RECEIVED MAR 1 1 2021



Dav. Co. Chancery Court

IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT NASHVILLE

| STATE OF TENNESSEE, ex rel. HERBERT H. SLATERY III, Attorney General and Reporter, |))) |
|--|---------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| \mathbf{v}_{t} | No. 21-6211-I |
| RETRIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS | j j |
| BUREAU, INC., a New York |) |
| corporation, d/b/a American Medical |) |
| Collection Agency, |) |
| |) |
| Defendant. |) |
| | |

AGREED FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, the State of Tennessee through its Attorney General and Reporter Herbert H. Slatery III and Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc., d/b/a American Medical Collection Agency, have agreed to the stipulations and terms of this Agreed Final Judgment (Agreed Judgment) without admission of any facts or liability of any kind as alleged in Plaintiff's civil enforcement action.

A. PARTIES

Plaintiff is the State of Tennessee represented by Attorney General Herbert H. Slatery III.

The Attorney General is authorized to enforce the State's consumer protection laws and identity theft deterrence laws as alleged in Plaintiff's civil enforcement action and for convenience, noted here in Appendix A.

2. Defendant Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc. (RMCB) is a New York corporation with its principal place of business located at 200 Pemberwick Road, Greenwich, CT 06831. Previously Defendant's principal place of business was 4 Westchester Plaza, Suite 110, Elmsford, NY 10523. As further detailed and stipulated below, Defendant also conducts business under the assumed name American Medical Collection Agency (AMCA).

B. DEFINITIONS

- 3. "Consumer Protection Acts" refers to the relevant state laws of the Participating States as cited in Appendix A.
- 4. "Business Associate" shall be defined in accordance with 45 C.F.R. § 160.103 and refers to a person or entity that provides certain services for or performs functions on behalf of "Covered Entities," and requires access to Protected Health Information to provide such services or perform such functions.
- 5. "Controlling interest" shall be defined as the holding of a majority or any degree of the ownership of a business sufficient to give the holder a means of exercising control over the management or operations of the business.
- 6. "Covered Entity" or "Covered Entities" shall be defined in accordance with 45 C.F.R. § 160.103 and is a health care clearinghouse, health plan, or health care provider that transmits health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction for which the United States Department of Health and Human Services has adopted standards and on whose behalf Defendant engaged in debt collection activities.
- 7. "Data Breach" refers to the intrusion into the Defendant's computer systems disclosed by the Defendant on or about June 2019 when Defendant announced that an unauthorized user

- had gained access to Defendant's internal system between August 1, 2018 and March 30, 2019.
- 8. "Effective Date" shall be April 12, 2021.
- 9. "HIPAA" shall mean "Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Public Law 104-191, and its implementing regulations, 45 C.F.R. §§ 160, 162, and 164," and includes:

 (a) the "HIPAA Privacy Rule," which shall refer to the HIPAA Regulations that establish national standards to safeguard individuals' medical records and other Protected Health Information as defined at 45 C.F.R. Parts 160 and subparts A and E of Part 164; and (b) the "HIPAA Security Rule," which shall refer to the HIPAA regulations that establish national standards to safeguard individuals' Electronic Protected Health Information as defined at 45 C.F.R. Parts 160 and subparts A and C of Part 164.
- 10. "MDL" means the pending multi-district litigation, In re: American Medical Collection Agency, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, 2:19-md-02904-MCA-MAH (D.N.J.) before Judge Madeline Arleo.
- 11. "Minimum Necessary Standard" shall refer to the requirements of the Privacy Rule as defined in 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.502(b) and 164.514(d).
- 12. "Participating States" or "States" refers to the states identified in Appendix A which is incorporated here for all purposes.
- 13. "Personal Information" or "PI" shall have the same definition as "Personal Identifying Information" as set forth in the Personal Information Protection Acts of the Participating States.

¹ For Tennessee's purposes, "personal identifying information" means "personal information" as defined in the Tennessee Identity Theft Deterrence Act, Tenn. Code. Ann. § 47-18-2107.

- 14. "Protected Health Information" or "PHI" is defined in accordance with 45 C.F.R. § 160.103.
- 15. "Personal Information Protection Acts" refers to the state laws of the Participating States as cited in Appendix A.
- 16. "Security Event" shall mean any compromise, or threat that gives rise to a reasonable likelihood of compromise, by unauthorized access or inadvertent disclosure impacting the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of Personal Information of consumers where such Personal Information is held or stored within Defendant's networks, including but not limited to a data breach
- 17. "Servers" refers to the physical computing device(s) used by Defendant to process, store and/or communicate data by and between multiple devices, including external devices as described by Defendant in its supplementary CID production of August 11, 2020.
- 18. "Third-Party Assessor" refers to an individual qualified as a Certified Information Systems

 Auditor or as a Certified Information Systems Security Professional who has at least five

 (5) years of experience evaluating the effectiveness of information system security or

 computer networks of Covered Entities.

C. STIPULATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff and Defendant agree to and do not contest the entry of this judgment and further agree that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter and waive all rights to appeal or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Agreed Final Judgment.
- 20. On or about June 2019 Defendant disclosed that an unauthorized user had gained access to Defendant's internal system between August 1, 2018 and March 30, 2019. The Defendant's system included records with the personal information of over 20 million individuals from

whom Defendant was attempting to collect a debt on behalf of various medical providers. The information in these records included names, dates of birth, social security numbers, financial information, and medical information of over 20 million individuals. On or about June 6, 2019, Defendant began mailing notice of the Data Breach to over seven million consumers. These notices offered consumers credit monitoring for a two-year period.

- On the date that Defendant disclosed the Breach its principals were as follows: Russell H. Fuchs, President, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer, Secretary, and Jeffrey S. Wollman, Chief Financial Officer (hereafter "Principals").
- 22. Defendant engaged in debt collection, and its debt collection activities included collection of medical debt under the trade name American Medical Collection Agency on behalf of Covered Entities as defined by HIPAA. As such, Defendant functioned as a Business Associate subject to the requirements of HIPAA and its Privacy Rules and Security Rules.
- At all times relevant to this matter Defendant engaged in trade and commerce affecting consumers in the Participating States. Therefore, Defendant is subject to the States' consumer protection laws and applicable Personal Information Protection laws of the Participating States (see Appendix A).
- 24. The terms of this Agreed Final Judgment reflect a good faith agreement between Defendant and the Participating States to resolve the States' claims against Defendant related to the Data Breach. Defendant has agreed to enter into separate judgments with substantially similar terms with each of the Participating States. Further, Defendant has agreed to make a total payment of \$ 21 million to the Participating States and the specific amount ordered by this judgment is recited below in paragraph 45. Plaintiff has agreed to release claims related to the Data Breach against Defendant as specifically recited below.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY IN RELATED BANKRUPTCY

- On or about June 17, 2019, Defendant filed a Chapter 11 petition, Voluntary Pet. for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy, In re: Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc., June 17, 2019, Doc. 1 19-23185-rdd (S.D.N.Y.) (hereafter "the Bankruptcy Proceeding" or "Defendant's Bankruptcy Proceeding"). For purposes of that proceeding Bradley E. Scher was appointed to serve as Defendant's Chief Oversight Officer. Subsequently, Defendant and the States of Indiana and Texas filed a Joint Motion to Adjourn Certain Motions Sine Die and Approving Related Agreement in the bankruptcy court commemorating the parties' agreement to proceed with the states' request for Defendant to produce documents related to their investigation of the Data Breach.
- 26. On March 19, 2020, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss with structured settlement which was subsequently granted by the Bankruptcy Court.
- Prior to entry of the order dismissing Defendant's Bankruptcy Proceeding, Defendant filed Notice in the Bankruptcy Court of the proposed settlement terms reflected in this Agreed Final Judgment. After due consideration, on October 27, 2020 the court entered the attached Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a) Approving Settlement and Authorizing Acceptance of Form of Agreed Final Judgment Between the Debtor and Participating State Attorneys General approving of these terms and of their filing and further ordered that "for the avoidance of doubt, Debtor's principal, Russell Fuchs, is authorized to execute the Judgment on behalf of Debtor, and that State Courts have jurisdiction to enter any Judgment that is signed by Debtor, Debtor's counsel and the appropriate representative for the respective State." (See Appendix B).

D. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

a. Compliance with State and Federal Laws

Defendant shall comply with the Consumer Protection Acts, the Personal Information Protection Acts, and the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules, to the extent they each are applicable to the Defendant, in connection with its collection, maintenance, and safeguarding of Personal Information and Protected Health Information from any future breach of security involving the unauthorized disclosure of PI or PHI. As part of compliance with the Consumer Protection Acts, the Defendant shall not make any misrepresentations to consumers or to Covered Entities about the extent to which the Defendant can maintain the privacy and security of PI or PHI.

b. Information Security Program

- 29. To the extent that Defendant or its Principals currently or in the future manage or have principal responsibility or oversight for data security or privacy compliance in a business or have a controlling or managing interest in a business which collects or maintains Personal Information or Protected Health Information, they shall within sixty (60) days of the effective date develop, implement, and maintain a written information security program ("Information Security Program" or "Program") that is reasonably designed to protect the security, integrity, and confidentiality of PI and PHI that they collect, store, transmit, and/or maintain. At a minimum, the Program shall include the information security requirements in Paragraphs 30 through 33 below.
- 30. The Program must be documented, in writing, and must contain administrative, technical, and physical safeguards appropriate to (i) the size and complexity of the business; and (ii) the sensitivity of the PI and PHI that Defendant collects, stores, transmits, and/or maintains.

- 31. The Program shall permit users access to PI and PHI only to the extent necessary for each user to perform job functions and assignments.
- The Program shall require the employment of a person who will serve the function of a Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) with responsibility to implement, maintain, and monitor the Program. The CISO shall have appropriate training, expertise, and experience in the field of information security to oversee the Program and further, will be charged with regular and direct reporting to the Chief Executive Officer regarding the status of the Program, the security risks faced, resources required for implementation of the Program, and the security implications of Defendant's business decisions. At a minimum, the CISO shall provide a written report to the Board or Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer on a quarterly basis.
- The Program shall include a documented written incident response plan to prepare for and respond to any future Security Events. At a minimum, this plan shall provide for the following phases of a response: Preparation; Detection and Analysis; Containment; Notification and Coordination with Law Enforcement and Regulators; Recovery; Consumer Notification and Remediation; and Post-Incident Analysis.

c. Information Security Program Assessment

34. Within one hundred twenty (120) days of the Effective Date and annually for seven (7) years thereafter, with respect to any business which Defendant owns, has a controlling interest in, manages, or controls, Defendant shall obtain an annual assessment of its Program pertaining to the collection, storage, maintenance, transmission, and disposal of PI and PHI from a Third-Party Assessor.

- The Third-Party Assessor shall prepare a report of findings ("Report") and such report must include an assessment of Defendant's compliance with each of the requirements of this Judgment; an assessment of Defendant's response to any Security Events which may have occurred since the Effective Date; and documentation of the basis of the Report.
- Each report shall be provided to the Connecticut Attorney General no later than fifteen (15) days after its completion. The Attorney General's office shall, to the extent permitted by state law, treat each report as exempt from disclosure as applicable under the relevant public records laws of its state, provided that the Attorney General may provide a copy of each report to any of the Participating States which request the report. Each participating State requesting the report shall, to the extent permitted by its State's law, treat such report and letter as exempt from disclosure as applicable under the relevant public records laws of the requesting State.

E. COOPERATION WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL

- 37. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant shall cooperate in good faith with the Plaintiff in connection with any other investigation or litigation related to or associated with the Data Breach described in Plaintiff's Complaint. More specifically, Defendant and its Principals' cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
 - a. Responding to all reasonable inquiries for information from the State;
 - b. Providing documents and other materials, including records and other tangible evidence reasonably requested by the States, including documents which Defendant was ordered to produce to MDL Parties pursuant to the order entered on June 17, 2020 in the Bankruptcy Proceeding (Order Granting Joint Motion and Determining, On Conditions Set Forth Herein,

- That the Automatic Stay Does Not Prevent Service Of Third Party Subpoenas On The Debtor).
- c. Providing the State with access to the Servers including access so that these can be imaged at the expense of the States and at a date and time agreed to by the Parties;
- d. Providing truthful declarations, affidavits, certification, and written testimony that may be reasonably requested by the State;
- e. Appearing, or causing its Principals to appear, and provide truthful testimony in interviews by telephone or video conferencing including at any trial, deposition, or other proceeding, without the service of a subpoena, as may be reasonably requested by the Attorney General's Office and at the expense of the Attorney General's office; and
- f. Making a good faith effort to cause or otherwise facilitate its Chief Oversight Officer, employees, representative or agents to similarly appear and provide testimony including by providing the State with contact information (e.g. address, email address and cell phone number) of such employees, representatives or agents.
- 38. For the avoidance of doubt, Defendant's obligation agreed to in the preceding paragraph is intended to incorporate the requirements of the June 17th Order such that:
 - a. Defendant shall promptly produce to the States copies of all electronically stored information and all hard copy documents in repositories, in each case in its possession that may contain documents or information responsive to the Subpoenas issued by the MDL parties as identified in the June 17th

Order. Provided, however, that this paragraph requires Defendant to produce only such documents and information as Defendant produced to the MDL Parties and that production to the Participating States will be coordinated with the States of Indiana, Connecticut and Texas which, in accordance with their respective state laws and procedures, will make the documents available to other states. In the event that a single production to the States will require Defendant to incur costs in excess of \$1,000.00 or that the total costs of all production pursuant to this paragraph require Defendant to incur costs in excess of \$ 2,500.00, Defendant will provide notice of such to the States of Indiana, Connecticut and Texas prior to incurring such. Defendant and these States agree to confer regarding mutually acceptable options to reduce or eliminate such costs for the Defendant, including, but not limited to, modifying the production request, finding alternative means of production, and/or the States bearing such costs. If Defendant and these States are unable to agree regarding a mutually acceptable means to reduce or eliminate such cost, the Participating States shall not deem Defendant's failure to produce a failure to cooperate as required by this Section.

- b. Defendant shall preserve all repositories that may contain documents responsive to the Subpoenas.
- c. Further, this specific production shall be deemed a supplementary response to the Civil Investigative Demand (CID) issued to the Defendant by the State of Indiana on June 10, 2019 subject to the relevant state law including

the confidentiality protections of that state and any disputes which may arise regarding compliance with the CID or the rights and obligations of the Parties shall be determined by the appropriate state court of the issuing state consistent with the terms of this Agreed Final Judgment.

- Defendant shall maintain the Servers in a secure manner at the locations disclosed in Defendant's supplemental CID response of August 2020. In the event that Defendant intends to relocate the Servers from locations reported in that response, Defendant shall provide notice of that proposed relocation to the Attorneys General of Indiana, Connecticut, and Texas at least ten (10) business days prior to that relocation. In the event that Defendant loses ownership, leasehold, or control of such location or that the Servers are damaged, destroyed or compromised, Defendant shall provide notice and details promptly to the Attorneys General of Indiana, Connecticut, and Texas.
- 40. Defendant shall securely maintain all documents, reports, and records containing information or data evidencing and related to the Data Breach including data which reflects:
 - a. the names and related or associated information of all consumers whose information was compromised in the Data Breach (Consumer Information);
 - b. the policies and procedures related to any aspect of Defendant's information security program as it existed prior to the date of the Data Breach;
 - c. logs, internal, and external communications related to data security issues or concerns including communications with or regarding the Covered Entities on whose behalf Defendant engaged in debt collection activities; and

- d. any audits performed by Covered Entities and communications to and from
 Covered Entities regarding the Data Breach or relating to data security.
- 41. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant shall notify Plaintiff at least thirty (30) days prior to any change in the corporation that may affect compliance obligations arising under this Judgment, including, but not limited to: a dissolution, assignment, sale, merger, or other action that would result in the emergence of a successor corporation; the creation or dissolution of a subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this Judgment; the proposed filing of a bankruptcy petition; or a change in the corporate name or address.

F. RELEASE

- 42. Plaintiff hereby releases Defendant and its Principals in their capacities as officers, directors, or employees of Defendant from any and all civil claims that the Attorney General could have brought that are related to and/or arising from the Data Breach, including but not limited to, any claims under the Consumer Protection Act, Personal Information Protection Act, and HIPAA. Nothing contained in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the ability of the Attorney General to enforce the obligations that Defendant, its officers, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, representatives, employees, successors, and assigns have under this Judgment.
- 43. Notwithstanding any term of this Judgment, any and all of the following forms of liability are specifically reserved and excluded from the Released Claims:
 - a. any criminal liability that Defendant has or may have in the Participating
 State;
 - any civil or administrative liability that Defendant has or may have to the
 Participating State under any statute, regulation or rule not expressly

covered by the release in the preceding paragraph 42, including but not limited to, any and all of the following claims:

- 1. state or federal antitrust violations.
- 2. state or federal securities violations, and
- 3. state or federal tax claims.
- 44. This release shall be binding only upon Defendant and does not extend to, release, cover, or in any way apply to any entities on whose behalf Defendant acted as an agent or Business Associate or on whose behalf it engaged in debt collection activities.

G. PAYMENT TO STATES

- 45. Judgment is hereby rendered against Defendant and in favor of the Plaintiff in the total amount of \$ 574,602.23. Collection of this civil penalty is suspended subject to the provisions noted below:
 - a. The Plaintiff's agreement to suspend collection of this judgment is expressly premised on the truth and accuracy of all sworn statements, declarations, and related documents submitted to the Bankruptcy Court by the Defendant.
 - b. Suspension of judgment will be lifted if, upon motion by the Plaintiff, the Court finds that Defendant failed to disclose any material asset, materially misstated the value of any asset, or made any other material misstatements or omissions in its representations filed in the Bankruptcy Proceeding.
 - c. Suspension of judgment will be lifted if, upon motion by the Plaintiff, the Court finds that Defendant has failed to comply with the requirement of Section E, provided that prior to filing such motion, Plaintiff shall provide Defendant with written notice of the alleged failure and fifteen (15) business

- days to cure the failure or provide a written response to include documentation of compliance.
- d. If this suspension is lifted, the Judgment amount becomes immediately due and payable to Plaintiff.

H. NOTICES

- 46. Unless otherwise provided, any notices or documents required to be sent to the Parties pursuant to this Judgment (including requests related to the Cooperation requirements of the preceding Section E) shall be sent to the following address via overnight courier and electronic mail (unless after the Effective Date, a different address is communicated in writing by the party requesting a change of designee or address):
 - a. For the Attorney General: Deputy, Consumer Protection Division; Office of the Tennessee Attorney General; P.O. Box 20207, Nashville, TN 37202-0207, (615) 741-1671.
 - b. For Defendant: Richard D. Weinberg, Esq.; Morvillo Abramowitz, P.C.RWeinberg@maglaw.com; 565 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10017.

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 47. The Participating States will to the extent practicable coordinate their requests for cooperation directed to the Defendant with the goal of avoiding unnecessary expense.
- 48. Defendant shall not transfer, sell, or use Consumer PI or PHI for any purpose, provided that this does not prohibit Defendant from complying with its requirements under law, nor transferring PI to the owner pursuant to contract or PHI pursuant to a Business Associate Agreement.

- 49. The terms of this Judgment are not intended to be construed as an admission or concession or evidence of liability or wrongdoing on the part of Defendant, except as permitted pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-109(d).
- 50. Acceptance and entry of this Judgment is not an approval of any of Defendant's business practices and Defendant is enjoined from making any representations regarding such approval.
- Defendant will not participate in any activity to form a separate entity for the purpose of engaging in acts or practices prohibited by this Judgment or for any other purpose that would circumvent this Judgment.
- Subject to the release included herein, nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to limit the authority of the State to protect the interests of the State or its citizens, or to enforce any laws, regulations, or rules against Defendant.
- 53. Subject to the release recited herein, this Judgment does not affect any private right of action that any consumer, person, entity, or federal, state, or local governmental entity may have against Defendant.
- 54. Nothing in this Judgment waives or affects any claims of sovereign immunity by the State.
- Defendant expressly waives any rights, remedies, appeals, or other interests related to a jury trial or any related or derivative rights under the Tennessee or United States Constitutions or other laws as to this Judgment.
- Defendant waives the notice provisions under Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-108(a)(2) and waives any defect in connection with service of process. Defendant also waives compliance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-5002(2), in accordance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-108(a)(3).

57. If any provision of this Judgment shall be held unenforceable, the Judgment shall be construed as if such provision did not exist.

58. This Judgment may be executed in counterparts that, together, will constitute one whole document.

Within 30 days of this Judgment's entry, Defendant shall provide a copy of this Judgment to each of its officers and directors, owners, employees, and applicable agents. Once provided, Defendant shall, within 45 days of this Judgment's entry, provide a certification under oath to the State that affirms compliance with this paragraph.

60. No costs associated with this filing shall be taxed against the Tennessee Attorney General.

61. This Judgment sets forth the entire agreement between the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

17

APPROVED:

DEFENDANT, RETRIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS BUREAU, INC.

By: Just Date: 2/10/2/
Russell Fuchs,

Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer, and Secretary

[Additional approvals on separate pages]

Agreed Final Judgment, State of Tennessee v. Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc.

APPROVED:

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, RETRIEVAL-MASTERS CREDITORS BUREAU, INC.

By: Scaltt S. Mokes Date: 2/3

SCARLETT S. NOKES, B.P.R. 28994 Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP 1600 Division Street, Suite 700

Nashville, TN 37203

Telephone: (615) 252-3556 Email: snokes@bradley.com

Local Counsel for Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc.

Richard D. Weinberg, Esq. Morvillo Abramowitz, P.C. 565 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10017 Telephone:

E-mail: RWeinberg@maglaw.com

Lead Counsel for Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc.

[Additional approvals on separate pages]

Agreed Final Judgment, State of Tennessee v. Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc.

APPROVED:

PLAINTIFF, THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

HERBERT H. SLATERY III/B.F.R. 9077

Attorney General and Reporter

CAROLINE DRINNON, B.P.R. 037016

Assistant Attorney General

ANN MIKKELSEN, B.P.R. 032262

Assistant Attorney General Consumer Protection Division

Office of the Tennessee Attorney General and Reporter

UBS Building, 20th Floor

315 Deaderick Street

Nashville, Tennessee 37243

Phone: (615) 532-7801 Fax: (615) 532-2910

caroline.drinnon@ag.tn.gov ann.mikkelsen@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff, State of Tennessee

Agreed Final Judgment, State of Tennessee v. Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc.

List of Appendices

Appendix A:

Column A: List of Participating States

Column B: Citations for relevant state consumer protection laws

Column C: Citations for relevant state Personal Information Protection Acts

APPENDIX B:

Order of the Bankruptcy Court

Appendix A

| STATE | CONSUMER PROTECTION ACTS | PERSONAL INFORMATION PROTECTION ACTS & SECURITY BREACH NOTIFICATION ACTS |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Arizona | Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 et seq. | Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 18-552 |
| Arkansas | Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. §§ 4-88-101 et seq. | Personal Information Protection Act, Ark. Code Ann. §§ 4-110-101 et seq. |
| Colorado | Colorado Consumer Protection Act, C.R.S. §§ 6-1-101 et seq. | Personal Information Protection, C.R.S. § 6-1-713.5; Security Breach Notification, C.R.S. § 6-1-716 |
| Connecticut | Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 42-110b et seq. | Safeguarding of Personal Information, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42- 471; Breach of Security, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 36a-701b |
| District of Columbia | Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code §§ 28-3901 et seq. | District of Columbia Consumer Security Breach Notification Act, D.C. Code §§ 28-3851 et seq. |
| Florida | Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Chapter 501, Part II, Florida Statutes | Florida Information Protection Act, Section 501.171, Florida Statutes |
| Georgia | Georgia Fair Business Practices Act, O.C.G.A. §§ 10-1-390 through 408 | Georgia Personal Identity Protection Act, O.C.G.A §§ 10-1- 910 through 915 |
| Hawaii | Uniform Deceptive Trade Practice Act, Haw. Rev. Stat. Chpt. 481A and Haw. Rev. Stat. Sect. 480-2 | Security Breach of Personal Information, Haw. Rev. Stat. Ch pt. 487N |
| Idaho | Idaho Consumer Protection Act, Idaho Code §§ 48-601 et seq. | Identity Theft, Idaho Code §§ 28-51-104 et seq. |
| Illinois | Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505/1 et seq. | Illinois Personal Information Protection Act, 815 ILCS 530/1, et seq. |
| Indiana | Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Ind. Code §§ 24-5-0.5 et seq. | Disclosure of Security Breach Act, Indiana Code §§ 24-4.9 et seq. |
| Iowa | Iowa Consumer Fraud Act, Iowa Code § 714.16 | Personal Information Security Breach Protection Act, Iowa Code § 715C |
| Kansas | Kansas Consumer Protection Act, K.S.A §§ 50-623 et seq. | The Wayne Owen Act, K.S.A. § 50-6,139b; Security Breach Notification Act, K.S.A. §§ 50-7a01 et seg. |

Appendix A

| Kentucky | Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, KRS §§ 367.110300, | KRS 365.732 |
|---------------|--|---|
| Louisiana | Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, La. R.S. §§ 51:1401 et seq. | Database Security Breach Notification Law, La. R.S. §§ 51:3071 et seq. |
| Maine | Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act, 5 M.R.S.A. §§ 205-A et seq. | Maine Notice of Risk to Personal Data Act, 10 M.R.S.A. §§ 1346 et seq. |
| Maryland | Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law §§ 13-101 et seq. (2013 Repl. Vol and 2019 Supp.) | Maryland Personal Information Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 14-3501 et seq. (2013 Repl. Vol and 2019 Supp.) |
| Massachusetts | Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A | Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93H; 201 Code Mass. Regs. 17.00 et seq. |
| Michigan | Michigan Consumer Protection Act, MCL §§ 445.901 <i>et seq</i> . | Identity Theft Protection Act, MCL §§ 445.61 et seq. (Breach notification only; no applicable |
| | | State personal information protection Act) |
| Minnesota | The Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Minn. Stat. §§ 325D.4348; Consumer Fraud Act, Minn. Stat. §§ 325F.68694 | Minnesota Data Breach Notification Statute, Minn. Stat. § 325E.61 |
| Missouri | Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 407.010 et seq. | Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.1500 |
| Nebraska | Nebraska Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 59-1601 et seq.; Nebraska Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 87-301 et seq. | Financial Data Protection and Consumer Notification of Data Security Breach Act of 2006, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 87-801 et seq. |
| Nevada | Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act; Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 598.0903 et seq. | Nevada Security and Privacy of Personal Information Act; Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 603A.010 et seq. |
| New Hampshire | NH RSA 358-A | NH RSA 359-C: 19-21 |
| New Jersey | New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 et seq. | New Jersey Identity Theft Prevention Act, N.J.S.A. 56:8-161 to -166 |
| New Mexico | The New Mexico Unfair Practices Act, NMSA 1978, §§ 57-12-1 to - 26 (1967, as amended through 2009) | The New Mexico Data Breach Notification Act, NMSA 1978, §§ 57-12C-1 to -12 (2017) |
| New York | Executive Law 63(12), General Business Law 349/350 | General Business Law 899-aa and 899-bb |

Appendix A

| North Carolina | North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act, | North Carolina Identity Theft Protection Act, N.C.G.S. §§ 75-60 |
|----------------|--|---|
| | N.C.G.S. §§ 75-1.1 et seq. | et seq. |
| Ohio | Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act, R.C. §§ 1345.01 et seq. | Security Breach Notification Act, R.C. §§ 1349.19 et seq. |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act, 15 O.S. §§ 751 et seg. | Security Breach Notification Act, 24 O.S. §§ 161 et seq. |
| Oregon | Oregon Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS 646.605 et seq. | Oregon Consumer Information Protection Act, ORS 646A.600 et seq. |
| Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. §§ 201-1 et seq. | Breach of Personal Information Notification Act, 73 P.S. §§ 2301 et seq. |
| Rhode Island | Rhode Island Deceptive Trade Practices Act, R.I. Gen. Laws §§ 6-13.1-1 et seq. | Rhode Island Identity Theft Protection Act, R.I. Gen. Laws §§ 11-49.3-1 et seq. |
| South Carolina | South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, S.C. Code Ann. §§ 39-5-10 et seq. | Data Breach Notification, S.C. Code Ann. § 39-1-90 |
| Tennessee | Tennessee Consumer Protection Act of 1977, Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 47-18-101 to -131 | Tennessee Identity Theft Deterrence Act of 1999, Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 47-18-2101 to -2111 |
| Texas | Texas Deceptive Trade Practices – Consumer Protection Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann.§§ 17.41 – 17.63 | Identity Theft Enforcement and Protection Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 521.001 – 152 |
| Utah | Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act, Utah Code §§ 13-11-1, et. seq. | Utah Protection of Personal Information Act, Utah Code §§ 13-44-101, et. seq. |
| Vermont | Vermont Consumer Protection Act, 9 V.S.A. §§ 2451 et seq. | Vermont Security Breach Notice Act, 9 V.S.A. § 2435 |
| Virginia | Virginia Consumer Protection Act, Virginia Code §§ 59.1-196 through 59.1-207 | Virginia Breach of Personal Information Notification Law, § 18.2-186.6 |
| Washington | Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.020 | Washington Data Breach Notification Law, RCW 19.255.010 |
| West Virginia | West Virginia Consumer Credit and Protection Act ("WVCCPA"), W. Va. Code §§ 46A-1-101 et seq. [W.Va. Code §§ 46A-6-104, 46A-6-102(7)(6), 46A-6-102(7)(M)] | Theft of Consumer Identity Protections, W.Va. Code § 46A-2A-101 et seq. |

| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | | |
|--|--------|-------------------------|
| In re | x : | Chapter 11 |
| Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc.,1 | : | Case No. 19-23185 (RDD) |
| Debtor. | : | |
| | X | |

IDJUTED OT ATEC DANIED I DTCV COLDT

ORDER PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a)
APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND AUTHORIZING
ACCEPTANCE OF FORM OF AGREED FINAL
JUDGMENT BETWEEN THE DEBTOR AND
PARTICIPATING STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL

Upon the motion [Doc. No. 315] (the "Motion") of Retrieval-Masters Creditors Bureau, Inc., the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned case (the "Debtor"), seeking entry of an order authorizing the Debtor, pursuant to section 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code and Rule 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, to enter into separate settlements (referred to collectively as the "Settlement") with various participating states' Attorneys General pursuant to the terms of the form of "Agreed Final Judgment" annexed as Exhibit "B" to the Motion (the "Form of Judgment"); and notice of the Motion having been provided in accordance with the Order Granting Debtor's Motion for Order Authorizing the Establishment of Certain Notice, Case Management, and Administrative Procedures [Doc. No. 31], and such notice being due and sufficient, and no additional notice of the Motion being required; and the Court having jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b); and consideration of the Motion and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b); and venue being proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and

The last four digits of the Debtor's taxpayer identification number is 9495. As of November 1, 2019, the Debtor's service address for purposes of this chapter 11 case is 200 Pemberwick Road, Greenwich, CT 06831. The Debtor also did business as American Medical Collection Agency.

19-23185-rdd Doc 339 Filed 10/27/20 Entered 10/27/20 13:38:18 Main Document Pg 2 of 3

1409; and no objections to the Motion having been filed or otherwise interposed; and a hearing having been held in respect of the Motion on October 19, 2020 (the "Hearing"); and upon the Motion, the evidence adduced and/or proffered at the Hearing, and the entire record of the Debtor's case; and the Court finding that entry into the Settlement by the Debtor represents a sound exercise of the Debtor's business judgment, is fair and equitable, and otherwise in the best interests of the Debtor and its estate; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the Motion is granted, as and to the extent set forth herein; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Settlement is approved in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Debtor is authorized, but not required, to consent to, execute, agree, stipulate or otherwise take such actions as may be necessary or appropriate to effect entry of orders, judgments, and/or decrees substantially in the form of the Form of Judgment (each a "Judgment") in respect of each Participating State (as defined in the Motion); and it is further

ORDERED, that consistent with the Motion, the Debtor is authorized, but not required, to enter into the Form of Judgment with each Participating State; provided, that the aggregate of all the Judgments shall not exceed \$21 million; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Judgment with each state shall include the specific Judgment amount allocated to such state as determined by the Participating States, whose allocation formulae are based primarily on the types of personal information of each resident whose

19-23185-rdd Doc 339 Filed 10/27/20 Entered 10/27/20 13:38:18 Main Document Pg 3 of 3

information was compromised and the number of affected residents from each state; and it is

further

ORDERED, that the Debtor shall bear costs in the amounts and as otherwise set out in

the Judgment; and it is further

ORDERED, that this Order shall remain effective and binding notwithstanding any

conversion or dismissal of this chapter 11 case; and it is further

ORDERED, that for the avoidance of doubt, Debtor's principal, Russell Fuchs, is

authorized to execute the Judgment on behalf of Debtor, and that State Courts have jurisdiction

to enter any Judgment that is signed by the Debtor, Debtor's counsel and the appropriate

representative for the respective State.

ORDERED, that the Court shall retain jurisdiction to interpret, implement, and enforce

this Order.

Dated: White Plains, New York

October 27, 2020

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

3