rd percentile to the 33rd percentile

Written Expression

Labor intensive transcription; legible handwriting

Primarily uses simple sentences; little to no punctuation

Ideas expressed in composition product does not align to language skills evident in oral pre-writing activities

Written Expression CBM Data

Words Spelled Correctly = low average; Total Words Written = low average; Total Correct Word Sequences = below average

Teacher Input and Observation

Average student (Bs and Cs) Generally engaged in classroom discussion Excels in math and science Loves talking about topics of personal interest, especially in science Good vocabulary and oral comprehension Off task easily during independent reading and writing tasks



Student A Data Profile Sheet

<u>Analyze</u>

Attendance History

95% attendance rate or higher until fall of 3rd grade Current attendance rate 93%

Discipline History

Described as generally well-behaved

Recent office discipline referral for non-compliance and rude language (occurred at the start of an independent writing task)

Current teacher does report easily distracted and disconnected, especially during reading/writing activities

RTI² and Tutoring History

Not previously served in Tier II or Tier III intervention

Has not participated in high dosage, low ratio tutoring

Additional Data

The teacher shared the student has had changes in home life that may be impacting the student's ability to focus during classroom instruction.

Written Expression

PROMPT: Finish this story: The craziest thing happened on the way to school. I looked up into the sky and I saw the strangest sight. I ...





Characteristics of Dyslexia Screening Data (Survey Level Assessments) Analysis of Oral Reading Fluency

Sally understood the urgency of the task. She prepared herself to complete the work just like Skipped line the teacher asked her. When she began, she realized it was much harder than she first thought. This was not going to be a simple task! She focused her attention and finally finished it. After completion, she went or to the next assignment. This one looked even more difficult. She would need to dig deep to persevere. She knew she could. She raised her hand to ask a clarifying question. Once the teacher answered, she felt confident she could finish the work on time.

> 80/100 = 80 words correct per minute 80% accuracy

Phonological Awareness Skills Screener (PASS) = 95% with weakest area being phoneme deletion (8/10 on section 10)

Phonics and Word Reading Survey

Foundation Skill	Target for Instruction			TOTALS	
Foundation Skill				Pre	Post
Letter Naming, Oppercase					/20
Lotter Naming: Lowercase-				/20	/20
L otter Sound Correspondences: Single Consonants and Digraphs				/24	
High-Frequency Words				34/35	/35
				/5	/5
Letter Sound Correspondences: Short and Long Vowels				/6	/5
				/5	/5
Decoding Skill	Real Words	Nonsense Words	Multisyllable	Pre	Post
Closed-Syliable Words With Short Vowels and Single Consonants	_6 _/6	6 /6	6_/6	18_/18	/18
Closed Syllables With Digraphs, Doubles, and Blends	11/12	5 /6	5 /6	21/24	/24
Long Vowel VCe Words and Syllables	6_/6	_5_/6	5_/6	16/18	/18
Vowel-r Syllables	6_/6	5 /6	6_/6	17/18	/18
Vowel Team Syllables	6_/6	5 /6	5_/6	16/18	/18
Complex Consonant Patterns	6_/6	5_/6		11_/12	/12
Mixed Syllables With Consonant-/e	8_/10			8 /10	/10
Base Words With Inflections and Suffixes	9_/10			9_/10	/10
Compound Words: Varied Syllables	9_/12			9_/12	/12
Derivational Prefixes, Suffixes, and Roots	_3//6			3/6	/6
Extension: Eccoding				/20	/20
- Alternative					

Encoding (TN-URS) = 51st percentile



Student B Data Profile Sheet

<u>Analyze</u>

Attendance History

98% attendance rate or higher

Discipline History

Described as generally well behaved No office referrals Teacher indicated student has occasional difficulties with a friend group, but it is teacher managed

RTI² and Tutoring History

Not previously served in Tier II or Tier III intervention Has not participated in high dosage, low ratio tutoring

Additional Data

Student B has difficultly with long vowel sounds. The classroom teacher shared examples of student work which further confirmed the student does not recognize and cannot properly decode and/or encode long vowel patterns.

Written Expression

PROMPT: Finish this story: The craziest thing happened on the way to school. I looked up into the sky and I saw the strangest sight. I...

on C anc Cric a CY

Special Education and Student Supports • Andrew Johnson Tower • 710 James Robertson Parkway • Nashville, TN 37243 • tn.gov/education



Characteristics of Dyslexia Screening Data (Survey Level Assessments)

Analysis of Oral Reading Fluency



Phonological Awareness Skills Screener (PASS) = 90% with a few errors in deletion and segmentation **Phonics and Word Reading Survey**

		-			
Foundation Skill	Target for Instruction			TOTALS Pre Post	
Letter Naming: Uppercase				-126	Post
Letter Naming: Lowercase					/26
Letter-Sound Correspondences: Single Consonants and Digraphs					/24
High-Frequency Words				30_/35	/35
	Went back and			5 /5	/5
Letter-Sound Correspondences: Short and Long Vowels	administered this section			5_/5	/5
	after student struggled on			5 /5	/5
Decoding Skill	Real Words	Nonsense Words	Multisyllable Words	TOTALS	
Decoding Skill				Pre	Post
Closed-Syllable Words With Short Vowels and Single Consonants	6_/6	5_/6	_5_/6	16 /18	/18
Closed Syllables With Digraphs, Doubles, and Blends		5_/6	_4_/6	20/24	/24
Long Vowel VCe Words and Syllables	_4_/6	_2_/6		9_/18	/18
Vowel-r Syllables			5_/6	14/18	/18
Vowel Team Syllables			_3_/6	10/18	/18
Complex Consonant Patterns	_4_/6	_2/6		6_/12	/12
Mixed Syllables With Consonant-le	_6_/10			6_/10	/10
Base Words With Inflections and Suffixes				5_/10	/10
Compound Words: Varied Syllables	_6_/12			_6_/12	/12
Derivational Prefixes, Suffixes, and Roots	_2_//6			2_/6	/6
				/26	/26
Extension: Encoding				/12	/12

Encoding (TN-URS) = 22nd percentile



Student C Data Profile Sheet

<u>Analyze</u>

Attendance History

98% attendance rate or higher

Discipline History

Described as well-behaved, compliant, and always wanting to help (especially during reading block)

No office referrals

RTI² and Tutoring History

Not previously served in Tier II or Tier III intervention Has not participated in high dosage, low ratio tutoring

Additional Data

Student C has difficultly with long vowel sounds. The classroom teacher shared examples of student work which further confirmed the student does not recognize and cannot properly decode and/or encode long vowel patterns.

Written Expression

PROMPT: Finish this story: The craziest thing happened on the way to school. I looked up into the sky and I saw the strangest sight. I...





Characteristics of Dyslexia Screening Data (Survey Level Assessments)

Analysis of Oral Reading Fluency

sk lick understands directions Sally understood the urgency of the task. She prepared herself to complete the work just like begged tech the teacher asked her. When she began, she realized it was much harder than she first thought. sk This was not going to be a simple task! She focused her attention and finally finished it. After thing completion, she went on to the next assignment. This one looked even more difficult. She would need to dig deep to persevere. She knew she could. She raised her hand to ask a clarifying cold the way home question. Once the teacher answered, she felt confident she could finish the work on time. 80/100 = 80 words correct per minute 80% accuracy

Phonological Awareness Skills Screener (PASS) = 80% with errors mainly in blending, deletion, and segmentation

Phonics and Word Reading Survey

Student	Grade/Class		Date		
Foundation Skill	Target for Instruction			TOTALS	
Foundation skin	Target for Instruction			Pre	Post
Letter Naming, Oppercase				126	
Letter Naming: Lowersace				/20	/26
Letter-Sound Correspondences: Single Consonants and Digraphs				<u>/24</u>	/24
High-Frequency Words				30_/35	/35
	Went back and			5 /5	/5
Letter-Sound Correspondences: Short and Long Vowels	administered this section			5 /5	/5
charten a song ronco	after student struggled on			5 /5	/5
Decoding Skill	Real Words Work	Nonsense	Multisyllable Words	TOTALS	
Decoding Skill		Words		Pre	Post
Closed-Syllable Words With Short Vowels and Single Consonants	6_/6	5 /6	_5_/6	16 /18	/18
Closed Syllables With Digraphs, Doubles, and Blends		5_/6	_4_/6	20/24	/24
Long Vowel VCe Words and Syllables	_4_/6		3_/6	9_/18	/18
Vowel-r Syllables	4_/6		_5_/6	14/18	/18
Vowel Team Syllables		3_/6	_3_/6	10/18	/18
Complex Consonant Patterns	_4_/6	_2/6		6_/12	/12
Mixed Syllables With Consonant-le	6 /10			6_/10	/10
Base Words With Inflections and Suffixes				5_/10	/10
Compound Words: Varied Syllables				_6_/12	/12
Derivational Prefixes, Suffixes, and Roots	_2_//6			2_/6	/6
				/26	/26
Extension: Encoding				/12	/12

Encoding (TN-URS) = 17th percentile



Student A

Data Profile

Implement

Did your team consider all of the data in making your determination?

- Does the team have enough information to make a decision about the next steps?
 - If not, what other data is needed?
 - Is the team including both quantitative and qualitative data and ensuring decisions are not being made according to cut scores or prescriptive guidelines?
- Is the student being served appropriately at this time?
- If the student is currently in intervention, what changes, if any, to dosage, ratio, or intensity are warranted based on student information?
- What instructional supports, if any, can the team consider to support the student during Tier I instruction?
- If the student is in intervention, how is cohesion between Tier I and skills-based intervention being approached purposefully and collaboratively?
- How should the team respond to the student's current performance?
 - What are the next steps for student support?
 - How will the team continue to collect data and monitor progress?
 - When will the team reconvene to discuss the student again?

Student A

Student Support Determination

The team determines the student would be best served in Tier I standards-based intervention. Features of this include

- Flexible small groups
- Acceleration
- Re-teaching reinforcement of previously taught content
- Extra opportunities for application and practice
- Smaller ratio for re-direction to task and immediate corrective feedback

Additionally, the school counselor schedules regular times to meet with the student to address and support social and personal needs. The classroom teacher will continue to pull the student during small group time to provide additional support as needed.



Student B

Data Profile

Implement

Did your team consider all of the data in making your determination?

- Does the team have enough information to make a decision about the next steps?
 - If not, what other data is needed?
 - Is the team including both quantitative and qualitative data and ensuring decisions are not being made according to cut scores or prescriptive guidelines?
- Is the student being served appropriately at this time?
- If the student is currently in intervention, what changes, if any, to dosage, ratio, or intensity are warranted based on student information?
- What instructional supports, if any, can the team consider to support the student during Tier I instruction?
- If the student is in intervention, how is cohesion between Tier I and skills-based intervention being approached purposefully and collaboratively?
- How should the team respond to the student's current performance?
 - What are the next steps for student support?
 - How will the team continue to collect data and monitor progress?
 - When will the team reconvene to discuss the student again?

Student B

Student Support Determination

Based on the student's data profile and evidence from team members, the team determined the student would be best served in Tier III with a dyslexia-specific intervention designed to address all aspects of reading. Student B's intervention time includes focused explicit instruction in all areas of reading, but with more intensity on decoding, word recognition, encoding, and fluency. The intervention time includes opportunities for practice and application both within the intervention material and through the use of Tier I high-quality instructional materials.



Student C

Data Profile

Implement

Did your team consider all of the data in making your determination?

- Does the team have enough information to make a decision about the next steps?
 - If not, what other data is needed?
 - Is the team including both quantitative and qualitative data and ensuring decisions are not being made according to cut scores or prescriptive guidelines?
- Is the student being served appropriately at this time?
- If the student is currently in intervention, what changes, if any, to dosage, ratio, or intensity are warranted based on student information?
- What instructional supports, if any, can the team consider to support the student during Tier I instruction?
- If the student is in intervention, how is cohesion between Tier I and skills-based intervention being approached purposefully and collaboratively?
- How should the team respond to the student's current performance?
 - What are the next steps for student support?
 - How will the team continue to collect data and monitor progress?
 - When will the team reconvene to discuss the student again?

Student C

Student Support Determination

Based on the student's data profile and evidence from team members, the team determined the student would be best served in Tier III with a dyslexia-specific intervention designed to address all aspects of reading. Student C's intervention time includes focused explicit instruction in all areas of reading, but with more intensity on phonological/phonemic awareness, decoding, word recognition, and encoding. The intervention includes extra purposeful opportunities for practice and application both within the intervention material and through the use of Tier I high-quality instructional materials.



Student A

Data Profile

<u>Evaluate</u>

During the next data team meeting, the team reflected on Student A's current performance and needs. The classroom teacher reported that Student A made progress when reading independently and the student received minimal corrective feedback on the last writing task.

Questions for the team to consider in its discussion:

- Does the team have enough information to make a decision about the next steps?
 - If not, what other data is needed?
 - Is the team including both quantitative and qualitative data and ensuring decisions are not being made according to cut scores or prescriptive guidelines?
- Is the student being served appropriately at this time?
- If the student is currently in intervention, what changes, if any, to dosage, ratio, or intensity are warranted based on student information?
- What instructional supports, if any, can the team consider to support the student during Tier I instruction?
- If the student is in intervention, how is cohesion between Tier I and skills-based intervention being approached purposefully and collaboratively?
- How should the team respond to the student's current performance?
 - What are the next steps for student support?
 - How will the team continue to collect data and monitor progress?
 - When will the team reconvene to discuss the student again?

Student A

Next Steps Determination



Student B

Data Profile

<u>Evaluate</u>

Student B is making progress with the current intervention. Student B's classroom teacher shared Student B is not making any new progress in the classroom setting and provided student work examples demonstrating Student B is still making the same errors in decoding.

Questions for the team to consider in its discussion:

- Does the team have enough information to make a decision about the next steps?
 - If not, what other data is needed?
 - Is the team including both quantitative and qualitative data and ensuring decisions are not being made according to cut scores or prescriptive guidelines?
- Is the student being served appropriately at this time?
- If the student is currently in intervention, what changes, if any, to dosage, ratio, or intensity are warranted based on student information?
- What instructional supports, if any, can the team consider to support the student during Tier I instruction?
- If the student is in intervention, how is cohesion between Tier I and skills-based intervention being approached purposefully and collaboratively?
- How should the team respond to the student's current performance?
 - What are the next steps for student support?
 - How will the team continue to collect data and monitor progress?
 - When will the team reconvene to discuss the student again?

Student B

Next Steps Determination



Student C Data Profile

Evaluate

Student C is making little progress with the current intervention. Student C's classroom teacher shared Student C is experiencing great difficulty with decoding grade-level text and accessing Tier I content as a result. The classroom teacher shared samples of student work to provide additional evidence of this concern. The interventionist working with Student C describes the same concerns with the student. The next data team is over 5 weeks away. The classroom teacher and interventionist request a "support team" meeting with the parent, and one is organized for the following week to discuss whether the team agrees a disability is suspected and an evaluation is warranted.

Questions for the team to consider in its discussion:

- Does the team have enough information to make a decision about the next steps?
 - If not, what other data is needed?
 - Is the team including both quantitative and qualitative data and ensuring decisions are not being made according to cut scores or prescriptive guidelines?
- Is the student being served appropriately at this time?
- If the student is currently in intervention, what changes, if any, to dosage, ratio, or intensity are warranted based on student information?
- What instructional supports, if any, can the team consider to support the student during Tier I instruction?
- If the student is in intervention, how is cohesion between Tier I and skills-based intervention being approached purposefully and collaboratively?
- How should the team respond to the student's current performance?
 - What are the next steps for student support?
 - How will the team continue to collect data and monitor progress?
 - When will the team reconvene to discuss the student again?

Student C

Next Steps Determination