

Teacher T-Value Effects (Grades 4 – 8)

One year estimates of T-value of teacher effects (2009 – 2010)

Comparison of the 2009 - 2010 Mean T-Value of Teacher Effect for Beginning Teachers (1-3 years experience) as Compared to the Mean of Veteran Teachers (at least 5 years experience) from the Statewide Distribution of 2009 - 2010 Teacher Valued-Added Data

KEY

- NSSD No statistically significant difference
- (-) Statistically significant negative difference
- (+) Statistically significant positive difference
- - Indicates that there were fewer than 5 teachers

Traditionally Licensed Teachers

Subject	NSSD	(-)	(+)
Math	0.6171		
Reading/Language Arts	-		
Science	-0.0825		
Social Studies	-		

Alternatively Licensed Teachers

Subject	NSSD	(-)	(+)
Math	-		
Reading/Language Arts	0.2781		
Science	-		
Social Studies	-		

Traditionally and Alternatively Licensed Teachers

Subject	NSSD	(-)	(+)
Math	0.1442		
Reading/Language Arts	0.3024		
Science	-0.2754		
Social Studies	0.2234		

Teacher T-Value Effects (Grades 4 – 8)

One year estimates of T-value of teacher effects (2009 – 2010)

Comparison of the 2009 - 2010 Mean T-Value of Teacher Effect Among Beginning Teachers (1-3 years experience) as Compared to the Mean of Means for All Institutions

KEY

- NSSD No statistically significant difference
- (-) Statistically significant negative difference
- (+) Statistically significant positive difference
- - Indicates that there were fewer than 5 teachers

Traditionally Licensed Teachers

Subject	NSSD	(-)	(+)
Math	0.9173		
Reading/Language Arts	-		
Science	0.1049		
Social Studies	-		

Alternatively Licensed Teachers

Subject	NSSD	(-)	(+)
Math	-		
Reading/Language Arts	0.6264		
Science	-		
Social Studies	-		

Traditionally and Alternatively Licensed Teachers

Subject	NSSD	(-)	(+)
Math	0.4393		
Reading/Language Arts	0.5755		
Science	-0.1233		
Social Studies	0.5146		

Teacher T-Value Effects (Grades 4 – 8)

One year estimates of T-value of teacher effects (2009 – 2010)

Percentage of Beginning Teachers (1-3 years experience) in Upper and Lower Effectiveness Quintiles based on the 2009 - 2010 State Distribution of Teacher T-Value of Effects

Traditionally Licensed Teachers

Subject	% Below the 20th Percentile	% Above the 80th Percentile	# of Teachers in Institution
Math	20.0	40.0	5
Reading/Language Arts	-	-	2
Science	0.0	0.0	6
Social Studies	-	-	2

Alternatively Licensed Teachers

Subject	% Below the 20th Percentile	% Above the 80th Percentile	# of Teachers in Institution
Math	-	-	3
Reading/Language Arts	12.5	25.0	8
Science	-	-	2
Social Studies	-	-	4

Traditionally and Alternatively Licensed Teachers

Subject	% Below the 20th Percentile	% Above the 80th Percentile	# of Teachers in Institution
Math	12.5	25.0	8
Reading/Language Arts	10.0	30.0	10
Science	0.0	0.0	8
Social Studies	0.0	0.0	6

Notes:

- Red** cells indicate a statistically significant negative difference
- Green** cells indicate a statistically significant positive difference
- Indicates an institution had fewer than 5 teachers

Placement and Retention Data

Data reflect the placement and retention rates of graduates for each cohort year listed. These data are based on the program completers in the Personnel Information Reporting System (PIRS). The years refer to the number of years since the completers have graduated and been eligible to teach. Therefore, these data help to inform the rate at which an institution’s graduates enter and remain in the teaching field in Tennessee public schools.

Cohort Year	Number of Completers	Teaching in Year 1	Teaching 3 Consecutive Years	Teaching 4 Consecutive Years	Teaching 5 Consecutive Years
2003 – 04	20	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%
2004 – 05	33	78.8%	72.7%	69.7%	66.7%
2005 – 06	35	80.0%	77.1%	71.4%	
2006 – 07	16	68.8%	68.8%		
2007 – 08	15	73.3%			

Teacher Assessments

Tennessee requires that teacher candidates take Praxis examinations to be recommended for licensure and receive endorsements in specific fields. Below are the Praxis summary pass rates for this institution for all exams and aggregate pass rates for professional knowledge and academic content areas.

Praxis Results (2007-2008)	Number Tested	Number Passed	Pass Rate
Summary Pass Rates	15	15	100
Professional Knowledge	14	14	100
Academic Content Areas	15	15	100