
Over the past decade electronic security technology has evolved from an exotic possibility into an essential safety consideration. Technological improvements are coming onto the market almost daily, and keeping up with the latest innovation is a full time job. At a minimum, a basic understanding of these devices has become a fundamental prerequisite for well-informed school security planning.

**Look before You Leap**

But before resorting to high-tech security solutions, school officials should think carefully about the potential for unintended consequences. Technological fixes may be mismatched to the problems being addressed. They can be expensive. They require ongoing maintenance and upgrades that need to be included in budgets. An over-reliance on electronic technology can also backfire with power outages or technological failures. Some security technologies raise political and philosophical concerns. That being said, technological solutions can also be highly functional and cost effective. The pros and cons must be weighed carefully within the context of local sensibilities.

Don’t start by choosing a technology and looking for a problem it can solve. The process should be the reverse: Identify and prioritize the problems before jumping to solutions, and analyze solutions carefully before committing funding. It’s not uncommon for districts to invest in a particular technology district-wide before analyzing and prioritizing the real concerns of the individual schools. Every school should be capable of quick lockdowns and evacuations, but the details beyond that can vary considerably. Some schools are in rough neighborhoods where violence is endemic, others are not. Some schools are constrained by meager budgets, others have deep pockets. Leaky roofs may have to take precedence over electronic access control systems.

Partial measures can prove to be wasted investments. Secure front doors are of little value if the back entry remains uncontrolled. Metal detectors and ID cards won’t stop bullying behavior, nor will security cameras stop offenders all by themselves, as has become all too evident at many school shootings. On the other hand, comprehensive access control and improved emergency communication systems are usually good investments.

**Access Control**

If windows and doors are left unsecured and unsupervised, the choice of access control device is of no consequence. But once a school has committed to controlling access, decisions have to be made about which technology to use.

**Locks-and-Key Systems**

In many cases, conventional lock-and-key systems are still the best option. Indicators that they are not would include the following:

- Burglaries in which thieves accessed locked rooms and there were no signs of forced entry.
- Lost keys or a history of distributing keys that were not stamped “do not duplicate.” The stamping should discourage duplication, but it is no guarantee that it will not occur.
- Lockdown plans which are heavily dependent on the extensive use of keys. If the keys are carried by only some staff members, or if the act of locking the doors would put teachers in the line of fire, or if teachers are likely to be physiologically stressed during the crisis, then an alternative plan is worth considering.
Electronic Access Systems

If any of the above are concerns, consider door hardware that automatically locks, classroom doors that can be pulled shut to lock without inserting a key, electronic entry-control devices such as programmed wireless fobs or proximity cards, or hard-wired control switches for instantaneously lockdowns.

There are a few basic down-sides to electronic controls: initial costs, programming difficulties and power outages.

**Costs.** If installation is integrated into initial construction it’s likely to be more affordable than if installed as a retrofit, but in either case is considerably more than the cost of conventional lock hardware. Wireless technology may reduce installation costs. In any case, the cost may prove worthwhile in the long run. Electronic key cards can be cancelled instantly with a few key strokes, telling the system to reject the card if it is presented, and can even send an alert to tell a supervisor that someone has attempted to gain entry using the cancelled card—a far more efficient option than changing all the locks, or pleading with a fired employee to return a key.

**Technical difficulties.** Someone has to install and run the software, updating information whenever a new card is issued or old card is cancelled. At the front end, this includes creating cards for all users. Someone has to replace lost cards and issue new ones. If the people who know how to operate or repair the equipment are unavailable the system can be derailed, at least temporarily.

**Power.** All systems should have emergency back-up power. The alternative is complete systems failure during any power outage.

Early models of keyless entries involved push-button coded locks, which were often compromised through unauthorized access to codes. An early electronic model was the swipe card, which involved passing a card through a slot—a device that proved vulnerable to vandalism. Nowadays most models involve simply holding a coded fob or card within close proximity to the reader (hence the term "prox" cards). Vehicles can have readers installed on their dashboards, to automatically open gates.

Electronic controls are not needed at every door, but can be used selectively (especially in order to keep costs down.) They are worth considering for doors to higher security areas, or areas that a school would prefer not to have to supervise. For example, if the parking on the west side of the building is for staff only, the west side door can be unsupervised, allowing entry only to those who carry access cards. Cards can be issued to temporary workers or contractors, programmed to open only certain doors during specified days and hours. Schools have no need to worry about losing keys, since the cards are cancelled when the job is done. Cards can serve multiple functions, acting as debit, library, or identification cards as well.

**Biometrics.** Fingerprint scanners, iris readers or facial recognition technology are options to consider for high-security locations, but their use in public schools is both rare and controversial. There is considerable concern about the implications of entering such data into databases that could find their way into government files or the public domain. At the least, parental consent forms should be considered, and privacy provisions tightly worded.

In some cases, doors may be normally left unlocked during working hours, but should be easily secured during a lockdown. A lockdown button at the reception desk is invaluable for this purpose, empowering the receptionist to instantly secure the school against an approaching threat.

**Visitor Badging**

In most public schools, visitors don’t receive access control cards, but they do receive visitors’ badges in order to make them easily spotted while on campus – and more to the point, to make people who are not wearing badges more noticeable. Schools use widely varied levels of screening before issuing badges. In some cases visitors can walk in and pick up stickers without having to clear any kind of screening – a self-serve operation that has minimal value, but is fairly commonplace. In other cases visitors are obliged to introduce themselves and present ID. More extensive systems may check fingerprints, sex offender registries or school-maintained data bases. Other features include time-deactivated badges, which fade out or change color after an allotted amount of time. More comprehensive products can scan I.D., take digital photographs, print bar-coded badges and issue parking permits. Rarely is
there an effective process in place to retrieve visitors’ badges after visits, or to oblige visitors to check out. Because of the wide variety of options, schools should carefully consider what they want to accomplish with visitor badging before investing in a product.

**Surveillance Equipment**

On top of cost, maintenance, and effectiveness issues, surveillance cameras raise some serious philosophical concerns. The mere presence of cameras can suggest that the environment is dangerous, reinforcing fear and undermining the school climate. Americans are particularly wary of empowering an Orwellian government to watch over citizens, and surveillance cameras are classic icons of such an arrangement. The issue is worthy of some attention. On a pragmatic level, appropriately used cameras are merely affordable substitutes for placing staff members in the halls to watch over our children, with better memories and an endless attention span. What could be wrong with that? The distinction is that in functional schools, human monitors are more likely to engage in pro-social interactions with students, offering a smile, a pat on the back or a kind word. Cameras don’t offer positive reinforcement – their role is perceived as strictly negative – catching students doing something wrong, and using recorded evidence against them. From this perspective, cameras may be seen as more akin to grim prison guards than to nurturing teachers. Moreover, depending on how accessible or permanent the recordings become, youthful indiscretions or victimizations conceivably could haunt or humiliate children indefinitely into the future. For all of these reasons, it is essential that clear policies be developed about the use of cameras, access to the images and length of preservation. Students and their families—not just school officials—should have equal access to recordings that can exonerate them from accusations.

**What Conditions Justify Installation of Surveillance Equipment?** Surveillance technologies are appropriate when (1) offenders need to be identified, and their actions documented; (2) hidden areas are attracting problem behaviors that have not been successfully deterred through other measures; (3) the location filmed is semi-public and there should be no reasonable expectation of privacy; (4) risks are higher than average, such as in an overseas embassy school that may be targeted for political reasons, or in a residential treatment program where there may be a heightened risk of abuse or false accusations; and (5) when vandalism, bullying, or other problems persist despite other interventions.

**Technical issues.** Closed Circuit Television’s (CCTV) greatest strength lies in identifying suspects after the fact. In most cases, employees cannot constantly watch electronic monitors to catch misbehavior at the moment it occurs—they have other job duties, and staring at a live broadcast of the hallway all day would constitute cruel and unusual punishment. But live viewing can be used selectively, and can deter some criminal activity, at least when students realize their behavior is being taped. Cameras should be mounted well out of reach and should be secured in opaque domes or similar devices that protect against vandalism while obscuring the camera’s coverage area. Outdoor cameras may need heated or cooled housings for extreme weather. Cameras in corrosive, dirty or extremely humid environments can also require protective housings. Wily criminals can still avoid the cameras, or wear disguises to obscure identities. For this reason, install cameras in overlapping patterns, so that every camera is within the recorded view of another. It may also be useful to have some covert cameras capturing images around the corner from the main event, where offenders may not have thought to disguise themselves.

If components are going to fail, they are most likely to do so fairly quickly. Have spare components on hand for replacement purposes.

Problem locations, such as specific bus routes or classrooms, can be brought back under control by installing cameras and advertising their presence. Cameras targeting dark areas usually require infrared (IR) capabilities.

**Camera Options**

**Fixed versus moving (pan, tilt, zoom, or p-t-z) cameras.** Fixed cameras tend to require much less maintenance, and can be relied upon to catch targeted locations. Moving cameras cover more areas, but require more maintenance and can miss critical details of an incident. One option is to integrate cameras into duress-alarm systems; cameras remain fixed unless alarms are triggered, at which point cameras pan to the alarm locations. P-T-Z cameras can also be monitored and re-directed with a joystick by a security officer. Zoom lenses require higher lighting levels.
Wireless versus hard-wired systems. The distance between a camera and a receiver will affect the quality of images received, even with hard-wired systems. Standard coaxial cabling will suffice for distances of up to 1,000 feet, fiber-optic cabling can go further. Repeaters can boost the range considerably. Industrial strength routers make it possible to install wireless cameras almost anywhere. Power-Over-Ethernet (POE) capability has made it possible to install cameras anywhere intranet cabling already runs, saving the substantial cost of running power cabling. (There are some limitations on distance with POE, usually about 300 feet, although this can be doubled with a mid-span expander.) Cables should be encased in metal conduit or otherwise protected from vandalism or accidental damage. Any installation that leaves cables exposed to vandals is inadequate.

Color versus Black and White. Color cameras are usually best under well lit conditions; black and white cameras are more effective at night. Infra-red lights can make night time recording possible.

High-definition (HD) versus analog. High Definition cameras are the state of the art option. Analog cameras represent the older technology, usually at a much more attractive price, but likely to become obsolete in the years to come. The main difference between the two is that HD “forensic quality” digital images can be enlarged without losing definition—up to a twelve fold increase over traditional analog recordings. Even a good analog camera image resolution of 640x480 can be inadequate if the picture needs to be enlarged to more than double the size. An HD camera can produce a 1280 x 1040 Megapixel image that can be enlarged much more dramatically without losing definition. Because of band width, processing power limitations, and lighting needs, 1.3 Megapixel HD cameras are a good size to aim for today—any higher capacity may overwhelm the recording and band width capacities of your equipment. Within a year or two, larger capacity HD cameras will make sense, when the recording devices catch up to the size. Some medical facilities are already using 10 Megapixel HD cameras.

Specialized, “Smart camera” or “Intelligent Video” cameras versus conventional equipment. Conventional cameras impassively collect images. Smart cameras use algorithms to spot selected shapes or movements, such as people falling down, or attacks on the cameras themselves, and send an immediate alert. Dedicated cameras can exclusively capture license plates in low light conditions. This technology is still relatively young, but improving rapidly. It may soon be commonplace for such cameras to reliably identify particular individuals based on facial geometry, or to find weapons. Processing power on IP cameras is improving, making it possible to beef up algorithms on the cameras themselves, taking some of the load off of centralized servers.

VCRs versus DVRs versus NVRs. The camera is only one piece of the surveillance system in which quality can vary considerably. Another critical piece is the recording device—and recording devices are having a hard time keeping up with camera improvements. Ancient systems recorded analog images onto reels of film that had to be developed before viewing. Those archaic systems were surpassed by videotape recorders (VCRs), using tapes that deteriorate and are cumbersome to search. The next evolution was to digital video recorders (DVRs). DVRs work well unless you anticipate upgrading the quality or number of cameras—at which point the DVR may reach capacity and require replacement. For that reason, especially at the institutional level, DVR’s are now being overtaken by Network Video Recorders (NVRs). NVRs are generally installed on the edge of a Local Area Network (LAN) as part of an Internet Protocol Digital Video Surveillance system (IPDVS). As memory-needs grow, the server memory can be upgraded without having to overhaul the system. (See Integration and Convergence, below.) Good NVRs and DVRs are highly reliable, can self-diagnose and self-repair, and can send alerts to designated staff when alarms are triggered. Images that can be pulled up on the internet, either in recorded or live mode, can be useful for emergency responders or school administrators (For example, Chicago police and 911 centers are currently upgrading their system to monitor 4500 school cameras and send images to patrol cars during emergencies.) The larger the memory capacity of cameras and systems, the greater the detail, number of frames per second, and days of recording are possible before available memory is filled. Be specific about the minimum quality of pictures and number of days of recording you require. A year ago, a 16 camera analog system with a 240 Gigabyte DVR sounded reasonable. A school system today should be looking into high definition cameras – around 1.3 Megapixels – and should set aside at least 1-3 Terabytes of storage on their network server. When purchasing components, it is essential to actually see not just the live image broadcast, but the recorded image accessible after the
fact, and the printed result, field-tested on-site before finalizing a purchase. Lighting conditions, focal length, equipment limitations, and the weather can all impact the quality of images generated.

**Bus-mounted systems.** Misbehavior has led many districts to install surveillance cameras covering the interior of buses as well as entry areas, with both video and sound capabilities. Systems are available that allow remote viewing and wireless downloading.

**Weapons Detectors**

Another form of electronic surveillance is the metal detector, a device which raises some concerns. Detector portals, at $3-8,000 apiece, are expensive in their own right, but staffing them can be a budget-buster, involving 3-8 security officers at each entry for an hour or two every morning. Portals are of questionable value unless all other passages for weapons delivery, such as windows or back doors, have been sealed, and unless the students have absolutely no contact with the outside world until they leave for home. Portals aren’t effective with backpacks or other items that contain numerous metal objects, and as a result an X-ray scanner will also be needed, starting at about $30,000. Rather than reassuring students, metal detectors can be fear-reinforcing.

Funneling students through detector portals poses serious logistical problems:

- Students waiting to gain entry are likely to form a crowd outside the school, where they are easy targets for violence.
- Boys and girls grouped together have a tendency to posture for each other, which can lead to “showing off” behaviors that can include violence. This can lead to the need for gender-segregated entries at separate portals.
- Scheduling may become untenable, as students cannot make it through screening in time for class. This can require showing up much earlier for school or staggering class times. (In large sites, express lanes can offer faster passage to students who know they won’t trigger alarms – if they do they’ll be sent back to the other line.)

Unfortunately, the overall message conveyed, as with cameras, can be that the school is trying to catch wrongdoing instead of rewarding positive behavior. Very few schools use detectors, and that makes sense; most have never had a shooting and never will. Some do have enough warning signs, however, that detectors need to be considered.

Hand wands may be a better investment than portals for two reasons: (1) affordability — they cost hundreds of dollars, rather than the tens of thousands of dollars it costs for portals and x-ray machines, and (2) portability — they can be used in any location at a moment’s notice. Some schools have found sweeps of randomly chosen classrooms with hand wands to be a more practical approach. Scans of all students who are late or lingering in halls is another option which, if nothing else, motivates students to get to class on time. Battery life is short, so have back-up wands or batteries handy.

**Communications**

Everybody on campus should be able to call for help, pass along a timely warning, or receive a warning, at any time, anywhere. A teacher shouldn’t have to choose between staying with students and calling for help. Weaknesses in communication systems often include:

- Unreachable areas, such as playgrounds, bathrooms, boiler rooms or basements, due to lack of radio reception, wiring, speakers or phones.
- Dysfunctional equipment that works inconsistently, due to bad weather, leaky roofs or deferred maintenance.
- Reliance on towers or systems that predictably overload in genuine emergencies.

**Communications Equipment**

**Telephones.** Hard-wired phones in all classrooms and offices, for the moment at least, are still sound investments. Teachers and students can rely on finding them in the same location whenever needed and “enhanced” 911 systems, which are now fairly standard, should automatically tell call-takers where emergency calls are coming from, depending on the configuration of the on-site phone system. Caller ID can be invaluable for identifying sources of inappropriate or menacing calls.
Wireless phones manufactured within the past three years should have similar capabilities, but performance isn’t yet perfect. At the least, an E911 center, when receiving a 911 call from a cell phone, should be able to identify the phone number and the closest cell tower. Fully functional under phase 2, it should go a step further and identify the location of the phone. School districts should check with their local 911 center and cell phone providers to determine actual performance locally—and should update phone software regularly (contact the phone manufacturer for details). Portable devices offer great flexibility – teachers can call for help anywhere at any time, as long as they have a charged phone handy and good reception. (Some districts provide cell phone stipends to employees, with the understanding that they will keep the phones handy during work hours. This eliminates concerns about employee abuse of district equipment, and extends communication capabilities at reduced cost to the district.)

Cell phones in student hands can be lifesavers, but they can also be disruptive and, in some cases, tools used for cyber bullying. Camera phones allow students to capture student activity and post it on the web in a manner that can be psychologically devastating.

Phone lines and cell phone towers are both susceptible to overload and storm damage, which undermines reliability, in some cases when most needed. In some cases radios make more sense than cell phones economically and logistically. They are less likely to get overloaded, and cost less in the long run, once monthly fees are compared. Some devices combine cell and radio capabilities into one unit, at a premium monthly price. (See discussion below on radios).

The likelihood over the near future is that someone nearby will almost always have a cell phone. At the same time, some school construction is so dense that cell phones cannot function reliably indoors. For a discussion of “dead” zones, see the discussion on radios and Radiax cabling below.

In extremely isolated conditions such as could be found with wilderness schools, in locations where cell phones don’t work and where hard-wired phones are non-existent, satellite phones are well worth looking into, along with solar recharging devices. The primary drawbacks to satellite phones are the price, the need for a clear view of the sky, and the need for operable satellites in the right location. Satellite phones usually won’t work indoors or underground unless attached to an aerial. Low-orbit satellites may in the near future supplement cell towers for cell and radio communication.

**Radios and related issues.** Radios should be high priorities for daily operations as well as for use during emergencies. While inexpensive, off-the-shelf radios may be tempting, they are inadequate for school use; they won’t offer the many needed options, and they don’t operate on the frequencies reserved by the FCC for school districts. Anyone can use them, and as a result they will quickly overload in emergencies. They’re designed in most cases for simplex use, meaning one person talks, the other listens, and that’s all. More sophisticated systems with dozens or hundreds of users will want a “trunked” repeater or similar radio system, which can function like cell phones, and can also permit messages to be broadcast to multiple users simultaneously. Professional quality radios can cost $400-$5,000 apiece, not including monthly fees for repeater use and maintenance. A Kenwood TK-3173 analog handheld unit might run $400-500, while a digital upgrade could run $1300 for a Kenwood TK-5310, or $2-3,000 for a Motorola XTS 5000 series.

**Resist OPM (Other People’s Money) Syndrome.** Bear in mind that grant money runs out. Eventually more radios will be needed. When that time comes, high end models may no longer be affordable.

**Analog versus Digital.** Digital radios have clearer sound at a much higher price. Analog radios have more static at a much lower price. At range limits analog radios can experience excessive static – while digital radios shut down entirely. Contrary to rumor, the FCC is not requiring a shift to digital radios, or to a “P25” format. The P25 format is only meaningful for multiple government entities crossing into each others’ jurisdictions and being able to talk to each other. The FCC mandate is to switch to narrow band – effectively squeezing more bands into a limited number of channels -- by 2013. Most analog and digital radios can be programmed to do this. Eventually radios may very well move entirely from analog to digital technology, but the costs are not yet competitive and in most cases, primarily based on costs, analog radios still make more sense. At the same time, blindly accepting the lowest bids on RFP’s is a very risky approach that can saddle the school with substandard equipment or services.

**Batteries.** Radios should be kept in battery chargers every night. Extra batteries should also be kept charged up for use in prolonged emergencies—otherwise radios
can be rendered inoperable at the end of one 8-hour shift. Compare the types of batteries compatible with the radios to find what serves you best. Nickel Metal Hydride make good sense for schools based on how long they’ll last on a charge, and how often you can recharge them. Lithium ion batteries are significantly lighter, but less forgiving – if they’re allowed to run completely out of power they can’t be recharged. NiCad batteries are a reasonable third option falling between these two.

**Purchasing a system.** First invite local vendors to assess your specific needs. Do you need cell phone or GPS tracking capabilities, or just basic radio contact? How many radios and channels will be needed? Are you located in an urban or rural area? Have them explain how they can meet your needs. Do they have their own towers and repeaters already in place, or would they have to install them? Who maintains the equipment? Who handles FCC requirements and licenses? If your towers go down, do the handheld units retain direct-talk capability within individual schools? If towers are already in place, drive throughout the area and test vendors' radios extensively to identify any dead spots. Make sure their prices reflect discounts negotiated through coalitions, such as the Western States Contracting Alliance. Finally, ask for references, and check on them.

**Dead spots.** Even with multiple towers, additional measures may be needed to extend radio range into highly insulated locations, such as basements. In those cases, consider running Radiax cabling from an antenna on the outside of the building into the secluded area. Antennas should aim at transmission towers. Cabling needs to be customized to match the frequency of the radios or cell phones being used. If multiple cables are needed for multiple systems, such as cell phone, police and fire radios, take care not to run all the cables closely together, as they can interfere with each others' operability—leave them at least 6” apart, and ideally use separate chases altogether. Radiax is considered a "passive" system. An active system would add a "repeater" -- an amplifier that would further boost transmissions along the cable. This could be necessary if the cabling was covering a considerable distance, which undermines transmission strength. In some cases it makes sense to run cables underground using directional boring devices—an improvement over digging trenches. There are a variety of approaches to boring, most of which use sophisticated technology.

**Channels.** Professional radios should be programmable, with enough channels to meet your needs. For example, a 20-school district would need a bare minimum of 2 handheld units per school, and additional radios for facilities staff, custodians, transportation, the Superintendent’s office, all emergency team members and all School Resource Officers, totaling at least 50 radios with 26 channels just to get started. In most cases, three times that number of radios would be closer to meeting actual need. A good system could have 20 channels, each with up to 250 "codes", or sub-channels, to choose from.

**GPS.** Buses or other fleet vehicles with separate radios installed exclusively for GPS use can be tracked continually from a base unit.

**Other radios.** Police and Fire radios will operate on exclusive channels, at different frequencies from school radios. Software allowing interoperability between school and public safety radio systems exists, but is not widely used. In emergencies, one system may work while others fail. Citizens’ band and Ham radio groups, working with disaster response groups can be life savers when other technologies collapse.

**Repeaters and routers.** Wireless technology has expanded communication options considerably, but without cell towers or repeaters along the way, most wireless devices won’t be able to send messages very far, if at all. Sparsely populated areas may not contain enough customers to justify the expense of constructing towers. Installing wireless routers and repeaters throughout a campus or community, in some cases as joint projects with municipalities, can make going wireless a viable option. If indoor reception is a problem, Radiax cable (discussed earlier) could be the solution.

**Intercoms.** Intercoms can be integrated into school telephone systems or can be free-standing products. They should make it possible to make announcements school-wide as well as more selectively. Intercoms can be augmented with cameras and call-buttons at entries. Visitors can buzz the office and request admittance – a nice feature when concerned about unwelcome visitors or when unable to actively supervise an entry. Wireless technology may offer some cost savings in installing new systems, and existing WAN or LAN systems can provide a framework that new intercoms can tap into.

**Public Address Systems.** Portable PA systems can be moved as needed. They may include wireless microphones that clip onto speakers' clothing, or they can use handheld microphones. Systems can be plugged into conventional outlets or can run on rechargeable batteries. Wheeled cases are useful for hauling systems across campus.
Megaphones. When all other technology fails, due to downed lines or cell towers, megaphones provide an easy alternative that can be used in directing mass evacuations or broadcasting messages. Bull horns run on conventional batteries that should be checked and replaced or recharged on a scheduled basis. The wattage directly correlates to the distance the device can project sound – 3 watts will travel perhaps 100 yards, while 25 watts can carry 1,000 yards. Determine the distance for which you would need coverage before making a purchase.

Alarms

Fire alarms can be triggered by smoke or flame, or set off by manually operated pull stations. Extremely sensitive devices can be triggered by a lit match, similar to the alarms used in airplane lavatories. These can respond with audible alarms or recorded messages, or by triggering an alert at a monitoring station. Protective covers that must be lifted, or glass covers that must be broken, discourage false alarms by triggering a local noise alarm first, drawing attention to the person pulling the handle.

Hard-wired panic button alarms can be built into intercom, phone or burglary alarm systems, or can independently trigger buzzers or lights at monitoring stations.

Burglary alarms can be triggered by door or window entry, acoustic or vibration-based glass breakage or passive infra-red (PIR) detection, which detects temperature changes if someone enters the room. These can be augmented with cameras or microphones that record and transmit images and sounds to hard drives, monitoring stations or web sites.

Annunciators. Similar to burglar alarms triggered by door or window entry, these devices make noise at the point of intrusion and alert staff members at a monitoring station that an emergency door has been opened. If surveillance cameras are also used, staff can instantly view the activity.

Wireless alarms can be integrated into pendants, fobs, radios, equipment or vehicles. First generation devices merely make noise (E.g. body alarms); second-generation devices send messages that identify which person has been assigned the device, but cannot pinpoint their immediate location.

Tracking devices. Third generation wireless alarms can identify the location of a person or item carrying a device in real time, using Global Positioning System (GPS), radio frequencies or similar technology (see ZENS discussion in ENS section). They can be triggered manually, by pushing a panic button, or automatically, by being moved past a reader. For example, if an Extended Capability radio frequency identifying device (RFID tag, or transponder) is implanted inside the case, a computer carried out of the media room might trigger an alarm. This would be an active or semi-active system. A passive RFID can only be read if passed quite close to a reader—like bar codes in stores. Tracking devices can be used to monitor the location of any asset, including school buses – a useful option in case of hijacking. The greatest weakness with satellite-based GPS devices is the need for a direct view of the sky in order to function.

Emergency Notification Systems (ENS)

Emergency Notification Systems have become prominent security features for schools, especially at the higher education level, since the 2007 Virginia Tech shooting. In that incident, some believe the two hour gap between the dorm killings and the classroom massacre provided an ample missed opportunity to warn the entire campus. Northern Illinois University used the 3n (National Notification Network) system immediately after a major shooting in February of 2008, but it was of little consequence; although police were on scene in 90 seconds, the killing was over before they arrived—after the shooter committed suicide. The product used on both campuses communicates via any electronic device, such as cell phones, BlackBerry® devices, email, and instant messaging. Similar products come from Intelligent Wireless Solutions, MIR3 (inCampusAlert™ Intelligent Notification™ system), Wide Area Rapid Notification (WARN), and ParentLink. These systems promise to reach thousands of recipients very quickly – often in less than a minute. Vasonatech’s Priority Alert Software System (PASS) adds advanced graphics and text messaging capabilities to the usual bevy of devices, displaying emergency guidelines, photos, diagrams, evacuation maps, voice directives and updates on dedicated monitors or reader boards placed throughout campus. Orsus displays an automated security plan at a
central monitoring station to walk staff through required actions. Zylaya Emergency Notification System (ZENS) adds radio frequency-based devices to serve as repeaters that track real-time locations of anyone who triggers a portable panic button—including security guards. The end result is similar to what GPS devices offer in outdoor environments. ZENS works indoors equally well, but is applied to a much smaller geographic area—usually one campus.

Prices for these products are a bit steep, but are likely to drop with competition. When considering a system, weigh carefully the specific devices you most want to send messages to, then compare products and vendors.

**Integration and Convergence**

Most large institutions have already shifted into using multiple types of security technology, such as cameras, alarms, communication and access control devices. If this describes your school, make sure all of those components’ hardware and software are compatible to maximize their usefulness. If you have cameras on the front door, for example, they should be tied in with a monitor in the office, and a lockdown button. If you use proximity cards at entries, you might want to tie those into a data base that pulls up the card holder’s photograph and identification information. This kind of interconnectedness is best guided by a professional systems integrator — someone who knows which components are compatible, has a good track record, and will be available for further consultations down the road if things go wrong. Integration, however, is a field that continues to change as well, now evolving into a field known as “convergence.”

A year or two ago, merely going digital and tying all the parts together was considered fairly forward thinking. This usually involved linking devices on varied platforms and incompatible software. Engineering such a feat was often ungainly and prone to difficulties. That’s not good enough anymore. The new wave is moving all security technologies to an IP model—everything feeds into the same network. This not only assures compatibility, but builds in significant additional features. Any Ethernet-based device is effectively monitored for failures 24/7. For example, if an alarm system failed, the failure itself would send an alert to a monitoring station. The risk in convergence is if the network can be compromised by hackers or viruses. For this reason a dedicated Virtual Network is a safer way to go, protected from equipment failure with redundant network computers.

**In Conclusion**

Before investing in security technology:

- If considering multiple devices, fully involve your IP manager and a convergence expert from day one.
- Identify and prioritize the problems you want to address, or risks you want to mitigate. E.g.: hurricanes, intruders, drive-by shootings, graffiti on the north wall, bullying in the cafeteria, or smoking in the bathroom. Each of these requires very different solutions, only some of which involve high technology.
- Beware of mission drift. At the end of the day go back to your originally identified problem and ask yourself, “Do the solutions we chose match the problems we wanted to address?”
- If technology is part of your planned solution, emphasize quality and performance more than low bids. Inexpensive cameras and recorders generally produce useless images. Inexperienced installers are more likely to make mistakes or go out of business.
- Include generators, back-up batteries, or other secondary power sources. Without them your system may fail just when you need it the most.
- Do your homework. Research makes and models of equipment, and seek out first hand reports of their effectiveness. Many schools have moved boldly into the high tech security arena, for better or for worse. Seek out these pioneers, and take advantage of their lessons learned. Nobody is in a better position to counsel schools about what works and what doesn’t.
- The more specific your RFP the better. Allowing vendors to use an “equivalent” device rather than the one you have identified may result in a lower bid using a substandard device that doesn’t hold up. Consider asking for two kinds of bids: some meeting your specifications, and others without those constraints. Then compare the two to see if new options should be considered.
- Make full payment contingent upon functional equipment – and be specific about what “functional” means. I.e. “A recorded image of two similarly dressed individuals at 2 a.m. at the rear gate will be clear enough to distinguish between them, and identify them.”
Finally, remember that security technology cannot solve all school security problems. Integrate technological solutions into broader prevention and intervention measures, ranging from practicing crisis response drills to building a positive school climate.

More on Safe Schools

See the Safe Schools page at the National Clearinghouse for Educational Facilities website, www.ncef.org.