



STATE OF TENNESSEE
RFP # 317.03-163-08
AMENDMENT # 2

September 10, 2007

THE SUBJECT RFP IS HEREBY AMENDED AS FOLLOWS.

A. The following RFP Schedule of Events updates or confirms scheduled RFP dates.

EVENT	TIME	DATE	UPDATED / CONFIRMED
1. State Issues RFP		August 20, 2007	CONFIRMED
2. Disability Accommodation Request Deadline		August 27, 2007	CONFIRMED
3. Pre-proposal Conference	1:00 p.m.	August 29, 2007	CONFIRMED
4. Notice of Intent to Propose Deadline		August 31, 2007	CONFIRMED
5. Written Comments Deadline		September 17, 2007	UPDATED
6. State Responds to Written Comments		October 10, 2007	UPDATED
7. Proposal Deadline	2:00 p.m.	November 14, 2007	UPDATED
8. State Completes the Technical Proposal Scoring and Issues Notices		December 20, 2007	UPDATED
9. Oral presentations/software demonstrations		January 7 – 18, 2008	UPDATED
10. State Completes Technical Proposal Evaluations		January 21, 2008	UPDATED
11. State Opens Cost Proposals & Calculates Scores	9:00 a.m.	January 22, 2008	UPDATED
12. State Issues Evaluation Notice & Opens RFP Files for Public Inspection	9:00 a.m.	January 24, 2008	UPDATED
13. State Submits Apparent Best-Evaluated Proposal for Federal Review		January 24, 2008	UPDATED
14. State Receives Federal Review Comments		February 25, 2008	UPDATED
15. Contract Signing		March 7, 2008	UPDATED
16. Contract Signature Deadline		March 14, 2008	UPDATED
17. Contract Start Date		April 1, 2008	UPDATED

B. INFORMATIONAL NOTE:

RFP Section 4.1.1 requires the Proposer to submit any exceptions to the State Standard products as defined in the *Tennessee Information Resources Architecture* in writing prior to the Written Comments deadline in the Schedule of Events, RFP Section 2. This includes **all** non-State standard products a Proposer may potentially include in the proposal, whether the product is the Proposer's preferred product for the functionality or an alternate product(s) for the functionality should the State disapprove the preferred product exception request.

C. Delete RFP Section 1.1 in its entirety and insert the following in its place:

1.1 Statement of Purpose

The State of Tennessee, Department of Finance and Administration, hereinafter referred to as the State, has issued this Request for Proposals (RFP) to define the State's minimum service requirements; solicit proposals; detail proposal requirements; and, outline the State's process for evaluating proposals and selecting the contractor.

Through this RFP, the State seeks to buy the best services at the most favorable, competitive prices and to give ALL qualified businesses, including those that are owned by minorities, women, persons with a disability, and small business enterprises, opportunity to do business with the State as contractors and sub-contractors.

The State invites qualified vendors to submit a proposal to deliver and implement a web-based Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) solution, which will support the Tennessee Department of Children's Services (DCS). The State is open to all responsible vendor solutions, including the transfer of a compatible system from another state and modifying it to meet Tennessee-specific requirements, a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) solution with additional functionality to encompass all remaining Tennessee requirements, or a custom-developed solution based on Tennessee requirements.

The successful System Implementation Vendor (SIV) shall propose a SACWIS and associated services, tailored to meet the general scope of work and services as outlined within this RFP.

The State is also seeking a different / independent vendor to provide Quality Assurance (QA) and Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) services for the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS) project. The QA / IV&V contract will be awarded through a separate RFP # 317.03-003-08 which as of September 10, 2007, has not been released. No vendor can be Prime and/or Subcontractor on both of these contracts.

Interested vendors may wish to propose on both the SIV and QA / IV&V RFPs in a Prime and Subcontracting relationship. The following scenarios outline the acceptable relationships:

Prime

A Prime vendor can propose on both the SIV and QA / IV&V RFPs. If the same Prime is the apparent winner on both RFPs, then the State will award the System Implementation Vendor (SIV) RFP to that Prime. The Prime's QA / IV&V proposal will then be determined non-responsive and the State shall reject it. The QA / IV&V RFP Coordinator will re-calculate scores for each responsive Technical and Cost Proposal to determine the new, apparent best-evaluated QA / IV&V proposal. NOTE: If a Subcontractor in the apparent winning proposal appears as a Prime or Subcontractor in any QA / IV&V proposal, the proposal(s) will also be rejected.

Subcontractor – The following Subcontractor guidelines are presented to maintain the vendor independence requirement and avoid any case in which a Prime / Subcontractor relationship would result in rejection of a proposal for the SIV or QA / IV&V:

1. A Subcontracting vendor can subcontract with the same Prime vendor on both the SIV and QA / IV&V RFPs.

OR,

2. A Subcontracting vendor can subcontract with multiple Prime vendors within one RFP;

HOWEVER,

3. A Subcontracting vendor cannot subcontract with different Prime vendors on both RFPs.

AND,

4. A Subcontracting vendor cannot subcontract on one RFP and propose as a Prime vendor on the other RFP.

If a Prime chooses to ignore the Subcontractor guidelines and upon award a vendor relationship conflict occurs, to maintain the vendor independence requirement between the SIV and QA / IV&V contracts, the State will reject all QA / IV&V proposals that compromise the vendor independence requirement (Cases 3 and 4 above). In this event, scores will be re-calculated based upon remaining responsive proposals.

NOTE: The “acceptable relationship scenarios” detailed above do not in any way supersede, mitigate, or otherwise revise the requirements found in RFP sections 4.3.6, 4.3.7, and 4.3.8.